



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Selection Survey

Anchorage District Court

Technical Report

Ashley Schroeder, MPH, Research Professional
Ashley Hannigan, MA, MPH, Research Professional

February 16-20, 2026

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iseralaska.org

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Applicants	2
Introduction	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding	6
Data Management	6
Results	6
Table 3: Level of Experience with Applicants	7
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	8
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	9
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	10
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice	11
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled	12
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	13
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	14
Table 11: Michael John Branson: Demographic Description of Respondents	15
Table 12: Michael John Branson: Detailed Responses	16
Table 13: Ronald Dupuis: Demographic Description of Respondents	17
Table 14: Ronald Dupuis: Detailed Responses	18
Table 15: Lindsay Ingaldson: Demographic Description of Respondents	19
Table 16: Lindsay Ingaldson: Detailed Responses	20
Table 17: Julia Metzger: Demographic Description of Respondents	21
Table 18: Julia Metzger: Detailed Responses	22
Table 19: Ben Muse: Demographic Description of Respondents	23
Table 20: Ben Muse: Detailed Responses	24
Table 21: Eric Salinger: Demographic Description of Respondents	25
Table 22: Eric Salinger: Detailed Responses	26
Table 23: Erin White: Demographic Description of Respondents	27
Table 24: Erin White: Detailed Responses	28
Table 25: Matt Widmer: Demographic Description of Respondents	29
Table 26: Matt Widmer: Detailed Responses	30

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a selection survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members for one judicial vacancy on the Anchorage District Court created by the forthcoming retirement of Judge Jo-Ann Chung. By the application deadline, the Alaska Judicial Council received a total of ten applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Michael John Branson, Ronald Dupuis, John Haley, Lindsay Ingaldson, Julia Metzger, Ben Muse, Eric Salinger, Erin White, Matt Widmer, and Christopher Yandel. During the process of this survey, John Haley was appointed to a prior Anchorage District Court position opening, and Christopher Yandel withdrew from the selection process; therefore, their survey results will not be included in this report.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence*, *Integrity*, *Fairness*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each applicant by respondents with direct professional experience.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Applicants

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Michael John Branson	78	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
Ronald Dupuis	116	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0
Lindsay Ingaldson	102	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3
Julia Metzger	163	4.4	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.2
Ben Muse	139	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Eric Salinger	76	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1
Erin White	98	3.0	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.1
Matt Widmer	141	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

2026 Judicial Selection Survey, Anchorage District Court

Introduction

The State of Alaska Constitution and laws mandate that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate all applicants for a judicial vacancy. The Council nominates the two or more most qualified applicants to the governor who must appoint from the Council's list. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence*, *Integrity*, *Fairness*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research institute at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

A single selection survey was conducted for the following judicial vacancies: Anchorage District Court and Bethel District Court. This report presents the findings of the survey for a vacancy on Anchorage District Court, created by the forthcoming retirement of Judge Jo-Ann Chung. By the application deadline, the Council received a total of ten applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Michael John Branson, Ronald Dupuis, John Haley, Lindsay Ingaldson, Julia Metzger, Ben Muse, Eric Salinger, Erin White, Matt Widmer, and Christopher Yandel. During the process of this survey, John Haley was appointed to a prior Anchorage District Court position opening, and Christopher Yandel withdrew from the selection process; therefore, their survey results will not be included in this report.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this selection survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,812 individuals invited to participate, all individuals received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. No individuals received a paper version of the survey.

Respondents initiated 670 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; four surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question; one survey was excluded because the respondent did not answer any other questions but the certification question. Therefore, 665 online surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 665 online surveys for a survey return rate of 17.4%. Of the 665 returned surveys, 271 (40.8%) did not rate any of the 14 applicants (ten Anchorage District Court applicants and four Bethel District Court applicants); 394 (59.2%) respondents evaluated one or more applicants. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Applicant	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		665	100	394	59.2
Type of Practice					
	No response	2	0.3	1	0.3
	Private, solo	124	18.6	56	14.2
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	68	10.2	37	9.4
	Private, 6+ attorneys	88	13.2	49	12.4
	Private, corporate employee	17	2.6	5	1.3
	Judge or judicial officer	58	8.7	47	11.9
	Government	176	26.5	145	36.8
	Public service agency or organization	27	4.1	21	5.3
	Retired	95	14.3	26	6.6
	Other	10	1.5	7	1.8
Length of Alaska Practice					
	No response	32	4.8	9	2.3
	5 years or fewer	77	11.6	53	13.5
	6 to 10 years	56	8.4	47	11.9
	11 to 15 years	92	13.8	79	20.1
	16 to 20 years	73	11.0	54	13.7
	More than 20 years	335	50.4	152	38.6
Cases Handled					
	No response	5	0.8	1	0.3
	Prosecution	38	5.7	36	9.1
	Criminal	66	9.9	55	14.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	165	24.8	107	27.2
	Civil	341	51.3	175	44.4
	Other	50	7.5	20	5.1
Location of Practice					
	No response	6	0.9	4	1.0
	First District	71	10.7	24	6.1
	Second District	13	2.0	9	2.3
	Third District	478	71.9	312	79.2
	Fourth District	71	10.7	38	9.6
	Outside Alaska	26	3.9	7	1.8
Gender					
	No response	9	1.4	4	1.0
	Male	362	54.4	199	50.5
	Female	292	43.9	190	48.2
	Another identity	2	0.3	1	0.3

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the applicants for the vacancy, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each applicant, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each applicant.

Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the applicants as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these applicants.”

Respondents evaluated applicants in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas. The scale and instructions for respondents were:

“Please rate the applicant on each of the following qualities by selecting the number that best represents your evaluation. Applicants should be evaluated on each quality separately. Use the ends of the scales as well as the middle. The tendency to rate an applicant “excellent” or “poor” on every trait should be avoided since each person has strengths and weaknesses. If you cannot rate the applicant on any one quality, leave that one blank.”

	(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
<i>Professional Competence</i>	Lacking in knowledge and/or effectiveness	Below-average performance occasionally	Possesses sufficient knowledge and required skills	Usually knowledgeable and effective	Meets the highest standards for knowledge and effectiveness
<i>Integrity</i>	Unconcerned with propriety and/or appearance, or acts in violation of codes of professional conduct	Appears lacking in knowledge of professional codes of conduct and/or unconcerned with propriety or appearance at times	Follows codes of professional conduct, respects propriety and appearance of propriety at all times	Above-average awareness of ethics, holds self to higher standard than most	Outstanding integrity and highest standards of conduct
<i>Fairness</i>	Often shows strong bias for or against some person or groups	Displays, verbally or otherwise, some bias for or against groups or persons	Free of substantial bias or prejudice against groups or persons	Above-average ability to treat all persons and groups impartially	Unusually fair and impartial to all groups
<i>Judicial Temperament</i>	Often lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Sometimes lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Possesses appropriate compassion, humility, and courtesy	Above-average compassion, humility, and courtesy	Outstanding compassion, humility, and courtesy
<i>Suitability of Experience</i>	Has little or no suitable experience	Has less than suitable experience	Has suitable experience	Has highly suitable experience	Has the most suitable experience for this position
<i>Overall Rating</i>	Has few qualifications for this position	Has insufficient qualifications for this position	Has suitable qualifications for this position	Has highly suitable qualifications for this position	Has exceptionally high qualifications for this position

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial selection survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once. ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. Five duplicate surveys were identified. Of the duplicates, the most complete survey data was retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each applicant rated is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the applicants. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Applicant

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each applicant they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each applicant.

Ratings of Applicants

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all applicants to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual applicant, Tables 11-28 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Level of Experience with the Applicants

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated applicant	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Michael John Branson	79	11.9	84.8	13.9	1.3
Ronald Dupuis	117	17.6	88.9	6.0	5.1
Lindsay Ingaldson	105	15.8	87.6	8.6	3.8
Julia Metzger	164	24.7	81.7	13.4	4.9
Ben Muse	139	20.9	79.1	14.4	6.5
Eric Salinger	77	11.6	89.6	5.2	5.2
Erin White	100	15.0	93.0	4.0	3.0
Matt Widmer	143	21.5	81.1	11.9	7.0

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Michael John Branson	78	3.8	4.0	1.1	66	3.9	4.0	1.1
Ronald Dupuis	116	4.1	4.0	0.9	103	4.0	4.0	0.9
Lindsay Ingaldson	102	3.4	3.5	1.2	91	3.3	3.0	1.1
Julia Metzger	163	4.2	5.0	1.1	134	4.2	5.0	1.1
Ben Muse	139	4.7	5.0	0.6	110	4.7	5.0	0.6
Eric Salinger	76	4.1	5.0	1.1	68	4.1	5.0	1.1
Erin White	98	3.0	3.0	1.3	92	3.1	3.0	1.3
Matt Widmer	141	4.4	5.0	0.9	116	4.5	5.0	0.8

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Michael John Branson	66	-	-	8	12.1	17	25.8	17	25.8	24	36.4
Ronald Dupuis	103	-	-	6	5.8	23	22.3	34	33.0	40	38.8
Lindsay Ingaldson	91	4	4.4	22	24.2	23	25.3	28	30.8	14	15.4
Julia Metzger	134	5	3.7	9	6.7	17	12.7	28	20.9	75	56.0
Ben Muse	110	-	-	1	0.9	6	5.5	18	16.4	85	77.3
Eric Salinger	68	1	1.5	5	7.4	15	22.1	12	17.6	35	51.5
Erin White	92	13	14.1	19	20.7	23	25.0	23	25.0	14	15.2
Matt Widmer	116	2	1.7	1	0.9	7	6.0	30	25.9	76	65.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Michael John Branson	7	4.3	12	4.5	5	4.0	-	-	15	4.0	24	3.3	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	3.9
Ronald Dupuis	12	4.3	8	3.5	10	3.2	-	-	19	4.1	46	4.2	4	4.3	3	5.0	1	4.0	4.0
Lindsay Ingaldson	5	4.4	8	2.5	4	3.0	-	-	21	3.7	45	3.0	6	3.5	2	4.5	-	-	3.3
Julia Metzger	9	4.1	9	3.9	11	3.8	1	3.0	27	4.1	67	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.5	2	4.0	4.2
Ben Muse	9	4.9	10	4.7	15	4.7	-	-	15	4.5	51	4.7	7	4.9	1	5.0	2	5.0	4.7
Eric Salinger	3	4.0	6	4.3	9	3.8	-	-	5	4.8	31	4.0	10	4.3	4	4.3			4.1
Erin White	6	3.2	5	2.2	5	2.6	-	-	15	3.2	52	3.1	2	3.0	6	4.0	1	2.0	3.1
Matt Widmer	15	4.7	12	4.1	23	4.7	1	3.0	23	4.5	32	4.4	6	4.8	3	5.0	1	4.0	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Michael John Branson	8	3.8	5	3.8	10	4.0	10	3.3	32	4.0	3.9
Ronald Dupuis	9	4.6	15	4.2	26	4.0	22	3.8	31	4.0	4.0
Lindsay Ingaldson	12	2.9	16	2.8	16	3.3	12	3.3	34	3.6	3.3
Julia Metzger	17	4.0	17	4.1	38	4.1	22	4.4	40	4.3	4.2
Ben Muse	7	4.9	17	4.7	30	4.7	21	4.7	35	4.6	4.7
Eric Salinger	4	3.8	10	4.0	22	4.0	18	4.2	13	4.2	4.1
Erin White	15	3.1	13	1.9	10	3.4	12	2.8	41	3.4	3.1
Matt Widmer	4	4.0	11	4.7	29	4.7	24	4.6	47	4.4	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Michael John Branson	13	3.2	10	3.8	27	4.0	15	4.1	1	5.0	3.9
Ronald Dupuis	22	4.6	22	3.8	42	3.9	14	4.1	3	4.0	4.0
Lindsay Ingaldson	20	3.7	23	2.7	35	3.3	12	3.6	1	3.0	3.3
Julia Metzger	18	3.7	29	4.4	56	4.3	29	4.0	2	4.0	4.2
Ben Muse	12	4.0	33	4.9	40	4.7	22	4.8	3	5.0	4.7
Eric Salinger	3	3.3	14	4.0	18	4.2	29	4.2	4	4.0	4.1
Erin White	20	3.6	29	2.6	31	3.2	11	3.1	1	3.0	3.1
Matt Widmer	9	4.2	21	4.7	42	4.5	38	4.5	6	4.3	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Michael John Branson	1	2.0	-	-	60	3.9	4	4.3	-	-	3.9
Ronald Dupuis	7	4.7	1	4.0	86	4.0	8	4.3	1	4.0	4.0
Lindsay Ingaldson	2	4.0	2	3.5	73	3.2	13	3.5	-	-	3.3
Julia Metzger	4	3.5	5	4.8	98	4.2	25	4.1	2	4.5	4.2
Ben Muse	5	4.8	3	5.0	89	4.7	11	4.5	2	5.0	4.7
Eric Salinger	6	3.7	2	5.0	49	4.1	8	3.8	2	4.5	4.1
Erin White	3	3.0	2	2.0	84	3.2	2	1.0	1	2.0	3.1
Matt Widmer	4	4.0	3	5.0	97	4.5	8	4.9	4	5.0	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Michael John Branson	48	4.0	18	3.6	-	-	3.9
Ronald Dupuis	64	4.1	39	4.0	-	-	4.0
Lindsay Ingaldson	54	3.4	37	3.2	-	-	3.3
Julia Metzger	72	4.1	62	4.3	-	-	4.2
Ben Muse	63	4.7	47	4.7	-	-	4.7
Eric Salinger	33	4.1	34	4.1	+	+	4.1
Erin White	47	3.0	45	3.2	-	-	3.1
Matt Widmer	66	4.7	49	4.3	-	-	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.
 +Too few respondents to report.

Table 11
Michael John Branson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	79	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	67	84.8
Professional reputation	11	13.9
Other personal contacts	1	1.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	63	94.0
Substantial amount of experience	20	29.9
Moderate amount of experience	29	43.3
Limited amount of experience	18	26.9
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	8	10.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	19.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	11.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	19.0
Government	29	36.7
Public service agency or organization	1	1.3
Retired	1	1.3
Other	1	1.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.3
5 years or fewer	10	12.7
6 to 10 years	7	8.9
11 to 15 years	15	19.0
16 to 20 years	13	16.5
More than 20 years	33	41.8
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	15	19.0
Criminal	14	17.7
Mixed criminal & civil	30	38.0
Civil	19	24.1
Other	1	1.3
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.3
First District	1	1.3
Second District	-	-
Third District	73	92.4
Fourth District	4	5.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	57	72.2
Female	22	27.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 12
Michael John Branson
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	79	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	67	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	63	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	4	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.5
Substantial amount of experience	20	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	29	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	18	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.3
Professional reputation	11	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.3
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.0
Government	25	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.3
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Retired	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.6	3.8	3.8
6 to 10 years	5	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.8
11 to 15 years	10	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0
16 to 20 years	10	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.3
More than 20 years	32	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	14	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.2
Criminal	10	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	27	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0
Civil	15	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	61	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9
Fourth District	4	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	48	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Female	19	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 13
Ronald Dupuis
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	117	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	104	88.9
Professional reputation	7	6.0
Other personal contacts	6	5.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	80	76.9
Substantial amount of experience	38	36.5
Moderate amount of experience	41	39.4
Limited amount of experience	25	24.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	14	12.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	7.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	11.1
Private, corporate employee	1	0.9
Judge or judicial officer	20	17.1
Government	51	43.6
Public service agency or organization	5	4.3
Retired	3	2.6
Other	1	0.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	11	9.4
6 to 10 years	16	13.7
11 to 15 years	31	26.5
16 to 20 years	25	21.4
More than 20 years	34	29.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	23	19.7
Criminal	22	18.8
Mixed criminal & civil	47	40.2
Civil	21	17.9
Other	4	3.4
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	7	6.0
Second District	1	0.9
Third District	100	85.5
Fourth District	8	6.8
Outside Alaska	1	0.9
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	72	61.5
Female	45	38.5
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 14
Ronald Dupuis
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	117	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	104	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	80	4.1	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	24	3.7	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	38	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	41	3.8	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8
Limited amount of experience	25	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	7	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.9
Other personal contacts	6	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	4.6	4.7	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	3.1	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	19	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.8	4.1
Government	46	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.3
Retired	3	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
6 to 10 years	15	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.2
11 to 15 years	26	3.9	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	22	3.8	4.3	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8
More than 20 years	32	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	22	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6
Criminal	22	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	43	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.9
Civil	14	3.8	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.1
Other	3	3.3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	87	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.0
Fourth District	8	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	64	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
Female	40	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 15
Lindsay Ingaldson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	105	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	92	87.6
Professional reputation	9	8.6
Other personal contacts	4	3.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	86	93.5
Substantial amount of experience	28	30.4
Moderate amount of experience	40	43.5
Limited amount of experience	24	26.1
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	9	8.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	8.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	22	21.0
Government	50	47.6
Public service agency or organization	6	5.7
Retired	5	4.8
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.0
5 years or fewer	16	15.2
6 to 10 years	18	17.1
11 to 15 years	16	15.2
16 to 20 years	12	11.4
More than 20 years	42	40.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	22	21.0
Criminal	23	21.9
Mixed criminal & civil	37	35.2
Civil	20	19.0
Other	3	2.9
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
First District	4	3.8
Second District	2	1.9
Third District	85	81.0
Fourth District	13	12.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	63	60.0
Female	42	40.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 16
Lindsay Ingaldson
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	105	3.5	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	92	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3
Experience within last 5 years	86	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	6	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.2
Substantial amount of experience	28	3.1	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.3
Moderate amount of experience	40	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.4
Limited amount of experience	24	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.2
Professional reputation	9	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.3
Other personal contacts	4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	5	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	2.6	3.3	3.1	3.5	2.3	2.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.5	2.8	3.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.7
Government	46	3.1	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0
Public service agency or organization	6	3.5	3.7	3.2	3.8	3.2	3.5
Retired	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	2.8	3.6	3.2	3.3	3.1	2.9
6 to 10 years	17	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.0	2.8	2.8
11 to 15 years	16	3.1	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.3
16 to 20 years	12	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.3
More than 20 years	34	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.4	3.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	21	3.7	4.4	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.7
Criminal	23	2.8	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.7
Mixed criminal & civil	35	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.3
Civil	12	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.6
Other	1	1.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	2	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
Third District	74	3.3	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.2
Fourth District	13	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	54	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.4
Female	38	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 17
Julia Metzger
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	164	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	134	81.7
Professional reputation	22	13.4
Other personal contacts	8	4.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	82.1
Substantial amount of experience	56	41.8
Moderate amount of experience	47	35.1
Limited amount of experience	31	23.1
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	12	7.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	7.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	11.0
Private, corporate employee	2	1.2
Judge or judicial officer	30	18.3
Government	75	45.7
Public service agency or organization	6	3.7
Retired	6	3.7
Other	3	1.8
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.2
5 years or fewer	18	11.0
6 to 10 years	22	13.4
11 to 15 years	45	27.4
16 to 20 years	24	14.6
More than 20 years	53	32.3
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	19	11.6
Criminal	34	20.7
Mixed criminal & civil	63	38.4
Civil	45	27.4
Other	3	1.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
First District	4	2.4
Second District	5	3.0
Third District	127	77.4
Fourth District	25	15.2
Outside Alaska	2	1.2
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	81	49.4
Female	82	50.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 18
Julia Metzger
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	164	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	134	4.4	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	110	4.4	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	24	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.0	4.4	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	56	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	47	4.4	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.1	4.1
Limited amount of experience	31	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	22	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	9	4.3	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.7	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.3	4.0	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.4	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.1	4.1
Government	67	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.3
Public service agency or organization	4	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8
Retired	4	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	2	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.5	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	17	4.4	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0
6 to 10 years	17	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.1
11 to 15 years	38	4.4	4.4	3.9	3.6	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	22	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	40	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	18	4.2	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7
Criminal	29	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	56	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3
Civil	29	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.5	4.0	4.0
Other	2	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5
Second District	5	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8
Third District	98	4.4	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	25	4.6	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.1
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Gender*							
Male	72	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.7	4.1	4.1
Female	62	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 19
Ben Muse
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	139	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	110	79.1
Professional reputation	20	14.4
Other personal contacts	9	6.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	85	77.3
Substantial amount of experience	46	41.8
Moderate amount of experience	48	43.6
Limited amount of experience	16	14.5
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	12	8.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	10.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	20	14.4
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	16	11.5
Government	62	44.6
Public service agency or organization	8	5.8
Retired	4	2.9
Other	2	1.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	13	9.4
6 to 10 years	20	14.4
11 to 15 years	37	26.6
16 to 20 years	23	16.5
More than 20 years	46	33.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	13	9.4
Criminal	39	28.1
Mixed criminal & civil	45	32.4
Civil	39	28.1
Other	3	2.2
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	9	6.5
Second District	4	2.9
Third District	112	80.6
Fourth District	11	7.9
Outside Alaska	3	2.2
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	76	54.7
Female	62	44.6
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 20
Ben Muse
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	139	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	110	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	85	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	25	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	46	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	48	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	16	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	20	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	9	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5
Government	51	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Public service agency or organization	7	5.0	4.6	4.9	4.7	5.0	4.9
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
6 to 10 years	17	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	30	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	21	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
More than 20 years	35	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	12	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0
Criminal	33	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Civil	22	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Second District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Third District	89	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	11	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	63	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Female	47	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 21
Eric Salinger
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	77	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	69	89.6
Professional reputation	4	5.2
Other personal contacts	4	5.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	45	65.2
Substantial amount of experience	28	40.6
Moderate amount of experience	25	36.2
Limited amount of experience	16	23.2
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	4	5.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	9.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	13.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	5	6.5
Government	35	45.5
Public service agency or organization	11	14.3
Retired	4	5.2
Other	1	1.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.3
5 years or fewer	5	6.5
6 to 10 years	11	14.3
11 to 15 years	25	32.5
16 to 20 years	18	23.4
More than 20 years	17	22.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	3	3.9
Criminal	16	20.8
Mixed criminal & civil	19	24.7
Civil	35	45.5
Other	4	5.2
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.3
First District	6	7.8
Second District	2	2.6
Third District	58	75.3
Fourth District	8	10.4
Outside Alaska	2	2.6
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	36	46.8
Female	40	51.9
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 22
Eric Salinger
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	77	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	69	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	45	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	24	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	28	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	25	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6
Limited amount of experience	16	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	4	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5
Other personal contacts	4	4.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8
Government	32	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0
Public service agency or organization	10	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Retired	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.8
6 to 10 years	10	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	22	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	18	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
More than 20 years	14	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
Criminal	14	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	18	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.2
Civil	30	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
Other	4	3.5	4.8	4.5	3.3	3.8	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	4.2	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.7
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	50	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1
Fourth District	8	3.6	4.5	4.3	3.9	3.8	3.8
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Gender*							
Male	33	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1
Female	35	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 23
Erin White
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	100	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	93	93.0
Professional reputation	4	4.0
Other personal contacts	3	3.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	75	80.6
Substantial amount of experience	48	51.6
Moderate amount of experience	34	36.6
Limited amount of experience	11	11.8
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	6	6.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	6.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	7.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	16.0
Government	55	55.0
Public service agency or organization	3	3.0
Retired	6	6.0
Other	1	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.0
5 years or fewer	16	16.0
6 to 10 years	15	15.0
11 to 15 years	12	12.0
16 to 20 years	14	14.0
More than 20 years	42	42.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	21	21.0
Criminal	30	30.0
Mixed criminal & civil	34	34.0
Civil	14	14.0
Other	1	1.0
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	3	3.0
Second District	2	2.0
Third District	91	91.0
Fourth District	3	3.0
Outside Alaska	1	1.0
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	50	50.0
Female	50	50.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 24
Erin White
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	100	3.0	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	93	3.0	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.1
Experience within last 5 years	75	2.9	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.0
Experience not within last 5 years	18	3.3	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.4
Substantial amount of experience	48	2.9	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.3	2.9
Moderate amount of experience	34	3.1	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.4
Limited amount of experience	11	2.8	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.9	2.9
Professional reputation	4	3.0	3.5	2.8	2.5	3.3	3.0
Other personal contacts	3	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	3.0	1.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	6	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	2.2	3.5	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	2.6	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.2
Government	53	2.9	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.1
Public service agency or organization	2	2.5	3.5	3.0	4.0	4.5	3.0
Retired	6	4.0	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.0
Other	1	1.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	3.3	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.1	3.1
6 to 10 years	13	1.7	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.6	1.9
11 to 15 years	11	2.9	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.7	3.4
16 to 20 years	12	2.9	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.5	2.8
More than 20 years	41	3.3	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	20	3.5	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.6
Criminal	30	2.5	3.3	3.2	3.3	2.9	2.6
Mixed criminal & civil	31	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.2
Civil	11	3.3	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.1
Other	1	1.0	3.0	1.0	5.0	3.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0
Second District	2	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.0
Third District	85	3.1	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.2
Fourth District	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Gender*							
Male	47	2.9	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.0
Female	46	3.0	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 25
Matt Widmer
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	143	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	116	81.1
Professional reputation	17	11.9
Other personal contacts	10	7.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	86	74.1
Substantial amount of experience	37	31.9
Moderate amount of experience	58	50.0
Limited amount of experience	21	18.1
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
Private, solo	16	11.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	9.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	30	21.0
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	25	17.5
Government	44	30.8
Public service agency or organization	7	4.9
Retired	4	2.8
Other	1	0.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	3	2.1
5 years or fewer	7	4.9
6 to 10 years	18	12.6
11 to 15 years	31	21.7
16 to 20 years	28	19.6
More than 20 years	56	39.2
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	10	7.0
Criminal	29	20.3
Mixed criminal & civil	48	33.6
Civil	48	33.6
Other	7	4.9
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
First District	4	2.8
Second District	3	2.1
Third District	122	85.3
Fourth District	8	5.6
Outside Alaska	5	3.5
Gender		
No response	2	1.4
Male	80	55.9
Female	61	42.7
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 26
Matt Widmer
Detailed Responses

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	143	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	116	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	86	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	30	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	37	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	58	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	21	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Professional reputation	17	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.8
Other personal contacts	10	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	23	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Government	32	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	6	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Retired	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.3	5.0
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	11	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	29	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7
16 to 20 years	24	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6
More than 20 years	47	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2
Criminal	21	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	42	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Civil	38	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	6	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Second District	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0
Third District	97	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	8	4.9	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.9
Outside Alaska	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	66	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Female	49	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.