



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Social Service Professionals

Technical Report

Ashley Schroeder, MPH
Ashley Hannigan, MA, MPH

May 18, 2026

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iseralaska.org

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Results.....	6
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges.....	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Experience	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	15
Table 11: Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti: Demographic Description of Respondents	16
Table 12: Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti: Detailed Responses.....	17
Table 13: Judge David L. Roghair: Demographic Description of Respondents	18
Table 14: Judge David L. Roghair: Detailed Responses	19
Table 15: Judge Laura Hartz: Demographic Description of Respondents	20
Table 16: Judge Laura Hartz: Detailed Responses	21
Table 17: Judge Yvonne Lamoureux: Demographic Description of Respondents	22
Table 18: Judge Yvonne Lamoureux: Detailed Responses	23
Table 19: Judge David A. Nesbett: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 20: Judge David A. Nesbett: Detailed Responses	25
Table 21: Judge Christina Rankin: Demographic Description of Respondents	26
Table 22: Judge Christina Rankin: Detailed Responses	27
Table 23: Judge Christina Reigh: Demographic Description of Respondents	28
Table 24: Judge Christina Reigh: Detailed Responses	29
Table 25: Judge Ian Wheelles: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	30
Table 26: Judge Ian Wheelles: Detailed Responses	31
Table 27: Judge Jonathan A. Woodman: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	32
Table 28: Judge Jonathan A. Woodman: Detailed Responses.....	33
Table 29: Judge Nathaniel Peters: Demographic Description of Respondents	34
Table 30: Judge Nathaniel Peters: Detailed Responses	35
Table 31: Judge Kirk Schwalm: Demographic Description of Respondents	36
Table 32: Judge Kirk Schwalm: Detailed Responses	37
Table 33: Judge Amy Welch: Demographic Description of Respondents	38
Table 34: Judge Amy Welch: Detailed Responses.....	39

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska social service professionals to obtain information about their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2026 survey included 22 judges eligible for retention. After the survey closed, Judge DiBenedetto resigned from his position; therefore, his results are not included here.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked social service professionals to evaluate the judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all five characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	10	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Judge David L. Roghair	13	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Judge Laura Hartz	19	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.4
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	16	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	11	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7
Judge Christina Rankin	10	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Judge Christina Reigh	11	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9
Judge Ian Wheelles	11	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.1	4.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	24	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Judge Nathaniel Peters	11	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.9	3.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	20	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Judge Amy Welch	17	3.2	3.8	3.3	3.7	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

2026 Judicial Retention Survey: Social Service Professionals

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska social service professionals and asked them to rate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of work, length of experience, community population, location of work, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the survey as well as data analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2026 retention survey for social service professionals included 22 judges eligible for retention. After the survey closed, Judge DiBenedetto resigned from his position; therefore, his results are not included here.

Methodology

State of Alaska social service professionals, specifically Office of Children's Services protective service specialists and managers (also known as social workers), guardians' ad litem, and court appointed special advocate (CASA) volunteers, were invited via email to participate in an online survey.

Of the 489 social service professionals invited via email to participate, 129 initiated an online survey for a return rate of 26.4%. Of the 129 returned surveys, 23 did not rate any judges; 106 (82.2%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		129	100	106	82.2
Type of Work					
	No response	-	-	-	-
	Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	92	71.3	79	74.5
	Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	14	10.9	14	13.2
	CASA Volunteer	2	1.6	2	1.9
	Tribal ICWA Worker	7	5.4	5	4.7
	Other	14	10.9	6	5.7
Length of Experience					
	No response	30	23.3	23	21.7
	5 years or fewer	48	37.2	39	36.8
	6 to 10 years	30	23.3	24	22.6
	11 to 15 years	9	7.0	9	8.5
	16 to 20 years	10	7.8	9	8.5
	More than 20 years	2	1.6	2	1.9
Community Population					
	No response	6	4.7	1	0.9
	Under 2,000	5	3.9	5	4.7
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	59	45.7	50	47.2
	Over 35,000	59	45.7	50	47.2
Location of Work					
	No response	5	3.9	-	-
	First District	17	13.2	15	14.2
	Second District	8	6.2	8	7.5
	Third District	70	54.3	56	52.8
	Fourth District	29	22.5	27	25.5
	Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-
Gender					
	No response	6	4.7	1	0.9
	Male	24	18.6	21	19.8
	Female	96	74.4	82	77.4
	Another identity	3	2.3	2	1.9

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, five evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge.

Respondents evaluated judges in five areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. All data are maintained on a secure server.

Each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, judges appear in order based on court/district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of work. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of experience. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' community population. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of work. Table 10 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-34 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Summary Tables

Table 3

Level of Experience with Judges

		% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
	<i>n</i>		Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	11	8.5	90.9	9.1	-
Judge David L. Roghair	14	10.9	92.9	7.1	-
Judge Laura Hartz	21	16.3	90.5	9.5	-
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	16	12.4	100.0	-	-
Judge David A. Nesbett	12	9.3	91.7	8.3	-
Judge Christina Rankin	11	8.5	90.9	9.1	-
Judge Christina Reigh	11	8.5	100.0	-	-
Judge Ian Wheelles	13	10.1	84.6	7.7	7.7
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	25	19.4	96.0	4.0	-
Judge Nathaniel Peters	11	8.5	100.0	-	-
Judge Kirk Schwalm	21	16.3	95.2	4.8	-
Judge Amy Welch	19	14.7	89.5	10.5	-

Note: Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	11	3.6	4.0	1.4	10	3.5	3.5	1.4
Judge David L. Roghair	14	4.3	4.0	0.8	13	4.3	4.0	0.9
Judge Laura Hartz	19	4.4	5.0	0.8	17	4.4	5.0	0.9
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	16	4.2	4.0	0.9	16	4.2	4.0	0.9
Judge David A. Nesbett	12	4.6	5.0	0.7	11	4.7	5.0	0.5
Judge Christina Rankin	11	4.7	5.0	0.5	10	4.8	5.0	0.4
Judge Christina Reigh	11	4.9	5.0	0.3	11	4.9	5.0	0.3
Judge Ian Wheelles	12	3.9	4.5	1.3	10	4.2	5.0	1.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	24	4.5	5.0	0.8	23	4.4	5.0	0.8
Judge Nathaniel Peters	11	3.4	4.0	1.4	11	3.4	4.0	1.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	21	4.2	4.0	0.9	20	4.3	4.5	0.9
Judge Amy Welch	19	3.5	4.0	1.3	17	3.6	4.0	1.3

Note: Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

		Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	10	-	-	4	40.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	4	40.0
Judge David L. Roghair	13	-	-	1	7.7	-	-	6	46.2	6	46.2
Judge Laura Hartz	17	-	-	-	-	4	23.5	3	17.6	10	58.8
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	15	-	-	-	-	4	26.7	4	26.7	7	46.7
Judge David A. Nesbett	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	27.3	8	72.7
Judge Christina Rankin	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	20.0	8	80.0
Judge Christina Reigh	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	9.1	10	90.9
Judge Ian Wheelles	10	-	-	2	20.0	-	-	2	20.0	6	60.0
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	23	-	-	-	-	4	17.4	5	21.7	14	60.9
Judge Nathaniel Peters	11	1	9.1	3	27.3	1	9.1	3	27.3	3	27.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	20	-	-	1	5.0	3	15.0	6	30.0	10	50.0
Judge Amy Welch	17	1	5.9	4	23.5	1	5.9	6	35.3	5	29.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)		Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)		CASA Volunteer		Tribal ICWA Worker		Other		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	7	3.4	1	5.0	1	4.0	-	-	1	2.0	3.5
Judge David L. Roghair	10	4.2	2	5.0	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	4.3
Judge Laura Hartz	12	4.3	3	4.7	-	-	1	3.0	1	5.0	4.4
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	11	4.2	2	5.0	-	-	2	3.5	-	-	4.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	10	4.7	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	4.7
Judge Christina Rankin	8	4.8	2	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.8
Judge Christina Reigh	8	4.9	3	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.9
Judge Ian Wheelles	9	4.1	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	17	4.4	3	4.7	1	5.0	2	4.0	-	-	4.4
Judge Nathaniel Peters	10	3.2	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	16	4.1	4	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3
Judge Amy Welch	13	3.5	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Experience

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	4	4.5	1	2.0	1	5.0	2	2.0	-	-	3.5
Judge David L. Roghair	7	3.9	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	4.3
Judge Laura Hartz	7	4.1	7	4.6	1	5.0	1	4.0	-	-	4.4
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	7	4.1	6	4.3	1	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	6	4.7	3	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7
Judge Christina Rankin	4	4.8	4	5.0	1	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.8
Judge Christina Reigh	2	5.0	4	4.8	2	5.0	-	-	1	5.0	4.9
Judge Ian Wheelles	5	4.8	5	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	7	4.4	7	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.0	-	-	4.4
Judge Nathaniel Peters	1	4.0	5	3.2	1	5.0	1	2.0	-	-	3.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	9	4.3	2	2.5	1	3.0	-	-	-	-	4.3
Judge Amy Welch	9	3.9	2	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	1	2.0	9	3.7	-	-	3.5
Judge David L. Roghair	-	-	10	4.5	3	3.7	4.3
Judge Laura Hartz	-	-	1	5.0	15	4.4	4.4
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	-	-	1	5.0	13	4.2	4.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	-	-	1	5.0	9	4.8	4.7
Judge Christina Rankin	-	-	2	5.0	8	4.8	4.8
Judge Christina Reigh	2	5.0	4	5.0	5	4.8	4.9
Judge Ian Wheelles	1	5.0	1	4.0	8	4.1	4.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	1	5.0	8	4.9	14	4.1	4.4
Judge Nathaniel Peters	2	2.5	9	3.6	-	-	3.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	-	-	10	4.6	10	3.9	4.3
Judge Amy Welch	-	-	9	3.7	8	3.5	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	10	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5
Judge David L. Roghair	-	-	7	4.6	2	3.5	4	4.3	-	-	4.3
Judge Laura Hartz	-	-	-	-	16	4.3	1	5.0	-	-	4.4
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	-	-	-	-	14	4.1	1	5.0	-	-	4.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	-	-	-	-	10	4.7	1	5.0	-	-	4.7
Judge Christina Rankin	-	-	-	-	9	4.8	1	5.0	-	-	4.8
Judge Christina Reigh	-	-	-	-	11	4.9	-	-	-	-	4.9
Judge Ian Wheelles	-	-	-	-	8	4.1	2	4.5	-	-	4.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	-	-	-	-	23	4.4	-	-	-	-	4.4
Judge Nathaniel Peters	1	2.0	-	-	2	3.0	8	3.6	-	-	3.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	1	5.0	1	5.0	-	-	18	4.2	-	-	4.3
Judge Amy Welch	1	5.0	1	3.0	-	-	15	3.5	-	-	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	4	4.3	6	3.0	-	-	3.5
Judge David L. Roghair	4	4.0	9	4.4	-	-	4.3
Judge Laura Hartz	3	3.7	13	4.5	-	-	4.4
Judge Yvone Lamoureux	2	4.0	12	4.2	-	-	4.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	2	4.5	8	4.8	-	-	4.7
Judge Christina Rankin	1	4.0	8	4.9	-	-	4.8
Judge Christina Reigh	2	5.0	8	4.9	+	+	4.9
Judge Ian Wheeles	2	4.5	7	4.0	-	-	4.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	5	4.0	16	4.5	+	+	4.4
Judge Nathaniel Peters	2	5.0	9	3.0	-	-	3.4
Judge Kirk Schwalm	2	4.5	17	4.2	+	+	4.3
Judge Amy Welch	2	3.5	14	3.5	+	+	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.
+Too few respondents to report.

Individual Tables

Table 11

Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti

Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	11	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	90.9
Professional reputation	1	9.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	10	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	30.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	50.0
Limited amount of experience	2	20.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	8	72.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	9.1
CASA Volunteer	1	9.1
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	1	9.1
Length of Experience		
No response	2	18.2
5 years or fewer	5	45.5
6 to 10 years	1	9.1
11 to 15 years	1	9.1
16 to 20 years	2	18.2
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	9.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	90.9
Over 35,000	-	-
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	10	90.9
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	9.1
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	4	36.4
Female	7	63.6
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	11	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	10	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	3.3	3.7	3.0	3.0	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Limited amount of experience	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	7	3.4	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.4
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	1	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	2	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.7
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Work*						
First District	10	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	4	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.3
Female	6	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Judge David L. Roghair
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	14	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	13	92.9
Professional reputation	1	7.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	13	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	30.8
Moderate amount of experience	4	30.8
Limited amount of experience	5	38.5
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	11	78.6
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	14.3
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	1	7.1
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	4	28.6
5 years or fewer	7	50.0
6 to 10 years	1	7.1
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	1	7.1
More than 20 years	1	7.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	71.4
Over 35,000	4	28.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	7	50.0
Third District	2	14.3
Fourth District	5	35.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	4	28.6
Female	10	71.4
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Judge David L. Roghair
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	13	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	13	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.8
Limited amount of experience	5	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	7	3.7	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.9
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.5
Over 35,000	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	7	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.6
Third District	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Fourth District	4	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	4	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0
Female	9	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Judge Laura Hartz
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	21	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	19	90.5
Professional reputation	2	9.5
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	26.3
Moderate amount of experience	10	52.6
Limited amount of experience	4	21.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	14	66.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	19.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	2	9.5
Other	1	4.8
Length of Experience		
No response	3	14.3
5 years or fewer	8	38.1
6 to 10 years	8	38.1
11 to 15 years	1	4.8
16 to 20 years	1	4.8
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	1	4.8
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	9.5
Over 35,000	18	85.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	4.8
Third District	19	90.5
Fourth District	1	4.8
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	4.8
Male	4	19.0
Female	16	76.2
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Judge Laura Hartz
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	19	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.8	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	10	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.3
Limited amount of experience	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	13	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.1
6 to 10 years	7	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.6
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	17	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.4
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	18	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.3
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.7	3.7
Female	14	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Judge Yvonne Lamoureux
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	16	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	93.8
Substantial amount of experience	3	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	66.7
Limited amount of experience	2	13.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	12	75.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	12.5
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	2	12.5
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	1	6.3
5 years or fewer	8	50.0
6 to 10 years	6	37.5
11 to 15 years	1	6.3
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	1	6.3
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	6.3
Over 35,000	14	87.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	15	93.8
Fourth District	1	6.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	6.3
Male	2	12.5
Female	13	81.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Judge Yvonne Lamoureux
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	16	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	15	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.7	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	4.0	4.1	3.7	4.4	4.1
Limited amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	12	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.2
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	2	3.5	3.5	3.0	4.5	3.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.6	4.1
6 to 10 years	6	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	14	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.6	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	15	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.5	4.1
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.5	4.0
Female	13	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.5	4.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Judge David A. Nesbett
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	12	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	11	91.7
Professional reputation	1	8.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	45.5
Moderate amount of experience	3	27.3
Limited amount of experience	3	27.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	11	91.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	1	8.3
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	2	16.7
5 years or fewer	6	50.0
6 to 10 years	4	33.3
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	1	8.3
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	8.3
Over 35,000	10	83.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	11	91.7
Fourth District	1	8.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	8.3
Male	2	16.7
Female	9	75.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Judge David A. Nesbett
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	12	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	11	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	11	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.6	4.6	5.0	4.8	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Limited amount of experience	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	6	4.5	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.7
6 to 10 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	9	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	4.6	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.7
Fourth District	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Female	8	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Judge Christina Rankin
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	11	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	90.9
Professional reputation	1	9.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	10	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	50.0
Limited amount of experience	3	30.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	9	81.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	18.2
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	1	9.1
5 years or fewer	4	36.4
6 to 10 years	5	45.5
11 to 15 years	1	9.1
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	18.2
Over 35,000	9	81.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	10	90.9
Fourth District	1	9.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	9.1
Male	1	9.1
Female	9	81.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Judge Christina Rankin
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	11	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	10	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	4	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Female	8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
Judge Christina Reigh
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	11	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	11	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	45.5
Moderate amount of experience	3	27.3
Limited amount of experience	3	27.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	8	72.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	27.3
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	2	18.2
5 years or fewer	2	18.2
6 to 10 years	4	36.4
11 to 15 years	2	18.2
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	1	9.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	18.2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	36.4
Over 35,000	5	45.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	11	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	18.2
Female	8	72.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 24
Judge Christina Reigh
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	11	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	11	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9
Experience within last 5 years	11	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7
Limited amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.9
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	4	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.8
11 to 15 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	11	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Female	8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.9
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 25
Judge Ian Wheelles
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	13	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	11	84.6
Professional reputation	1	7.7
Other personal contacts	1	7.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	18.2
Moderate amount of experience	5	45.5
Limited amount of experience	4	36.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	12	92.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	7.7
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	1	7.7
5 years or fewer	6	46.2
6 to 10 years	6	46.2
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	7.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	7.7
Over 35,000	11	84.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	11	84.6
Fourth District	2	15.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	7.7
Male	2	15.4
Female	10	76.9
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Judge Ian Wheelles
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	13	4.1	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	11	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	11	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	4.0	4.5	3.5	3.0	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	4	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.1
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	5	4.0	4.2	3.4	3.4	3.6
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	9	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.1
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.1
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Female	8	4.3	4.4	3.9	3.9	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	25	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	24	96.0
Professional reputation	1	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	24	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	12	50.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	41.7
Limited amount of experience	2	8.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	19	76.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	12.0
CASA Volunteer	1	4.0
Tribal ICWA Worker	2	8.0
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	3	12.0
5 years or fewer	9	36.0
6 to 10 years	7	28.0
11 to 15 years	3	12.0
16 to 20 years	3	12.0
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	40.0
Over 35,000	14	56.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	25	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	4.0
Male	6	24.0
Female	17	68.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 28
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	25	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	24	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	24	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	12	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.2	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	10	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	18	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.4
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Tribal ICWA Worker	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	7	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.0	4.3
11 to 15 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	3	3.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	4.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9
Over 35,000	14	4.1	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.1
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	24	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	5	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.0
Female	17	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 29
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	11	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	11	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	6	54.5
Moderate amount of experience	4	36.4
Limited amount of experience	1	9.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	90.9
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	9.1
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	3	27.3
5 years or fewer	1	9.1
6 to 10 years	5	45.5
11 to 15 years	1	9.1
16 to 20 years	1	9.1
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	18.2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	81.8
Over 35,000	-	-
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	9.1
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	18.2
Fourth District	8	72.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	18.2
Female	9	81.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	11	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.9	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	11	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.9	3.4
Experience within last 5 years	11	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.9	3.4
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	3.2	3.0	2.3	3.5	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5
Limited amount of experience	1	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	3.2	3.3	2.8	3.8	3.2
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	3.6	3.4	3.2	4.0	3.2
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	2.5	3.0	2.0	3.5	2.5
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	3.6	3.6	3.2	4.0	3.6
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Work*						
First District	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	3.5	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0
Fourth District	8	3.5	3.6	3.1	4.1	3.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Female	9	3.1	3.1	2.7	3.7	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Judge Kirk Schwalm
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	21	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	20	95.2
Professional reputation	1	4.8
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	20	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	35.0
Moderate amount of experience	9	45.0
Limited amount of experience	4	20.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	17	81.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	19.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	8	38.1
5 years or fewer	9	42.9
6 to 10 years	2	9.5
11 to 15 years	1	4.8
16 to 20 years	1	4.8
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	47.6
Over 35,000	11	52.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	4.8
Second District	1	4.8
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	19	90.5
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	9.5
Female	18	85.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 32
Judge Kirk Schwalm
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	20	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	20	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.4	5.0	4.7	4.6	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	9	3.6	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.7
Limited amount of experience	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8
Professional reputation	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	16	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	9	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
6 to 10 years	2	2.0	3.0	2.5	4.0	2.5
11 to 15 years	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6
Over 35,000	10	3.5	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.9
Location of Work*						
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	18	3.9	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5
Female	17	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 33
Judge Amy Welch
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	19	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	17	89.5
Professional reputation	2	10.5
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	17	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	29.4
Moderate amount of experience	9	52.9
Limited amount of experience	3	17.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	15	78.9
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	21.1
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	6	31.6
5 years or fewer	9	47.4
6 to 10 years	2	10.5
11 to 15 years	1	5.3
16 to 20 years	1	5.3
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	47.4
Over 35,000	10	52.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	5.3
Second District	1	5.3
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	17	89.5
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	10.5
Female	16	84.2
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 34
Judge Amy Welch
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	19	3.2	3.7	3.2	3.5	3.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	17	3.2	3.8	3.3	3.7	3.6
Experience within last 5 years	17	3.2	3.8	3.3	3.7	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	3.2	4.0	3.4	4.0	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	9	2.9	3.4	2.9	3.3	3.2
Limited amount of experience	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	2	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	13	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.5
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	3.3	4.3	3.3	4.3	3.8
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tribal ICWA Worker	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	9	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.9
6 to 10 years	2	2.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	3.4	4.0	3.4	3.8	3.7
Over 35,000	8	3.0	3.5	3.1	3.6	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	15	3.1	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Female	14	3.2	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.5
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.