



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Technical Report

Ashley Schroeder, MPH, Research Professional
Ashley Hannigan, MA, Research Professional

2024

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iseralaska.org

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology.....	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Results.....	5
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	8
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	9
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work	10
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer.....	11
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population	12
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work	13
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	14
Table 11: Kristian B. Pickrell: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	15
Table 12: Kristian B. Pickrell: Detailed Responses.....	16
Table 13: Rachel Ahrens: Demographic Description of Respondents	17
Table 14: Rachel Ahrens: Detailed Responses	18
Table 15: Bride Seifert: Demographic Description of Respondents	19
Table 16: Bride Seifert: Detailed Responses	20
Table 17: Herman G. Walker, Jr.: Demographic Description of Respondents	21
Table 18: Herman G. Walker, Jr.: Detailed Responses	22
Table 19: Adolf V. Zeman: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	23
Table 20: Adolf V. Zeman: Detailed Responses	24
Table 21: Leslie Dickson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	25
Table 22: Leslie Dickson: Detailed Responses.....	26
Table 23: Michael Franciosi: Demographic Description of Respondents	27
Table 24: Michael Franciosi: Detailed Responses.....	28
Table 25: J. Patrick Hanley: Demographic Description of Respondents	29
Table 26: J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Responses	30
Table 27: Michael B. Logue: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	31
Table 28: Michael B. Logue: Detailed Responses.....	32
Table 29: Kari L. McCrea: Demographic Description of Respondents	33
Table 30: Kari L. McCrea: Detailed Responses	34
Table 31: David R. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents	35
Table 32: David R. Wallace: Detailed Responses	36
Table 33: Pamela S. Washington: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	37
Table 34: Pamela S. Washington: Detailed Responses	38
Table 35: Patricia L. Haines: Demographic Description of Respondents	39
Table 36: Patricia L. Haines: Detailed Responses	40
Table 37: Maria P. Bahr: Demographic Description of Respondents	41
Table 38: Maria P. Bahr: Detailed Responses	42
Table 39: Matthew Christian: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	43
Table 40: Matthew Christian: Detailed Responses.....	44

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska peace and probation officers to obtain information about their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2024 survey included 17 trial court judges eligible for retention: (presented in alphabetical order): Judge Kristian B. Pickrell, Judge Rachel Ahrens, Judge Bride Seifert, Judge Herman G. Walker, Judge Adolf V. Zeman, Judge Amanda L. Browning, Judge Leslie Dickson, Judge Michael Franciosi, Judge J. Patrick Hanley, Judge Michael B. Logue, Judge Kari L. McCrea, Judge David R. Wallace, Judge Pamela S. Washington, Judge Patricia L. Haines, Judge Maria P. Bahr, Judge Matthew Christian, and Judge William T. Montgomery. During the creation of this report, Judges Amanda Browning and William T. Montgomery were appointed to new judgeships and no longer qualify to stand for retention; therefore, their individual results will not be included.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked peace and probation officers to evaluate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all five characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Kristian B. Pickrell	21	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Rachel Ahrens	9	2.8	3.1	3.2	1.9	1.9
Bride Seifert	7	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.6
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	9	4.2	4.7	4.0	4.4	4.3
Adolf V. Zeman	4	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0
Leslie Dickson	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Michael Franciosi	28	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
J. Patrick Hanley	20	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Michael B. Logue	19	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Kari L. McCrea	19	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
David R. Wallace	27	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Pamela S. Washington	18	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.8
Patricia L. Haines	16	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1
Maria P. Bahr	18	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.2
Matthew Christian	19	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

2024 Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska peace and probation officers and asked them to rate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of work, length of time as officer, community population, location of work, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the survey as well as data analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2024 retention survey for peace and probation officers included 17 trial court judges eligible for retention: (presented in alphabetical order): Judge Kristian B. Pickrell, Judge Rachel Ahrens, Judge Bride Seifert, Judge Herman G. Walker, Judge Adolf V. Zeman, Judge Amanda L. Browning, Judge Leslie Dickson, Judge Michael Franciosi, Judge J. Patrick Hanley, Judge Michael B. Logue, Judge Kari L. McCrea, Judge David R. Wallace, Judge Pamela S. Washington, Judge Patricia L. Haines, Judge Maria P. Bahr, Judge Matthew Christian, and Judge William T. Montgomery. During the creation of this report, Judges Amanda Browning and William T. Montgomery were appointed to new judgeships and no longer qualify to stand for retention; therefore, their individual results will not be included.

Methodology

State of Alaska peace and probation officers, including state troopers, airport police and fire officers, village public safety officers, and adult and juvenile probation officers, were invited via email to participate in an online survey.

Of the 1,612 officers invited via email to participate, 234 initiated an online survey for a return rate of 14.5%. Of the 234 initiated surveys, 113 did not rate any judges; 121 (51.7%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		234	100	121	51.7
Type of Work					
	No response	-	-	-	-
	State law enforcement officer (LEO)	100	42.7	49	40.5
	Municipal/Borough LEO	92	39.3	48	39.7
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	4	1.7	2	1.7
	Probation/parole officer	32	13.7	19	15.7
	Other	6	2.6	3	2.5
Length of Time as Officer					
	No response	2	0.9	-	-
	5 years or fewer	66	28.2	29	24.0
	6 to 10 years	44	18.8	21	17.4
	11 to 15 years	28	12.0	15	12.4
	16 to 20 years	48	20.5	31	25.6
	More than 20 years	46	19.7	25	20.7
Community Population					
	No response	4	1.7	-	-
	Under 2,000	24	10.3	12	9.9
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	112	47.9	55	45.5
	Over 35,000	94	40.2	54	44.6
Location of Work					
	No response	3	1.3	-	-
	First District	49	20.9	19	15.7
	Second District	15	6.4	1	0.8
	Third District	122	52.1	70	57.9
	Fourth District	45	19.2	31	25.6
Gender					
	No response	4	1.7	1	0.8
	Male	190	81.2	93	76.9
	Female	37	15.8	24	19.8
	Another identity	3	1.3	3	2.5

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, five evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge.

Respondents evaluated judges in five areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. All data are maintained on a secure server.

Each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents’ level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, judges appear in order based on district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of work. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of time as an Alaskan officer. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by the population of the community in which respondents work. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of work. Table 10 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-40 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Level of Experience with Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Kristian B. Pickrell	21	9.0	100.0	-	-
Rachel Ahrens	10	4.3	90.0	10.0	-
Bride Seifert	7	3.0	100.0	-	-
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	10	4.3	90.0	10.0	-
Adolf V. Zeman	5	2.1	80.0	20.0	-
Leslie Dickson	23	9.8	87.0	8.7	4.3
Michael Franciosi	32	13.7	87.5	6.3	6.3
J. Patrick Hanley	24	10.3	83.3	12.5	4.2
Michael B. Logue	24	10.3	79.2	16.7	4.2
Kari L. McCrea	23	9.8	82.6	13.0	4.3
David R. Wallace	33	14.1	81.8	15.2	3.0
Pamela S. Washington	23	9.8	78.3	21.7	-
Patricia L. Haines	17	7.3	94.1	5.9	-
Maria P. Bahr	21	9.0	85.7	14.3	-
Matthew Christian	22	9.4	86.4	13.6	-

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Kristian B. Pickrell	21	4.1	4.0	1.0	21	4.1	4.0	1.0
Rachel Ahrens	10	1.9	1.5	1.3	9	1.9	1.0	1.4
Bride Seifert	7	4.6	5.0	0.5	7	4.6	5.0	0.5
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	10	4.4	5.0	1.0	9	4.3	5.0	1.0
Adolf V. Zeman	5	3.8	3.0	1.1	4	4.0	4.0	1.2
Leslie Dickson	22	4.4	5.0	0.9	19	4.6	5.0	0.7
Michael Franciosi	31	4.7	5.0	0.6	27	4.8	5.0	0.6
J. Patrick Hanley	24	4.4	5.0	0.8	20	4.5	5.0	0.8
Michael B. Logue	23	4.1	4.0	1.0	19	4.3	5.0	0.9
Kari L. McCrea	22	4.0	4.5	1.3	18	4.1	5.0	1.3
David R. Wallace	32	4.7	5.0	0.6	26	4.9	5.0	0.4
Pamela S. Washington	22	3.8	4.0	1.3	17	3.8	4.0	1.3
Patricia L. Haines	15	3.3	3.0	1.6	14	3.1	2.5	1.6
Maria P. Bahr	21	3.1	3.0	1.6	18	3.2	3.0	1.5
Matthew Christian	22	4.5	5.0	0.8	19	4.4	5.0	0.8

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Kristian B. Pickrell	21	-	-	2	9.5	3	14.3	6	28.6	10	47.6
Rachel Ahrens	9	5	55.6	2	22.2	1	11.1	-	-	1	11.1
Bride Seifert	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	42.9	4	57.1
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	9	-	-	-	-	3	33.3	-	-	6	66.7
Adolf V. Zeman	4	-	-	-	-	2	50.0	-	-	2	50.0
Leslie Dickson	19	-	-	-	-	2	10.5	3	15.8	14	73.7
Michael Franciosi	27	-	-	-	-	2	7.4	1	3.7	24	88.9
J. Patrick Hanley	20	-	-	-	-	3	15.0	4	20.0	13	65.0
Michael B. Logue	19	-	-	1	5.3	3	15.8	5	36.3	10	52.6
Kari L. McCrea	18	1	5.6	2	11.1	1					
David R. Wallace	26	-	-	-	-	1	3.8	1	3.8	24	92.3
Pamela S. Washington	17	1	5.9	2	11.8	3	17.6	4	23.5	7	41.2
Patricia L. Haines	14	2	14.3	5	35.7	1	7.1	1	7.1	5	35.7
Maria P. Bahr	18	4	22.2	2	11.1	4	22.2	3	16.7	5	27.8
Matthew Christian	19	-	-	1	5.3	1	5.3	6	31.6	11	57.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	State law enforcement officer		Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		Probation/Parole officer		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Kristian B. Pickrell	6	4.8	11	3.7	-	-	4	4.3	-	-	4.1
Rachel Ahrens	3	2.7	5	1.2	-	-	1	3.0	-	-	1.9
Bride Seifert	3	4.7	2	4.5	1	4.0	1	5.0			4.6
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	4	4.5	1	5.0	-	-	4	4.0	-	-	4.3
Adolf V. Zeman	3	3.7	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	4.0
Leslie Dickson	9	4.4	9	4.8	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	4.6
Michael Franciosi	12	4.8	14	5.0	-	-	1	3.0	-	-	4.8
J. Patrick Hanley	8	4.3	11	4.8	-	-	1	3.0	-	-	4.5
Michael B. Logue	7	3.9	11	4.6	-	-	1	3.0	-	-	4.3
Kari L. McCrea	9	4.0	8	4.4	-	-	1	3.0	-	-	4.1
David R. Wallace	12	4.8	13	4.9	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	4.9
Pamela S. Washington	6	2.7	9	4.6	-	-	2	4.0	-	-	3.8
Patricia L. Haines	9	2.8	1	5.0	-	-	4	3.5	-	-	3.1
Maria P. Bahr	11	3.2	6	3.0	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	3.2
Matthew Christian	10	4.5	6	4.2	1	5.0	2	4.5	-	-	4.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Kristian B. Pickrell	7	3.7	3	5.0	1	4.0	5	4.4	5	4.0	4.1
Rachel Ahrens	2	2.0	1	1.0	1	2.0	2	3.0	3	1.3	1.9
Bride Seifert	4	4.5	-	-	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	4.6
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	-	-	1	3.0	4	4.5	2	4.0	2	5.0	4.3
Adolf V. Zeman	-	-	1	5.0	2	4.0	1	3.0	-	-	4.0
Leslie Dickson	-	-	1	5.0	4	4.0	7	4.7	7	4.9	4.6
Michael Franciosi	1	5.0	2	4.5	7	4.7	10	4.8	7	5.0	4.8
J. Patrick Hanley	-	-	-	-	6	4.3	7	4.6	7	4.6	4.5
Michael B. Logue	-	-	1	4.0	6	4.3	7	4.1	5	4.4	4.3
Kari L. McCrea	2	4.5	2	5.0	5	3.8	5	4.4	4	3.5	4.1
David R. Wallace	1	5.0	3	5.0	5	5.0	9	4.8	8	4.9	4.9
Pamela S. Washington	1	3.0	1	5.0	5	3.2	4	3.3	6	4.7	3.8
Patricia L. Haines	3	2.7	4	2.5	2	3.5	4	3.5	1	5.0	3.1
Maria P. Bahr	6	3.2	4	2.8	2	4.5	5	2.6	1	5.0	3.2
Matthew Christian	6	4.0	4	4.8	4	4.5	2	4.5	3	4.7	4.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Kristian B. Pickrell	3	3.3	16	4.3	2	4.5	4.1
Rachel Ahrens	-	-	8	1.5	1	5.0	1.9
Bride Seifert	1	4.0	5	4.6	1	5.0	4.6
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	-	-	1	5.0	8	4.3	4.3
Adolf V. Zeman	-	-	1	5.0	3	3.7	4.0
Leslie Dickson	-	-	1	4.0	18	4.7	4.6
Michael Franciosi	-	-	2	5.0	25	4.8	4.8
J. Patrick Hanley	-	-	1	4.0	19	4.5	4.5
Michael B. Logue	-	-	1	4.0	18	4.3	4.3
Kari L. McCrea	2	4.5	1	5.0	15	4.0	4.1
David R. Wallace	2	5.0	2	5.0	22	4.9	4.9
Pamela S. Washington	-	-	2	4.5	15	3.7	3.8
Patricia L. Haines	3	2.0	6	2.8	5	4.2	3.1
Maria P. Bahr	3	4.3	6	2.3	9	3.3	3.2
Matthew Christian	4	4.8	8	4.0	7	4.7	4.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Kristian B. Pickrell	19	4.1	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	4.1
Rachel Ahrens	-	-	-	-	9	1.9	-	-	1.9
Bride Seifert	-	-	-	-	7	4.6	-	-	4.6
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	-	-	-	-	9	4.3	-	-	4.3
Adolf V. Zeman	-	-	-	-	4	4.0	-	-	4.0
Leslie Dickson	-	-	-	-	19	4.6	-	-	4.6
Michael Franciosi	-	-	-	-	27	4.8	-	-	4.8
J. Patrick Hanley	-	-	-	-	20	4.5	-	-	4.5
Michael B. Logue	-	-	-	-	19	4.3	-	-	4.3
Kari L. McCrea	-	-	-	-	17	4.1	1	4.0	4.1
David R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	26	4.9	-	-	4.9
Pamela S. Washington	-	-	-	-	17	3.8	-	-	3.8
Patricia L. Haines	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	13	3.0	3.1
Maria P. Bahr	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	17	3.1	3.2
Matthew Christian	-	-	1	4.0	1	4.0	17	4.5	4.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Kristian B. Pickrell	18	4.2	3	3.7	-	-	4.1
Rachel Ahrens	8	1.8	1	3.0	-	-	1.9
Bride Seifert	5	4.6	2	4.5	-	-	4.6
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	6	4.3	3	4.3	-	-	4.3
Adolf V. Zeman	3	3.7	1	5.0	-	-	4.0
Leslie Dickson	15	4.5	3	5.0	+	+	4.6
Michael Franciosi	20	4.8	5	4.8	+	+	4.8
J. Patrick Hanley	15	4.3	4	5.0	+	+	4.5
Michael B. Logue	15	4.2	3	4.7	+	+	4.3
Kari L. McCrea	15	3.9	2	5.0	+	+	4.1
David R. Wallace	19	4.8	5	5.0	+	+	4.9
Pamela S. Washington	14	3.6	1	5.0	+	+	3.8
Patricia L. Haines	10	3.2	4	3.0	-	-	3.1
Maria P. Bahr	14	3.1	4	3.5	-	-	3.2
Matthew Christian	16	4.4	3	4.3	-	-	4.4

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
 +Too few respondents to report.

Table 11
Kristian B. Pickrell
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	21	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	21	100
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	21	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	14.3
Moderate amount of experience	12	57.1
Limited amount of experience	6	28.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	6	28.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	52.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	19.0
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	33.3
6 to 10 years	3	14.3
11 to 15 years	1	4.8
16 to 20 years	5	23.8
More than 20 years	5	23.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	14.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	16	76.2
Over 35,000	2	9.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	19	90.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	9.5
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	85.7
Female	3	14.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
Kristian B. Pickrell
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	21	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	21	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8
Limited amount of experience	6	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.3
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	6	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	3.8	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	7	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.7
6 to 10 years	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	5	4.0	4.6	3.8	4.4	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	3.0	4.3	3.7	4.0	3.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	16	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Over 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	19	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	18	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2
Female	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Rachel Ahrens
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	9	90.0
Professional reputation	1	10.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	9	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	55.6
Moderate amount of experience	2	22.2
Limited amount of experience	2	22.2
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	3	30.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	50.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	20.0
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	20.0
6 to 10 years	1	10.0
11 to 15 years	1	10.0
16 to 20 years	3	30.0
More than 20 years	3	30.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	80.0
Over 35,000	2	20.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	10	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	10.0
Male	8	80.0
Female	1	10.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Rachel Ahrens
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	10	2.7	3.0	3.2	1.9	1.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	9	2.8	3.1	3.2	1.9	1.9
Experience within last 5 years	9	2.8	3.1	3.2	1.9	1.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	2.6	2.8	3.2	1.4	1.4
Moderate amount of experience	2	2.0	3.0	2.5	1.0	1.0
Limited amount of experience	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	3	4.0	4.0	4.7	2.7	2.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	2.0	2.6	2.4	1.2	1.2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	2.5	2.5	3.5	2.0	2.0
6 to 10 years	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	2.0
16 to 20 years	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
More than 20 years	3	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.3	1.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	2.5	2.9	3.0	1.5	1.5
Over 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	2.8	3.1	3.2	1.9	1.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	8	2.8	3.1	3.3	1.8	1.8
Female	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Bride Seifert
Demographic Description of Respondents

		<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents		7	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	7	100.0
	Professional reputation	-	-
	Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	7	100.0
	Substantial amount of experience	-	-
	Moderate amount of experience	5	71.4
	Limited amount of experience	2	28.6
Type of Work			
	No response	-	-
	State law enforcement officer	3	42.9
	Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	28.6
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	14.3
	Probation/Parole officer	1	14.3
	Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer			
	No response	-	-
	5 years or fewer	4	57.1
	6 to 10 years	-	-
	11 to 15 years	1	14.3
	16 to 20 years	1	14.3
	More than 20 years	1	14.3
Community Population			
	No response	-	-
	Under 2,000	1	14.3
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	71.4
	Over 35,000	1	14.3
Location of Work			
	No response	-	-
	First District	-	-
	Second District	-	-
	Third District	7	100
	Fourth District	-	-
Gender			
	No response	-	-
	Male	5	71.4
	Female	2	28.6
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Bride Seifert
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	7	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	7	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	7	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.6
Limited amount of experience	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.5	5.0	4.8	4.3	4.5
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Over 35,000	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	7	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.6
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	5	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Female	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Herman G. Walker, Jr.
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	9	90.0
Professional reputation	1	10.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	8	88.9
Substantial amount of experience	5	55.6
Moderate amount of experience	1	11.1
Limited amount of experience	3	33.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	4	40.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	20.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	40.0
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	10.0
11 to 15 years	4	40.0
16 to 20 years	3	30.0
More than 20 years	2	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	10.0
Over 35,000	9	90.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	10	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	6	60.0
Female	4	40.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Herman G. Walker, Jr.
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	10	4.3	4.7	4.1	4.5	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	9	4.2	4.7	4.0	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	8	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.2	4.6	3.8	4.4	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Limited amount of experience	3	4.3	4.7	4.0	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	4.0	4.8	3.5	4.5	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	3.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	3.0
11 to 15 years	4	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.5
16 to 20 years	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0
More than 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	8	4.3	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	4.2	4.7	4.0	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	6	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.3
Female	3	4.3	5.0	3.7	5.0	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Adolf V. Zeman
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	5	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	4	80.0
Professional reputation	1	20.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	4	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	3	75.0
Limited amount of experience	1	25.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	3	60.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	20.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	20.0
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	20.0
11 to 15 years	2	40.0
16 to 20 years	2	40.0
More than 20 years	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	40.0
Over 35,000	3	60.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	5	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	4	80.0
Female	1	20.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Adolf V. Zeman
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	5	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	4	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	4	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	4	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7
Female	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Leslie Dickson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	23	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	20	87.0
Professional reputation	2	8.7
Other personal contacts	1	4.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	20	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	10.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	40.0
Limited amount of experience	10	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	39.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	13	56.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-
Other	1	4.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	2	8.7
11 to 15 years	4	17.4
16 to 20 years	8	34.8
More than 20 years	9	39.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.7
Over 35,000	21	91.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	23	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	19	82.6
Female	3	13.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 22
Leslie Dickson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	23	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.9
Limited amount of experience	10	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	2	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	4	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.9
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	19	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	16	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Female	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 23
Michael Franciosi
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	32	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	28	87.5
Professional reputation	2	6.3
Other personal contacts	2	6.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	26	96.3
Substantial amount of experience	3	10.7
Moderate amount of experience	17	60.7
Limited amount of experience	8	28.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	37.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	18	56.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	6.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	3.1
6 to 10 years	4	12.5
11 to 15 years	7	21.9
16 to 20 years	11	34.4
More than 20 years	9	28.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	9.4
Over 35,000	29	90.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	32	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	3.1
Male	23	71.9
Female	6	18.8
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 24
Michael Franciosi
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	32	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	28	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	26	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	17	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Limited amount of experience	8	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	12	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	15	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	3	4.0	4.5	3.7	4.3	4.5
11 to 15 years	7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	10	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
More than 20 years	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	26	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	28	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	20	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Female	6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.8
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 25
J. Patrick Hanley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	24	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	20	83.3
Professional reputation	3	12.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	25.0
Limited amount of experience	10	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	8	33.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	15	62.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.2
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	4.2
11 to 15 years	6	25.0
16 to 20 years	9	37.5
More than 20 years	8	33.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.3
Over 35,000	22	91.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	24	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	75.0
Female	5	20.8
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 26
J. Patrick Hanley
Detailed Responses

	n	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	24	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	20	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	19	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	10	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	8	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	6	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
More than 20 years	7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	19	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	20	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	15	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Female	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 27
Michael B. Logue
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	24	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	19	79.2
Professional reputation	4	16.7
Other personal contacts	1	4.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	10.5
Moderate amount of experience	8	42.1
Limited amount of experience	9	47.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	7	29.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	15	62.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	8.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	2	8.3
11 to 15 years	7	29.2
16 to 20 years	9	37.5
More than 20 years	6	25.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.3
Over 35,000	22	91.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	24	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	75.0
Female	5	20.8
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 28
Michael B. Logue
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	24	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	19	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
Limited amount of experience	9	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	4	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	7	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	6	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	7	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
More than 20 years	5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	18	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	19	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	15	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Female	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 29
Kari L. McCrea
Demographic Description of Respondents

		<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents		23	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	19	82.6
	Professional reputation	3	13.0
	Other personal contacts	1	4.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	100.0
	Substantial amount of experience	2	10.5
	Moderate amount of experience	4	21.1
	Limited amount of experience	13	68.4
Type of Work			
	No response	-	-
	State law enforcement officer	9	39.1
	Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	12	52.2
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
	Probation/Parole officer	2	8.7
	Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer			
	No response	-	-
	5 years or fewer	2	8.7
	6 to 10 years	4	17.4
	11 to 15 years	5	21.7
	16 to 20 years	7	30.4
	More than 20 years	5	21.7
Community Population			
	No response	-	-
	Under 2,000	2	8.7
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.7
	Over 35,000	19	82.6
Location of Work			
	No response	-	-
	First District	-	-
	Second District	-	-
	Third District	22	95.7
	Fourth District	1	4.3
Gender			
	No response	1	4.3
	Male	18	78.3
	Female	3	13.0
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 30
Kari L. McCrea
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	23	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	19	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	3.8	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	13	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.3
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	3	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.7	5.0
11 to 15 years	5	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8
16 to 20 years	5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	16	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	18	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	1	4.0			4.0	4.0
Gender*						
Male	15	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
Female	3	5.0	4.3	5.0	4.7	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 31
David R. Wallace
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	33	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	27	81.8
Professional reputation	5	15.2
Other personal contacts	1	3.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	14.8
Moderate amount of experience	10	37.0
Limited amount of experience	13	48.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	36.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	19	57.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	6.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	3.0
6 to 10 years	7	21.2
11 to 15 years	5	15.2
16 to 20 years	11	33.3
More than 20 years	9	27.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	6.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	15.2
Over 35,000	26	78.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	33	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	22	66.7
Female	8	24.2
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 32
David R. Wallace
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	33	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	27	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Experience within last 5 years	27	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.9	5.0
Limited amount of experience	13	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Professional reputation	5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	12	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	14	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
11 to 15 years	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
More than 20 years	8	4.9	5.0	4.6	4.9	4.9
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	23	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.9
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	27	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	19	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Female	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 33
Pamela S. Washington
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	23	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	78.3
Professional reputation	5	21.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	83.3
Substantial amount of experience	3	16.7
Moderate amount of experience	7	38.9
Limited amount of experience	8	44.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	7	30.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	13	56.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	13.0
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	4.3
6 to 10 years	3	13.0
11 to 15 years	6	26.1
16 to 20 years	7	30.4
More than 20 years	6	26.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	13.0
Over 35,000	20	87.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	23	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	17	73.9
Female	3	13.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 34
Pamela S. Washington
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	23	3.8	3.7	4.2	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	15	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.8	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	3	2.0	2.0	2.7	1.3	2.0
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.0
Limited amount of experience	8	4.4	4.4	4.9	4.7	4.4
Professional reputation	5	3.6	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.6
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	6	2.7	2.7	3.5	2.8	2.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	4.4	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	3.0	5.0	1.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	6	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.2
16 to 20 years	4	3.3	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.3
More than 20 years	6	4.5	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Over 35,000	16	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	18	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.8
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	14	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.9	3.6
Female	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 35
Patricia L. Haines
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	17	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	94.1
Professional reputation	1	5.9
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	16	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	12.5
Moderate amount of experience	9	56.3
Limited amount of experience	5	31.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	52.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	17.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	29.4
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	17.6
6 to 10 years	5	29.4
11 to 15 years	2	11.8
16 to 20 years	5	29.4
More than 20 years	2	11.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	17.6
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	47.1
Over 35,000	6	35.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	11.8
Fourth District	15	88.2
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	12	70.6
Female	5	29.4
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Patricia L. Haines
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	17	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1
Experience within last 5 years	16	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	9	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.1	2.9
Limited amount of experience	5	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.0	2.8
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	2.9	3.0	3.3	3.1	2.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	3.5	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.3	2.7
6 to 10 years	5	2.5	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.5
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
16 to 20 years	4	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5
More than 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.8
Over 35,000	6	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	15	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.0
Gender*						
Male	11	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.2
Female	5	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Maria P. Bahr
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	21	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	85.7
Professional reputation	3	14.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	22.2
Moderate amount of experience	7	38.9
Limited amount of experience	7	38.9
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	14	66.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	28.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.8
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	28.6
6 to 10 years	6	28.6
11 to 15 years	2	9.5
16 to 20 years	6	28.6
More than 20 years	1	4.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	4	19.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	38.1
Over 35,000	9	42.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	4.8
Fourth District	20	95.2
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	17	81.0
Female	4	19.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
Maria P. Bahr
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.2
Experience within last 5 years	18	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	3.0	3.3	3.5	2.3	2.5
Moderate amount of experience	7	2.3	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.3
Limited amount of experience	7	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4
Professional reputation	3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	11	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	2.8	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.0	3.6	3.3	3.0	3.2
6 to 10 years	4	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.3	2.8
11 to 15 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
16 to 20 years	5	3.0	3.2	3.6	2.8	2.6
More than 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	2.3	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.3
Over 35,000	9	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	17	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.1
Gender*						
Male	14	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.1
Female	4	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.3	3.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
Matthew Christian
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	22	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	19	86.4
Professional reputation	3	13.6
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	94.7
Substantial amount of experience	2	10.5
Moderate amount of experience	9	47.4
Limited amount of experience	8	42.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	54.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	31.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	4.5
Probation/Parole officer	2	9.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	27.3
6 to 10 years	5	22.7
11 to 15 years	4	18.2
16 to 20 years	4	18.2
More than 20 years	3	13.6
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	5	22.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	45.5
Over 35,000	7	31.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	4.5
Third District	2	9.1
Fourth District	19	86.4
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	19	86.4
Female	3	13.6
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Matthew Christian
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	22	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	18	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	8	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.6
Professional reputation	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	10	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Probation/Parole officer	2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.0
6 to 10 years	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
11 to 15 years	4	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.5
16 to 20 years	2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Fourth District	17	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.5
Gender*						
Male	16	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Female	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.