



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Technical Report

Ashley Schroeder, MPH, Research Professional
Ashley Hannigan, MA, Research Professional

2024

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

<https://www.iseralaska.org/>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology.....	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management	6
Results.....	7
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges.....	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	15
<i>Individual Tables</i>	
Table 11: Dario Borghesan: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	16
Table 12: Dario Borghesan: Detailed Responses	17
Table 13: Jennifer S. Henderson: Demographic Description of Respondents	18
Table 14: Jennifer S. Henderson: Detailed Responses	19
Table 15: Marjorie K. Allard: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	20
Table 16: Marjorie K. Allard: Detailed Responses.....	21
Table 17: Timothy W. Terrell: Demographic Description of Respondents	22
Table 18: Timothy W. Terrell: Detailed Responses	23
Table 19: Kristian B. Pickrell: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 20: Kristian B. Pickrell: Detailed Responses.....	25
Table 21: Rachel Ahrens: Demographic Description of Respondents	26
Table 22: Rachel Ahrens: Detailed Responses	27
Table 23: Bride Seifert: Demographic Description of Respondents	28
Table 24: Bride Seifert: Detailed Responses	29
Table 25: Herman G. Walker: Demographic Description of Respondents	30
Table 26: Herman G. Walker: Detailed Responses	31
Table 27: Adolf V. Zeman: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	32
Table 28: Adolf V. Zeman: Detailed Responses	33
Table 29: Leslie Dickson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	34
Table 30: Leslie Dickson: Detailed Responses.....	35
Table 31: Michael Franciosi: Demographic Description of Respondents	36
Table 32: Michael Franciosi: Detailed Responses.....	37
Table 33: J. Patrick Hanley: Demographic Description of Respondents	38
Table 34: J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Responses	39
Table 35: Michael B. Logue: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	40
Table 36: Michael B. Logue: Detailed Responses.....	41
Table 37: Kari L. McCrea: Demographic Description of Respondents	42
Table 38: Kari L. McCrea: Detailed Responses	43
Table 39: David R. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents	44

Table 40: David R. Wallace: Detailed Responses45
Table 41: Pamela S. Washington: Demographic Description of Respondents.....46
Table 42: Pamela S. Washington: Detailed Responses47
Table 43: Patricia L. Haines: Demographic Description of Respondents48
Table 44: Patricia L. Haines: Detailed Responses49
Table 45: Maria P. Bahr: Demographic Description of Respondents50
Table 46: Maria P. Bahr: Detailed Responses51
Table 47: Matthew Christian: Demographic Description of Respondents52
Table 48: Matthew Christian: Detailed Responses53

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to evaluate the performance of 21 judges as required by Alaska statutes. This survey includes 21 judges (presented in alphabetical order): Justice Dario Borghesan, Justice Jennifer S. Henderson, Judge Marjorie K. Allard, Judge Timothy W. Terrell, Judge Kristian B. Pickrell, Judge Rachel Ahrens, Judge Bride Seifert, Judge Herman G. Walker, Judge Adolf V. Zeman, Judge Amanda L. Browning, Judge Leslie Dickson, Judge Michael Franciosi, Judge J. Patrick Hanley, Judge Michael B. Logue, Judge Kari L. McCrea, Judge David R. Wallace, Judge Pamela S. Washington, Judge Patricia L. Haines, Judge Maria P. Bahr, Judge Matthew Christian, and Judge William T. Montgomery. During the creation of this report, Judges Amanda Browning and William T. Montgomery were appointed to new judgeships and no longer qualify to stand for retention; therefore, their individual results will not be included.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate the judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
Dario Borghesan	149	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	220	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Marjorie K. Allard	89	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Timothy W. Terrell	67	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3
Kristian B. Pickrell	41	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Rachel Ahrens	75	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Bride Seifert	105	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Herman G. Walker	220	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.2
Adolf V. Zeman	153	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Leslie Dickson	118	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Michael Franciosi	86	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
J. Patrick Hanley	142	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Michael B. Logue	102	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Kari L. McCrea	128	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
David R. Wallace	124	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Pamela S. Washington	156	3.9	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Patricia L. Haines	77	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Maria P. Bahr	76	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Matthew Christian	82	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

2024 Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate justices and judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State’s voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, and Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to evaluate the performance of 21 judges as required by Alaska statutes. This survey includes 21 judges (presented in alphabetical order): Justice Dario Borghesan, Justice Jennifer S. Henderson, Judge Marjorie K. Allard, Judge Timothy W. Terrell, Judge Kristian B. Pickrell, Judge Rachel Ahrens, Judge Bride Seifert, Judge Herman G. Walker, Judge Adolf V. Zeman, Judge Amanda L. Browning, Judge Leslie Dickson, Judge Michael Franciosi, Judge J. Patrick Hanley, Judge Michael B. Logue, Judge Kari L. McCrea, Judge David R. Wallace, Judge Pamela S. Washington, Judge Patricia L. Haines, Judge Maria P. Bahr, Judge Matthew Christian, and Judge William T. Montgomery. During the creation of this report, Judges Amanda Browning and William T. Montgomery were appointed to new judgeships and no longer qualify to stand for retention; therefore, their individual results will not be included.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,800 individuals invited to participate, all individuals (3,800) received an email invitation to complete the survey online. Fourteen individuals received a paper version in addition to the online version of the survey.

Respondents initiated 859 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered “No” to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 13 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question or did not answer any other questions but the certification question. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed an online survey. Therefore, 846 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned six paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. No paper surveys were excluded because they were unsigned. No paper surveys were excluded because the respondent did not respond to the question certifying that he/she had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, six paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 846 online surveys and six paper surveys, for a total of 852 surveys and a survey return rate of 22.4%. Of the 852 returned surveys, 266 (31.2%) did not rate any of the 21 judges; 586 (68.8%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

	All Respondents	Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge		
		<i>n</i>	%	
	852	100	586	68.8
Type of Practice				
No response	6	0.7	4	0.7
Private, solo	104	12.2	116	19.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	117	13.7	77	13.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	1.9	84	14.3
Private, corporate employee	75	8.8	6	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	198	23.2	66	11.3
Government	36	4.2	145	24.7
Public service agency or organization	106	12.4	27	4.6
Retired	16	1.9	52	8.9
Other	178	20.9	9	1.5
Length of Alaska Practice				
No response	102	12.0	66	11.3
5 years or fewer	138	16.2	100	17.1
6 to 10 years	67	7.9	51	8.7
11 to 15 years	83	9.7	57	9.7
16 to 20 years	80	9.4	53	9.0
More than 20 years	382	44.8	259	44.2
Cases Handled				
No response	8	0.9	4	0.7
Prosecution	47	5.5	35	6.0
Criminal	84	9.9	66	11.3
Mixed criminal & civil	201	23.6	160	27.3
Civil	456	53.5	294	50.2
Other	56	6.6	27	4.6
Location of Practice				
No response	7	0.8	4	0.7
First District	92	10.8	43	7.3
Second District	14	1.6	7	1.2
Third District	598	70.2	447	76.3
Fourth District	96	11.3	68	11.6
Outside Alaska	45	5.3	17	2.9
Gender				
No response	15	1.8	8	1.4
Male	486	57.0	329	56.1
Female	348	40.8	246	42.0
Another identity	3	0.4	3	0.5

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge. Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the judges as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these judges.”

Respondents evaluated judges in six areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Legal Ability: Please evaluate the judge’s legal and factual analysis including the judge’s knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge’s writing clarity and precision.

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. No paper surveys were returned without a name on the outside of the envelope. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper surveys were entered, a second staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, judges appear in order based on district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present detail on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides judges' mean scores broken down by location of practice. Table 10 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-48 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Summary Tables

Table 3

Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Dario Borghesan	206	24.2	72.3	20.9	6.8
Jennifer S. Henderson	278	32.6	79.1	15.8	5.0
Marjorie K. Allard	136	16.0	65.4	25.7	8.8
Timothy W. Terrell	96	11.3	69.8	25.0	5.2
Kristian B. Pickrell	48	5.6	85.4	8.3	6.3
Rachel Ahrens	80	9.4	93.8	5.0	1.3
Bride Seifert	125	14.7	84.0	11.2	4.8
Herman G. Walker	254	29.8	86.6	9.4	3.9
Adolf V. Zeman	192	22.5	79.7	14.6	5.7
Leslie Dickson	156	18.3	75.6	12.8	11.5
Michael Franciosi	90	10.6	95.6	4.4	-
J. Patrick Hanley	166	19.5	85.5	7.2	7.2
Michael B. Logue	121	14.2	84.3	7.4	8.3
Kari L. McCrea	143	16.8	89.5	9.1	1.4
David R. Wallace	134	15.7	92.5	4.5	3.0
Pamela S. Washington	187	21.9	83.4	10.7	5.9
Patricia L. Haines	85	10.0	90.6	8.2	1.2
Maria P. Bahr	99	11.6	76.8	8.1	15.2
Matthew Christian	90	10.6	91.1	6.7	2.2

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Dario Borghesan	198	4.7	5.0	0.6	144	4.7	5.0	0.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	275	4.8	5.0	0.6	218	4.8	5.0	0.6
Marjorie K. Allard	131	4.2	5.0	1.2	88	4.3	5.0	1.2
Timothy W. Terrell	95	4.4	5.0	0.9	67	4.3	5.0	1.0
Kristian B. Pickrell	47	4.6	5.0	0.7	40	4.6	5.0	0.7
Rachel Ahrens	80	4.2	5.0	1.0	75	4.2	5.0	1.1
Bride Seifert	120	4.2	5.0	1.1	101	4.3	5.0	1.1
Herman G. Walker	248	4.2	5.0	1.0	215	4.2	5.0	1.0
Adolf V. Zeman	189	4.4	5.0	0.9	152	4.4	5.0	0.9
Leslie Dickson	155	4.6	5.0	0.8	117	4.5	5.0	0.9
Michael Franciosi	90	4.6	5.0	0.7	86	4.6	5.0	0.6
J. Patrick Hanley	165	4.7	5.0	0.7	142	4.7	5.0	0.7
Michael B. Logue	121	4.0	4.0	1.1	102	4.0	4.0	1.1
Kari L. McCrea	138	4.8	5.0	0.5	125	4.8	5.0	0.5
David R. Wallace	134	4.4	5.0	0.9	124	4.4	5.0	0.9
Pamela S. Washington	180	4.2	5.0	1.1	153	4.2	5.0	1.1
Patricia L. Haines	82	4.7	5.0	0.6	75	4.7	5.0	0.6
Maria P. Bahr	96	4.3	5.0	0.9	74	4.3	5.0	1.0
Matthew Christian	90	4.4	5.0	1.0	82	4.5	5.0	0.9

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Dario Borghesan	144	-	-	3	2.1	7	4.9	23	16.0	111	77.1
Jennifer S. Henderson	218	1	0.5	2	0.9	7	3.2	22	10.1	186	85.3
Marjorie K. Allard	88	4	4.5	9	10.2	5	5.7	13	14.8	57	64.8
Timothy W. Terrell	67	2	3.0	1	1.5	10	14.9	14	20.9	40	59.7
Kristian B. Pickrell	40	1	2.5	-	-	-	-	12	30.0	27	67.5
Rachel Ahrens	75	1	1.3	8	10.7	6	8.0	18	24.0	42	56.0
Bride Seifert	101	3	3.0	7	6.9	9	8.9	24	23.8	58	57.4
Herman G. Walker	215	3	1.4	13	6.0	27	12.6	63	29.3	109	50.7
Adolf V. Zeman	152	1	0.7	7	4.6	12	7.9	38	25.0	94	61.8
Leslie Dickson	117	1	0.9	6	5.1	4	3.4	27	23.1	79	67.5
Michael Franciosi	86	-	-	1	1.2	4	4.7	24	27.9	57	66.3
J. Patrick Hanley	142	1	0.7	4	2.8	3	2.1	24	16.9	110	77.5
Michael B. Logue	102	2	2.0	10	9.8	13	12.7	36	35.3	41	40.2
Kari L. McCrea	125	-	-	1	0.8	3	2.4	20	16.0	101	80.8
David R. Wallace	124	2	1.6	4	3.2	13	10.5	24	19.4	81	65.3
Pamela S. Washington	153	7	4.6	8	5.2	15	9.8	40	26.1	83	54.2
Patricia L. Haines	75	-	-	1	1.3	4	5.3	13	17.3	57	76.0
Maria P. Bahr	74	1	1.4	3	4.1	11	14.9	19	25.7	40	54.1
Matthew Christian	82	1	1.2	3	3.7	8	9.8	15	18.3	55	67.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Dario Borghesan	12	4.3	15	4.3	31	4.7	2	4.5	30	4.8	38	4.9	2	5.0	12	4.6	2	5.0	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	30	4.5	29	4.6	40	4.7	2	5.0	43	5.0	50	4.9	8	4.8	13	4.9	3	5.0	4.8
Marjorie K. Allard	4	3.0	2	3.5	4	3.5	-	-	36	4.7	25	4.0	2	4.5	12	4.4	3	4.0	4.3
Timothy W. Terrell	6	4.0	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	27	4.6	19	4.1	2	3.5	11	4.5	1	3.0	4.3
Kristian B. Pickrell	4	4.8	6	4.8	2	3.0	-	-	10	4.7	14	4.6	1	5.0	3	4.7	-	-	4.6
Rachel Ahrens	14	4.1	8	4.3	9	4.8	-	-	14	4.8	23	3.6	3	5.0	4	4.8	-	-	4.2
Bride Seifert	22	4.5	18	4.4	7	3.4	-	-	22	4.9	24	3.7	1	1.0	6	4.5	1	2.0	4.3
Herman G. Walker	52	4.0	35	4.1	45	4.4	2	5.0	30	4.7	30	4.0	4	4.3	15	4.2	1	5.0	4.2
Adolf V. Zeman	26	4.3	27	4.4	34	4.5	2	5.0	28	4.6	25	4.0	6	4.7	4	4.8	-	-	4.4
Leslie Dickson	12	4.5	14	4.2	14	4.3	1	5.0	31	4.8	30	4.4	4	4.5	10	4.8	-	-	4.5
Michael Franciosi	13	4.6	12	4.6	14	4.6	-	-	23	4.9	17	4.2	4	4.5	3	4.0	-	-	4.6
J. Patrick Hanley	17	4.5	16	4.5	17	4.5	1	5.0	35	5.0	40	4.6	4	4.8	12	5.0	-	-	4.7
Michael B. Logue	16	4.5	14	3.8	15	4.2	1	5.0	20	4.6	27	3.3	4	3.8	4	4.0	-	-	4.0
Kari L. McCrea	15	4.7	13	4.7	15	4.9	1	5.0	34	5.0	36	4.6	4	4.8	7	4.9	-	-	4.8
David R. Wallace	18	4.2	18	4.2	21	4.7	1	5.0	26	4.8	27	4.1	4	4.0	8	4.9	-	-	4.4
Pamela S. Washington	25	4.4	22	4.0	20	4.0	2	5.0	34	4.4	31	4.0	3	5.0	15	4.1	-	-	4.2
Patricia L. Haines	8	4.6	12	4.6	5	3.6	-	-	21	5.0	21	4.6	2	5.0	4	4.8	1	5.0	4.7
Maria P. Bahr	10	4.4	11	3.9	2	5.0	1	5.0	24	4.4	18	4.2	2	4.5	6	4.2	-	-	4.3
Matthew Christian	11	4.6	12	4.3	2	3.5	-	-	28	4.6	17	4.1	2	3.0	9	5.0	1	5.0	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Dario Borghesan	16	4.8	9	4.8	16	4.8	20	4.6	68	4.7	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	23	4.9	19	4.8	21	4.8	26	4.8	105	4.8	4.8
Marjorie K. Allard	9	4.1	4	3.5	7	4.3	10	4.4	46	4.2	4.3
Timothy W. Terrell	6	3.3	2	3.5	6	4.5	8	4.4	39	4.5	4.3
Kristian B. Pickrell	10	4.3	3	4.7	7	4.7	3	4.7	14	4.7	4.6
Rachel Ahrens	15	4.4	6	3.8	9	4.4	11	4.2	29	4.1	4.2
Bride Seifert	10	3.7	7	3.7	12	4.0	12	4.1	49	4.4	4.3
Herman G. Walker	20	4.0	14	4.4	21	4.2	21	4.0	108	4.2	4.2
Adolf V. Zeman	21	4.4	11	4.3	18	4.7	21	4.2	68	4.4	4.4
Leslie Dickson	17	4.0	6	4.7	11	4.5	15	4.5	50	4.7	4.5
Michael Franciosi	15	4.1	5	4.4	7	5.0	12	4.6	38	4.7	4.6
J. Patrick Hanley	22	4.3	7	4.7	13	4.6	15	4.8	70	4.8	4.7
Michael B. Logue	22	3.2	6	4.2	6	4.2	10	4.1	44	4.3	4.0
Kari L. McCrea	21	4.5	9	5.0	9	4.7	16	4.8	55	4.8	4.8
David R. Wallace	20	4.2	6	4.3	7	4.1	12	4.6	63	4.6	4.4
Pamela S. Washington	22	4.1	9	4.4	12	3.9	12	4.4	77	4.2	4.2
Patricia L. Haines	10	4.4	9	4.8	9	4.8	8	4.8	33	4.6	4.7
Maria P. Bahr	7	3.7	6	4.3	12	4.5	6	4.2	38	4.3	4.3
Matthew Christian	9	3.8	5	4.8	9	4.4	7	4.4	44	4.5	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Dario Borghesan	4	4.5	11	4.9	47	4.7	75	4.6	6	4.7	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	9	5.0	15	4.7	72	4.9	114	4.7	7	4.7	4.8
Marjorie K. Allard	5	2.6	23	4.2	45	4.6	13	3.8	2	5.0	4.3
Timothy W. Terrell	9	4.8	17	3.5	35	4.5	5	4.8	1	5.0	4.3
Kristian B. Pickrell	6	4.8	5	4.4	20	4.5	8	4.9	1	4.0	4.6
Rachel Ahrens	3	2.7	10	4.0	31	4.5	30	4.2	1	2.0	4.2
Bride Seifert	5	3.2	12	3.6	42	4.5	38	4.3	4	4.3	4.3
Herman G. Walker	4	4.0	14	4.1	64	4.3	124	4.2	7	4.7	4.2
Adolf V. Zeman	4	4.8	8	4.6	44	4.6	93	4.3	3	4.7	4.4
Leslie Dickson	10	4.8	22	4.2	52	4.7	28	4.3	4	4.5	4.5
Michael Franciosi	7	4.0	17	4.2	38	4.9	23	4.6	1	5.0	4.6
J. Patrick Hanley	14	4.6	27	4.6	58	4.8	37	4.6	6	5.0	4.7
Michael B. Logue	11	3.1	20	3.4	41	4.3	27	4.4	2	3.5	4.0
Kari L. McCrea	9	4.2	24	4.7	58	4.8	30	4.9	4	5.0	4.8
David R. Wallace	9	4.9	20	3.8	50	4.6	40	4.4	4	4.3	4.4
Pamela S. Washington	10	4.1	23	4.0	58	4.3	55	4.2	6	4.7	4.2
Patricia L. Haines	5	4.0	9	4.8	35	4.8	22	4.6	3	4.7	4.7
Maria P. Bahr	5	3.0	10	4.4	37	4.2	18	4.7	4	4.0	4.3
Matthew Christian	6	3.3	10	4.7	46	4.6	17	4.3	3	5.0	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Dario Borghesan	6	5.0	3	4.7	121	4.7	11	4.6	3	5.0	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	7	4.9	4	5.0	188	4.8	14	4.9	5	4.2	4.8
Marjorie K. Allard	3	4.7	2	5.0	70	4.3	11	3.8	2	2.5	4.3
Timothy W. Terrell	5	4.8	2	4.5	49	4.3	9	4.3	1	3.0	4.3
Kristian B. Pickrell	23	4.7	1	5.0	12	4.4	3	4.7	1	5.0	4.6
Rachel Ahrens	4	4.0	2	4.0	63	4.3	2	5.0	4	3.8	4.2
Bride Seifert	6	4.0	1	5.0	87	4.2	6	4.8	1	5.0	4.3
Herman G. Walker	4	4.3	1	5.0	199	4.2	6	4.3	4	3.3	4.2
Adolf V. Zeman	1	5.0	1	5.0	142	4.4	7	4.6	1	5.0	4.4
Leslie Dickson	2	5.0	1	5.0	104	4.5	7	4.7	2	3.0	4.5
Michael Franciosi	1	5.0	2	4.5	80	4.6	3	5.0	-	-	4.6
J. Patrick Hanley	3	5.0	2	5.0	126	4.6	10	5.0	1	4.0	4.7
Michael B. Logue	2	3.5	1	4.0	92	4.0	5	4.6	1	4.0	4.0
Kari L. McCrea	4	4.3	2	4.5	105	4.8	12	4.9	1	5.0	4.8
David R. Wallace	4	3.8	1	4.0	113	4.4	4	4.8	1	5.0	4.4
Pamela S. Washington	2	3.5	3	5.0	137	4.2	8	3.9	2	3.0	4.2
Patricia L. Haines	2	5.0	2	5.0	22	4.6	47	4.7	-	-	4.7
Maria P. Bahr	3	5.0	2	4.5	33	4.5	35	4.0	-	-	4.3
Matthew Christian	4	3.5	2	5.0	30	4.6	45	4.5	-	-	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Dario Borghesan	83	4.6	59	4.8	-	-	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	128	4.7	88	4.9	-	-	4.8
Marjorie K. Allard	46	4.3	41	4.3	-	-	4.3
Timothy W. Terrell	41	4.6	25	4.0	-	-	4.3
Kristian B. Pickrell	25	4.8	14	4.3	+	+	4.6
Rachel Ahrens	47	4.3	28	4.1	-	-	4.2
Bride Seifert	54	4.3	46	4.2	+	+	4.3
Herman G. Walker	132	4.3	80	4.1	-	-	4.2
Adolf V. Zeman	87	4.5	62	4.3	+	+	4.4
Leslie Dickson	65	4.5	51	4.5	-	-	4.5
Michael Franciosi	58	4.6	27	4.6	-	-	4.6
J. Patrick Hanley	84	4.7	55	4.6	-	-	4.7
Michael B. Logue	61	4.1	39	3.8	-	-	4.0
Kari L. McCrea	68	4.8	57	4.7	-	-	4.8
David R. Wallace	79	4.5	44	4.4	-	-	4.4
Pamela S. Washington	89	4.3	62	4.1	-	-	4.2
Patricia L. Haines	37	4.8	36	4.6	+	+	4.7
Maria P. Bahr	38	4.6	35	4.0	-	-	4.3
Matthew Christian	44	4.6	38	4.3	-	-	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 11
Dario Borghesan
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	206	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	149	72.3
Professional reputation	43	20.9
Other personal contacts	14	6.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	138	93.2
Substantial amount of experience	41	27.7
Moderate amount of experience	52	35.1
Limited amount of experience	55	37.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
Private, solo	17	8.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	8.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	42	20.4
Private, corporate employee	4	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	39	18.9
Government	56	27.2
Public service agency or organization	5	2.4
Retired	21	10.2
Other	4	1.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	23	11.2
5 years or fewer	28	13.6
6 to 10 years	12	5.8
11 to 15 years	27	13.1
16 to 20 years	27	13.1
More than 20 years	89	43.2
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	8	3.9
Criminal	16	7.8
Mixed criminal & civil	62	30.1
Civil	111	53.9
Other	7	3.4
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
First District	10	4.9
Second District	3	1.5
Third District	170	82.5
Fourth District	16	7.8
Outside Alaska	6	2.9
Gender		
No response	3	1.5
Male	112	54.4
Female	90	43.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 12
Dario Borghesan
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	206	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	149	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	138	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	10	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	52	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Limited amount of experience	55	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	43	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8
Other personal contacts	14	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	32	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
Private, corporate employee	3	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	30	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8
Government	41	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Retired	12	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	18	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	9	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.8
11 to 15 years	16	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8
16 to 20 years	21	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6
More than 20 years	70	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Criminal	12	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	48	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Civil	78	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Other	6	4.5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Third District	124	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Fourth District	13	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	84	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Female	63	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Jennifer S. Henderson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	278	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	220	79.1
Professional reputation	44	15.8
Other personal contacts	14	5.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	195	89.4
Substantial amount of experience	66	30.1
Moderate amount of experience	79	36.1
Limited amount of experience	74	33.8
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	39	14.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	31	11.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	48	17.3
Private, corporate employee	4	1.4
Judge or judicial officer	50	18.0
Government	68	24.5
Public service agency or organization	10	3.6
Retired	22	7.9
Other	6	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	32	11.5
5 years or fewer	31	11.2
6 to 10 years	22	7.9
11 to 15 years	32	11.5
16 to 20 years	34	12.2
More than 20 years	127	45.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.4
Prosecution	17	6.1
Criminal	20	7.2
Mixed criminal & civil	86	30.9
Civil	142	51.1
Other	12	4.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	12	4.3
Second District	4	1.4
Third District	237	85.3
Fourth District	17	6.1
Outside Alaska	8	2.9
Gender		
No response	3	1.1
Male	158	56.8
Female	117	42.1
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Jennifer S. Henderson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	278	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	220	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	195	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	23	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	66	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	79	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	74	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Professional reputation	44	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	14	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	30	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	29	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	40	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	43	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
Government	51	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Public service agency or organization	8	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Retired	13	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.9
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	23	4.9	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
6 to 10 years	20	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8
11 to 15 years	21	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
16 to 20 years	27	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	105	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Criminal	15	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	72	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Civil	115	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Other	8	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Second District	4	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	189	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	14	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.9
Outside Alaska	6	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.7	4.2
Gender*							
Male	129	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Female	89	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Marjorie K. Allard
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	136	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	89	65.4
Professional reputation	35	25.7
Other personal contacts	12	8.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	76	89.4
Substantial amount of experience	43	48.9
Moderate amount of experience	29	33.0
Limited amount of experience	16	18.2
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	13	9.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	2.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	8.8
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	43	31.6
Government	36	26.5
Public service agency or organization	4	2.9
Retired	19	14.0
Other	4	2.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	17	12.5
5 years or fewer	13	9.6
6 to 10 years	7	5.1
11 to 15 years	13	9.6
16 to 20 years	17	12.5
More than 20 years	69	50.7
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	7	5.1
Criminal	28	20.6
Mixed criminal & civil	55	40.4
Civil	40	29.4
Other	6	4.4
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	8	5.9
Second District	2	1.5
Third District	112	82.4
Fourth District	11	8.1
Outside Alaska	3	2.2
Gender		
No response	2	1.5
Male	72	52.9
Female	62	45.6
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Marjorie K. Allard
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	136	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	89	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	76	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	9	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	43	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	29	4.4	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.4	4.1
Limited amount of experience	16	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1
Professional reputation	35	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Other personal contacts	12	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	5	3.5	3.3	4.3	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	36	4.8	4.4	4.8	4.3	4.8	4.7
Government	25	4.5	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.0
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	12	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.4
Other	3	4.3	3.7	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.7	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.6	4.1
6 to 10 years	4	4.0	3.5	3.5	2.8	3.8	3.5
11 to 15 years	7	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.1	4.1	4.3
16 to 20 years	10	4.5	4.0	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.4
More than 20 years	47	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.6	2.2	2.4	2.4	3.2	2.6
Criminal	24	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	45	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.3	4.7	4.6
Civil	13	4.4	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.9	3.8
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	5.0	4.3	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Second District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	71	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3
Fourth District	11	4.1	3.7	4.3	3.6	4.2	3.8
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	3.0	3.5	1.5	3.0	2.5
Gender*							
Male	47	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.3
Female	41	4.7	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.4	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Timothy W. Terrell
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	96	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	67	69.8
Professional reputation	24	25.0
Other personal contacts	5	5.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	54	80.6
Substantial amount of experience	30	44.8
Moderate amount of experience	17	25.4
Limited amount of experience	20	29.9
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	10	10.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	2.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	31.3
Government	30	31.3
Public service agency or organization	3	3.1
Retired	16	16.7
Other	1	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	12	12.5
6 to 10 years	5	5.2
11 to 15 years	8	8.3
16 to 20 years	9	9.4
More than 20 years	53	55.2
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	9	9.4
Criminal	23	24.0
Mixed criminal & civil	46	47.9
Civil	15	15.6
Other	3	3.1
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
First District	7	7.3
Second District	2	2.1
Third District	75	78.1
Fourth District	9	9.4
Outside Alaska	2	2.1
Gender		
No response	1	1.0
Male	54	56.3
Female	41	42.7
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Timothy W. Terrell
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	96	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	67	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	54	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	30	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	17	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	20	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	24	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	6	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Government	19	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.3	3.8	4.1
Public service agency or organization	2	3.5	3.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	3.5
Retired	11	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Other	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	3.2	3.5	4.2	3.7	3.3	3.3
6 to 10 years	2	2.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	3.5
11 to 15 years	6	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	8	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.4
More than 20 years	39	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.8
Criminal	17	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.6	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	35	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Civil	5	4.2	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Other	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Second District	2	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Third District	49	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.3
Fourth District	9	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	-	3.0
Gender*							
Male	41	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Female	25	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.8	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Kristian B. Pickrell
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	48	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	41	85.4
Professional reputation	4	8.3
Other personal contacts	3	6.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	39	97.5
Substantial amount of experience	9	22.0
Moderate amount of experience	20	48.8
Limited amount of experience	12	29.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	6	12.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	14.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	20.8
Government	18	37.5
Public service agency or organization	1	2.1
Retired	4	8.3
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	8.3
5 years or fewer	13	27.1
6 to 10 years	3	6.3
11 to 15 years	7	14.6
16 to 20 years	4	8.3
More than 20 years	17	35.4
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	7	14.6
Criminal	8	16.7
Mixed criminal & civil	21	43.8
Civil	9	18.8
Other	3	6.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	26	54.2
Second District	1	2.1
Third District	17	35.4
Fourth District	3	6.3
Outside Alaska	1	2.1
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	28	58.3
Female	19	39.6
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 20
Kristian B. Pickrell
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	48	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	41	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	39	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	9	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	20	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.5
Limited amount of experience	12	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Professional reputation	4	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.3
Other personal contacts	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	4	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.7
Government	15	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.6
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3
6 to 10 years	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	7	4.4	4.9	5.0	4.4	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	14	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.8
Criminal	5	3.8	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	20	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.5
Civil	8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Other	2	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	23	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	13	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4
Fourth District	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	25	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Female	15	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+ Too few respondents to report.

Table 21
Rachel Ahrens
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	80	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	75	93.8
Professional reputation	4	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	1.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	73	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	26	34.7
Moderate amount of experience	27	36.0
Limited amount of experience	22	29.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	15	18.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	11.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	12.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	20.0
Government	23	28.8
Public service agency or organization	3	3.8
Retired	4	5.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	5	6.3
5 years or fewer	16	20.0
6 to 10 years	6	7.5
11 to 15 years	9	11.3
16 to 20 years	12	15.0
More than 20 years	32	40.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	4	5.0
Criminal	10	12.5
Mixed criminal & civil	32	40.0
Civil	33	41.3
Other	1	1.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	4	5.0
Second District	2	2.5
Third District	68	85.0
Fourth District	2	2.5
Outside Alaska	4	5.0
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	48	60.0
Female	32	40.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Rachel Ahrens
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	80	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	75	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	73	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	27	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	22	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.1	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Government	23	3.3	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.6
Public service agency or organization	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Retired	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
6 to 10 years	6	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.2	3.7	3.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.4
16 to 20 years	11	3.6	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
More than 20 years	29	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	2.3	3.0	3.7	3.3	2.7	2.7
Criminal	10	3.6	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	31	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Civil	30	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.0	4.2
Other	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.3	4.0
Second District	2	3.0	4.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	4.0
Third District	63	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	4	3.0	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8
Gender*							
Male	47	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Female	28	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
Bride Seifert
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	125	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	105	84.0
Professional reputation	14	11.2
Other personal contacts	6	4.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	100	97.1
Substantial amount of experience	36	34.3
Moderate amount of experience	32	30.5
Limited amount of experience	37	35.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	27	21.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	16.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	8.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	21.6
Government	25	20.0
Public service agency or organization	4	3.2
Retired	8	6.4
Other	2	1.6
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	14	11.2
5 years or fewer	13	10.4
6 to 10 years	8	6.4
11 to 15 years	16	12.8
16 to 20 years	14	11.2
More than 20 years	60	48.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	4.8
Criminal	13	10.4
Mixed criminal & civil	49	39.2
Civil	50	40.0
Other	7	5.6
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	12	9.6
Second District	1	0.8
Third District	104	83.2
Fourth District	7	5.6
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	64	51.2
Female	60	48.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 24
Bride Seifert
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	125	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	105	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	100	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	36	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.4	3.9	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4
Limited amount of experience	37	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	14	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9
Other personal contacts	6	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	24	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	3.3	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.4	3.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	22	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.9
Government	24	3.5	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.7
Public service agency or organization	2	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Retired	6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5
Other	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.7
6 to 10 years	7	3.9	3.6	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.7
11 to 15 years	12	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.7	4.0
16 to 20 years	12	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.1
More than 20 years	51	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.0	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.2
Criminal	12	3.6	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.6
Mixed criminal & civil	43	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Civil	41	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
Other	4	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.7	4.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	91	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	57	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Female	47	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 25
Herman G. Walker
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	254	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	220	86.6
Professional reputation	24	9.4
Other personal contacts	10	3.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	193	89.8
Substantial amount of experience	57	26.3
Moderate amount of experience	93	42.9
Limited amount of experience	67	30.9
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.4
Private, solo	62	24.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	42	16.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	50	19.7
Private, corporate employee	2	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	37	14.6
Government	34	13.4
Public service agency or organization	5	2.0
Retired	20	7.9
Other	1	0.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	35	13.8
5 years or fewer	25	9.8
6 to 10 years	15	5.9
11 to 15 years	24	9.4
16 to 20 years	25	9.8
More than 20 years	130	51.2
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.8
Prosecution	4	1.6
Criminal	19	7.5
Mixed criminal & civil	73	28.7
Civil	149	58.7
Other	7	2.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.4
First District	7	2.8
Second District	1	0.4
Third District	233	91.7
Fourth District	8	3.1
Outside Alaska	4	1.6
Gender		
No response	3	1.2
Male	154	60.6
Female	97	38.2
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Herman G. Walker
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	254	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	220	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	193	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	22	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.0	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	57	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	93	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1
Limited amount of experience	67	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	24	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.3
Other personal contacts	10	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	54	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	36	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	45	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.4
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	31	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Government	31	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.6	4.0
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.3	4.3
Retired	15	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.2
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	23	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	14	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.4
11 to 15 years	22	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2
16 to 20 years	21	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	109	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Criminal	14	3.9	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.6	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	65	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.3
Civil	128	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Other	7	4.3	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.3	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	204	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.3
Outside Alaska	4	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.5	2.8	3.3
Gender*							
Male	134	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
Female	83	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Adolf V. Zeman
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	192	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	153	79.7
Professional reputation	28	14.6
Other personal contacts	11	5.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	147	98.0
Substantial amount of experience	41	26.8
Moderate amount of experience	44	28.8
Limited amount of experience	68	44.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
Private, solo	32	16.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	31	16.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	44	22.9
Private, corporate employee	3	1.6
Judge or judicial officer	35	18.2
Government	31	16.1
Public service agency or organization	8	4.2
Retired	6	3.1
Other	1	0.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	19	9.9
5 years or fewer	22	11.5
6 to 10 years	15	7.8
11 to 15 years	22	11.5
16 to 20 years	25	13.0
More than 20 years	89	46.4
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.5
Prosecution	4	2.1
Criminal	10	5.2
Mixed criminal & civil	56	29.2
Civil	116	60.4
Other	5	2.6
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
First District	3	1.6
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	177	92.2
Fourth District	7	3.6
Outside Alaska	3	1.6
Gender		
No response	3	1.6
Male	108	56.3
Female	80	41.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 28
Adolf V. Zeman
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	192	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	153	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	147	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	44	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	68	4.1	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	28	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	11	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	26	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	27	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	34	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Private, corporate employee	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.3	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Government	25	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.0
Public service agency or organization	6	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.7
Retired	4	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	21	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	11	3.8	4.4	4.6	4.6	3.9	4.3
11 to 15 years	18	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
16 to 20 years	22	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.0	4.2
More than 20 years	68	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
Criminal	8	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	44	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Civil	94	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.3
Other	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	143	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	7	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	87	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Female	63	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 29
Leslie Dickson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	156	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	118	75.6
Professional reputation	20	12.8
Other personal contacts	18	11.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	104	88.1
Substantial amount of experience	42	35.6
Moderate amount of experience	36	30.5
Limited amount of experience	40	33.9
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
Private, solo	21	13.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	10.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	20	12.8
Private, corporate employee	2	1.3
Judge or judicial officer	35	22.4
Government	34	21.8
Public service agency or organization	7	4.5
Retired	19	12.2
Other	1	0.6
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	24	15.4
5 years or fewer	17	10.9
6 to 10 years	7	4.5
11 to 15 years	11	7.1
16 to 20 years	21	13.5
More than 20 years	76	48.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	10	6.4
Criminal	25	16.0
Mixed criminal & civil	66	42.3
Civil	46	29.5
Other	8	5.1
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.3
First District	3	1.9
Second District	1	0.6
Third District	136	87.2
Fourth District	12	7.7
Outside Alaska	2	1.3
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	91	58.3
Female	64	41.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Leslie Dickson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	156	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	118	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	104	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	11	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	42	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	40	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	20	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Other personal contacts	18	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	32	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Government	30	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	10	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	17	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.0
6 to 10 years	6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.7
11 to 15 years	11	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	16	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5
More than 20 years	50	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8
Criminal	22	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	52	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Civil	29	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Other	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	3.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	105	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	7	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0
Gender*							
Male	65	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Female	52	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Michael Franciosi
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	90	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	86	95.6
Professional reputation	4	4.4
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	83	98.8
Substantial amount of experience	36	42.4
Moderate amount of experience	27	31.8
Limited amount of experience	22	25.9
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	14	15.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	13.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	15.6
Private, corporate employee	1	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	23	25.6
Government	19	21.1
Public service agency or organization	4	4.4
Retired	3	3.3
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	10.0
5 years or fewer	15	16.7
6 to 10 years	5	5.6
11 to 15 years	7	7.8
16 to 20 years	12	13.3
More than 20 years	42	46.7
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	7	7.8
Criminal	17	18.9
Mixed criminal & civil	39	43.3
Civil	25	27.8
Other	2	2.2
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.1
Second District	2	2.2
Third District	84	93.3
Fourth District	3	3.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	1.1
Male	59	65.6
Female	30	33.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Michael Franciosi
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	90	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	86	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	83	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	36	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	22	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9
Government	17	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5
Retired	3	3.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	4.3	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1
6 to 10 years	5	4.6	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.4
11 to 15 years	7	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
16 to 20 years	12	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6
More than 20 years	38	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0
Criminal	17	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Civil	23	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	80	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	58	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Female	27	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
J. Patrick Hanley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	166	85.5
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	142	85.5
Professional reputation	12	7.2
Other personal contacts	12	7.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	117	83.6
Substantial amount of experience	58	40.8
Moderate amount of experience	47	33.1
Limited amount of experience	37	26.1
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	24	14.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	11.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	11.4
Private, corporate employee	1	0.6
Judge or judicial officer	38	22.9
Government	44	26.5
Public service agency or organization	4	2.4
Retired	16	9.6
Other	1	0.6
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	19	11.4
5 years or fewer	23	13.9
6 to 10 years	8	4.8
11 to 15 years	13	7.8
16 to 20 years	16	9.6
More than 20 years	87	52.4
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	15	9.0
Criminal	27	16.3
Mixed criminal & civil	66	39.8
Civil	52	31.3
Other	6	3.6
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	3	1.8
Second District	3	1.8
Third District	148	89.2
Fourth District	11	6.6
Outside Alaska	1	0.6
Gender		
No response	3	1.8
Male	100	60.2
Female	63	38.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
J. Patrick Hanley
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	166	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	142	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	117	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	23	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	58	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	47	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	37	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.6
Professional reputation	12	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	12	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	17	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	35	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Government	40	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6
Public service agency or organization	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8
Retired	12	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	22	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3
6 to 10 years	7	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	13	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.6
16 to 20 years	15	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8
More than 20 years	70	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	14	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.6
Criminal	27	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	58	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	37	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.6
Other	6	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	126	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	10	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	84	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Female	55	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Michael B. Logue
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	121	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	102	84.3
Professional reputation	9	7.4
Other personal contacts	10	8.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	93	93.0
Substantial amount of experience	42	42.0
Moderate amount of experience	30	30.0
Limited amount of experience	28	28.0
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	21	17.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	13.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	15.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	20	16.5
Government	28	23.1
Public service agency or organization	5	4.1
Retired	10	8.3
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	18	14.9
5 years or fewer	23	19.0
6 to 10 years	6	5.0
11 to 15 years	6	5.0
16 to 20 years	11	9.1
More than 20 years	57	47.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	11	9.1
Criminal	22	18.2
Mixed criminal & civil	46	38.0
Civil	38	31.4
Other	3	2.5
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
First District	2	1.7
Second District	1	0.8
Third District	111	91.7
Fourth District	5	4.1
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	2	1.7
Male	74	61.2
Female	45	37.2
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Michael B. Logue
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	121	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	102	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	93	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	42	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.6	4.0	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	30	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	28	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.1
Professional reputation	9	4.1	4.6	4.2	4.0	4.4	4.2
Other personal contacts	10	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.7	4.1
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	4.3	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.2
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.6
Government	27	3.4	3.4	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.3
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.3	3.8	3.8
Retired	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	22	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.2	3.5	3.2
6 to 10 years	6	4.3	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.3	4.2
11 to 15 years	6	4.0	4.2	4.5	3.7	4.0	4.2
16 to 20 years	10	4.2	4.1	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.1
More than 20 years	44	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.1
Criminal	20	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.8	3.4
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
Civil	27	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Other	2	3.5	3.5	5.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	92	4.1	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Fourth District	5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	61	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.1
Female	39	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.6	4.0	3.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Kari L. McCrea
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	143	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	128	89.5
Professional reputation	13	9.1
Other personal contacts	2	1.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	119	94.4
Substantial amount of experience	47	37.0
Moderate amount of experience	48	37.8
Limited amount of experience	32	25.2
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	17	11.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	10.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	11.9
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	39	27.3
Government	39	27.3
Public service agency or organization	6	4.2
Retired	8	5.6
Other	1	0.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	18	12.6
5 years or fewer	21	14.7
6 to 10 years	12	8.4
11 to 15 years	10	7.0
16 to 20 years	18	12.6
More than 20 years	64	44.8
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	10	7.0
Criminal	26	18.2
Mixed criminal & civil	61	42.7
Civil	40	28.0
Other	6	4.2
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
First District	6	4.2
Second District	2	1.4
Third District	117	81.8
Fourth District	15	10.5
Outside Alaska	2	1.4
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	75	52.4
Female	68	47.6
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
Kari L. McCrea
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	143	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	128	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	119	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	47	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	48	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	32	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6
Professional reputation	13	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	2	-	-	5.0	5.0	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	36	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
Government	36	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6
Public service agency or organization	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Retired	7	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	21	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5
6 to 10 years	9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	9	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	18	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	56	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.2
Criminal	24	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	59	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	31	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Other	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.3
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	107	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	13	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	69	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Female	59	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
David R. Wallace
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	134	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	124	92.5
Professional reputation	6	4.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	105	86.1
Substantial amount of experience	45	36.6
Moderate amount of experience	36	29.3
Limited amount of experience	42	34.1
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
Private, solo	20	14.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	14.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	21	15.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	28	20.9
Government	28	20.9
Public service agency or organization	4	3.0
Retired	12	9.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	20	14.9
5 years or fewer	20	14.9
6 to 10 years	6	4.5
11 to 15 years	7	5.2
16 to 20 years	12	9.0
More than 20 years	69	51.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	9	6.7
Criminal	22	16.4
Mixed criminal & civil	55	41.0
Civil	43	32.1
Other	4	3.0
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
First District	4	3.0
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	123	91.8
Fourth District	4	3.0
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	86	64.2
Female	47	35.1
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
David R. Wallace
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	134	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	124	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	105	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	17	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	42	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	6	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	4	4.2	3.4	4.0	3.6	3.4	3.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	18	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	21	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.8
Government	27	4.1	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.1
Public service agency or organization	4	3.8	3.8	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0
Retired	8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	20	4.1	3.9	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2
6 to 10 years	6	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
11 to 15 years	7	4.3	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.1
16 to 20 years	12	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
More than 20 years	63	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.9
Criminal	20	3.8	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	50	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Civil	40	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other	4	4.5	4.0	5.0	3.8	4.8	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	3.8
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	113	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	4	5.0	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	79	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Female	44	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Pamela S. Washington
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	187	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	156	83.4
Professional reputation	20	10.7
Other personal contacts	11	5.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	124	81.0
Substantial amount of experience	58	37.7
Moderate amount of experience	53	34.4
Limited amount of experience	43	27.9
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
Private, solo	32	17.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	26	13.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	24	12.8
Private, corporate employee	2	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	39	20.9
Government	36	19.3
Public service agency or organization	3	1.6
Retired	21	11.2
Other	3	1.6
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	27	14.4
5 years or fewer	23	12.3
6 to 10 years	12	6.4
11 to 15 years	14	7.5
16 to 20 years	15	8.0
More than 20 years	96	51.3
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.5
Prosecution	11	5.9
Criminal	25	13.4
Mixed criminal & civil	71	38.0
Civil	70	37.4
Other	9	4.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
First District	3	1.6
Second District	4	2.1
Third District	162	86.6
Fourth District	13	7.0
Outside Alaska	4	2.1
Gender		
No response	3	1.6
Male	111	59.4
Female	73	39.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 42
Pamela S. Washington
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	187	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	156	3.9	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	124	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	29	3.7	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	58	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	53	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Limited amount of experience	43	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Professional reputation	20	3.9	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.1
Other personal contacts	11	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	25	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	20	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	34	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.4
Government	33	3.7	4.2	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.0
Public service agency or organization	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	15	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	23	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1
6 to 10 years	9	3.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.4
11 to 15 years	13	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.2	4.1	3.9
16 to 20 years	12	4.2	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.4
More than 20 years	77	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1
Criminal	23	3.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	59	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Civil	57	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Other	6	4.5	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Second District	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	140	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	8	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.9
Outside Alaska	2	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0
Gender*							
Male	91	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Female	63	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Patricia L. Haines
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	85	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	77	90.6
Professional reputation	7	8.2
Other personal contacts	1	1.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	75	98.7
Substantial amount of experience	31	40.3
Moderate amount of experience	31	40.3
Limited amount of experience	15	19.5
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.2
Private, solo	8	9.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	14.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	5.9
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	27.1
Government	27	31.8
Public service agency or organization	2	2.4
Retired	5	5.9
Other	2	2.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	6	7.1
5 years or fewer	14	16.5
6 to 10 years	10	11.8
11 to 15 years	10	11.8
16 to 20 years	8	9.4
More than 20 years	37	43.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.2
Prosecution	6	7.1
Criminal	14	16.5
Mixed criminal & civil	37	43.5
Civil	23	27.1
Other	4	4.7
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.4
First District	4	4.7
Second District	2	2.4
Third District	26	30.6
Fourth District	51	60.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	2.4
Male	39	45.9
Female	43	50.6
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 44
Patricia L. Haines
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	85	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	77	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	75	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	31	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	31	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	15	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	7	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.6	3.8	4.4	4.2	3.4	3.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Government	23	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
6 to 10 years	9	4.6	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.9	4.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8
16 to 20 years	8	4.6	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.5	4.8
More than 20 years	34	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	3.8	3.8	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.0
Criminal	10	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	35	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Civil	22	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	23	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	48	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	37	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Female	37	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 45
Maria P. Bahr
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	99	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	76	76.8
Professional reputation	8	8.1
Other personal contacts	15	15.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	66	88.0
Substantial amount of experience	26	34.2
Moderate amount of experience	33	43.4
Limited amount of experience	17	22.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	13	13.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	13.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.0
Private, corporate employee	1	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	29	29.3
Government	26	26.3
Public service agency or organization	2	2.0
Retired	9	9.1
Other	1	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	9.1
5 years or fewer	9	9.1
6 to 10 years	7	7.1
11 to 15 years	14	14.1
16 to 20 years	8	8.1
More than 20 years	52	52.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	6	6.1
Criminal	12	12.1
Mixed criminal & civil	45	45.5
Civil	28	28.3
Other	7	7.1
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.0
First District	5	5.1
Second District	2	2.0
Third District	50	50.5
Fourth District	40	40.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	2.0
Male	46	46.5
Female	51	51.5
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 46
Maria P. Bahr
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	99	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	76	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	66	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	9	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	33	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Limited amount of experience	17	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	8	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6
Other personal contacts	15	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.4
Government	19	4.1	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.2
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	12	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	7	4.0	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.2
More than 20 years	38	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	3.2	2.8	3.6	4.2	3.6	3.0
Criminal	10	3.9	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	37	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.2
Civil	19	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Other	4	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Third District	34	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	36	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	39	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Female	36	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 47
Matthew Christian
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	90	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	82	91.1
Professional reputation	6	6.7
Other personal contacts	2	2.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	77	95.1
Substantial amount of experience	41	50.0
Moderate amount of experience	20	24.4
Limited amount of experience	21	25.6
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	12	13.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	13.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	33.3
Government	19	21.1
Public service agency or organization	2	2.2
Retired	10	11.1
Other	2	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	10.0
5 years or fewer	9	10.0
6 to 10 years	5	5.6
11 to 15 years	9	10.0
16 to 20 years	7	7.8
More than 20 years	51	56.7
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	6.7
Criminal	10	11.1
Mixed criminal & civil	49	54.4
Civil	21	23.3
Other	4	4.4
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.1
First District	4	4.4
Second District	2	2.2
Third District	33	36.7
Fourth District	50	55.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	49	54.4
Female	41	45.6
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 48
Matthew Christian
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	90	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	82	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	77	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.5	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	20	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.7
Limited amount of experience	21	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.4
Professional reputation	6	3.8	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.0
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.6	4.6
Government	17	4.1	4.2	4.4	3.9	4.1	4.1
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Retired	9	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.4	3.9	3.8
6 to 10 years	5	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4
More than 20 years	44	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.3
Criminal	10	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.8	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	46	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6
Civil	17	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.3
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.0	3.8	4.3	3.3	4.0	3.5
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	30	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.6
Fourth District	45	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	44	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.6	4.6
Female	38	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.