UAA Institute of Social and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY of ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Selection Survey

Anchorage Superior Court

Technical Report

Ashley Hannigan, MA, Research Professional
Ashley Schroeder, MPH, Research Professional
Rosyland Frazier, MSPH, Senior Research Professional

November 16 - 18, 2022

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iseralaska.org

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Applicants 2
Introduction 3
Methodology 3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics 4
Instrumentation 5
Confidentiality and Data Safety 5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding 6
Data Management 6
Results 6
Table 3: Level of Experience with Applicants 8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings 9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating 10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice 11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice 12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled 13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice 14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender 15
Table 11: Kate Demarest: Demographic Description of Respondents 16
Table 12: Kate Demarest: Detailed Responses 17
Table 13: G. Michael Ebell: Demographic Description of Respondents 18
Table 14: G. Michael Ebell: Detailed Responses 19
Table 15: Roberta C. Erwin: Demographic Description of Respondents 20
Table 16: Roberta C. Erwin: Detailed Responses 21
Table 17: Charles J. Gunther: Demographic Description of Respondents 22
Table 18: Charles J. Gunther: Detailed Responses 23
Table 19: Laura Hartz: Demographic Description of Respondents 24
Table 20: Laura Hartz: Detailed Responses 25
Table 21: Kari L. McCrea: Demographic Description of Respondents 26
Table 22: Kari L. McCrea: Detailed Responses 27
Table 23: Patrick J. McKay, Jr.: Demographic Description of Respondents 28
Table 24: Patrick J. McKay, Jr.: Detailed Responses 29
Table 25: David A. Nesbett: Demographic Description of Respondents 30
Table 26: David A. Nesbett: Detailed Responses 31
Table 27: Curtis Wayne Patteson: Demographic Description of Respondents 32
Table 28: Curtis Wayne Patteson: Detailed Responses 33
Table 29: Danée Pontious: Demographic Description of Respondents 34
Table 30: Danée Pontious: Detailed Responses 35
Table 31: Christina Rankin: Demographic Description of Respondents 36
Table 32: Christina Rankin: Detailed Responses 37
Table 33: Matt Widmer: Demographic Description of Respondents 38
Table 34: Matt Widmer: Detailed Responses 39

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a selection survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members for two judicial vacancies on the Anchorage Superior Court created by the retirements of Judges Eric A. Aarseth and Erin B. Marston. By the application deadline, the Alaska Judicial Council received a total of 14 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Michael Corey, Kate Demarest, G. Michael Ebell, Roberta C. Erwin, Charles J. Gunther, Laura Hartz, Kari L. McCrea, Patrick J. McKay, Jr., Larry Monsma, David A. Nesbett, Curtis Wayne Patteson, Danée Pontious, Christina Rankin, and Matt Widmer. Michael Corey and Larry Monsma withdrew their applications; therefore, their survey results are not included in this report.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence*, *Integrity*, *Fairness*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each applicant by respondents with direct professional experience.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Applicants

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
Kate Demarest	126	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
G. Michael Ebell	105	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.2
Roberta C. Erwin	104	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.4
Charles J. Gunther	52	3.3	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.4
Laura Hartz	92	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Kari L. McCrea	178	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	149	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7
David A. Nesbett	169	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Curtis Wayne Patteson	26	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.3	3.3
Danée Pontious	86	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0
Christina Rankin	104	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3
Matt Widmer	110	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

2022 Judicial Selection Survey, Anchorage Superior Court

Introduction

The State of Alaska Constitution and laws mandate that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate all applicants for a judicial vacancy. The Council nominates the two or more most qualified applicants to the governor who must appoint from the Council's list. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy,* and *Overall.* Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research institute at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

A single selection survey was conducted for the following judicial vacancies: Alaska Supreme Court and Anchorage Superior Court. This report presents the findings of the survey for the vacancies on the Anchorage Superior Court, created by the retirements of Judges Eric A. Aarseth and Erin B. Marston. By the application deadline, the Council received a total of 14 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Michael Corey, Kate Demarest, G. Michael Ebell, Roberta C. Erwin, Charles J. Gunther, Laura Hartz, Kari L. McCrea, Patrick J. McKay, Jr., Larry Monsma, David A. Nesbett, Curtis Wayne Patteson, Danée Pontious, Christina Rankin, and Matt Widmer. Michael Corey and Larry Monsma withdrew their applications; therefore, their survey results are not included in this report.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this selection survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,678 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,664) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Five individuals received only a paper version of the survey and nine individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 1,023 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 13 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question; seven surveys were excluded because the respondents did not answer any other questions but the certification question. No online surveys were returned by an individual who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, 1,003 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned four paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. No paper survey was excluded because it was unsigned. No paper survey was excluded because the respondent did not respond to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the online survey. Therefore, four paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 1,003 online surveys and four paper surveys, for a total of 1,007 surveys and a survey return rate of 27.4%. Of the 1,007 returned surveys, 231 did not rate any of the 21 applicants (7 Alaska Supreme Court applicants and 14 Anchorage Superior Court applicants); 776 respondents evaluated one or more applicants. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		**Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Applicant**	
		n	*%*	*n*	*%*
	All respondents	**1007**	**100**	**776**	**100**
Type of Practice					
	No response	6	0.6	5	0.6
	Private, solo	199	19.8	142	18.3
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	111	11.0	80	10.3
	Private, 6+ attorneys	140	13.9	120	15.5
	Private, corporate employee	22	2.2	16	2.1
	Judge or judicial officer	76	7.5	70	9.0
	Government	241	23.9	208	26.8
	Public service agency or organization	41	4.1	34	4.4
	Retired	151	15.0	88	11.3
	Other	20	2.0	13	1.7
Length of Alaska Practice					
	No response	47	4.7	33	4.3
	5 years or fewer	107	10.6	79	10.2
	6 to 10 years	89	8.8	73	9.4
	11 to 15 years	112	11.1	98	12.6
	16 to 20 years	113	11.2	94	12.1
	More than 20 years	539	53.5	399	51.4
Cases Handled					
	No response	5	0.5	4	0.5
	Prosecution	72	7.1	60	7.7
	Criminal	77	7.6	68	8.8
	Mixed criminal & civil	231	22.9	185	23.8
	Civil	549	54.5	411	53.0
	Other	73	7.2	48	6.2
Location of Practice					
	No response	8	0.8	5	0.6
	First District	114	11.3	78	10.1
	Second District	15	1.5	15	1.9
	Third District	737	73.2	594	76.5
	Fourth District	84	8.3	59	7.6
	Outside Alaska	49	4.9	25	3.2
Gender					
	No response	14	1.4	11	1.4
	Male	576	57.2	433	55.8
	Female	412	40.9	327	42.1
	Another identity	5	0.5	5	0.6

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the applicants for the vacancy, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each applicant, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each applicant.

Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the applicants as required by the bar's Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

> *"Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these applicants."*

Respondents evaluated applicants in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas. The scale and instructions for respondents were:

> *"Please rate the applicant on each of the following qualities by selecting the number that best represents your evaluation. Applicants should be evaluated on each quality separately. Use the ends of the scales as well as the middle. The tendency to rate an applicant "excellent" or "poor" on every trait should be avoided since each person has strengths and weaknesses. If you cannot rate the applicant on any one quality, leave that one blank."*

	(1) *Poor*	(2) *Deficient*	(3) *Acceptable*	(4) *Good*	(5) *Excellent*
Professional Competence	Lacking in knowledge and/or effectiveness	Below-average performance occasionally	Possesses sufficient knowledge and required skills	Usually knowledgeable and effective	Meets the highest standards for knowledge and effectiveness
Integrity	Unconcerned with propriety and/or appearance, or acts in violation of codes of professional conduct	Appears lacking in knowledge of professional codes of conduct and/or unconcerned with propriety or appearance at times	Follows codes of professional conduct, respects propriety and appearance of propriety at all times	Above-average awareness of ethics, holds self to higher standard than most	Outstanding integrity and highest standards of conduct
Fairness	Often shows strong bias for or against some person or groups	Displays, verbally or otherwise, some bias for or against groups or persons	Free of substantial bias or prejudice against groups or persons	Above-average ability to treat all persons and groups impartially	Unusually fair and impartial to all groups
Judicial Temperament	Often lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Sometimes lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Possesses appropriate compassion, humility, and courtesy	Above-average compassion, humility, and courtesy	Outstanding compassion, humility, and courtesy
Suitability of Experience	Has little or no suitable experience	Has less than suitable experience	Has suitable experience	Has highly suitable experience	Has the most suitable experience for this position
Overall Rating	Has few qualifications for this position	Has insufficient qualifications for this position	Has suitable qualifications for this position	Has highly suitable qualifications for this position	Has exceptionally high qualifications for this position

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial selection survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a locked office. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

> *"A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked "Confidential," and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted."*

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. No paper surveys were returned without a name on the outside of the envelope. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual's unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data were entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper surveys were entered, a second staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each applicant rated is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the applicants. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Applicant

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each applicant they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each applicant.

Ratings of Applicants

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all applicants to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual applicant, Tables 11-36 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Level of Experience with the Applicants

	n	% of all respondents who rated applicant	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on… Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Kate Demarest	184	18.3	68.5	26.6	4.9
G. Michael Ebell	132	13.1	79.5	7.6	12.9
Roberta C. Erwin	135	13.4	77.0	17.8	5.2
Charles J. Gunther	63	6.3	82.5	9.5	7.9
Laura Hartz	128	12.7	71.9	18.8	9.4
Kari L. McCrea	209	20.8	85.2	11.5	3.3
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	170	16.9	87.6	7.1	5.3
David A. Nesbett	215	21.4	78.6	12.6	8.8
Curtis Wayne Patteson	33	3.3	78.8	21.2	-
Danée Pontious	116	11.5	74.1	15.5	10.3
Christina Rankin	136	13.5	76.5	16.2	7.4
Matt Widmer	137	13.6	80.3	13.9	5.8

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	n	***M***	***Mdn***	***SD***	***n***	***M***	***Mdn***	***SD***
Kate Demarest	181	4.2	5.0	1.0	126	4.2	5.0	1.0
G. Michael Ebell	132	4.3	4.0	0.9	105	4.2	4.0	0.9
Roberta C. Erwin	135	3.4	3.0	1.2	104	3.4	3.0	1.2
Charles J. Gunther	62	3.4	3.0	1.2	51	3.4	3.0	1.3
Laura Hartz	126	4.5	5.0	0.9	91	4.6	5.0	0.8
Kari L. McCrea	208	4.5	5.0	0.9	177	4.5	5.0	0.9
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	166	3.7	4.0	1.1	148	3.7	4.0	1.2
David A. Nesbett	211	4.3	5.0	1.0	166	4.3	5.0	0.9
Curtis Wayne Patteson	33	3.1	3.0	1.1	26	3.3	3.0	1.0
Danée Pontious	112	4.0	4.0	1.0	85	4.0	4.0	1.0
Christina Rankin	136	4.3	5.0	1.0	104	4.3	5.0	1.0
Matt Widmer	135	4.1	4.0	1.0	109	4.1	4.0	1.0

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

		Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
	n	*n*	*%*	*n*	*%*	*n*	*%*	*n*	*%*	*n*	*%*
Kate Demarest	126	2	1.6	6	4.8	18	14.3	33	26.2	67	53.2
G. Michael Ebell	105	-	-	5	4.8	16	15.2	35	33.3	49	46.7
Roberta C. Erwin	104	6	5.8	20	19.2	27	26.0	26	25.0	25	24.0
Charles J. Gunther	51	3	5.9	10	19.6	17	33.3	6	11.8	15	29.4
Laura Hartz	91	1	1.1	4	4.4	2	2.2	19	20.9	65	71.4
Kari L. McCrea	177	2	1.1	8	4.5	12	6.8	25	14.1	130	73.4
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	148	4	2.7	25	16.9	32	21.6	39	26.4	48	32.4
David A. Nesbett	166	4	2.4	4	2.4	21	12.7	48	28.9	89	53.6
Curtis Wayne Patteson	26	-	-	6	23.1	8	30.8	9	34.6	3	11.5
Danée Pontious	85	1	1.2	8	9.4	17	20.0	27	31.8	32	37.6
Christina Rankin	104	2	1.9	6	5.8	13	12.5	23	22.1	60	57.7
Matt Widmer	109	1	0.9	7	6.4	16	14.7	36	33.0	49	45.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall
	n	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***M***
Kate Demarest	7	4.1	9	3.2	29	4.1	4	3.8	18	4.3	45	4.4	4	5.0	9	4.6	1	4.0	4.2
G. Michael Ebell	7	4.0	8	3.4	8	4.6	-	-	10	4.2	61	4.4	4	3.5	4	4.5	2	4.0	4.2
Roberta C. Erwin	31	3.6	15	3.2	11	2.9	1	4.0	18	3.4	10	3.0	3	4.0	12	3.5	2	5.0	3.4
Charles J. Gunther	20	3.4	11	3.2	2	3.5	-	-	10	3.4	-	-	1	5.0	5	3.2	1	3.0	3.4
Laura Hartz	8	4.4	5	3.6	5	4.2	-	-	22	4.7	41	4.6	4	4.8	5	4.8	1	5.0	4.6
Kari L. McCrea	16	4.9	17	4.8	15	4.8	2	5.0	37	4.8	65	4.2	9	4.7	13	4.5	2	4.5	4.5
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	20	3.8	11	3.5	13	3.0	2	3.5	16	3.6	70	3.8	4	3.3	9	4.2	2	4.5	3.7
David A. Nesbett	23	4.2	15	4.9	22	4.5	5	4.0	25	4.0	53	4.2	6	4.3	13	4.3	2	4.5	4.3
Curtis Wayne Patteson	5	3.8	6	3.2	4	3.5	-	-	6	2.8	-	-	3	3.7	1	3.0	1	4.0	3.3
Danée Pontious	13	4.2	9	4.0	12	3.6	2	3.0	14	3.9	21	4.0	4	4.3	9	4.0	1	5.0	4.0
Christina Rankin	22	4.3	14	3.6	35	4.5	1	5.0	19	4.5	9	4.3	-	-	2	2.0	1	5.0	4.3
Matt Widmer	7	4.4	9	3.8	28	4.1	-	-	13	4.0	40	4.2	2	5.0	6	4.0	3	4.7	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall
	n	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***n***	***M***	***M***
Kate Demarest	7	4.1	17	4.0	21	4.4	19	4.3	55	4.2	4.2
G. Michael Ebell	18	4.7	18	4.7	23	4.0	16	4.1	29	4.0	4.2
Roberta C. Erwin	5	3.6	4	2.8	6	3.5	10	2.8	74	3.5	3.4
Charles J. Gunther	2	1.0	3	4.0	4	3.5	4	4.5	37	3.3	3.4
Laura Hartz	8	4.0	15	4.8	16	4.3	14	4.6	35	4.7	4.6
Kari L. McCrea	27	4.2	22	4.2	22	4.4	25	4.8	76	4.7	4.5
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	29	3.8	18	3.2	21	3.3	20	3.5	56	4.1	3.7
David A. Nesbett	27	4.4	14	3.9	14	4.4	27	4.3	81	4.3	4.3
Curtis Wayne Patteson	2	2.5	4	3.5	1	4.0	5	3.6	14	3.3	3.3
Danée Pontious	4	3.8	10	3.8	9	4.1	21	4.0	40	4.0	4.0
Christina Rankin	4	4.0	6	5.0	13	4.6	22	4.2	57	4.2	4.3
Matt Widmer	8	4.8	14	4.4	24	4.1	18	4.0	44	4.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall
	n	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*M*
Kate Demarest	7	4.1	9	4.3	29	3.9	79	4.4	2	5.0	4.2
G. Michael Ebell	31	4.5	26	4.2	28	4.0	17	4.2	2	3.5	4.2
Roberta C. Erwin	1	2.0	4	2.3	33	3.4	60	3.5	5	4.2	3.4
Charles J. Gunther	1	3.0	3	3.3	19	3.1	26	3.5	1	5.0	3.4
Laura Hartz	3	4.3	6	4.2	33	4.5	45	4.7	4	4.5	4.6
Kari L. McCrea	21	3.1	37	4.6	73	4.7	42	4.8	3	5.0	4.5
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	35	4.4	35	3.3	40	3.4	32	3.8	5	4.0	3.7
David A. Nesbett	21	4.1	35	4.4	53	4.3	51	4.2	5	4.6	4.3
Curtis Wayne Patteson	-	-	1	5.0	10	3.1	14	3.4	1	4.0	3.3
Danée Pontious	9	3.8	11	3.9	30	4.0	31	3.9	4	4.3	4.0
Christina Rankin	2	4.0	3	4.0	24	4.0	73	4.4	1	5.0	4.3
Matt Widmer	11	3.7	19	4.5	40	4.1	35	4.1	3	4.3	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall
	n	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*M*
Kate Demarest	6	4.7	-	-	115	4.2	2	4.5	3	5.0	4.2
G. Michael Ebell	3	4.3	4	3.8	95	4.3	-	-	2	4.0	4.2
Roberta C. Erwin	2	4.0	1	2.0	92	3.4	5	3.4	2	3.5	3.4
Charles J. Gunther	-	-	1	5.0	49	3.3	-	-	-	-	3.4
Laura Hartz	3	4.3	3	5.0	81	4.5	4	5.0	-	-	4.6
Kari L. McCrea	7	4.0	4	4.5	152	4.5	11	4.8	1	5.0	4.5
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	4	3.5	4	3.3	134	3.7	2	4.0	3	3.7	3.7
David A. Nesbett	6	4.7	3	3.7	151	4.3	3	4.0	1	3.0	4.3
Curtis Wayne Patteson	-	-	1	4.0	25	3.3	-	-	-	-	3.3
Danée Pontious	3	4.0	1	4.0	77	4.0	2	5.0	1	2.0	4.0
Christina Rankin	3	3.7	1	5.0	92	4.3	5	3.6	2	5.0	4.3
Matt Widmer	2	4.5	4	4.5	95	4.1	5	4.0	2	4.5	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall
	n	*M*	*n*	*M*	*n*	*M*	*M*
Kate Demarest	60	4.3	60	4.2	+	+	4.2
G. Michael Ebell	62	4.2	41	4.3	-	-	4.2
Roberta C. Erwin	55	3.6	46	3.1	+	+	3.4
Charles J. Gunther	29	3.5	21	3.2	-	-	3.4
Laura Hartz	34	4.5	55	4.6	+	+	4.6
Kari L. McCrea	93	4.5	80	4.6	+	+	4.5
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.	99	3.7	46	3.6	+	+	3.7
David A. Nesbett	102	4.3	61	4.3	+	+	4.3
Curtis Wayne Patteson	13	3.3	13	3.4	-	-	3.3
Danée Pontious	48	3.9	37	4.1	-	-	4.0
Christina Rankin	74	4.2	30	4.5	-	-	4.3
Matt Widmer	65	4.1	42	4.2	-	-	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 11
Kate Demarest
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**184**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	126	68.5
	Professional reputation	49	26.6
	Other personal contacts	9	4.9
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	88.0
	Substantial amount of experience	36	28.8
	Moderate amount of experience	54	43.2
	Limited amount of experience	35	28.0
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.5
	Private, solo	11	6.0
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	7.6
	Private, 6+ attorneys	38	20.7
	Private, corporate employee	8	4.3
	Judge or judicial officer	24	13.0
	Government	58	31.5
	Public service agency or organization	8	4.3
	Retired	19	10.3
	Other	3	1.6
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	9	4.9
	5 years or fewer	10	5.4
	6 to 10 years	22	12.0
	11 to 15 years	26	14.1
	16 to 20 years	29	15.8
	More than 20 years	88	47.8
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.5
	Prosecution	12	6.5
	Criminal	16	8.7
	Mixed criminal & civil	37	20.1
	Civil	109	59.2
	Other	9	4.9
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	0.5
	First District	15	8.2
	Second District	-	-
	Third District	162	88.0
	Fourth District	2	1.1
	Outside Alaska	4	2.2
Gender			
	No response	4	2.2
	Male	89	48.4
	Female	89	48.4
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 12
Kate Demarest
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	184	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**126**	**4.5**	**4.3**	**4.2**	**4.2**	**4.2**	**4.2**
Experience within last 5 years	110	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	15	4.4	4.2	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	36	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	54	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	35	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2
Professional reputation	49	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Other personal contacts	9	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.6	4.3	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	3.6	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.0	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	29	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.1
Private, corporate employee	4	4.3	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3
Government	45	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	4	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
Retired	9	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.6
Other	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.1
6 to 10 years	17	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	21	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	19	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
More than 20 years	55	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1
Criminal	9	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	29	4.2	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9
Civil	79	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4
Other	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	115	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Outside Alaska	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	60	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Female	60	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 13
G. Michael Ebell
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**132**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	105	79.5
	Professional reputation	10	7.6
	Other personal contacts	17	12.9
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	89	84.8
	Substantial amount of experience	49	46.7
	Moderate amount of experience	45	42.9
	Limited amount of experience	11	10.5
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.8
	Private, solo	12	9.1
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	6.1
	Private, 6+ attorneys	11	8.3
	Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
	Judge or judicial officer	12	9.1
	Government	67	50.8
	Public service agency or organization	7	5.3
	Retired	11	8.3
	Other	2	1.5
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	1	0.8
	5 years or fewer	19	14.4
	6 to 10 years	26	19.7
	11 to 15 years	27	20.5
	16 to 20 years	19	14.4
	More than 20 years	40	30.3
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.8
	Prosecution	33	25.0
	Criminal	27	20.5
	Mixed criminal & civil	34	25.8
	Civil	31	23.5
	Other	6	4.5
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	0.8
	First District	4	3.0
	Second District	5	3.8
	Third District	120	90.9
	Fourth District	-	-
	Outside Alaska	2	1.5
Gender			
	No response	2	1.5
	Male	72	54.5
	Female	58	43.9
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 14
G. Michael Ebell
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	132	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**105**	**4.3**	**4.5**	**4.5**	**4.5**	**4.1**	**4.2**
Experience within last 5 years	89	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	16	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.5	3.6	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	49	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	45	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.4	3.9	4.0
Limited amount of experience	11	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.0	3.5	3.7
Professional reputation	10	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8
Other personal contacts	17	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	3.6	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.1	3.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.6	4.9	4.6	5.0	4.3	4.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.2	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.2
Government	61	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Public service agency or organization	4	3.8	4.5	4.3	4.3	3.3	3.5
Retired	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.5
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	18	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	18	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	23	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.0
16 to 20 years	16	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.5	3.8	4.1
More than 20 years	29	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	31	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.5
Criminal	26	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	28	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.0
Civil	17	4.3	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.0	4.2
Other	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Second District	4	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.8	3.8
Third District	95	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.0
Gender*							
Male	62	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.2
Female	41	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 15
Roberta C. Erwin
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**135**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	104	77.0
	Professional reputation	24	17.8
	Other personal contacts	7	5.2
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	75	72.8
	Substantial amount of experience	29	28.2
	Moderate amount of experience	34	33.0
	Limited amount of experience	40	38.8
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.7
	Private, solo	39	28.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	13.3
	Private, 6+ attorneys	13	9.6
	Private, corporate employee	3	2.2
	Judge or judicial officer	19	14.1
	Government	12	8.9
	Public service agency or organization	6	4.4
	Retired	21	15.6
	Other	3	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	6	4.4
	5 years or fewer	6	4.4
	6 to 10 years	5	3.7
	11 to 15 years	7	5.2
	16 to 20 years	13	9.6
	More than 20 years	98	72.6
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.7
	Prosecution	2	1.5
	Criminal	5	3.7
	Mixed criminal & civil	38	28.1
	Civil	78	57.8
	Other	11	8.1
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	1.5
	First District	4	3.0
	Second District	1	0.7
	Third District	120	88.9
	Fourth District	6	4.4
	Outside Alaska	2	1.5
Gender			
	No response	2	1.5
	Male	73	54.1
	Female	59	43.7
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 16
Roberta C. Erwin
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	135	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**104**	**3.6**	**3.8**	**3.6**	**3.4**	**3.5**	**3.4**
Experience within last 5 years	75	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.4
Experience not within last 5 years	28	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.5
Substantial amount of experience	29	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.4
Moderate amount of experience	34	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.4
Limited amount of experience	40	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.4
Professional reputation	24	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4
Other personal contacts	7	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	31	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	3.1	3.5	3.2	2.8	3.0	2.9
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	18	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.4
Government	10	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0
Retired	12	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.5
Other	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.6
6 to 10 years	4	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.3	2.8
11 to 15 years	6	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.5
16 to 20 years	10	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8
More than 20 years	74	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Criminal	4	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.3
Mixed criminal & civil	33	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.4
Civil	60	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.5
Other	5	4.2	4.6	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0
Second District	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Third District	92	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.4
Fourth District	5	3.4	4.0	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.4
Outside Alaska	2	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5
Gender*							
Male	55	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6
Female	46	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.1
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 17
Charles J. Gunther
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**63**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	52	82.5
	Professional reputation	6	9.5
	Other personal contacts	5	7.9
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	43	84.3
	Substantial amount of experience	22	42.3
	Moderate amount of experience	19	36.5
	Limited amount of experience	11	21.2
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	1.6
	Private, solo	23	36.5
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	19.0
	Private, 6+ attorneys	5	7.9
	Private, corporate employee	2	3.2
	Judge or judicial officer	11	17.5
	Government	1	1.6
	Public service agency or organization	1	1.6
	Retired	6	9.5
	Other	1	1.6
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	1	1.6
	5 years or fewer	2	3.2
	6 to 10 years	4	6.3
	11 to 15 years	4	6.3
	16 to 20 years	7	11.1
	More than 20 years	45	71.4
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	1.6
	Prosecution	2	3.2
	Criminal	3	4.8
	Mixed criminal & civil	22	34.9
	Civil	34	54.0
	Other	1	1.6
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	1.6
	First District	-	-
	Second District	1	1.6
	Third District	61	96.8
	Fourth District	-	-
	Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender			
	No response	1	1.6
	Male	39	61.9
	Female	23	36.5
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 18
Charles J. Gunther
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	63	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**52**	**3.3**	**3.7**	**3.9**	**3.5**	**3.4**	**3.4**
Experience within last 5 years	43	3.3	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.4
Experience not within last 5 years	8	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.3	3.5
Substantial amount of experience	22	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	19	3.4	3.9	4.1	3.6	3.6	3.6
Limited amount of experience	11	3.1	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.0	2.8
Professional reputation	6	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.2
Other personal contacts	5	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	3.3	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.4	3.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	3.1	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.4	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	11	3.3	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.4
Government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	5	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.2	3.0	3.2
Other	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	2	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0
6 to 10 years	3	3.7	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5
16 to 20 years	5	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5
More than 20 years	37	3.2	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Criminal	3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	20	2.9	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.2	3.1
Civil	26	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.5
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	50	3.3	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.3	3.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	30	3.4	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.5
Female	21	3.1	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 19
Laura Hartz
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**128**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	92	71.9
	Professional reputation	24	18.8
	Other personal contacts	12	9.4
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	81	88.0
	Substantial amount of experience	39	42.9
	Moderate amount of experience	37	40.7
	Limited amount of experience	15	16.5
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	Private, solo	11	8.6
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	5.5
	Private, 6+ attorneys	17	13.3
	Private, corporate employee	2	1.6
	Judge or judicial officer	23	18.0
	Government	50	39.1
	Public service agency or organization	8	6.3
	Retired	8	6.3
	Other	2	1.6
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	3	2.3
	5 years or fewer	12	9.4
	6 to 10 years	21	16.4
	11 to 15 years	23	18.0
	16 to 20 years	21	16.4
	More than 20 years	48	37.5
Cases Handled			
	No response	-	-
	Prosecution	6	4.7
	Criminal	7	5.5
	Mixed criminal & civil	37	28.9
	Civil	70	54.7
	Other	8	6.3
Location of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	First District	6	4.7
	Second District	3	2.3
	Third District	115	89.8
	Fourth District	4	3.1
	Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender			
	No response	1	0.8
	Male	53	41.4
	Female	72	56.3
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 20
Laura Hartz
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	128	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**92**	**4.6**	**4.7**	**4.6**	**4.6**	**4.4**	**4.6**
Experience within last 5 years	81	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	11	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	39	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	37	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.5
Limited amount of experience	15	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4
Professional reputation	24	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0
Other personal contacts	12	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	3.6	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.4	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Government	41	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Public service agency or organization	4	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Retired	5	4.8	5.0	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.8
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	16	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8
11 to 15 years	16	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.1	4.3
16 to 20 years	14	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6
More than 20 years	35	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3	3.7	4.3
Criminal	6	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	34	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Civil	45	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Other	4	4.8	5.0	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.3
Second District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	5.0
Third District	81	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Fourth District	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	34	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Female	56	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 21
Kari L. McCrea
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**209**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	178	85.2
	Professional reputation	24	11.5
	Other personal contacts	7	3.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	164	92.7
	Substantial amount of experience	68	38.2
	Moderate amount of experience	61	34.3
	Limited amount of experience	49	27.5
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.5
	Private, solo	20	9.6
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	9.6
	Private, 6+ attorneys	22	10.5
	Private, corporate employee	2	1.0
	Judge or judicial officer	41	19.6
	Government	75	35.9
	Public service agency or organization	10	4.8
	Retired	16	7.7
	Other	2	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	6	2.9
	5 years or fewer	29	13.9
	6 to 10 years	26	12.4
	11 to 15 years	27	12.9
	16 to 20 years	30	14.4
	More than 20 years	91	43.5
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.5
	Prosecution	23	11.0
	Criminal	39	18.7
	Mixed criminal & civil	84	40.2
	Civil	58	27.8
	Other	4	1.9
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	1.0
	First District	8	3.8
	Second District	4	1.9
	Third District	180	86.1
	Fourth District	13	6.2
	Outside Alaska	2	1.0
Gender			
	No response	3	1.4
	Male	105	50.2
	Female	100	47.8
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 22
Kari L. McCrea
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	209	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**178**	**4.5**	**4.7**	**4.4**	**4.7**	**4.6**	**4.5**
Experience within last 5 years	164	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	68	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	61	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	49	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3
Professional reputation	24	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	7	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	37	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
Government	66	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.5	4.4	4.2
Public service agency or organization	9	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.7
Retired	13	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.5
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	28	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.2
6 to 10 years	22	4.4	4.6	4.0	4.7	4.6	4.2
11 to 15 years	22	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4
16 to 20 years	25	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	76	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	22	3.4	3.7	2.9	4.0	3.6	3.1
Criminal	37	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	73	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Civil	42	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	4.3	4.4	3.9	4.6	4.4	4.0
Second District	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.5
Third District	152	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5
Fourth District	12	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	94	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	80	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 23
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**170**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	149	87.6
	Professional reputation	12	7.1
	Other personal contacts	9	5.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	131	87.9
	Substantial amount of experience	70	47.0
	Moderate amount of experience	56	37.6
	Limited amount of experience	23	15.4
Type of Practice			
	No response	2	1.2
	Private, solo	24	14.1
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	7.6
	Private, 6+ attorneys	17	10.0
	Private, corporate employee	2	1.2
	Judge or judicial officer	20	11.8
	Government	74	43.5
	Public service agency or organization	4	2.4
	Retired	12	7.1
	Other	2	1.2
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	6	3.5
	5 years or fewer	30	17.6
	6 to 10 years	21	12.4
	11 to 15 years	25	14.7
	16 to 20 years	22	12.9
	More than 20 years	66	38.8
Cases Handled			
	No response	2	1.2
	Prosecution	35	20.6
	Criminal	36	21.2
	Mixed criminal & civil	45	26.5
	Civil	46	27.1
	Other	6	3.5
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	1.2
	First District	5	2.9
	Second District	4	2.4
	Third District	153	90.0
	Fourth District	3	1.8
	Outside Alaska	3	1.8
Gender			
	No response	3	1.8
	Male	111	65.3
	Female	55	32.4
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 24
Patrick J. McKay, Jr.
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	170	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**149**	**3.9**	**4.0**	**3.8**	**3.8**	**3.6**	**3.7**
Experience within last 5 years	131	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	18	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Substantial amount of experience	70	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	56	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7
Limited amount of experience	23	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.1
Professional reputation	12	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7
Other personal contacts	9	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0
Private, corporate employee	2	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Judge or judicial officer	16	3.9	4.2	3.7	3.9	3.4	3.6
Government	70	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8
Public service agency or organization	4	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.0	3.3
Retired	9	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	4.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	29	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8
6 to 10 years	18	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.1	3.2
11 to 15 years	21	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3
16 to 20 years	20	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.5
More than 20 years	56	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	35	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Criminal	35	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	40	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4
Civil	32	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8
Other	5	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.5
Second District	4	3.0	3.5	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.3
Third District	134	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7
Fourth District	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	3	3.7	4.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7
Gender*							
Male	99	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7
Female	46	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 25
David A. Nesbett
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**215**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	169	78.6
	Professional reputation	27	12.6
	Other personal contacts	19	8.8
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	139	84.8
	Substantial amount of experience	56	34.4
	Moderate amount of experience	65	39.9
	Limited amount of experience	42	25.8
Type of Practice			
	No response	2	0.9
	Private, solo	30	14.0
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	7.9
	Private, 6+ attorneys	33	15.3
	Private, corporate employee	7	3.3
	Judge or judicial officer	30	14.0
	Government	63	29.3
	Public service agency or organization	9	4.2
	Retired	20	9.3
	Other	4	1.9
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	5	2.3
	5 years or fewer	30	14.0
	6 to 10 years	17	7.9
	11 to 15 years	24	11.2
	16 to 20 years	32	14.9
	More than 20 years	107	49.8
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.5
	Prosecution	26	12.1
	Criminal	41	19.1
	Mixed criminal & civil	59	27.4
	Civil	75	34.9
	Other	13	6.0
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	0.9
	First District	7	3.3
	Second District	3	1.4
	Third District	198	92.1
	Fourth District	3	1.4
	Outside Alaska	2	0.9
Gender			
	No response	2	0.9
	Male	133	61.9
	Female	79	36.7
	Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 26
David A. Nesbett
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	215	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**169**	**4.3**	**4.4**	**4.4**	**4.5**	**4.3**	**4.3**
Experience within last 5 years	139	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	25	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	56	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	65	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	42	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	27	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9
Other personal contacts	19	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	24	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	22	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Private, corporate employee	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.0
Government	55	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	6	4.3	4.8	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3
Retired	13	4.2	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	28	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	14	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9
11 to 15 years	15	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	27	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	82	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	23	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1
Criminal	35	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	53	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Civil	52	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Other	5	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.8	4.6
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Second District	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7
Third District	154	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	3	4.0	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	103	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Female	63	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 27
Curtis Wayne Patteson
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**33**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	26	78.8
	Professional reputation	7	21.2
	Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	23	88.5
	Substantial amount of experience	5	19.2
	Moderate amount of experience	13	50.0
	Limited amount of experience	8	30.8
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	Private, solo	8	24.2
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	18.2
	Private, 6+ attorneys	6	18.2
	Private, corporate employee	-	-
	Judge or judicial officer	6	18.2
	Government	1	3.0
	Public service agency or organization	3	9.1
	Retired	2	6.1
	Other	1	3.0
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	-	-
	5 years or fewer	3	9.1
	6 to 10 years	4	12.1
	11 to 15 years	1	3.0
	16 to 20 years	6	18.2
	More than 20 years	19	57.6
Cases Handled			
	No response	-	-
	Prosecution	1	3.0
	Criminal	1	3.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	12	36.4
	Civil	18	54.5
	Other	1	3.0
Location of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	First District	-	-
	Second District	1	3.0
	Third District	31	93.9
	Fourth District	1	3.0
	Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender			
	No response	-	-
	Male	16	48.5
	Female	17	51.5
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 28
Curtis Wayne Patteson
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	33	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**26**	**3.5**	**3.8**	**3.8**	**3.6**	**3.3**	**3.3**
Experience within last 5 years	23	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.7	3.7	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.0	4.6	4.2	3.6	3.4	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	13	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4
Limited amount of experience	8	3.0	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.0	3.1
Professional reputation	7	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.4	2.3
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.6	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	6	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.2	2.8	2.8
Government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Retired	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	1	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	2	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
6 to 10 years	4	3.3	4.3	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.5
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	5	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.6
More than 20 years	14	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.3	3.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Criminal	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	10	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.1
Civil	14	3.4	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.2	3.4
Other	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	25	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	13	3.3	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.3
Female	13	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 29
Danée Pontious
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**116**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	86	74.1
	Professional reputation	18	15.5
	Other personal contacts	12	10.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	71	82.6
	Substantial amount of experience	30	34.9
	Moderate amount of experience	29	33.7
	Limited amount of experience	27	31.4
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	Private, solo	15	12.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	10.3
	Private, 6+ attorneys	18	15.5
	Private, corporate employee	4	3.4
	Judge or judicial officer	16	13.8
	Government	29	25.0
	Public service agency or organization	8	6.9
	Retired	13	11.2
	Other	1	0.9
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	1	0.9
	5 years or fewer	7	6.0
	6 to 10 years	11	9.5
	11 to 15 years	18	15.5
	16 to 20 years	28	24.1
	More than 20 years	51	44.0
Cases Handled			
	No response	-	-
	Prosecution	11	9.5
	Criminal	15	12.9
	Mixed criminal & civil	35	30.2
	Civil	48	41.4
	Other	7	6.0
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	0.9
	First District	4	3.4
	Second District	2	1.7
	Third District	103	88.8
	Fourth District	4	3.4
	Outside Alaska	2	1.7
Gender			
	No response	-	-
	Male	61	52.6
	Female	55	47.4
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 30
Danée Pontious
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	116	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**86**	**4.1**	**4.3**	**4.2**	**4.2**	**3.9**	**4.0**
Experience within last 5 years	71	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	15	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.9
Substantial amount of experience	30	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	29	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
Limited amount of experience	27	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Professional reputation	18	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.2
Other personal contacts	12	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.4	3.6
Private, corporate employee	2	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.5	3.8	3.9
Government	22	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.3
Retired	9	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	3.8	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.8
6 to 10 years	10	4.0	4.2	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	21	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	40	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	3.9	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	3.5	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8
Criminal	11	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.0	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	30	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	3.9	4.0
Civil	31	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9
Other	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	3.7	4.7	4.3	4.0	3.7	4.0
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	78	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.0
Fourth District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Gender*							
Male	49	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.9
Female	37	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 31
Christina Rankin
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**136**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	104	76.5
	Professional reputation	22	16.2
	Other personal contacts	10	7.4
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	89	88.1
	Substantial amount of experience	33	32.0
	Moderate amount of experience	44	42.7
	Limited amount of experience	26	25.2
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.7
	Private, solo	23	16.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	12.5
	Private, 6+ attorneys	43	31.6
	Private, corporate employee	3	2.2
	Judge or judicial officer	21	15.4
	Government	19	14.0
	Public service agency or organization	1	0.7
	Retired	6	4.4
	Other	2	1.5
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	2	1.5
	5 years or fewer	7	5.1
	6 to 10 years	9	6.6
	11 to 15 years	17	12.5
	16 to 20 years	30	22.1
	More than 20 years	71	52.2
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.7
	Prosecution	5	3.7
	Criminal	5	3.7
	Mixed criminal & civil	31	22.8
	Civil	91	66.9
	Other	3	2.2
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	0.7
	First District	5	3.7
	Second District	1	0.7
	Third District	122	89.7
	Fourth District	5	3.7
	Outside Alaska	2	1.5
Gender			
	No response	-	-
	Male	85	62.5
	Female	51	37.5
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 32
Christina Rankin
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	136	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**104**	**4.4**	**4.5**	**4.3**	**4.2**	**4.2**	**4.3**
Experience within last 5 years	89	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	12	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	33	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	44	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	26	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9
Professional reputation	22	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	10	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	22	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	35	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	19	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.5
Government	9	4.4	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	3.0	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.5	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	6	4.7	5.0	4.5	4.8	4.8	5.0
11 to 15 years	13	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6
16 to 20 years	22	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
More than 20 years	57	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.0
Criminal	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	24	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.0
Civil	73	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.7
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	92	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	5	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	74	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2
Female	30	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 33
Matt Widmer
Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**137**	**100**
Experience with Applicant			
	Direct professional experience	110	80.3
	Professional reputation	19	13.9
	Other personal contacts	8	5.8
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	82	74.5
	Substantial amount of experience	50	45.5
	Moderate amount of experience	38	34.5
	Limited amount of experience	22	20.0
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.7
	Private, solo	11	8.0
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	10.2
	Private, 6+ attorneys	30	21.9
	Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
	Judge or judicial officer	14	10.2
	Government	48	35.0
	Public service agency or organization	5	3.6
	Retired	8	5.8
	Other	5	3.6
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	2	1.5
	5 years or fewer	11	8.0
	6 to 10 years	17	12.4
	11 to 15 years	27	19.7
	16 to 20 years	20	14.6
	More than 20 years	60	43.8
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	0.7
	Prosecution	12	8.8
	Criminal	26	19.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	46	33.6
	Civil	46	33.6
	Other	6	4.4
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	0.7
	First District	2	1.5
	Second District	4	2.9
	Third District	122	89.1
	Fourth District	5	3.6
	Outside Alaska	3	2.2
Gender			
	No response	2	1.5
	Male	83	60.6
	Female	52	38.0
	Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 34
Matt Widmer
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence *M*	Integrity *M*	Fairness *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Suitability of Experience *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	137	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**110**	**4.2**	**4.5**	**4.3**	**4.2**	**4.1**	**4.1**
Experience within last 5 years	82	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	28	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.8	3.9
Substantial amount of experience	50	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	38	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Limited amount of experience	22	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.6
Professional reputation	19	3.7	3.9	3.7	4.1	3.8	3.7
Other personal contacts	8	4.7	4.7	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	28	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	13	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.0
Government	41	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0
Retired	6	4.3	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.0
Other	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.7	4.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.5	4.6	4.8
6 to 10 years	14	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
11 to 15 years	25	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1
16 to 20 years	18	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.0
More than 20 years	44	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	3.8	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.7
Criminal	20	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.1
Civil	35	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1
Other	3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
Second District	4	4.5	5.0	4.8	4.0	4.5	4.5
Third District	96	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Gender*							
Male	66	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Female	42	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.