

**Table 33**  
**J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>85.5</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	142	85.5
Professional reputation	12	7.2
Other personal contacts	12	7.2
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	117	83.6
Substantial amount of experience	58	40.8
Moderate amount of experience	47	33.1
Limited amount of experience	37	26.1
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	24	14.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	11.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	11.4
Private, corporate employee	1	0.6
Judge or judicial officer	38	22.9
Government	44	26.5
Public service agency or organization	4	2.4
Retired	16	9.6
Other	1	0.6
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	19	11.4
5 years or fewer	23	13.9
6 to 10 years	8	4.8
11 to 15 years	13	7.8
16 to 20 years	16	9.6
More than 20 years	87	52.4
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	15	9.0
Criminal	27	16.3
Mixed criminal & civil	66	39.8
Civil	52	31.3
Other	6	3.6
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	3	1.8
Second District	3	1.8
Third District	148	89.2
Fourth District	11	6.6
Outside Alaska	1	0.6
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	3	1.8
Male	100	60.2
Female	63	38.0
Another identity	-	-

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 34**  
**J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	166	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.7</b>
Experience within last 5 years	117	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	23	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	58	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	47	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	37	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.6
Professional reputation	12	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	12	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	17	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	35	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Government	40	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6
Public service agency or organization	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8
Retired	12	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	22	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3
6 to 10 years	7	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	13	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.6
16 to 20 years	15	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8
More than 20 years	70	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	14	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.6
Criminal	27	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	58	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	37	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.6
Other	6	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	126	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	10	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	84	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Female	55	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 27**  
**J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Description of Respondents' Experience**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	31	93.9
Professional reputation	1	3.0
Other personal contacts	1	3.0
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	29	96.7
Substantial amount of experience	7	23.3
Moderate amount of experience	15	50.0
Limited amount of experience	8	26.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 28**  
**J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Integrity</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Judicial Temperament</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Diligence</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Overall</b> <i>M</i>
All respondents	33	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>						
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Experience within last 5 years	29	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9
Limited amount of experience	8	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
Professional reputation	1	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	-	5.0

**Table 25**  
**J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	20	83.3
Professional reputation	3	12.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.2
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	25.0
Limited amount of experience	10	50.0
<b>Type of Work</b>		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	8	33.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	15	62.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.2
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Time as Alaskan Officer</b>		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	4.2
11 to 15 years	6	25.0
16 to 20 years	9	37.5
More than 20 years	8	33.3
<b>Community Population</b>		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.3
Over 35,000	22	91.7
<b>Location of Work</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	24	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	18	75.0
Female	5	20.8
Another identity	+	+

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

**Table 26**  
**J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<b>n</b>	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness M</b>	<b>Integrity M</b>	<b>Judicial Temperament M</b>	<b>Diligence M</b>	<b>Overall M</b>
All respondents	24	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>						
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	19	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	10	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Type of Work*</b>						
State law enforcement officer	8	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*</b>						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	6	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
More than 20 years	7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
<b>Community Population*</b>						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	19	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Location of Work*</b>						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	20	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>						
Male	15	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Female	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Juror Survey Results 2024 Retention Evaluation: Hanley, J. Patrick

Survey Category	Mean	Poor (1)	Deficient (2)	Acceptable (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	5.0	0	0	0	2	42	44
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	0	0	0	2	42	44
Attentive During Proceedings	5.0	0	0	0	2	42	44
Control Over Proceedings	5.0	0	0	0	1	43	44
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	0	0	0	3	41	44
Overall Evaluation	5.0	0	0	0	2	42	44