

Table 43
Patricia L. Haines
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	85	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	77	90.6
Professional reputation	7	8.2
Other personal contacts	1	1.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	75	98.7
Substantial amount of experience	31	40.3
Moderate amount of experience	31	40.3
Limited amount of experience	15	19.5
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.2
Private, solo	8	9.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	14.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	5.9
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	27.1
Government	27	31.8
Public service agency or organization	2	2.4
Retired	5	5.9
Other	2	2.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	6	7.1
5 years or fewer	14	16.5
6 to 10 years	10	11.8
11 to 15 years	10	11.8
16 to 20 years	8	9.4
More than 20 years	37	43.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.2
Prosecution	6	7.1
Criminal	14	16.5
Mixed criminal & civil	37	43.5
Civil	23	27.1
Other	4	4.7
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.4
First District	4	4.7
Second District	2	2.4
Third District	26	30.6
Fourth District	51	60.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	2.4
Male	39	45.9
Female	43	50.6
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 44
Patricia L. Haines
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	85	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	77	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	75	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	31	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	31	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	15	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	7	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.6	3.8	4.4	4.2	3.4	3.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Government	23	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
6 to 10 years	9	4.6	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.9	4.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8
16 to 20 years	8	4.6	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.5	4.8
More than 20 years	34	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	3.8	3.8	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.0
Criminal	10	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	35	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Civil	22	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	23	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	48	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	37	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Female	37	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 37
Patricia L. Haines
Description of Respondents' Experience

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	43	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	37	86.0
Professional reputation	4	9.3
Other personal contacts	2	4.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	37	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	9	24.3
Moderate amount of experience	18	48.6
Limited amount of experience	10	27.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
Patricia L. Haines
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	43	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	37	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Experience within last 5 years	37	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	18	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Limited amount of experience	10	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

Table 35
Patricia L. Haines
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	17	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	94.1
Professional reputation	1	5.9
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	16	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	12.5
Moderate amount of experience	9	56.3
Limited amount of experience	5	31.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	52.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	17.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	29.4
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	17.6
6 to 10 years	5	29.4
11 to 15 years	2	11.8
16 to 20 years	5	29.4
More than 20 years	2	11.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	17.6
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	47.1
Over 35,000	6	35.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	11.8
Fourth District	15	88.2
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	12	70.6
Female	5	29.4
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Patricia L. Haines
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	17	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1
Experience within last 5 years	16	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	9	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.1	2.9
Limited amount of experience	5	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.0	2.8
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	2.9	3.0	3.3	3.1	2.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	3.5	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.3	2.7
6 to 10 years	5	2.5	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.5
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
16 to 20 years	4	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5
More than 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.8
Over 35,000	6	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	15	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.0
Gender*						
Male	11	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.2
Female	5	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Patricia L. Haines
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	14	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	13	92.9
Professional reputation	1	7.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	13	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	38.5
Moderate amount of experience	4	30.8
Limited amount of experience	4	30.8
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	6	42.9
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	21.4
CASA Volunteer	1	7.1
Tribal ICWA Worker	3	21.4
Other	1	7.1
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	9	64.3
6 to 10 years	2	14.3
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	14.3
More than 20 years	1	7.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	14.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	14.3
Over 35,000	10	71.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	7.1
Third District	1	7.1
Fourth District	12	85.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	7.1
Female	12	85.7
Another identity	1	7.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Patricia L. Haines
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	13	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	13	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.6	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	5	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Tribal ICWA Worker	3	3.5	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	2	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	5	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	9	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	11	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Female	11	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.5
Another identity	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2024 Retention Evaluation: Haines, Trisha

Survey Category	Mean	Poor (1)	Deficient (2)	Acceptable (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	0	0	0	6	42	48
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	0	0	0	5	43	48
Attentive During Proceedings	4.8	0	0	2	5	41	48
Control Over Proceedings	4.9	0	0	0	5	43	48
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	0	0	0	5	42	47
Overall Evaluation	4.9	0	0	0	6	41	47