Table 29 Leslie Dickson Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	%
	All respondents	156	100
<b>Experience with Judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	118	75.6
	Professional reputation	20	12.8
	Other personal contacts	18	11.5
Detailed Experience*			
-	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	104	88.1
	Substantial amount of experience	42	35.6
	Moderate amount of experience	36	30.5
	Limited amount of experience	40	33.9
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.6
	Private, solo	21	13.5
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	10.3
	Private, 6+ attorneys	20	12.8
	Private, corporate employee	2	1.3
	Judge or judicial officer	35	22.4
	Government	34	21.8
	Public service agency or organization	7	4.5
	Retired	19	12.2
	Other	1	0.6
Length of Alaska Practice	outer .	•	0.0
Dength of Husha I fuetice	No response	24	15.4
	5 years or fewer	17	10.9
	6 to 10 years	7	4.5
	11 to 15 years	11	7.1
	16 to 20 years	21	13.5
	More than 20 years	76	48.7
Cases Handled	William 20 years	70	40.7
Cases Handicu	No response	1	0.6
	Prosecution	10	6.4
	Criminal	25	16.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	66	42.3
	Civil	46	29.5
	Other	8	5.1
Location of Practice	Other	o	3.1
Location of Fractice	No rasponsa	2	1.3
	No response First District	3	
	Second District	1	1.9 0.6
	Third District		
		136	87.2
	Fourth District	12	7.7
G 1	Outside Alaska	2	1.3
Gender	NT	1	<u> </u>
	No response	1	0.6
	Male	91	58.3
	Female	64	41.0
	Another identity	-	-

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30 Leslie Dickson **Detailed Responses** 

	n	Legal Ability M	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	156	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation	100			,			
Direct professional experience	118	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	104	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	11	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	42	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	40	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	20	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Other personal contacts	18	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	32	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Government	30	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	10	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	17	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.0
6 to 10 years	6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.7
11 to 15 years	11	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	16	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5
More than 20 years	50	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8
Criminal	22	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	52	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Civil	29	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Other	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	3.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	105	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	7	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0
Gender*		2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.0
Male	65	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Female	52	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Another identity		-		_		-	-

<sup>\*</sup>Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23 Leslie Dickson Description of Respondents' Experience

		n	%
	All respondents	32	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	30	93.8
	Professional reputation	-	-
	Other personal contacts	2	6.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	30	100.0
	Substantial amount of experience	8	26.7
	Moderate amount of experience	12	40.0
	Limited amount of experience	10	33.3

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24 Leslie Dickson **Detailed Responses** 

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	32	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>						
Direct professional experience	30	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	30	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	8	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.6	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.8
Limited amount of experience	10	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	-	-	_	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

Table 21 Leslie Dickson Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	%
	All respondents	23	100
<b>Experience with Judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	20	87.0
	Professional reputation	2	8.7
	Other personal contacts	1	4.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	20	100.0
	Substantial amount of experience	2	10.0
	Moderate amount of experience	8	40.0
	Limited amount of experience	10	50.0
Type of Work			
	No response	-	-
	State law enforcement officer	9	39.1
	Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	13	56.5
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
	Probation/Parole officer	-	-
	Other	1	4.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer			
	No response	-	-
	5 years or fewer	-	-
	6 to 10 years	2	8.7
	11 to 15 years	4	17.4
	16 to 20 years	8	34.8
	More than 20 years	9	39.1
<b>Community Population</b>	·		
•	No response	-	-
	Under 2,000	-	-
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.7
	Over 35,000	21	91.3
Location of Work	·		
	No response	-	_
	First District	-	-
	Second District	_	_
	Third District	23	100.0
	Fourth District	-	_
Gender			
	No response	-	
	Male	19	82.6
	Female	3	13.0
	Another identity		
<del></del>	direct professional experience with the judge	+	+

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

<sup>+</sup>Too few respondents to report.

Table 22 Leslie Dickson **Detailed Responses** 

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	23	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.9
Limited amount of experience	10	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	2	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	4	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.9
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	19	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	20	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	16	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Female	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

<sup>\*</sup>Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

<sup>+</sup>Too few respondents to report.

## Juror Survey Results 2024 Retention Evaluation: Dickson, Leslie

Survey Category	Mean	Poor (1)	Deficient (2)	Acceptable (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	0	0	0	3	17	20
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	0	0	0	1	19	20
Attentive During Proceedings	5.0	0	0	0	1	19	20
Control Over Proceedings	5.0	0	0	0	0	20	20
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	0	0	0	2	17	19
Overall Evaluation	4.9	0	0	0	1	17	18