

Table 45
Maria P. Bahr
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	99	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	76	76.8
Professional reputation	8	8.1
Other personal contacts	15	15.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	66	88.0
Substantial amount of experience	26	34.2
Moderate amount of experience	33	43.4
Limited amount of experience	17	22.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	13	13.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	13.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.0
Private, corporate employee	1	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	29	29.3
Government	26	26.3
Public service agency or organization	2	2.0
Retired	9	9.1
Other	1	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	9.1
5 years or fewer	9	9.1
6 to 10 years	7	7.1
11 to 15 years	14	14.1
16 to 20 years	8	8.1
More than 20 years	52	52.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	6	6.1
Criminal	12	12.1
Mixed criminal & civil	45	45.5
Civil	28	28.3
Other	7	7.1
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.0
First District	5	5.1
Second District	2	2.0
Third District	50	50.5
Fourth District	40	40.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	2.0
Male	46	46.5
Female	51	51.5
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 46
Maria P. Bahr
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	99	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	76	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	66	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	9	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	33	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Limited amount of experience	17	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	8	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6
Other personal contacts	15	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.4
Government	19	4.1	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.2
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	12	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	7	4.0	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.2
More than 20 years	38	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	3.2	2.8	3.6	4.2	3.6	3.0
Criminal	10	3.9	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	37	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.2
Civil	19	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Other	4	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Third District	34	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	36	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	39	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Female	36	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
Maria P. Bahr
Description of Respondents' Experience

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	34	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	33	97.1
Professional reputation	1	2.9
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	32	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	8	24.2
Moderate amount of experience	14	42.4
Limited amount of experience	11	33.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Maria P. Bahr
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	34	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	33	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	32	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	8	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	14	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.6
Limited amount of experience	11	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

Juror Survey Results 2024 Retention Evaluation: Bahr, Maria Pia L.

Survey Category	Mean	Poor (1)	Deficient (2)	Acceptable (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	0	0	0	3	50	53
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	0	0	0	2	51	53
Attentive During Proceedings	4.9	0	0	0	4	49	53
Control Over Proceedings	5.0	0	0	0	2	51	53
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	0	0	0	3	50	53
Overall Evaluation	4.9	0	0	0	4	49	53