

Table 21
Rachel Ahrens
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	80	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	75	93.8
Professional reputation	4	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	1.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	73	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	26	34.7
Moderate amount of experience	27	36.0
Limited amount of experience	22	29.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	15	18.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	11.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	12.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	20.0
Government	23	28.8
Public service agency or organization	3	3.8
Retired	4	5.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	5	6.3
5 years or fewer	16	20.0
6 to 10 years	6	7.5
11 to 15 years	9	11.3
16 to 20 years	12	15.0
More than 20 years	32	40.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	4	5.0
Criminal	10	12.5
Mixed criminal & civil	32	40.0
Civil	33	41.3
Other	1	1.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	4	5.0
Second District	2	2.5
Third District	68	85.0
Fourth District	2	2.5
Outside Alaska	4	5.0
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	48	60.0
Female	32	40.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Rachel Ahrens
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	80	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	75	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	73	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	27	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	22	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.1	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Government	23	3.3	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.6
Public service agency or organization	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Retired	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
6 to 10 years	6	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.2	3.7	3.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.4
16 to 20 years	11	3.6	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
More than 20 years	29	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	2.3	3.0	3.7	3.3	2.7	2.7
Criminal	10	3.6	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	31	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Civil	30	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.0	4.2
Other	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.3	4.0
Second District	2	3.0	4.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	4.0
Third District	63	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	4	3.0	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8
Gender*							
Male	47	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Female	28	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Rachel Ahrens
Description of Respondents' Experience

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	11	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	8	72.7
Professional reputation	2	18.2
Other personal contacts	1	9.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	8	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	6	75.0
Moderate amount of experience	-	-
Limited amount of experience	2	25.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Rachel Ahrens
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	11	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
Experience within last 5 years	8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	-	-	5.0	-	5.0

Juror Survey Results 2024 Retention Evaluation: Ahrens, Rachel

Survey Category	Mean	Poor (1)	Deficient (2)	Acceptable (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	0	0	0	5	35	40
Respectful/Courteous	4.8	0	0	0	6	34	40
Attentive During Proceedings	4.9	0	0	0	5	35	40
Control Over Proceedings	4.8	0	0	1	8	31	40
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.7	0	1	1	8	30	40
Overall Evaluation	4.8	0	0	1	6	33	40