



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Technical Report

Ashley Hannigan, MA, Research Professional
Rosylnd Frazier, MSPH, Senior Research Professional

May 9-13, 2022

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

<https://www.iseralaska.org/>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology.....	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management	6
Results.....	7
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	12
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice	14
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled	15
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	16
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	17
Table 11: Judge Bethany Harbison: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	18
Table 12: Judge Bethany Harbison: Detailed Responses	19
Table 13: Judge Amy Gurton Mead: Demographic Description of Respondents	20
Table 14: Judge Amy Gurton Mead: Detailed Responses.....	21
Table 15: Judge Jude Pate: Demographic Description of Respondents	22
Table 16: Judge Jude Pate: Detailed Responses	23
Table 17: Judge Daniel Schally: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 18: Judge Daniel Schally: Detailed Responses.....	25
Table 19: Judge Kirsten Swanson: Demographic Description of Respondents	26
Table 20: Judge Kirsten Swanson: Detailed Responses	27
Table 21: Judge John C. Cagle: Demographic Description of Respondents	28
Table 22: Judge John C. Cagle: Detailed Responses.....	29
Table 23: Judge Catherine M. Easter: Demographic Description of Respondents	30
Table 24: Judge Catherine M. Easter: Detailed Responses	31
Table 25: Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	32
Table 26: Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir: Detailed Responses	33
Table 27: Judge Josie Garton: Demographic Description of Respondents	34
Table 28: Judge Josie Garton: Detailed Responses	35
Table 29: Judge Jason Gist: Demographic Description of Respondents	36
Table 30: Judge Jason Gist: Detailed Responses.....	37
Table 31: Judge Lance Joanis: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	38
Table 32: Judge Lance Joanis: Detailed Responses.....	39
Table 33: Judge Kari Kristiansen: Demographic Description of Respondents	40
Table 34: Judge Kari Kristiansen: Detailed Responses	41
Table 35: Judge Erin B. Marston: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	42
Table 36: Judge Erin B. Marston: Detailed Responses	43
Table 37: Judge Thomas A. Matthews: Demographic Description of Respondents	44
Table 38: Judge Thomas A. Matthews: Detailed Responses.....	45
Table 39: Judge Andrew Peterson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	46
Table 40: Judge Andrew Peterson: Detailed Responses.....	47
Table 41: Judge Peter Ramgren: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	48

Table 42: Judge Peter Ramgren: Detailed Responses49
Table 43: Judge Kevin M. Saxby: Demographic Description of Respondents50
Table 44: Judge Kevin M. Saxby: Detailed Responses51
Table 45: Judge Kristen C. Stohler: Demographic Description of Respondents.....52
Table 46: Judge Kristen C. Stohler: Detailed Responses53
Table 47: Judge Stephen B. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents54
Table 48: Judge Stephen B. Wallace: Detailed Responses.....55
Table 49: Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung: Demographic Description of Respondents.....56
Table 50: Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung: Detailed Responses57
Table 51: Judge Brian K. Clark: Demographic Description of Respondents58
Table 52: Judge Brian K. Clark: Detailed Responses.....59
Table 53: Judge Martin C. Fallon: Demographic Description of Respondents60
Table 54: Judge Martin C. Fallon: Detailed Responses.....61
Table 55: Judge Tom V. Jamgochian: Demographic Description of Respondents62
Table 56: Judge Tom V. Jamgochian: Detailed Responses.....63
Table 57: Judge David A. Nesbett: Demographic Description of Respondents.....64
Table 58: Judge David A. Nesbett: Detailed Responses65
Table 59: Judge Shawn Traini: Demographic Description of Respondents66
Table 60: Judge Shawn Traini: Detailed Responses.....67
Table 61: Judge Brent Bennett: Demographic Description of Respondents68
Table 62: Judge Brent Bennett: Detailed Responses69
Table 63: Judge Terrence Haas: Demographic Description of Respondents70
Table 64: Judge Terrence Haas: Detailed Responses71
Table 65: Judge Earl Peterson: Demographic Description of Respondents72
Table 66: Judge Earl Peterson: Detailed Responses73
Table 67: Judge Thomas Temple: Demographic Description of Respondents74
Table 68: Judge Thomas Temple: Detailed Responses75
Table 69: Judge Ben Seekins: Demographic Description of Respondents.....76
Table 70: Judge Ben Seekins: Detailed Responses77

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to evaluate the performance of 30 judges as required by Alaska statutes. This survey includes 30 judges (appellate judges appear first, followed by trial court judges who are grouped by judicial district, and within judicial districts, by superior and district courts): Judge Bethany Harbison, Judge Amy Gurton Mead, Judge Jude Pate, Judge Daniel Schally, Judge Kirsten Swanson, Judge John C. Cagle, Judge Catherine M. Easter, Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir, Judge Josie Garton, Judge Jason Gist, Judge Lance Joanis, Judge Kari Kristiansen, Judge Erin B. Marston, Judge Thomas A. Matthews, Judge Andrew Peterson, Judge Peter Ramgren, Judge Kevin M. Saxby, Judge Kristen C. Stohler, Judge Stephen B. Wallace, Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung, Judge Brian K. Clark, Judge Martin C. Fallon, Judge Tom V. Jamgochian, Judge David A. Nesbett, Judge Shawn Traini, Judge Brent Bennett, Judge Terrence Haas, Judge Earl Peterson, Judge Thomas Temple, and Judge Ben Seekins.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate the judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
Judge Bethany Harbison	115	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	115	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1
Judge Jude Pate	106	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.4
Judge Daniel Schally	150	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	67	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Judge John C. Cagle	108	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Judge Catherine M. Easter	202	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	192	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Judge Josie Garton	174	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Judge Jason Gist	86	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Judge Lance Joanis	76	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1
Judge Kari Kristiansen	166	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Judge Erin B. Marston	175	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	171	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Judge Andrew Peterson	117	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0
Judge Peter Ramgren	120	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	127	3.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	82	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	79	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	138	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Judge Brian K. Clark	141	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Judge Martin C. Fallon	52	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.4
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	61	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3
Judge David A. Nesbett	97	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.5
Judge Shawn Traini	63	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Judge Brent Bennett	80	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
Judge Terrence Haas	78	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	87	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9
Judge Thomas Temple	86	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.9
Judge Ben Seekins	85	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

2022 Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate justices and judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State’s voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, and Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to evaluate the performance of 30 judges as required by Alaska statutes. This survey includes 30 judges (appellate judges appear first, followed by trial court judges who are grouped by judicial district, and within judicial districts, by superior and district courts): Judge Bethany Harbison, Judge Amy Gurton Mead, Judge Jude Pate, Judge Daniel Schally, Judge Kirsten Swanson, Judge John C. Cagle, Judge Catherine M. Easter, Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir, Judge Josie Garton, Judge Jason Gist, Judge Lance Joanis, Judge Kari Kristiansen, Judge Erin B. Marston, Judge Thomas A. Matthews, Judge Andrew Peterson, Judge Peter Ramgren, Judge Kevin M. Saxby, Judge Kristen C. Stohler, Judge Stephen B. Wallace, Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung, Judge Brian K. Clark, Judge Martin C. Fallon, Judge Tom V. Jamgochian, Judge David A. Nesbett, Judge Shawn Traini, Judge Brent Bennett, Judge Terrence Haas, Judge Earl Peterson, Judge Thomas Temple, and Judge Ben Seekins.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,578 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,566) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Five individuals received only a paper version of the survey and seven individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 1,033 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered “No” to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 17 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question; and six surveys were excluded because the respondent did not answer any other questions but the certification question. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed an online survey. Therefore, 1,010 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned five paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. Two paper surveys were excluded because they were unsigned. No paper surveys were excluded because the respondent did not respond to the question certifying that he/she had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, three paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 1,010 online surveys and three paper surveys, for a total of 1,013 surveys and a survey return rate of 28.3%. Of the 1,013 returned surveys, 286 (28.2%) did not rate any of the 30 judges; 727 (71.8%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

	All Respondents	Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge		
		<i>n</i>	%	
	1013	100		
		727	100	
Type of Practice				
No response	8	0.8	5	0.7
Private, solo	205	20.2	149	20.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	110	10.9	87	12.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	129	12.7	87	12.0
Private, corporate employee	27	2.7	16	2.2
Judge or judicial officer	83	8.2	78	10.7
Government	253	25.0	188	25.9
Public service agency or organization	44	4.3	26	3.5
Retired	137	13.5	81	11.1
Other	17	1.7	10	1.4
Length of Alaska Practice				
No response	120	11.8	82	11.3
5 years or fewer	124	12.2	81	11.1
6 to 10 years	111	11.0	87	12.0
11 to 15 years	102	10.1	81	11.1
16 to 20 years	104	10.3	72	9.9
More than 20 years	452	44.6	324	44.6
Cases Handled				
No response	11	1.1	6	0.8
Prosecution	69	6.8	54	7.5
Criminal	88	8.7	70	9.6
Mixed criminal & civil	226	22.3	184	25.3
Civil	548	54.1	373	51.3
Other	71	7.0	40	5.5
Location of Practice				
No response	12	1.2	6	0.8
First District	130	12.8	92	12.7
Second District	15	1.5	9	1.2
Third District	705	69.6	518	71.3
Fourth District	112	11.1	95	13.1
Outside Alaska	39	3.8	7	0.9
Gender				
No response	13	1.3	9	1.2
Male	588	58.0	431	59.3
Female	407	40.2	285	39.2
Another identity	5	0.5	2	0.3

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge. Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the judges as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these judges.”

Respondents evaluated judges in six areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Legal Ability: Please evaluate the judge’s legal and factual analysis including the judge’s knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge’s writing clarity and precision.

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. Two paper surveys were returned without a name on the outside of the envelope and no signed comments. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper surveys were entered, a second staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, appellate judges appear first, followed by trial court judges who are grouped by judicial district, and within judicial districts, by superior and district courts.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present detail on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides judges' mean scores broken down by location of practice. Table 10 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-70 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Judge Bethany Harbison	175	17.3	65.7	26.9	7.4
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	142	14.0	81.0	13.4	5.6
Judge Jude Pate	139	13.7	76.3	16.5	7.2
Judge Daniel Schally	168	16.6	89.3	8.3	2.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	83	8.2	80.7	13.3	6.0
Judge John C. Cagle	118	11.6	91.5	5.9	2.5
Judge Catherine M. Easter	242	12.9	83.5	11.6	5.0
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	235	23.2	81.7	13.2	5.1
Judge Josie Garton	206	20.3	84.5	9.7	5.8
Judge Jason Gist	99	9.8	86.9	6.1	7.1
Judge Lance Joanis	85	8.4	89.4	4.7	5.9
Judge Kari Kristiansen	179	17.7	92.7	6.1	1.1
Judge Erin B. Marston	218	21.5	80.3	11.9	7.8
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	197	19.4	86.8	8.6	4.6
Judge Andrew Peterson	135	13.3	86.7	8.1	5.2
Judge Peter Ramgren	141	13.9	85.1	9.9	5.0
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	143	14.1	88.8	9.8	1.4
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	94	9.3	87.2	9.6	3.2
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	98	9.7	80.6	13.3	6.1
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	157	15.5	87.9	7.0	5.1
Judge Brian K. Clark	160	15.8	88.1	8.1	3.8
Judge Martin C. Fallon	57	5.6	91.2	7.0	1.8
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	73	7.2	83.6	6.8	9.6
Judge David A. Nesbett	120	11.8	80.8	10.0	9.2
Judge Shawn Traini	69	6.8	91.3	8.7	-
Judge Brent Bennett	87	8.6	92.0	5.7	2.3
Judge Terrence Haas	89	8.8	87.6	7.9	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	92	9.1	94.6	3.3	2.2
Judge Thomas Temple	96	9.5	89.6	8.3	2.1
Judge Ben Seekins	95	9.4	89.5	8.4	2.1

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Judge Bethany Harbison	172	4.5	5.0	0.8	114	4.5	5.0	0.9
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	139	4.2	4.0	1.0	113	4.1	4.0	1.0
Judge Jude Pate	136	4.4	5.0	0.9	104	4.4	5.0	0.9
Judge Daniel Schally	167	4.3	5.0	1.0	149	4.4	5.0	0.9
Judge Kirsten Swanson	81	4.4	5.0	0.9	65	4.4	5.0	0.9
Judge John C. Cagle	116	4.3	5.0	0.8	106	4.3	5.0	0.8
Judge Catherine M. Easter	241	4.5	5.0	0.8	201	4.5	5.0	0.8
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	228	4.4	5.0	0.9	188	4.3	5.0	0.9
Judge Josie Garton	204	4.6	5.0	0.7	173	4.6	5.0	0.6
Judge Jason Gist	98	4.3	5.0	0.9	86	4.3	5.0	1.0
Judge Lance Joanis	83	4.2	4.0	0.9	74	4.1	4.0	1.0
Judge Kari Kristiansen	178	4.0	4.0	1.1	166	4.0	4.0	1.1
Judge Erin B. Marston	214	4.3	5.0	0.9	173	4.3	5.0	1.0
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	195	4.5	5.0	0.8	169	4.5	5.0	0.8
Judge Andrew Peterson	133	4.1	4.0	1.1	117	4.0	4.0	1.1
Judge Peter Ramgren	141	4.1	4.0	1.0	120	4.1	4.0	1.0
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	142	3.9	4.0	1.1	126	3.9	4.0	1.1
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	93	4.4	5.0	0.8	82	4.4	5.0	0.9
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	98	4.0	4.0	1.1	79	4.1	4.0	1.0
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	156	4.3	5.0	1.0	137	4.2	5.0	1.0
Judge Brian K. Clark	160	4.6	5.0	0.8	141	4.6	5.0	0.8
Judge Martin C. Fallon	56	4.3	5.0	1.0	51	4.4	5.0	0.9
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	71	4.3	5.0	1.0	61	4.3	5.0	0.9
Judge David A. Nesbett	116	4.5	5.0	0.7	95	4.5	5.0	0.7
Judge Shawn Traini	69	4.4	5.0	0.7	63	4.4	5.0	0.7
Judge Brent Bennett	84	4.5	5.0	0.8	78	4.5	5.0	0.7
Judge Terrence Haas	86	4.5	5.0	0.9	76	4.5	5.0	0.8
Judge Earl Peterson	91	3.9	4.0	1.1	86	3.9	4.0	1.1
Judge Thomas Temple	96	3.8	4.0	1.1	86	3.9	4.0	1.1
Judge Ben Seekins	93	4.2	4.0	1.0	83	4.1	4.0	1.0

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Judge Bethany Harbison	114	1	0.9	6	5.3	4	3.5	24	21.1	79	69.3
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	113	1	0.9	7	6.2	21	18.6	31	27.4	53	46.9
Judge Jude Pate	104	1	1.0	2	1.9	16	15.4	25	24.0	60	57.7
Judge Daniel Schally	149	2	1.3	3	2.0	18	12.1	43	28.9	83	55.7
Judge Kirsten Swanson	65	-	-	3	4.6	7	10.8	17	26.2	38	58.5
Judge John C. Cagle	106	-	-	2	1.9	14	13.2	36	34.0	54	50.9
Judge Catherine M. Easter	201	2	1.0	6	3.0	16	8.0	52	25.9	125	62.2
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	188	1	0.5	12	6.4	16	8.5	55	29.3	104	55.3
Judge Josie Garton	173	-	-	1	0.6	11	6.4	42	24.3	119	68.8
Judge Jason Gist	86	2	2.3	3	3.5	10	11.6	24	27.9	47	54.7
Judge Lance Joanis	74	1	1.4	3	4.1	16	21.6	21	28.4	33	44.6
Judge Kari Kristiansen	166	6	3.6	14	8.4	22	13.3	55	33.1	69	41.6
Judge Erin B. Marston	173	2	1.2	10	5.8	20	11.6	45	26.0	96	55.5
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	169	2	1.2	4	2.4	14	8.3	41	24.3	108	63.9
Judge Andrew Peterson	117	2	1.7	11	9.4	22	18.8	30	25.6	52	44.4
Judge Peter Ramgren	120	-	-	10	8.3	20	16.7	37	30.8	53	44.2
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	126	4	3.2	9	7.1	30	23.8	41	32.5	42	33.3
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	82	1	1.2	3	3.7	7	8.5	26	31.7	45	54.9
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	79	1	1.3	5	6.3	10	12.7	30	38.0	33	41.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

*Table 5 (cont.)
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating*

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	137	2	1.5	10	7.3	17	12.4	38	27.7	70	51.1
Judge Brian K. Clark	141	2	1.4	1	0.7	11	7.8	30	21.3	97	68.8
Judge Martin C. Fallon	51	-	-	2	3.9	7	13.7	12	23.5	30	58.8
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	61	-	-	4	6.6	7	11.5	16	26.2	34	55.7
Judge David A. Nesbett	95	-	-	2	2.1	8	8.4	24	25.3	61	64.2
Judge Shawn Traini	63	-	-	1	1.6	7	11.1	23	36.5	32	50.8
Judge Brent Bennett	78	-	-	2	2.6	5	6.4	20	25.6	51	65.4
Judge Terrence Haas	76	-	-	3	3.9	8	10.5	12	15.8	53	69.7
Judge Earl Peterson	86	2	2.3	9	10.5	19	22.1	21	24.4	35	40.7
Judge Thomas Temple	86	1	1.2	10	11.6	18	20.9	25	29.1	32	37.2
Judge Ben Seekins	83	2	2.4	4	4.8	15	18.1	23	27.7	39	47.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Bethany Harbison	16	4.2	7	3.9	2	4.5	-	-	37	4.8	27	4.3	2	3.5	18	4.8	3	5.0	4.5
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	23	3.7	9	3.7	8	4.8	-	-	27	4.6	28	3.8	3	4.7	13	4.5	2	4.0	4.1
Judge Jude Pate	24	4.3	12	3.8	9	4.3	-	-	20	4.9	26	4.2	3	3.0	8	4.9	2	5.0	4.4
Judge Daniel Schally	31	4.0	18	4.4	11	4.1	-	-	30	4.8	34	4.4	3	4.0	20	4.4	2	5.0	4.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	16	4.1	8	4.5	1	5.0	-	-	16	4.7	13	4.5	2	2.0	9	4.4	-	-	4.4
Judge John C. Cagle	25	4.5	13	4.0	11	4.4	-	-	20	4.7	28	4.1	-	-	9	4.4	-	-	4.3
Judge Catherine M. Easter	41	4.1	17	4.3	26	4.6	1	3.0	33	4.7	56	4.5	4	4.3	20	4.7	1	5.0	4.5
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	44	4.1	30	4.2	30	4.4	2	3.5	31	4.7	33	4.2	3	4.3	13	4.8	1	5.0	4.3
Judge Josie Garton	37	4.5	23	4.5	27	4.7	1	3.0	32	4.8	40	4.6	2	5.0	10	4.9	-	-	4.6
Judge Jason Gist	15	4.1	18	4.3	11	4.4	-	-	18	4.5	17	4.1	1	4.0	5	4.4	1	5.0	4.3
Judge Lance Joanis	19	4.1	13	3.8	9	4.0	-	-	15	4.6	14	4.0	-	-	4	3.8	-	-	4.1
Judge Kari Kristiansen	39	3.7	20	3.8	19	4.4	-	-	27	4.3	37	3.8	3	4.3	20	4.6	-	-	4.0
Judge Erin B. Marston	39	4.3	16	4.4	19	4.5	3	5.0	23	4.6	44	3.8	3	4.0	23	4.6	2	3.5	4.3
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	38	4.5	24	4.4	37	4.4	2	5.0	29	4.9	31	4.1	1	5.0	6	4.7	1	5.0	4.5
Judge Andrew Peterson	13	4.6	13	3.5	8	4.3	-	-	27	4.6	45	3.6	2	4.0	8	4.3	1	5.0	4.0
Judge Peter Ramgren	32	4.1	16	4.0	10	3.5	1	5.0	27	4.5	22	3.9	1	4.0	10	4.2	1	5.0	4.1
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	21	4.1	10	3.2	5	4.2	1	4.0	22	4.3	50	3.6	2	3.5	15	3.9	-	-	3.9
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	20	4.2	9	4.4	8	4.3	-	-	17	4.9	18	4.1	3	4.7	5	4.4	1	5.0	4.4
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	16	4.0	9	4.2	7	3.7	-	-	14	4.8	21	3.6	-	-	11	4.5	1	5.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6 (cont.)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Private, solo M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	35	4.4	14	3.9	15	4.1	1	5.0	24	4.8	35	3.7	3	4.0	10	4.4	-	-	4.2
Judge Brian K. Clark	36	4.7	16	4.1	12	4.7	1	3.0	26	4.9	33	4.2	4	4.8	13	4.7	-	-	4.6
Judge Martin C. Fallon	11	4.7	8	4.0	4	4.8	-	-	15	4.5	10	4.2	-	-	3	3.7	-	-	4.4
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	10	4.5	7	3.1	5	4.6	-	-	18	4.9	14	3.9	-	-	7	4.3	-	-	4.3
Judge David A. Nesbett	17	4.3	8	4.4	14	4.7	1	5.0	20	4.7	29	4.4	3	4.7	2	5.0	-	-	4.5
Judge Shawn Traini	15	4.5	7	3.9	5	4.2	-	-	17	4.6	15	4.1	-	-	4	4.5	-	-	4.4
Judge Brent Bennett	10	4.8	10	3.9	3	3.7	-	-	18	4.7	24	4.5	6	5.0	7	4.6	-	-	4.5
Judge Terrence Haas	6	4.8	5	4.4	4	4.0	-	-	25	4.8	25	4.3	3	4.7	6	4.3	2	5.0	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	12	4.0	14	3.9	3	4.0	-	-	18	4.4	24	3.6	6	3.5	8	3.8	1	5.0	3.9
Judge Thomas Temple	10	4.2	14	3.9	5	3.4	-	-	21	4.5	25	3.4	4	3.5	5	3.8	2	5.0	3.9
Judge Ben Seekins	13	4.5	14	4.1	3	4.3	-	-	20	4.5	15	3.5	3	4.3	15	3.9	-	-	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Bethany Harbison	6	4.5	10	3.9	10	4.8	12	4.7	63	4.5	4.5
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	9	4.1	10	4.3	14	3.9	11	3.8	60	4.2	4.1
Judge Jude Pate	14	4.2	13	4.4	15	4.0	9	4.2	46	4.5	4.4
Judge Daniel Schally	8	4.8	20	4.6	20	4.3	14	4.1	77	4.3	4.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	6	4.0	6	4.3	7	4.6	4	3.5	35	4.4	4.4
Judge John C. Cagle	12	4.2	13	4.1	8	4.0	14	4.6	46	4.5	4.3
Judge Catherine M. Easter	16	4.6	20	4.7	14	4.2	13	4.6	111	4.4	4.5
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	11	4.3	18	4.1	26	4.1	16	4.1	94	4.5	4.3
Judge Josie Garton	13	4.2	19	4.8	23	4.5	23	4.7	82	4.6	4.6
Judge Jason Gist	10	4.3	5	4.6	12	4.3	13	4.2	36	4.2	4.3
Judge Lance Joanis	8	4.1	2	3.5	13	3.8	11	4.5	35	4.2	4.1
Judge Kari Kristiansen	13	4.1	16	3.8	13	4.1	22	4.2	78	4.0	4.0
Judge Erin B. Marston	13	4.2	13	4.0	11	3.5	11	4.5	101	4.4	4.3
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	12	4.4	20	4.2	19	4.3	16	4.4	86	4.5	4.5
Judge Andrew Peterson	16	3.4	11	3.5	9	3.8	13	4.5	54	4.3	4.0
Judge Peter Ramgren	6	3.5	14	3.7	12	4.1	14	4.4	60	4.2	4.1
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	15	3.7	12	3.7	12	3.9	9	3.8	60	4.0	3.9
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	9	4.4	12	4.3	9	4.1	12	4.1	31	4.5	4.4
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	5	2.8	4	4.0	10	4.8	7	3.6	42	4.3	4.1
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	17	3.6	14	3.8	11	4.0	11	4.5	65	4.4	4.2
Judge Brian K. Clark	13	4.2	12	4.7	11	4.3	14	4.7	76	4.6	4.6
Judge Martin C. Fallon	7	4.7	3	4.0	8	4.0	7	4.7	20	4.4	4.4
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	6	4.0	5	4.6	10	4.1	8	4.8	22	4.4	4.3
Judge David A. Nesbett	18	4.4	8	4.4	5	4.2	11	4.7	37	4.5	4.5
Judge Shawn Traini	8	4.0	5	4.8	5	3.8	8	4.5	29	4.4	4.4
Judge Brent Bennett	11	4.5	9	4.7	11	4.6	12	4.5	31	4.6	4.5
Judge Terrence Haas	10	4.1	7	4.4	16	4.6	10	4.9	28	4.6	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	11	3.8	9	3.7	11	4.8	12	4.0	37	3.7	3.9
Judge Thomas Temple	15	3.5	7	4.1	11	4.0	14	4.1	35	3.8	3.9
Judge Ben Seekins	8	3.9	5	3.6	9	4.3	9	4.1	46	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
Judge Bethany Harbison	13	4.1	20	4.7	55	4.7	19	4.3	4	4.5	4.5
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	10	3.0	7	4.0	45	4.3	45	4.1	6	4.7	4.1
Judge Jude Pate	9	4.4	10	4.3	41	4.4	39	4.3	5	4.6	4.4
Judge Daniel Schally	12	4.8	11	4.2	63	4.5	58	4.2	5	3.8	4.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	6	4.3	7	4.4	31	4.5	19	4.1	2	5.0	4.4
Judge John C. Cagle	8	3.9	11	4.2	48	4.3	35	4.5	4	4.3	4.3
Judge Catherine M. Easter	19	4.6	31	4.4	64	4.5	79	4.4	6	5.0	4.5
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	4	3.8	6	4.0	58	4.6	110	4.2	8	4.5	4.3
Judge Josie Garton	7	4.0	10	4.9	55	4.7	92	4.5	7	4.7	4.6
Judge Jason Gist	5	3.2	14	4.6	32	4.4	29	4.1	6	4.8	4.3
Judge Lance Joanis	2	4.0	11	3.8	29	4.0	28	4.2	4	4.8	4.1
Judge Kari Kristiansen	11	3.9	16	3.7	57	4.2	76	3.9	5	4.6	4.0
Judge Erin B. Marston	17	4.2	25	3.9	52	4.3	73	4.4	5	4.2	4.3
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	4	4.3	4	4.8	39	4.7	113	4.4	9	4.4	4.5
Judge Andrew Peterson	18	3.5	23	3.9	47	4.2	23	4.1	5	4.2	4.0
Judge Peter Ramgren	6	4.3	4	4.8	44	4.3	62	3.9	3	4.3	4.1
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	20	3.6	25	3.6	47	3.9	29	4.1	5	4.0	3.9
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	4	3.3	8	4.8	38	4.6	29	4.2	2	4.5	4.4
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	9	4.0	10	3.5	37	4.3	20	4.2	3	4.3	4.1
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	17	3.7	23	3.7	50	4.3	43	4.5	3	4.7	4.2
Judge Brian K. Clark	19	4.5	18	4.1	55	4.6	44	4.8	5	4.2	4.6
Judge Martin C. Fallon	2	4.5	9	4.3	22	4.2	16	4.5	2	5.0	4.4
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	5	3.8	12	4.2	37	4.4	6	4.7	1	4.0	4.3
Judge David A. Nesbett	16	4.3	21	4.7	29	4.5	26	4.5	1	5.0	4.5
Judge Shawn Traini	5	4.6	12	4.3	37	4.4	8	4.3	1	4.0	4.4
Judge Brent Bennett	7	4.1	6	4.3	39	4.6	22	4.5	4	5.0	4.5
Judge Terrence Haas	7	3.9	9	4.6	41	4.7	12	4.3	6	4.7	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	7	3.6	7	3.4	38	4.2	30	3.7	4	4.5	3.9
Judge Thomas Temple	11	3.2	6	3.7	38	4.2	27	3.9	4	4.0	3.9
Judge Ben Seekins	8	2.8	8	4.1	40	4.2	23	4.3	4	5.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Bethany Harbison	7	4.1	2	4.5	62	4.5	40	4.6	1	4.0	4.5
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	57	3.9	2	4.0	44	4.3	10	4.6	-	-	4.1
Judge Jude Pate	43	4.3	2	5.0	52	4.3	7	4.7	-	-	4.4
Judge Daniel Schally	52	4.2	2	5.0	84	4.4	9	4.6	2	4.5	4.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	38	4.3	-	-	23	4.5	4	4.8	-	-	4.4
Judge John C. Cagle	2	4.5	-	-	97	4.4	7	3.9	-	-	4.3
Judge Catherine M. Easter	4	4.8	3	4.7	185	4.4	6	4.5	1	5.0	4.5
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	5	4.2	3	4.3	171	4.3	8	4.9	-	-	4.3
Judge Josie Garton	4	4.5	2	5.0	159	4.6	7	4.9	-	-	4.6
Judge Jason Gist	1	5.0	1	5.0	81	4.3	3	4.0	-	-	4.3
Judge Lance Joanis	2	4.5	2	4.5	66	4.1	3	4.3	1	3.0	4.1
Judge Kari Kristiansen	5	4.2	-	-	150	4.0	7	4.4	3	3.0	4.0
Judge Erin B. Marston	1	5.0	3	4.7	160	4.3	6	4.7	2	4.0	4.3
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	6	4.7	2	4.5	156	4.5	5	4.6	-	-	4.5
Judge Andrew Peterson	2	3.5	3	3.3	106	4.0	5	4.4	1	4.0	4.0
Judge Peter Ramgren	1	5.0	1	5.0	115	4.1	3	4.3	-	-	4.1
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	4	4.3	3	3.0	114	3.9	4	3.8	1	5.0	3.9
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	1	5.0	1	5.0	72	4.3	6	4.8	1	3.0	4.4
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	4	4.5	2	2.5	68	4.1	5	4.6	-	-	4.1
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	2	3.5	2	3.0	126	4.2	6	4.7	1	2.0	4.2
Judge Brian K. Clark	3	3.7	2	4.5	130	4.6	6	4.7	-	-	4.6
Judge Martin C. Fallon	1	2.0	2	4.5	45	4.4	3	5.0	-	-	4.4
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	1	5.0	1	5.0	50	4.3	9	4.3	-	-	4.3
Judge David A. Nesbitt	1	5.0	1	5.0	89	4.5	3	4.7	-	-	4.5
Judge Shawn Traini	1	5.0	1	4.0	59	4.3	1	5.0	1	5.0	4.4
Judge Brent Bennett	1	5.0	1	5.0	19	4.6	57	4.5	-	-	4.5
Judge Terrence Haas	4	4.0	4	4.3	38	4.6	30	4.5	-	-	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	5	4.2	1	5.0	23	4.1	57	3.8	-	-	3.9
Judge Thomas Temple	2	4.5	1	5.0	29	3.8	53	3.9	1	5.0	3.9
Judge Ben Seekins	4	3.8	1	5.0	22	4.4	56	4.0	-	-	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Bethany Harbison	61	4.5	49	4.5	+	+	4.5
Judge Amy Gurton Mead	70	4.1	42	4.2	+	+	4.1
Judge Jude Pate	55	4.3	48	4.4	+	+	4.4
Judge Daniel Schally	106	4.4	43	4.2	-	-	4.4
Judge Kirsten Swanson	39	4.4	26	4.4	-	-	4.4
Judge John C. Cagle	65	4.4	40	4.3	+	+	4.3
Judge Catherine M. Easter	130	4.5	68	4.4	-	-	4.5
Judge Una Sonia Gandbhir	110	4.3	75	4.3	+	+	4.3
Judge Josie Garton	100	4.6	71	4.6	-	-	4.6
Judge Jason Gist	49	4.3	37	4.2	-	-	4.3
Judge Lance Joanis	38	4.2	36	4.0	-	-	4.1
Judge Kari Kristiansen	107	4.0	57	4.0	-	-	4.0
Judge Erin B. Marston	121	4.4	51	4.0	-	-	4.3
Judge Thomas A. Matthews	111	4.5	57	4.4	+	+	4.5
Judge Andrew Peterson	81	4.2	36	3.6	-	-	4.0
Judge Peter Ramgren	72	4.2	47	4.0	-	-	4.1
Judge Kevin M. Saxby	89	4.0	36	3.6	+	+	3.9
Judge Kristen C. Stohler	51	4.3	29	4.5	+	+	4.4
Judge Stephen B. Wallace	49	4.3	30	3.9	-	-	4.1
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung	93	4.1	42	4.3	+	+	4.2
Judge Brian K. Clark	105	4.6	35	4.5	-	-	4.6
Judge Martin C. Fallon	29	4.5	21	4.1	+	+	4.4
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian	45	4.3	16	4.4	-	-	4.3
Judge David A. Nesbett	65	4.6	28	4.3	-	-	4.5
Judge Shawn Traini	47	4.4	16	4.2	-	-	4.4
Judge Brent Bennett	35	4.5	40	4.6	+	+	4.5
Judge Terrence Haas	41	4.6	34	4.4	+	+	4.5
Judge Earl Peterson	40	4.3	43	3.6	+	+	3.9
Judge Thomas Temple	46	4.1	38	3.6	+	+	3.9
Judge Ben Seekins	46	4.2	37	4.0	-	-	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 11
Judge Bethany Harbison
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	175	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	115	65.7
Professional reputation	47	26.9
Other personal contacts	13	7.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	101	87.8
Substantial amount of experience	41	35.7
Moderate amount of experience	32	27.8
Limited amount of experience	42	36.5
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.1
Private, solo	24	13.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	8.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	6.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	45	25.7
Government	44	25.1
Public service agency or organization	6	3.4
Retired	25	14.3
Other	3	1.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	19	10.9
5 years or fewer	14	8.0
6 to 10 years	16	9.1
11 to 15 years	14	8.0
16 to 20 years	22	12.6
More than 20 years	90	51.4
Cases Handled		
No response	3	1.7
Prosecution	21	12.0
Criminal	24	13.7
Mixed criminal & civil	69	39.4
Civil	48	27.4
Other	10	5.7
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.1
First District	13	7.4
Second District	2	1.1
Third District	99	56.6
Fourth District	58	33.1
Outside Alaska	1	0.6
Gender		
No response	3	1.7
Male	90	51.4
Female	81	46.3
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report

Table 12
Judge Bethany Harbison
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	175	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	115	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	101	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
Limited amount of experience	42	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	47	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	13	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	4.2	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.1	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	38	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Government	27	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5
Retired	18	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
Other	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	10	4.0	3.7	4.4	4.2	4.0	3.9
11 to 15 years	10	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.8
16 to 20 years	13	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	63	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	13	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Criminal	21	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	55	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Civil	19	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.3
Other	4	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Third District	63	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	40	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.6
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	61	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	50	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 13
Judge Amy Gurton Mead
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	142	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	115	81.0
Professional reputation	19	13.4
Other personal contacts	8	5.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	107	93.0
Substantial amount of experience	33	28.7
Moderate amount of experience	38	33.0
Limited amount of experience	44	38.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	23	16.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	7.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	5.6
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	32	22.5
Government	41	28.9
Public service agency or organization	4	2.8
Retired	19	13.4
Other	3	2.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	11	7.7
5 years or fewer	13	9.2
6 to 10 years	11	7.7
11 to 15 years	19	13.4
16 to 20 years	14	9.9
More than 20 years	74	52.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	13	9.2
Criminal	7	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	54	38.0
Civil	59	41.5
Other	9	6.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	72	50.7
Second District	2	1.4
Third District	56	39.4
Fourth District	11	7.7
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	83	58.5
Female	57	40.1
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 14
Judge Amy Gurton Mead
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	142	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	115	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	107	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	8	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9
Substantial amount of experience	33	3.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	38	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.3
Limited amount of experience	44	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	19	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.2
Other personal contacts	8	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	23	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.4	4.8	5.0	4.6	4.7	4.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6
Government	30	3.7	3.8	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.8
Public service agency or organization	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7
Retired	13	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Other	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.0	4.4	4.8	4.1	4.6	4.1
6 to 10 years	10	4.2	4.0	4.4	3.8	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	14	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.9
16 to 20 years	12	3.7	3.9	4.4	4.0	3.9	3.8
More than 20 years	61	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	3.0	3.3	3.9	3.3	3.6	3.0
Criminal	7	4.0	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	46	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3
Civil	46	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Other	6	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	58	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
Second District	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	3.5	5.0	4.0
Third District	44	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3
Fourth District	11	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.7	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	70	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1
Female	43	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.2
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 15
Judge Jude Pate
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	139	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	106	76.3
Professional reputation	23	16.5
Other personal contacts	10	7.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	97	93.3
Substantial amount of experience	38	36.2
Moderate amount of experience	41	39.0
Limited amount of experience	26	24.8
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	26	18.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	10.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	7.2
Private, corporate employee	2	1.4
Judge or judicial officer	28	20.1
Government	34	24.5
Public service agency or organization	7	5.0
Retired	15	10.8
Other	3	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	17	12.2
5 years or fewer	14	10.1
6 to 10 years	14	10.1
11 to 15 years	18	12.9
16 to 20 years	11	7.9
More than 20 years	65	46.8
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	11	7.9
Criminal	15	10.8
Mixed criminal & civil	52	37.4
Civil	52	37.4
Other	8	5.8
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	57	41.0
Second District	3	2.2
Third District	70	50.4
Fourth District	8	5.8
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	79	56.8
Female	59	42.4
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 16
Judge Jude Pate
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	139	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	106	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	97	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	38	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	41	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4
Limited amount of experience	26	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	23	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Other personal contacts	10	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	24	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.1	4.5	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.9	4.9
Government	26	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.0	3.7	3.0
Retired	8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	14	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2
6 to 10 years	13	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.4
11 to 15 years	15	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.0
16 to 20 years	9	4.3	4.0	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.2
More than 20 years	48	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Criminal	10	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.2	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4
Civil	41	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Other	5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Location of Practice*							
First District	43	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.3
Second District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	53	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3
Fourth District	7	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	57	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.3
Female	48	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 17
Judge Daniel Schally
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	168	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	150	89.3
Professional reputation	14	8.3
Other personal contacts	4	2.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	127	87.0
Substantial amount of experience	43	29.1
Moderate amount of experience	56	37.8
Limited amount of experience	49	33.1
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
Private, solo	32	19.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	22	13.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	7.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	34	20.2
Government	40	23.8
Public service agency or organization	3	1.8
Retired	22	13.1
Other	2	1.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	14	8.3
5 years or fewer	12	7.1
6 to 10 years	21	12.5
11 to 15 years	22	13.1
16 to 20 years	14	8.3
More than 20 years	85	50.6
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	13	7.7
Criminal	16	9.5
Mixed criminal & civil	68	40.5
Civil	64	38.1
Other	6	3.6
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
First District	57	33.9
Second District	3	1.8
Third District	96	57.1
Fourth District	9	5.4
Outside Alaska	2	1.2
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	111	66.1
Female	56	33.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Judge Daniel Schally
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	168	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	150	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	127	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	19	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	43	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	56	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	49	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	14	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.2
Other personal contacts	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	31	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Government	34	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.0
Retired	20	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.4
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.2	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	20	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
11 to 15 years	20	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	14	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1
More than 20 years	77	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	12	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8
Criminal	11	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	63	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5
Civil	59	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Other	5	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	52	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.2
Second District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	85	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	9	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.6
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Gender*							
Male	106	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Female	44	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Judge Kirsten Swanson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	83	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	67	80.7
Professional reputation	11	13.3
Other personal contacts	5	6.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	62	92.5
Substantial amount of experience	28	41.8
Moderate amount of experience	15	22.4
Limited amount of experience	24	35.8
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	20	24.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	10.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	1.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	19.3
Government	20	24.1
Public service agency or organization	2	2.4
Retired	14	16.9
Other	1	1.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	9	10.8
5 years or fewer	8	9.6
6 to 10 years	7	8.4
11 to 15 years	7	8.4
16 to 20 years	5	6.0
More than 20 years	47	56.6
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	9	10.8
Criminal	8	9.6
Mixed criminal & civil	36	43.4
Civil	27	32.5
Other	3	3.6
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	50	60.2
Second District	-	-
Third District	28	33.7
Fourth District	5	6.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	49	59.0
Female	34	41.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Judge Kirsten Swanson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	83	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	67	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	62	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	28	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	24	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	11	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Other personal contacts	5	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Government	14	4.4	4.2	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5
Public service agency or organization	2	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.0
Retired	10	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.6	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	4.0	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.0
6 to 10 years	6	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	7	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
16 to 20 years	4	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
More than 20 years	36	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.0	3.8	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.3
Criminal	8	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	31	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Civil	20	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	38	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	25	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	40	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Female	27	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Judge John C. Cagle
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	118	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	108	91.5
Professional reputation	7	5.9
Other personal contacts	3	2.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	103	96.3
Substantial amount of experience	36	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	38	35.2
Limited amount of experience	34	31.5
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	27	22.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	11.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	10.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	25	21.2
Government	31	26.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	9	7.6
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	14	11.9
5 years or fewer	12	10.2
6 to 10 years	16	13.6
11 to 15 years	10	8.5
16 to 20 years	15	12.7
More than 20 years	51	43.2
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	9	7.6
Criminal	11	9.3
Mixed criminal & civil	53	44.9
Civil	40	33.9
Other	5	4.2
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	3	2.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	108	91.5
Fourth District	7	5.9
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	72	61.0
Female	45	38.1
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 22
Judge John C. Cagle
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	118	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	108	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	103	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	36	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	38	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.5
Limited amount of experience	34	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.2
Professional reputation	7	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9
Other personal contacts	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	25	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Government	30	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	9	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
6 to 10 years	15	4.2	4.1	4.6	4.1	4.4	4.1
11 to 15 years	8	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.0
16 to 20 years	14	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6
More than 20 years	46	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	4.1	3.9	4.5	3.5	4.4	3.9
Criminal	11	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	49	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3
Civil	36	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Other	4	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.0	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	98	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	7	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.9
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	67	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	40	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 23
Judge Catherine M. Easter
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	242	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	202	83.5
Professional reputation	28	11.6
Other personal contacts	12	5.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	163	81.1
Substantial amount of experience	70	34.7
Moderate amount of experience	76	37.6
Limited amount of experience	56	27.7
Type of Practice		
No response	4	1.7
Private, solo	49	20.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	7.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	31	12.8
Private, corporate employee	4	1.7
Judge or judicial officer	38	15.7
Government	61	25.2
Public service agency or organization	6	2.5
Retired	28	11.6
Other	2	0.8
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	36	14.9
5 years or fewer	17	7.0
6 to 10 years	22	9.1
11 to 15 years	16	6.6
16 to 20 years	17	7.0
More than 20 years	134	55.4
Cases Handled		
No response	5	2.1
Prosecution	19	7.9
Criminal	39	16.1
Mixed criminal & civil	68	28.1
Civil	101	41.7
Other	10	4.1
Location of Practice		
No response	4	1.7
First District	5	2.1
Second District	3	1.2
Third District	221	91.3
Fourth District	7	2.9
Outside Alaska	2	0.8
Gender		
No response	5	2.1
Male	155	64.0
Female	82	33.9
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24
Judge Catherine M. Easter
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	242	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	202	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	163	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	38	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	70	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	76	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	56	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	28	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Other personal contacts	12	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	41	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	4.2	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.1	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	26	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	33	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Government	57	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Retired	20	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	16	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
6 to 10 years	20	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	14	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
16 to 20 years	14	4.4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.6
More than 20 years	111	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	19	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Criminal	32	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	64	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Civil	79	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4
Other	6	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Second District	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
Third District	186	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	6	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	131	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Female	68	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Judge Una Sonia Gandhir
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	235	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	192	81.7
Professional reputation	31	13.2
Other personal contacts	12	5.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	180	93.8
Substantial amount of experience	47	24.6
Moderate amount of experience	85	44.5
Limited amount of experience	59	30.9
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.4
Private, solo	55	23.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	33	14.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	40	17.0
Private, corporate employee	4	1.7
Judge or judicial officer	36	15.3
Government	40	17.0
Public service agency or organization	8	3.4
Retired	17	7.2
Other	1	0.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	29	12.3
5 years or fewer	13	5.5
6 to 10 years	23	9.8
11 to 15 years	29	12.3
16 to 20 years	22	9.4
More than 20 years	119	50.6
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.9
Prosecution	5	2.1
Criminal	10	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	66	28.1
Civil	139	59.1
Other	13	5.5
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.4
First District	6	2.6
Second District	3	1.3
Third District	211	89.8
Fourth District	13	5.5
Outside Alaska	1	0.4
Gender		
No response	2	0.9
Male	140	59.6
Female	92	39.1
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 26
Judge Una Sonia Gandhir
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	235	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	192	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	180	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	12	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	47	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.3	3.8	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	85	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	59	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	31	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	12	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	45	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	30	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	31	4.2	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.3	4.4
Private, corporate employee	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Judge or judicial officer	31	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.7
Government	34	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.2	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.3
Retired	13	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
6 to 10 years	20	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.1
11 to 15 years	26	3.8	4.3	4.7	4.5	3.9	4.1
16 to 20 years	16	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.1
More than 20 years	95	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	3.3	3.8	4.5	4.3	3.5	3.8
Criminal	6	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	58	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6
Civil	114	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.1	4.2
Other	8	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Second District	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.3
Third District	175	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Fourth District	8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	112	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.2	4.3
Female	77	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 27
Judge Josie Garton
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	206	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	174	84.5
Professional reputation	20	9.7
Other personal contacts	12	5.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	169	98.3
Substantial amount of experience	52	29.9
Moderate amount of experience	62	35.6
Limited amount of experience	60	34.5
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
Private, solo	39	18.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	25	12.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	31	15.0
Private, corporate employee	5	2.4
Judge or judicial officer	39	18.9
Government	43	20.9
Public service agency or organization	8	3.9
Retired	14	6.8
Other	1	0.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	17	8.3
5 years or fewer	15	7.3
6 to 10 years	21	10.2
11 to 15 years	28	13.6
16 to 20 years	28	13.6
More than 20 years	97	47.1
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	9	4.4
Criminal	18	8.7
Mixed criminal & civil	63	30.6
Civil	106	51.5
Other	8	3.9
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
First District	4	1.9
Second District	2	1.0
Third District	192	93.2
Fourth District	7	3.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	1.0
Male	119	57.8
Female	85	41.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 28
Judge Josie Garton
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	206	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	174	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	169	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	52	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	62	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	60	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	20	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.5
Other personal contacts	12	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	37	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	27	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	32	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Government	41	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	10	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	14	4.0	4.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.2
6 to 10 years	19	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
11 to 15 years	23	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5
16 to 20 years	23	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.7
More than 20 years	82	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	3.7	4.0	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.0
Criminal	10	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	55	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Civil	93	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5
Other	7	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.2	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.5
Second District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	160	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	7	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.8	4.9
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	101	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Female	71	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Judge Jason Gist
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	99	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	86	86.9
Professional reputation	6	6.1
Other personal contacts	7	7.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	79	91.9
Substantial amount of experience	33	38.4
Moderate amount of experience	21	24.4
Limited amount of experience	32	37.2
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	15	15.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	19.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	12.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	22	22.2
Government	24	24.2
Public service agency or organization	1	1.0
Retired	5	5.1
Other	1	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	11	11.1
5 years or fewer	10	10.1
6 to 10 years	7	7.1
11 to 15 years	18	18.2
16 to 20 years	14	14.1
More than 20 years	39	39.4
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	9	9.1
Criminal	14	14.1
Mixed criminal & civil	38	38.4
Civil	31	31.3
Other	7	7.1
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	3	3.0
Second District	1	1.0
Third District	92	92.9
Fourth District	3	3.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	57	57.6
Female	42	42.4
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Judge Jason Gist
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	99	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	86	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	79	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.1	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	33	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	21	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8
Limited amount of experience	32	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	6	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Other personal contacts	7	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	3.9	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Government	17	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.1
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Retired	5	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.4
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.3	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.6
11 to 15 years	12	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.3
16 to 20 years	13	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.2
More than 20 years	36	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.2
Criminal	14	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Civil	29	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other	6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	81	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.7	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	49	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Female	37	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Judge Lance Joanis
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	85	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	76	89.4
Professional reputation	4	4.7
Other personal contacts	5	5.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	71	93.4
Substantial amount of experience	27	35.5
Moderate amount of experience	32	42.1
Limited amount of experience	17	22.4
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	19	22.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	16.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	10.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	21.2
Government	17	20.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	8	9.4
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	7	8.2
5 years or fewer	8	9.4
6 to 10 years	4	4.7
11 to 15 years	14	16.5
16 to 20 years	12	14.1
More than 20 years	40	47.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	3	3.5
Criminal	13	15.3
Mixed criminal & civil	33	38.8
Civil	31	36.5
Other	5	5.9
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	2	2.4
Second District	3	3.5
Third District	74	87.1
Fourth District	5	5.9
Outside Alaska	1	1.2
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	45	52.9
Female	40	47.1
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Judge Lance Joanis
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	85	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	76	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	71	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	27	3.9	3.8	4.1	4.4	3.8	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1
Limited amount of experience	17	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	4	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.8
Other personal contacts	5	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	19	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.6	3.7	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.7	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6
Government	15	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.3	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.1
6 to 10 years	4	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.3	2.5	3.5
11 to 15 years	13	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.8
16 to 20 years	11	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
More than 20 years	35	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Criminal	12	3.7	3.8	3.8	4.3	3.6	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	30	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Civil	28	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.2
Other	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5
Second District	3	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.7	4.5	4.5
Third District	67	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1
Fourth District	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	2.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	40	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2
Female	36	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
Judge Kari Kristiansen
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	179	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	166	92.7
Professional reputation	11	6.1
Other personal contacts	2	1.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	145	88.4
Substantial amount of experience	64	38.6
Moderate amount of experience	48	28.9
Limited amount of experience	54	32.5
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
Private, solo	40	22.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	11.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	22	12.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	31	17.3
Government	40	22.3
Public service agency or organization	3	1.7
Retired	21	11.7
Other	1	0.6
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	26	14.5
5 years or fewer	13	7.3
6 to 10 years	17	9.5
11 to 15 years	15	8.4
16 to 20 years	22	12.3
More than 20 years	86	48.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	14	7.8
Criminal	16	8.9
Mixed criminal & civil	60	33.5
Civil	81	45.3
Other	7	3.9
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
First District	5	2.8
Second District	-	-
Third District	163	91.1
Fourth District	7	3.9
Outside Alaska	3	1.7
Gender		
No response	2	1.1
Male	113	63.1
Female	64	35.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
Judge Kari Kristiansen
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	179	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	166	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	145	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	19	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	64	4.0	3.7	4.1	3.7	4.1	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	48	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	54	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	11	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1
Other personal contacts	2	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	39	3.7	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.9	3.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.3
Government	37	3.8	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.8
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Retired	20	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1
6 to 10 years	16	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.8
11 to 15 years	13	3.8	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	22	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.2
More than 20 years	78	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	4.1	3.9	4.3	3.5	4.2	3.9
Criminal	16	3.6	3.1	4.1	3.7	3.8	3.7
Mixed criminal & civil	57	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.2
Civil	76	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9
Other	5	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	4.0	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.2
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	150	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Fourth District	7	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Outside Alaska	3	2.7	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.0
Gender*							
Male	107	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Female	57	4.0	3.8	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Judge Erin B. Marston
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	218	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	175	80.3
Professional reputation	26	11.9
Other personal contacts	17	7.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	142	82.1
Substantial amount of experience	65	37.4
Moderate amount of experience	53	30.5
Limited amount of experience	56	32.2
Type of Practice		
No response	3	1.4
Private, solo	52	23.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	9.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	27	12.4
Private, corporate employee	6	2.8
Judge or judicial officer	28	12.8
Government	48	22.0
Public service agency or organization	3	1.4
Retired	28	12.8
Other	2	0.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	34	15.6
5 years or fewer	14	6.4
6 to 10 years	16	7.3
11 to 15 years	13	6.0
16 to 20 years	13	6.0
More than 20 years	128	58.7
Cases Handled		
No response	3	1.4
Prosecution	18	8.3
Criminal	26	11.9
Mixed criminal & civil	59	27.1
Civil	103	47.2
Other	9	4.1
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.4
First District	2	0.9
Second District	3	1.4
Third District	201	92.2
Fourth District	7	3.2
Outside Alaska	2	0.9
Gender		
No response	4	1.8
Male	149	68.3
Female	65	29.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Judge Erin B. Marston
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	218	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	175	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	142	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	31	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	65	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	53	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.4
Limited amount of experience	56	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	26	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	17	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	40	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.5
Private, corporate employee	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Government	45	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.2	3.6	3.8
Public service agency or organization	3	3.7	3.3	4.3	5.0	4.7	4.0
Retired	23	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.3	4.6
Other	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.2
6 to 10 years	14	3.5	4.3	4.5	4.6	3.6	4.0
11 to 15 years	11	3.5	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.5	3.5
16 to 20 years	11	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	102	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	17	3.6	4.2	4.5	4.3	3.8	4.2
Criminal	25	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	53	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.1	4.3
Civil	74	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Other	5	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Third District	161	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Fourth District	6	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	2	3.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.5	4.0
Gender*							
Male	123	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Female	51	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Judge Thomas A. Matthews
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	197	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	171	86.8
Professional reputation	17	8.6
Other personal contacts	9	4.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	161	97.0
Substantial amount of experience	53	31.0
Moderate amount of experience	73	42.7
Limited amount of experience	45	26.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	46	23.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	25	12.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	43	21.8
Private, corporate employee	2	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	34	17.3
Government	35	17.8
Public service agency or organization	3	1.5
Retired	8	4.1
Other	1	0.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	19	9.6
5 years or fewer	14	7.1
6 to 10 years	22	11.2
11 to 15 years	22	11.2
16 to 20 years	17	8.6
More than 20 years	103	52.3
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.5
Prosecution	6	3.0
Criminal	5	2.5
Mixed criminal & civil	43	21.8
Civil	131	66.5
Other	11	5.6
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	6	3.0
Second District	2	1.0
Third District	183	92.9
Fourth District	6	3.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	130	66.0
Female	66	33.5
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 38
Judge Thomas A. Matthews
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	197	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	171	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	161	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.4	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.4	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	53	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	73	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	45	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	17	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Other personal contacts	9	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.3	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	39	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	24	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	37	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, corporate employee	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	29	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Government	32	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	20	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.0	4.2
11 to 15 years	19	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
16 to 20 years	16	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
More than 20 years	86	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Criminal	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	39	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.7
Civil	115	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Other	9	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.7	3.9	4.4
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	158	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	5	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.2	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	112	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Female	58	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 39
Judge Andrew Peterson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	135	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	117	86.7
Professional reputation	11	8.1
Other personal contacts	7	5.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	95.7
Substantial amount of experience	53	45.3
Moderate amount of experience	36	30.8
Limited amount of experience	28	23.9
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	14	10.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	10.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	8.1
Private, corporate employee	2	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	31	23.0
Government	50	37.0
Public service agency or organization	3	2.2
Retired	9	6.7
Other	1	0.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	15	11.1
5 years or fewer	17	12.6
6 to 10 years	13	9.6
11 to 15 years	14	10.4
16 to 20 years	14	10.4
More than 20 years	62	45.9
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	20	14.8
Criminal	23	17.0
Mixed criminal & civil	52	38.5
Civil	31	23.0
Other	8	5.9
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	4	3.0
Second District	3	2.2
Third District	121	89.6
Fourth District	6	4.4
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	90	66.7
Female	45	33.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Judge Andrew Peterson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	135	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	117	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	110	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	53	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.1
Limited amount of experience	28	3.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0
Professional reputation	11	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other personal contacts	7	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Government	45	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.6
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0
Retired	8	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.1	4.3
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	16	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.4
6 to 10 years	11	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5
11 to 15 years	9	3.2	3.6	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.8
16 to 20 years	13	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	54	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	18	3.3	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.5
Criminal	23	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	47	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Civil	23	3.8	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.1
Other	5	4.0	4.2	5.0	4.4	4.5	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	3.0	5.0	3.5	5.0	3.5
Second District	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	4.3	3.3
Third District	106	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0
Fourth District	5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	81	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Female	36	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Judge Peter Ramgren
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	141	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	120	85.1
Professional reputation	14	9.9
Other personal contacts	7	5.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	112	94.9
Substantial amount of experience	37	30.8
Moderate amount of experience	42	35.0
Limited amount of experience	41	34.2
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	34	24.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	13.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	10.6
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	31	22.0
Government	27	19.1
Public service agency or organization	2	1.4
Retired	11	7.8
Other	1	0.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	17	12.1
5 years or fewer	6	4.3
6 to 10 years	15	10.6
11 to 15 years	15	10.6
16 to 20 years	18	12.8
More than 20 years	70	49.6
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	9	6.4
Criminal	7	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	49	34.8
Civil	69	48.9
Other	6	4.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	0.7
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	136	96.5
Fourth District	3	2.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	88	62.4
Female	52	36.9
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 42
Judge Peter Ramgren
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	141	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	120	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	112	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	37	4.0	4.1	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	42	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Limited amount of experience	41	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1
Professional reputation	14	3.7	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.8	3.9
Other personal contacts	7	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	32	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.0	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.8	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.5
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.5
Government	22	3.9	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.1	3.9
Public service agency or organization	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	10	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.3	3.5	3.5
6 to 10 years	14	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.9	3.7
11 to 15 years	12	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.1
16 to 20 years	14	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.4
More than 20 years	60	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Criminal	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	44	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
Civil	62	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.9
Other	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.0	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	115	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	72	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.2
Female	47	4.0	4.2	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Judge Kevin M. Saxby
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	143	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	127	88.8
Professional reputation	14	9.8
Other personal contacts	2	1.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	108	86.4
Substantial amount of experience	63	49.6
Moderate amount of experience	31	24.4
Limited amount of experience	33	26.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	25	17.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	7.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.9
Private, corporate employee	2	1.4
Judge or judicial officer	24	16.8
Government	53	37.1
Public service agency or organization	2	1.4
Retired	19	13.3
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	20	14.0
5 years or fewer	16	11.2
6 to 10 years	13	9.1
11 to 15 years	12	8.4
16 to 20 years	10	7.0
More than 20 years	72	50.3
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	20	14.0
Criminal	26	18.2
Mixed criminal & civil	51	35.7
Civil	41	28.7
Other	5	3.5
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	6	4.2
Second District	3	2.1
Third District	129	90.2
Fourth District	4	2.8
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	101	70.6
Female	41	28.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 44
Judge Kevin M. Saxby
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	143	3.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	127	3.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	108	3.7	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	17	3.9	4.1	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	63	3.6	3.7	4.2	4.1	3.8	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	31	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0
Limited amount of experience	33	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	14	3.9	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.1	4.0
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	22	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	3.2	3.2	3.7	3.9	3.1	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.0	3.8	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	22	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Government	50	3.5	3.7	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.6
Public service agency or organization	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Retired	15	3.9	4.2	4.7	4.2	4.2	3.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	3.7	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.7	3.7
6 to 10 years	12	3.3	3.8	4.5	4.1	3.7	3.7
11 to 15 years	12	3.4	3.9	4.2	4.3	3.8	3.9
16 to 20 years	9	4.2	3.8	4.7	4.0	4.1	3.8
More than 20 years	61	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	20	3.4	3.8	4.4	4.0	3.7	3.6
Criminal	25	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.6
Mixed criminal & civil	47	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9
Civil	30	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.1
Other	5	3.6	4.2	4.8	4.4	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Second District	3	3.7	3.3	4.7	3.0	4.0	3.0
Third District	115	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.9
Fourth District	4	3.5	3.8	4.8	3.8	4.0	3.8
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	90	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.0
Female	36	3.4	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 45
Judge Kristen C. Stohler
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	94	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	82	87.2
Professional reputation	9	9.6
Other personal contacts	3	3.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	73	96.3
Substantial amount of experience	31	37.8
Moderate amount of experience	28	34.1
Limited amount of experience	23	28.0
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.1
Private, solo	22	23.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	11.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	11.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	17	18.1
Government	22	23.4
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3
Retired	5	5.3
Other	1	1.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	10	10.6
5 years or fewer	10	10.6
6 to 10 years	13	13.8
11 to 15 years	13	13.8
16 to 20 years	16	17.0
More than 20 years	32	34.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.1
Prosecution	5	5.3
Criminal	9	9.6
Mixed criminal & civil	39	41.5
Civil	37	39.4
Other	3	3.2
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.1
First District	2	2.1
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	82	87.2
Fourth District	6	6.4
Outside Alaska	2	2.1
Gender		
No response	2	2.1
Male	57	60.6
Female	34	36.2
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 46
Judge Kristen C. Stohler
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	94	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	82	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	73	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	31	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	28	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	23	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	9	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6
Other personal contacts	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.3	4.7	4.9	4.4	4.4	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	17	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Government	18	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Retired	5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.4
6 to 10 years	12	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.3
11 to 15 years	9	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	12	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
More than 20 years	31	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	3.5	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.3
Criminal	8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Civil	29	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Other	2	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	72	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	51	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Female	29	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 47
Judge Stephen B. Wallace
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	98	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	79	80.6
Professional reputation	13	13.3
Other personal contacts	6	6.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	71	91.0
Substantial amount of experience	31	39.2
Moderate amount of experience	24	30.4
Limited amount of experience	24	30.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	18	18.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	13.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	7.1
Private, corporate employee	1	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	19	19.4
Government	24	24.5
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	14	14.3
Other	1	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	16	16.3
5 years or fewer	5	5.1
6 to 10 years	6	6.1
11 to 15 years	14	14.3
16 to 20 years	7	7.1
More than 20 years	50	51.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	11	11.2
Criminal	12	12.2
Mixed criminal & civil	46	46.9
Civil	24	24.5
Other	4	4.1
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
First District	4	4.1
Second District	2	2.0
Third District	85	86.7
Fourth District	5	5.1
Outside Alaska	1	1.0
Gender		
No response	1	1.0
Male	57	58.2
Female	40	40.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 48
Judge Stephen B. Wallace
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	98	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	79	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	71	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	31	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	24	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3
Limited amount of experience	24	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	13	3.5	3.7	4.1	3.5	3.9	3.5
Other personal contacts	6	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.1	3.9	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	3.6	3.4	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.8
Government	21	3.5	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.6
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	11	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	3.2	2.8	3.0	2.8	3.4	2.8
6 to 10 years	4	3.5	4.0	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	10	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
16 to 20 years	7	3.4	3.7	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.6
More than 20 years	42	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	3.9	3.9	4.4	4.0	3.9	4.0
Criminal	10	3.5	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	37	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Civil	20	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.2
Other	3	3.7	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.5
Second District	2	3.0	2.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	2.5
Third District	68	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Fourth District	5	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	49	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Female	30	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.9
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 49
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	157	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	138	87.9
Professional reputation	11	7.0
Other personal contacts	8	5.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	119	87.5
Substantial amount of experience	45	32.6
Moderate amount of experience	52	37.7
Limited amount of experience	41	29.7
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	38	24.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	9.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	10.2
Private, corporate employee	3	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	28	17.8
Government	39	24.8
Public service agency or organization	4	2.5
Retired	14	8.9
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	23	14.6
5 years or fewer	17	10.8
6 to 10 years	16	10.2
11 to 15 years	13	8.3
16 to 20 years	14	8.9
More than 20 years	74	47.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	20	12.7
Criminal	26	16.6
Mixed criminal & civil	55	35.0
Civil	50	31.8
Other	5	3.2
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	3	1.9
Second District	2	1.3
Third District	143	91.1
Fourth District	7	4.5
Outside Alaska	2	1.3
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	103	65.6
Female	52	33.1
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 50
Judge Jo-Ann M. Chung
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	157	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	138	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	119	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	17	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.6	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	52	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2
Limited amount of experience	41	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Professional reputation	11	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	8	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	35	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	24	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.8
Government	35	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.7
Public service agency or organization	3	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.0
Retired	10	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.1	4.4	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	17	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.6
6 to 10 years	15	3.9	3.6	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.8
11 to 15 years	11	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.0
16 to 20 years	11	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	65	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	17	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.7
Criminal	23	3.6	3.4	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.7
Mixed criminal & civil	50	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Civil	44	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	4.0	4.5	3.0	4.5	3.5
Second District	2	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0
Third District	127	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Gender*							
Male	93	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
Female	43	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 51
Judge Brian K. Clark
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	160	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	141	88.1
Professional reputation	13	8.1
Other personal contacts	6	3.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	112	80.0
Substantial amount of experience	56	39.7
Moderate amount of experience	53	37.6
Limited amount of experience	32	22.7
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	40	25.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	11.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	8.1
Private, corporate employee	3	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	30	18.8
Government	34	21.3
Public service agency or organization	4	2.5
Retired	18	11.3
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	17	10.6
5 years or fewer	13	8.1
6 to 10 years	12	7.5
11 to 15 years	13	8.1
16 to 20 years	16	10.0
More than 20 years	89	55.6
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	19	11.9
Criminal	19	11.9
Mixed criminal & civil	62	38.8
Civil	54	33.8
Other	5	3.1
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	3	1.9
Second District	2	1.3
Third District	146	91.3
Fourth District	9	5.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	112	70.0
Female	47	29.4
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 52
Judge Brian K. Clark
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	160	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	141	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	112	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	28	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	56	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	53	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	32	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Professional reputation	13	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	6	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	36	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Government	33	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.8	4.5	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Retired	13	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.1	3.8	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.2
6 to 10 years	12	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	11	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	14	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7
More than 20 years	76	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	19	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Criminal	18	4.2	3.8	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	55	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Civil	44	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	5.0	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.7
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	130	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	6	4.7	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	105	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Female	35	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 53
Judge Martin C. Fallon
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	57	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	52	91.2
Professional reputation	4	7.0
Other personal contacts	1	1.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	50	96.2
Substantial amount of experience	22	42.3
Moderate amount of experience	17	32.7
Limited amount of experience	13	25.0
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.8
Private, solo	11	19.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	14.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	7.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	31.6
Government	12	21.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	3	5.3
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	7	12.3
5 years or fewer	7	12.3
6 to 10 years	4	7.0
11 to 15 years	9	15.8
16 to 20 years	7	12.3
More than 20 years	23	40.4
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.8
Prosecution	4	7.0
Criminal	9	15.8
Mixed criminal & civil	25	43.9
Civil	16	28.1
Other	2	3.5
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.8
First District	1	1.8
Second District	2	3.5
Third District	50	87.7
Fourth District	3	5.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	1.8
Male	31	54.4
Female	24	42.1
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 54
Judge Martin C. Fallon
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	57	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	52	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	50	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	22	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.8	4.2	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	17	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	13	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.3
Professional reputation	4	3.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.7	3.5
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.5	3.6	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.5
Government	10	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.9	4.1	4.7
6 to 10 years	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	5.0	4.3	4.0
11 to 15 years	8	4.0	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.4	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.7
More than 20 years	20	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Criminal	9	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.7	4.1	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	22	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.2
Civil	16	4.2	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.2	4.5
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0
Second District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Third District	45	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.4
Fourth District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	29	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.5
Female	21	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.1
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 55
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	73	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	61	83.6
Professional reputation	5	6.8
Other personal contacts	7	9.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	56	91.8
Substantial amount of experience	25	41.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	19.7
Limited amount of experience	24	39.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	15.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	12.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	8.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	20	27.4
Government	20	27.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	7	9.6
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	11	15.1
5 years or fewer	6	8.2
6 to 10 years	5	6.8
11 to 15 years	15	20.5
16 to 20 years	10	13.7
More than 20 years	26	35.6
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	10	13.7
Criminal	12	16.4
Mixed criminal & civil	42	57.5
Civil	8	11.0
Other	1	1.4
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.4
Second District	1	1.4
Third District	61	83.6
Fourth District	10	13.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	53	72.6
Female	20	27.4
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 56
Judge Tom V. Jamgochian
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	73	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	61	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	56	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	25	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6
Limited amount of experience	24	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4
Professional reputation	5	4.0	3.2	3.2	3.8	3.8	3.4
Other personal contacts	7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.0	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	3.1	2.7	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Government	14	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.9
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	7	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.6
11 to 15 years	10	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1
16 to 20 years	8	4.8	4.6	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.8
More than 20 years	22	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.6	3.8
Criminal	12	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	37	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Civil	6	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Other	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	50	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3
Fourth District	9	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	45	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3
Female	16	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 57
Judge David A. Nesbett
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	120	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	97	80.8
Professional reputation	12	10.0
Other personal contacts	11	9.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	89	94.7
Substantial amount of experience	41	43.6
Moderate amount of experience	27	28.7
Limited amount of experience	26	27.7
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.7
Private, solo	21	17.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	9.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	15.8
Private, corporate employee	4	3.3
Judge or judicial officer	23	19.2
Government	32	26.7
Public service agency or organization	5	4.2
Retired	3	2.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	23	19.2
5 years or fewer	20	16.7
6 to 10 years	9	7.5
11 to 15 years	10	8.3
16 to 20 years	14	11.7
More than 20 years	44	36.7
Cases Handled		
No response	3	2.5
Prosecution	18	15.0
Criminal	22	18.3
Mixed criminal & civil	33	27.5
Civil	40	33.3
Other	4	3.3
Location of Practice		
No response	3	2.5
First District	2	1.7
Second District	1	0.8
Third District	110	91.7
Fourth District	3	2.5
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	3	2.5
Male	79	65.8
Female	38	31.7
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 58
Judge David A. Nesbett
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	120	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	97	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	89	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	26	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	12	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Other personal contacts	11	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	17	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
Government	29	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Retired	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	20	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.4
6 to 10 years	8	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.4
11 to 15 years	5	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.6	3.8	4.2
16 to 20 years	11	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	37	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	16	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.3
Criminal	21	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	29	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5
Civil	28	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	90	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	66	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6
Female	29	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 59
Judge Shawn Traini
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	69	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	63	91.3
Professional reputation	6	8.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	56	91.8
Substantial amount of experience	30	47.6
Moderate amount of experience	16	25.4
Limited amount of experience	17	27.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	16	23.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	10.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	7.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	19	27.5
Government	18	26.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	4	5.8
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	8	11.6
5 years or fewer	8	11.6
6 to 10 years	5	7.2
11 to 15 years	6	8.7
16 to 20 years	9	13.0
More than 20 years	33	47.8
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	11.6
Criminal	12	17.4
Mixed criminal & civil	39	56.5
Civil	9	13.0
Other	1	1.4
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.4
Second District	1	1.4
Third District	65	94.2
Fourth District	1	1.4
Outside Alaska	1	1.4
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	50	72.5
Female	19	27.5
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 60
Judge Shawn Traini
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	69	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	63	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	56	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	30	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	16	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Limited amount of experience	17	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
Professional reputation	6	4.0	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.3
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	3.9	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	17	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Government	15	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
11 to 15 years	5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8
16 to 20 years	8	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	29	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Criminal	12	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	37	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Civil	8	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Other	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	59	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	47	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Female	16	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 61
Judge Brent Bennett
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	87	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	80	92.0
Professional reputation	5	5.7
Other personal contacts	2	2.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	76	96.2
Substantial amount of experience	45	57.0
Moderate amount of experience	15	19.0
Limited amount of experience	19	24.1
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	12.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	12.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	20.7
Government	29	33.3
Public service agency or organization	7	8.0
Retired	7	8.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	4.6
5 years or fewer	11	12.6
6 to 10 years	14	16.1
11 to 15 years	11	12.6
16 to 20 years	13	14.9
More than 20 years	34	39.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	9.2
Criminal	8	9.2
Mixed criminal & civil	39	44.8
Civil	27	31.0
Other	5	5.7
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	4	4.6
Second District	3	3.4
Third District	19	21.8
Fourth District	61	70.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	1.1
Male	39	44.8
Female	45	51.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 62
Judge Brent Bennett
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	87	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	80	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	76	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.2	4.2
Limited amount of experience	19	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	5	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.8
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.5	3.7	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.7	3.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.7
Government	25	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.5
Public service agency or organization	6	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Retired	7	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.4	4.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5
6 to 10 years	10	4.3	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	11	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.9	4.6	4.6
16 to 20 years	12	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.5
More than 20 years	32	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	4.1	4.3	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.1
Criminal	6	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	39	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.6
Civil	23	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	19	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	58	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	35	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Female	42	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 63
Judge Terrence Haas
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	89	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	78	87.6
Professional reputation	7	7.9
Other personal contacts	4	4.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	75	97.4
Substantial amount of experience	36	46.2
Moderate amount of experience	23	29.5
Limited amount of experience	19	24.4
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	7	7.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	7.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	33.7
Government	30	33.7
Public service agency or organization	3	3.4
Retired	6	6.7
Other	2	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	7	7.9
5 years or fewer	10	11.2
6 to 10 years	9	10.1
11 to 15 years	19	21.3
16 to 20 years	11	12.4
More than 20 years	33	37.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.1
Prosecution	9	10.1
Criminal	11	12.4
Mixed criminal & civil	46	51.7
Civil	16	18.0
Other	6	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	5	5.6
Second District	4	4.5
Third District	47	52.8
Fourth District	33	37.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	50	56.2
Female	38	42.7
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 64
Judge Terrence Haas
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	89	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	78	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	75	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	2	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.5
Substantial amount of experience	36	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	23	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	19	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	7	4.0	3.6	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.8
Other personal contacts	4	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7	5.0	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
Government	26	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Public service agency or organization	3	5.0	4.3	4.7	4.3	5.0	4.7
Retired	6	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1
6 to 10 years	8	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.4
11 to 15 years	17	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6
16 to 20 years	10	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.9
More than 20 years	28	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	4.1	3.0	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.9
Criminal	9	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7
Civil	14	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3
Other	6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	3.5	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Third District	40	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	30	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	43	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6
Female	34	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 65
Judge Earl Peterson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	92	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	87	94.6
Professional reputation	3	3.3
Other personal contacts	2	2.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	84	96.6
Substantial amount of experience	41	47.1
Moderate amount of experience	25	28.7
Limited amount of experience	21	24.1
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	13	14.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	16.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	19	20.7
Government	26	28.3
Public service agency or organization	6	6.5
Retired	9	9.8
Other	1	1.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	6	6.5
5 years or fewer	11	12.0
6 to 10 years	9	9.8
11 to 15 years	13	14.1
16 to 20 years	12	13.0
More than 20 years	41	44.6
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	8.7
Criminal	7	7.6
Mixed criminal & civil	41	44.6
Civil	32	34.8
Other	4	4.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	5	5.4
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	25	27.2
Fourth District	61	66.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	1.1
Male	43	46.7
Female	46	50.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 66
Judge Earl Peterson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	92	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	87	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	84	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	3	3.3	4.0	3.7	3.0	3.7	3.3
Substantial amount of experience	41	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	25	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.0
Limited amount of experience	21	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Professional reputation	3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.0	3.0	3.3
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.4
Government	24	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6
Public service agency or organization	6	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.5
Retired	8	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.8	3.8
6 to 10 years	9	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
11 to 15 years	11	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8
16 to 20 years	12	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.9	4.0
More than 20 years	38	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.7	3.6
Criminal	7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.4
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Civil	31	3.6	3.7	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.7
Other	4	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2
Second District	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	23	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1
Fourth District	58	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	40	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Female	44	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 67
Judge Thomas Temple
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	96	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	86	89.6
Professional reputation	8	8.3
Other personal contacts	2	2.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	80	95.2
Substantial amount of experience	38	44.2
Moderate amount of experience	27	31.4
Limited amount of experience	21	24.4
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	11.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	15.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	5.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	21.9
Government	30	31.3
Public service agency or organization	4	4.2
Retired	8	8.3
Other	2	2.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	4.2
5 years or fewer	15	15.6
6 to 10 years	9	9.4
11 to 15 years	13	13.5
16 to 20 years	14	14.6
More than 20 years	41	42.7
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	14	14.6
Criminal	7	7.3
Mixed criminal & civil	41	42.7
Civil	28	29.2
Other	6	6.3
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
First District	3	3.1
Second District	1	1.0
Third District	31	32.3
Fourth District	59	61.5
Outside Alaska	1	1.0
Gender		
No response	1	1.0
Male	51	53.1
Female	43	44.8
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 68
Judge Thomas Temple
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	96	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	86	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	80	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.0	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	38	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.8	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.0	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.0
Limited amount of experience	21	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	8	3.5	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.4	3.3
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.0	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	4.1	3.6	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.2	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.4	3.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5
Government	25	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.4
Public service agency or organization	4	3.5	4.0	4.5	3.0	4.0	3.5
Retired	5	3.6	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.8
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	3.6	3.5	4.1	3.1	3.8	3.5
6 to 10 years	7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1
11 to 15 years	11	3.7	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0
16 to 20 years	14	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.1
More than 20 years	35	3.9	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.5	3.2
Criminal	6	4.2	3.5	3.8	3.5	4.3	3.7
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2
Civil	27	3.9	3.9	4.3	3.6	3.9	3.9
Other	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	29	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.8
Fourth District	53	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.9
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	46	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Female	38	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.8	3.6
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 69
Judge Ben Seekins
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	95	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	85	89.5
Professional reputation	8	8.4
Other personal contacts	2	2.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	78	94.0
Substantial amount of experience	35	41.2
Moderate amount of experience	28	32.9
Limited amount of experience	22	25.9
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	13	13.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	16.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.2
Private, corporate employee	1	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	22	23.2
Government	19	20.0
Public service agency or organization	3	3.2
Retired	18	18.9
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	8	8.4
5 years or fewer	8	8.4
6 to 10 years	7	7.4
11 to 15 years	9	9.5
16 to 20 years	9	9.5
More than 20 years	54	56.8
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	8.4
Criminal	9	9.5
Mixed criminal & civil	43	45.3
Civil	29	30.5
Other	6	6.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	5	5.3
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	24	25.3
Fourth District	65	68.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	55	57.9
Female	40	42.1
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 70
Judge Ben Seekins
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	95	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	85	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	78	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	35	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	28	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	22	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4
Professional reputation	8	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	3.7	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.5
Government	16	3.1	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Retired	15	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9
6 to 10 years	6	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.6
11 to 15 years	9	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
16 to 20 years	9	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.1
More than 20 years	46	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	2.3	2.6	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Criminal	8	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Civil	25	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Other	4	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	22	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	57	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	47	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Female	38	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.