

Table 35
Judge Leslie Dickson
Demographic Description of Respondents - Bar Association Members

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	183	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	141	77.0
Professional reputation	25	13.7
Other personal contacts	17	9.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	118	90.1
Substantial amount of experience	50	36.0
Moderate amount of experience	50	36.0
Limited amount of experience	39	28.1
Type of Practice		
No response	4	2.2
Private, solo	34	18.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	10.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	8.7
Private, corporate employee	7	3.8
Judge or judicial officer	30	16.4
Government	51	27.9
Public service agency or organization	5	2.7
Retired	14	7.7
Other	2	1.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	2.2
5 years or fewer	16	8.7
6 to 10 years	22	12.0
11 to 15 years	17	9.3
16 to 20 years	29	15.8
More than 20 years	95	51.9
Cases Handled		
No response	4	2.2
Prosecution	16	8.7
Criminal	22	12.0
Mixed criminal & civil	66	36.1
Civil	63	34.4
Other	12	6.6
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.6
First District	3	1.6
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	156	85.2
Fourth District	15	8.2
Outside Alaska	5	2.7
Gender		
No response	6	3.3
Male	114	62.3
Female	63	34.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Judge Leslie Dickson
Detailed Responses - Bar Association Members

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	183	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	141	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	118	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	50	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	50	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	39	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Professional reputation	25	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	17	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	28	4.3	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.5
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Government	38	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8
Retired	10	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	14	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	21	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
11 to 15 years	14	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3
16 to 20 years	18	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
More than 20 years	72	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	14	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.8
Criminal	18	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	58	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Civil	43	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6
Other	5	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	127	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	8	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	97	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	40	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20:
Judge Leslie Dickson
Description of Respondents' Experiences - Peace and Probation Officers

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	30	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	28	93.3
Professional reputation	2	6.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	10.7
Moderate amount of experience	16	57.1
Limited amount of experience	9	32.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21:
Judge Leslie Dickson
Detailed Responses - Peace and Probation Officers

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	30	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	28	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	27	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	16	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	9	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	2	4.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Judge Leslie Dickson
Description of Respondents' Experience - Court Employees

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	24	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	75.0
Professional reputation	3	12.5
Other personal contacts	3	12.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	17	100
Substantial amount of experience	6	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	10	55.6
Limited amount of experience	2	11.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Judge Leslie Dickson
Detailed Responses - Court Employees

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	24	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	17	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	10	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4
Limited amount of experience	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.5
Professional reputation	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7