



**UAA** Institute of Social  
and Economic Research  
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

# **Alaska Judicial Council**

## **Judicial Evaluation Survey**

### **Retention, Bar Members**

### **Technical Report**

Alliana Salangit, BA, Research Professional  
Trang Tran, MPP, Research Professional  
Rosylind Frazier, MSPH, Senior Research Professional  
Ashley Hannigan, MA, Research Professional

*May 17-21, 2020*

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

---

[www.iseralaska.org](http://www.iseralaska.org)

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology.....	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation .....	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management .....	6
Results.....	6
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges.....	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings .....	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating .....	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice .....	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice .....	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled .....	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice .....	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender .....	15
Table 11: Justice Susan M. Carney: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	16
Table 12: Justice Susan M. Carney: Detailed Responses .....	17
Table 13: Judge Tracey Wollenberg: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	18
Table 14: Judge Tracey Wollenberg: Detailed Responses .....	19
Table 15: Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	20
Table 16: Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto: Detailed Responses.....	21
Table 17: Judge Paul A. Roetman: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	22
Table 18: Judge Paul A. Roetman: Detailed Responses.....	23
Table 19: Judge Dani Crosby: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	24
Table 20: Judge Dani Crosby: Detailed Responses .....	25
Table 21: Judge Andrew Guidi: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	26
Table 22: Judge Andrew Guidi: Detailed Responses .....	27
Table 23: Judge Jennifer S. Henderson: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	28
Table 24: Judge Jennifer S. Henderson: Detailed Responses.....	29
Table 25: Judge Yvonne Lamoureux: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	30
Table 26: Judge Yvonne Lamoureux: Detailed Responses .....	31
Table 27: Judge Gregory Miller: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	32
Table 28: Judge Gregory Miller: Detailed Responses .....	33
Table 29: Judge Christina Reigh: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	34
Table 30: Judge Christina Reigh: Detailed Responses .....	35
Table 31: Judge Jennifer K. Wells: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	36
Table 32: Judge Jennifer K. Wells: Detailed Responses .....	37
Table 33: Judge Jonathan A. Woodman: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	38
Table 34: Judge Jonathan A. Woodman: Detailed Responses.....	39
Table 35: Judge Leslie Dickson: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	40
Table 36: Judge Leslie Dickson: Detailed Responses .....	41
Table 37: Judge Michael Franciosi: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	42
Table 38: Judge Michael Franciosi: Detailed Responses .....	43
Table 39: Judge J. Patrick Hanley: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	44
Table 40: Judge J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Responses.....	45
Table 41: Judge Michael Logue: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	46
Table 42: Judge Michael Logue: Detailed Responses .....	47

Table 43: Judge Kari L. McCrea: Demographic Description of Respondents .....48  
Table 44: Judge Kari L. McCrea: Detailed Responses .....49  
Table 45: Judge David R. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents .....50  
Table 46: Judge David R. Wallace: Detailed Responses .....51  
Table 47: Judge Pamela S. Washington: Demographic Description of Respondents .....52  
Table 48: Judge Pamela S. Washington: Detailed Responses .....53  
Table 49: Judge Nathaniel Peters: Demographic Description of Respondents .....54  
Table 50: Judge Nathaniel Peters: Detailed Responses .....55  
Table 51: Judge Matthew Christian: Demographic Description of Respondents .....56  
Table 52: Judge Matthew Christian: Detailed Responses .....57  
Table 53: Judge William T. Montgomery: Demographic Description of Respondents .....58  
Table 54: Judge William T. Montgomery: Detailed Responses .....59

## *Executive Summary*

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to evaluate the performance of 22 judges as required by Alaska statutes. This survey includes 22 judges: Justice Susan M. Carney, Judge Tracey Wollenberg, Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto, Judge Paul A. Roetman, Judge Dani Crosby, Judge Andrew Guidi, Judge Jennifer S. Henderson, Judge Yvonne Lamoureux, Judge Gregory Miller, Judge Christina Reigh, Judge Jennifer K. Wells, Judge Jonathan A. Woodman, Judge Leslie Dickson, Judge Michael Franciosi, Judge J. Patrick Hanley, Judge Michael Logue, Judge Kari L. McCrea, Judge David R. Wallace, Judge Pamela S. Washington, Judge Nathaniel Peters, Judge Matthew Christian, and Judge William T. Montgomery.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate the judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics.

**Table 1**  
**Mean Ratings of Judges**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	180	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	93	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	90	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	108	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	194	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	250	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	220	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	167	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	206	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.0
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	79	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	115	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	114	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	141	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	84	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	152	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	100	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	104	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	131	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	178	3.6	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.9
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	69	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	81	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	31	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

# 2020 Judicial Retention Survey

## *Introduction*

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council evaluate justices and judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each justice and judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research institute at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to evaluate the performance of 22 judges as required by Alaska statutes. This survey includes 22 judges: Justice Susan M. Carney, Judge Tracey Wollenberg, Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto, Judge Paul A. Roetman, Judge Dani Crosby, Judge Andrew Guidi, Judge Jennifer S. Henderson, Judge Yvonne Lamoureux, Judge Gregory Miller, Judge Christina Reigh, Judge Jennifer K. Wells, Judge Jonathan A. Woodman, Judge Leslie Dickson, Judge Michael Franciosi, Judge J. Patrick Hanley, Judge Michael Logue, Judge Kari L. McCrea, Judge David R. Wallace, Judge Pamela S. Washington, Judge Nathaniel Peters, Judge Matthew Christian, and Judge William T. Montgomery.

## *Methodology*

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,481 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,478) received an email invitation to complete the survey online. Three individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 10 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 917 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 13 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question or did not answer any other questions but the certification question. One online survey was returned by an individual who also completed a paper survey. One individual completed two online surveys. In both cases, the survey with the most complete data was used to ensure that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis. Therefore, 903 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 7 paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. No paper surveys were excluded because they were unsigned. Two paper surveys were excluded because the respondent did not respond to the question certifying that he/she had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. One paper survey was returned by an individual who also completed the online survey; the online survey was used and the paper survey was excluded. Therefore, 4 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 903 online surveys and 4 paper surveys, for a total of 907 surveys and a survey return rate of 26.1%. Of the 907 returned surveys, 251 (27.7%) did not rate any of the 22 judges; 656 (73.3%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

**Table 2**  
**Respondent Characteristics**

	All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>907</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Type of Practice</b>				
No response	12	1.3	8	1.2
Private, solo	196	21.6	132	20.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	121	13.3	94	14.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	102	11.2	79	12.0
Private, corporate employee	19	2.1	14	2.1
Judge or judicial officer	82	9.0	69	10.5
Government	223	24.6	171	26.1
Public service agency or organization	35	3.9	26	4.0
Retired	99	10.9	50	7.6
Other	18	2.0	13	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>				
No response	15	1.7	9	1.4
5 years or fewer	110	12.1	85	13.0
6 to 10 years	112	12.3	80	12.2
11 to 15 years	98	10.8	68	10.4
16 to 20 years	95	10.5	75	11.4
More than 20 years	477	52.6	339	51.7
<b>Cases Handled</b>				
No response	12	1.3	8	1.2
Prosecution	51	5.6	43	6.6
Criminal	78	8.6	57	8.7
Mixed criminal & civil	212	23.4	161	24.5
Civil	485	53.5	347	52.9
Other	69	7.6	40	6.1
<b>Location of Practice</b>				
No response	10	1.1	7	1.1
First District	105	11.6	32	4.9
Second District	17	1.9	12	1.8
Third District	647	71.3	515	78.5
Fourth District	90	9.9	75	11.4
Outside Alaska	38	4.2	15	2.3
<b>Gender</b>				
No response	15	1.7	11	1.7
Male	544	60.0	390	59.5
Female	348	38.4	255	38.9

## *Instrumentation*

The survey contained the names of the judges being evaluated, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge. Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the judges as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

*“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these judges.”*

Respondents evaluated judges in six areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

- Legal Ability:* Please evaluate the judge’s legal and factual analysis including the judge’s knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge’s writing clarity and precision.
- Impartiality/Fairness:* Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
- Integrity:* Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
- Judicial Temperament:* Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
- Diligence:* Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.
- Overall Evaluation:* Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

## *Confidentiality and Data Safety*

The survey introduction included a statement from the Alaska Judicial Council that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial evaluation survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a locked office. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

## ***Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding***

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

*“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”*

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. One duplicate survey was identified. The survey with the most complete data was used, and the other one discarded, to ensure that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

## ***Data Management***

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper surveys were entered, a second staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

## ***Results***

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents’ level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

### ***Respondents’ Level of Experience with Each Judge***

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

## *Ratings of Judges*

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-54 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

**Table 3**  
**Level of Experience with the Judges**

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	270	29.8	66.7	24.8	8.5
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	151	16.6	61.6	27.2	11.3
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	109	12.0	82.6	10.1	7.3
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	122	13.5	88.5	8.2	3.3
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	235	25.9	82.6	11.5	1.5
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	275	30.3	90.9	7.6	1.5
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	259	28.6	84.9	8.9	6.2
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	194	21.4	86.1	9.8	4.1
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	235	25.9	87.7	8.5	3.8
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	93	10.3	84.9	8.6	6.5
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	130	14.3	88.5	7.7	3.8
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	136	15.0	83.8	7.4	8.8
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	183	20.2	77.0	13.7	9.3
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	95	10.5	88.4	8.4	3.2
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	178	19.6	85.4	8.4	6.2
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	122	13.5	82.0	10.7	7.4
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	126	13.9	82.5	12.7	4.8
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	145	16.0	90.3	9.0	0.7
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	210	23.2	84.8	10.0	5.2
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	75	8.3	92.0	2.7	5.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	92	10.1	88.0	6.5	5.4
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	37	4.1	83.8	10.8	5.4

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Ratings on the “Overall” Variable**

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	265	4.6	5.0	0.8	176	4.6	5.0	0.9
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	146	4.7	5.0	0.8	91	4.7	5.0	0.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	104	3.9	4.0	1.1	87	3.9	4.0	1.1
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	119	4.1	4.0	1.0	106	4.1	4.0	1.0
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	230	4.5	5.0	0.8	190	4.4	5.0	0.9
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	269	4.1	4.0	1.1	244	4.2	4.0	1.0
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	257	4.6	5.0	0.8	219	4.5	5.0	0.8
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	190	4.5	5.0	0.8	165	4.4	5.0	0.8
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	232	4.0	4.0	1.1	204	4.0	4.0	1.1
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	89	4.4	5.0	0.8	76	4.4	5.0	0.8
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	130	4.3	5.0	1.0	115	4.3	5.0	1.0
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	136	4.4	5.0	1.0	114	4.3	5.0	1.0
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	180	4.5	5.0	0.7	139	4.4	5.0	0.7
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	94	4.5	5.0	0.7	83	4.5	5.0	0.7
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	177	4.7	5.0	0.6	151	4.8	5.0	0.6
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	118	4.2	4.0	1.0	99	4.1	4.0	1.0
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	125	4.5	5.0	0.9	104	4.5	5.0	0.9
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	144	4.4	5.0	0.9	130	4.3	5.0	0.9
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	206	3.9	4.0	1.1	174	3.9	4.0	1.1
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	74	4.3	4.0	0.8	68	4.3	4.5	0.9
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	90	4.3	5.0	0.9	79	4.3	5.0	0.8
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	36	4.1	4.0	1.0	30	4.3	5.0	0.9

**Table 5**  
**Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating**

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	176	2	1.1	8	4.5	7	4.0	28	15.9	131	74.4
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	91	2	2.2	-	-	4	4.4	8	8.8	77	84.6
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	87	3	3.4	9	10.3	19	21.8	23	26.4	33	37.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	106	3	2.8	6	5.7	12	11.3	40	37.7	45	42.5
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	190	4	2.1	3	1.6	16	8.4	50	26.3	117	61.6
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	244	7	2.9	13	5.3	32	13.1	71	29.1	121	49.6
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	219	5	2.3	1	0.5	16	7.3	49	22.4	148	67.6
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	165	3	1.8	3	1.8	11	6.7	49	29.7	99	60.0
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	204	6	2.9	16	7.8	32	15.7	64	31.4	86	42.2
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	76	1	1.3	2	2.6	3	3.9	27	35.5	43	56.6
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	115	2	1.7	4	3.5	17	14.8	26	22.6	66	57.4
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	114	3	2.6	5	4.4	15	13.2	18	15.8	73	64.0
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	139	1	0.7	1	0.7	11	7.9	49	35.3	77	55.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	83	-	-	2	2.4	4	4.8	27	32.5	50	60.2
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	151	1	0.7	-	-	4	2.6	25	16.6	121	80.1
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	99	3	3.0	6	6.1	8	8.1	41	41.4	41	41.4
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	104	3	2.9	4	3.8	3	2.9	26	25.0	68	65.4
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	130	3	2.3	5	3.8	8	6.2	42	32.3	72	55.4
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	174	4	2.3	19	10.9	32	18.4	52	29.9	67	38.5
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	68	-	-	4	5.9	6	8.8	24	35.3	34	50.0
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	79	1	1.3	2	2.5	7	8.9	28	35.4	41	51.9
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	30	-	-	2	6.7	3	10.0	9	30.0	16	53.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

**Table 6**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice**

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or Judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	27	4.2	19	4.7	21	4.7	2	5.0	37	4.8	43	4.5	6	4.8	17	4.7	2	4.5	4.6
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	7	4.7	2	5.0	5	5.0	-	-	29	4.9	37	4.6	1	5.0	7	4.7	1	5.0	4.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	14	3.6	13	4.2	5	3.2	-	-	26	4.5	24	3.2	2	3.5	3	4.0	-	-	3.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	19	4.6	14	3.9	10	3.7	-	-	30	4.5	22	3.6	1	5.0	9	3.7	-	-	4.1
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	46	4.3	34	4.4	28	4.7	4	4.8	26	4.6	37	4.3	2	4.5	8	4.4	3	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	63	4.2	40	4.2	36	4.3	6	4.7	26	4.2	50	4.0	6	3.3	13	4.4	2	3.5	4.2
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	48	4.3	34	4.6	29	4.5	1	5.0	32	4.8	56	4.6	8	4.5	8	4.5	1	5.0	4.5
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	30	4.2	24	4.5	31	4.8	1	4.0	28	4.7	36	4.3	4	4.8	8	4.0	2	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	49	4.1	33	4.2	32	4.3	5	4.4	29	4.4	45	3.6	2	3.0	7	3.9	-	-	4.0
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	6	4.2	7	4.1	6	3.7	-	-	29	4.7	19	4.5	4	4.5	5	4.4	-	-	4.4
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	19	3.9	18	3.9	14	4.1	1	5.0	26	4.8	21	4.2	3	4.3	10	4.8	3	5.0	4.3
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	24	4.1	12	4.1	14	4.1	-	-	16	4.9	38	4.3	3	4.3	7	5.0	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	27	4.7	18	4.2	10	4.5	2	5.0	26	4.6	38	4.2	4	4.8	10	4.6	2	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	16	4.5	9	4.2	7	4.7	-	-	26	4.8	21	4.2	2	4.5	1	5.0	1	5.0	4.5
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	27	4.8	13	4.7	11	4.9	2	5.0	34	4.9	46	4.6	3	4.7	11	4.5	2	5.0	4.8
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	19	4.5	12	3.8	9	4.2	1	4.0	18	4.5	31	3.7	4	4.5	3	4.0	2	4.5	4.1
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	20	4.6	9	4.4	6	4.8	1	5.0	29	4.8	32	3.9	2	5.0	4	4.8	1	5.0	4.5
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	24	4.4	21	4.4	17	4.2	2	4.5	19	4.6	34	4.2	3	4.0	6	4.0	2	5.0	4.3
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	37	4.3	27	3.9	19	3.5	3	5.0	28	4.3	43	3.5	4	4.0	8	3.6	3	5.0	3.9
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	7	4.0	8	4.5	7	3.6	-	-	21	4.9	18	3.8	1	5.0	5	4.4	1	5.0	4.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	10	4.6	13	4.2	6	4.3	-	-	23	4.7	18	3.9	3	4.3	6	4.3	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	1	3.0	2	4.5	3	3.3	-	-	14	4.8	8	3.9	1	4.0	1	5.0	-	-	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

**Table 7**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice**

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	4	4.8	17	4.8	9	4.9	28	4.7	114	4.5	4.6
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	4	5.0	12	4.7	10	4.9	15	4.7	48	4.7	4.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	6	3.2	11	3.7	9	3.9	15	4.3	45	3.8	3.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	1	4.0	10	3.4	4	4.5	17	4.0	72	4.2	4.1
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	18	4.5	16	4.3	12	4.3	23	4.5	119	4.5	4.4
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	17	3.9	26	3.9	20	4.4	25	4.2	155	4.2	4.2
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	22	4.7	26	4.5	22	4.6	28	4.6	120	4.5	4.5
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	16	4.6	18	4.3	17	4.5	19	4.7	95	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	15	3.9	23	3.4	19	4.0	23	4.3	122	4.1	4.0
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	7	4.4	12	4.7	7	4.1	9	4.3	41	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	12	4.3	7	4.1	10	4.6	14	4.3	72	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	12	4.0	12	4.6	11	4.1	17	4.2	62	4.4	4.3
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	14	4.3	21	4.2	13	4.3	18	4.6	72	4.5	4.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	13	4.3	8	4.0	10	4.9	8	4.5	44	4.6	4.5
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	11	4.3	23	4.8	12	4.8	17	4.9	87	4.8	4.8
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	13	3.6	13	3.8	9	4.2	8	4.4	56	4.3	4.1
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	12	4.4	11	3.5	9	4.6	16	4.6	55	4.6	4.5
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	14	4.1	19	4.1	11	4.8	15	4.4	70	4.4	4.3
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	19	3.9	19	3.5	17	3.7	23	4.2	94	4.0	3.9
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	7	4.1	8	4.1	9	4.3	16	4.4	27	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	4	4.5	11	4.1	10	4.4	16	4.3	37	4.4	4.3
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	5	4.0	3	3.7	6	4.3	5	4.4	11	4.5	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

**Table 8**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled**

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	6	4.3	16	4.8	60	4.6	81	4.5	12	4.7	4.6
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	9	3.9	22	5.0	44	4.8	9	4.9	5	5.0	4.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	6	3.3	7	3.3	44	4.0	28	3.9	2	4.0	3.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	8	3.5	13	3.5	47	4.3	33	4.3	4	3.8	4.1
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	4	4.5	7	4.1	47	4.3	120	4.5	11	4.7	4.4
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	5	4.6	6	3.5	56	4.3	165	4.2	10	4.5	4.2
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	11	4.7	17	4.6	69	4.3	110	4.6	10	4.8	4.5
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	6	4.7	8	4.9	48	4.4	95	4.4	7	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	12	2.3	14	4.4	52	4.2	114	4.1	10	4.3	4.0
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	6	4.5	7	4.3	38	4.5	24	4.3	1	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	6	4.2	10	4.4	50	4.4	44	4.2	5	4.0	4.3
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	5	4.6	9	3.9	40	4.3	56	4.4	4	4.5	4.3
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	14	3.8	18	4.3	58	4.5	42	4.6	5	4.8	4.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	8	4.4	12	4.0	39	4.7	22	4.5	2	4.5	4.5
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	16	4.4	19	4.8	60	4.8	49	4.8	5	4.8	4.8
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	12	4.2	18	3.3	35	4.5	28	4.3	6	3.8	4.1
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	10	2.5	16	4.6	42	4.7	31	4.6	5	4.8	4.5
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	13	4.5	16	3.8	44	4.4	51	4.5	4	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	12	3.0	20	4.2	56	4.1	74	3.8	10	4.5	3.9
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	6	4.0	11	4.0	32	4.6	14	4.1	5	4.0	4.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	2	3.0	6	4.2	40	4.6	27	4.1	4	4.5	4.3
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	3	3.7	6	4.2	17	4.4	4	4.5	-	-	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

**Table 9**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice**

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	8	4.6	2	5.0	128	4.6	35	4.5	2	5.0	4.6
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	2	5.0	1	5.0	76	4.7	9	5.0	1	5.0	4.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	2	5.0	9	3.7	48	3.7	28	4.1	-	-	3.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	3	2.7	8	4.4	74	4.1	19	4.4	1	3.0	4.1
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	4	5.0	-	-	178	4.5	4	3.5	3	4.7	4.4
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	4	3.5	1	5.0	226	4.2	9	3.8	2	4.5	4.2
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	3	5.0	1	5.0	205	4.5	8	4.1	-	-	4.5
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	4	5.0	-	-	153	4.5	7	3.7	1	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	3	4.7	1	5.0	188	4.0	8	3.6	3	5.0	4.0
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	4	5.0	1	5.0	63	4.4	7	4.3	1	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	2	5.0	1	5.0	102	4.3	10	4.2	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	2	5.0	-	-	106	4.4	6	3.3	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	1	3.0	1	5.0	126	4.4	8	4.5	2	5.0	4.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	1	3.0	1	5.0	78	4.5	3	4.3	-	-	4.5
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	4	4.5	-	-	138	4.8	6	4.3	1	5.0	4.8
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	2	3.0	-	-	93	4.1	2	4.0	2	4.5	4.1
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	1	4.0	-	-	95	4.5	8	4.3	-	-	4.5
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	3	3.3	-	-	123	4.4	2	3.5	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	2	5.0	-	-	159	3.9	9	3.3	2	4.5	3.9
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	2	3.5	1	5.0	50	4.2	15	4.7	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	-	-	1	5.0	29	4.4	49	4.3	-	-	4.3
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	1	2.0	-	-	15	4.2	14	4.6	-	-	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

**Table 10**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Gender**

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Justice Susan M. Carney</b>	95	4.5	78	4.7	4.6
<b>Judge Tracey Wollenberg</b>	46	4.5	41	5.0	4.7
<b>Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto</b>	53	3.9	31	3.7	3.9
<b>Judge Paul A. Roetman</b>	68	4.2	35	3.9	4.1
<b>Judge Dani Crosby</b>	115	4.5	73	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Andrew Guidi</b>	154	4.2	86	4.2	4.2
<b>Judge Jennifer S. Henderson</b>	133	4.4	82	4.8	4.5
<b>Judge Yvonne Lamoureux</b>	99	4.5	64	4.4	4.4
<b>Judge Gregory Miller</b>	141	4.1	60	4.0	4.0
<b>Judge Christina Reigh</b>	44	4.4	31	4.5	4.4
<b>Judge Jennifer K. Wells</b>	64	4.2	50	4.5	4.3
<b>Judge Jonathan A. Woodman</b>	61	4.3	52	4.3	4.3
<b>Judge Leslie Dickson</b>	96	4.4	40	4.6	4.4
<b>Judge Michael Franciosi</b>	62	4.5	20	4.5	4.5
<b>Judge J. Patrick Hanley</b>	100	4.7	49	4.8	4.8
<b>Judge Michael Logue</b>	72	4.1	26	4.1	4.1
<b>Judge Kari L. McCrea</b>	70	4.4	33	4.5	4.5
<b>Judge David R. Wallace</b>	96	4.4	32	4.2	4.3
<b>Judge Pamela S. Washington</b>	111	3.9	61	4.0	3.9
<b>Judge Nathaniel Peters</b>	45	4.4	22	4.0	4.3
<b>Judge Matthew Christian</b>	44	4.4	33	4.2	4.3
<b>Judge William T. Montgomery</b>	23	4.3	6	4.0	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

**Table 11**  
**Justice Susan M. Carney**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	%
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	180	66.7
Professional reputation	67	24.8
Other personal contacts	23	8.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	162	92.0
Substantial amount of experience	51	28.7
Moderate amount of experience	70	39.3
Limited amount of experience	57	32.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	5	1.9
Private, solo	41	15.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	32	11.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	31	11.5
Private, corporate employee	4	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	49	18.1
Government	62	23.0
Public service agency or organization	16	5.9
Retired	27	10.0
Other	3	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	7	2.6
5 years or fewer	17	6.3
6 to 10 years	25	9.3
11 to 15 years	18	6.7
16 to 20 years	38	14.1
More than 20 years	165	61.1
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	5	1.9
Prosecution	9	3.3
Criminal	27	10.0
Mixed criminal & civil	84	31.1
Civil	127	47.0
Other	18	6.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	4	1.5
First District	19	7.0
Second District	4	1.5
Third District	184	68.1
Fourth District	55	20.4
Outside Alaska	4	1.5
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	7	2.6
Male	147	54.4
Female	116	43.0

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 12**  
**Justice Susan M. Carney**  
**Detailed Responses**

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	270	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Experience within last 5 years	162	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	51	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	70	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6
Limited amount of experience	57	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Professional reputation	67	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Other personal contacts	23	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.9
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	29	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	21	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7
Private, corporate employee	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	38	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Government	43	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5
Public service agency or organization	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Retired	17	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7
Other	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	5	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
6 to 10 years	17	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.9	4.8	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.9
16 to 20 years	28	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	116	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	4.2	4.2	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.3
Criminal	16	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	61	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Civil	81	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5
Other	13	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6
Second District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
Third District	128	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	35	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Outside Alaska	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	97	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	79	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 13**  
**Judge Tracey Wollenberg**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	93	61.6
Professional reputation	41	27.2
Other personal contacts	17	11.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	86	94.5
Substantial amount of experience	42	46.2
Moderate amount of experience	27	29.7
Limited amount of experience	22	24.2
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	3	2.0
Private, solo	14	9.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	6.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	34	22.5
Government	61	40.4
Public service agency or organization	6	4.0
Retired	13	8.6
Other	3	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	2.0
5 years or fewer	15	9.9
6 to 10 years	26	17.2
11 to 15 years	14	9.3
16 to 20 years	22	14.6
More than 20 years	71	47.0
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	3	2.0
Prosecution	15	9.9
Criminal	34	22.5
Mixed criminal & civil	56	37.1
Civil	35	23.2
Other	8	5.3
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	2.0
First District	7	4.6
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	125	82.8
Fourth District	13	8.6
Outside Alaska	2	1.3
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	6	4.0
Male	75	49.7
Female	70	46.4

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 14**  
**Judge Tracey Wollenberg**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	<b>Legal Ability</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Integrity</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Judicial Temperament</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Diligence</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Overall</b> <i>M</i>
All respondents	151	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>
Experience within last 5 years	86	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	42	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Limited amount of experience	22	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	41	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Other personal contacts	17	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	29	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9
Government	37	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	13	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	10	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
16 to 20 years	15	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
More than 20 years	48	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	9	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.3	3.8	3.9
Criminal	22	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	45	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8
Civil	9	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.9	4.9
Other	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	76	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Fourth District	9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	46	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	42	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 15**  
**Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	90	82.6
Professional reputation	11	10.1
Other personal contacts	8	7.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	85	97.7
Substantial amount of experience	22	24.7
Moderate amount of experience	39	43.8
Limited amount of experience	28	31.5
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.9
Private, solo	17	15.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	11.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	6.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	32	29.4
Government	31	28.4
Public service agency or organization	3	2.8
Retired	5	4.6
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.8
5 years or fewer	7	6.4
6 to 10 years	14	12.8
11 to 15 years	12	11.0
16 to 20 years	19	17.4
More than 20 years	55	50.5
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	0.9
Prosecution	10	9.2
Criminal	7	6.4
Mixed criminal & civil	51	46.8
Civil	34	31.2
Other	6	5.5
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.9
First District	2	1.8
Second District	10	9.2
Third District	60	55.0
Fourth District	34	31.2
Outside Alaska	2	1.8
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	4	3.7
Male	65	59.6
Female	40	36.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 16**  
**Judge Romano D. DiBenedetto**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	109	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.1	3.9
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Experience within last 5 years	85	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.7	4.0	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	22	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	39	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.8
Limited amount of experience	28	3.9	3.6	4.1	3.6	4.0	3.8
Professional reputation	11	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.1	3.9
Other personal contacts	8	4.2	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.1
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	15	3.5	3.3	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.2
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.5
Government	24	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.1	3.7	3.2
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Retired	3	4.0	3.7	4.3	3.3	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	6	3.2	3.2	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.2
6 to 10 years	11	3.4	3.8	4.1	3.3	4.3	3.7
11 to 15 years	9	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.3	3.9
16 to 20 years	16	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
More than 20 years	46	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.8
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	3.3	3.8	4.0	3.5	4.2	3.3
Criminal	7	3.4	2.9	3.6	3.1	3.7	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	46	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.0
Civil	28	3.8	3.8	4.3	3.6	3.9	3.9
Other	2	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	9	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.1	4.2	3.7
Third District	50	3.7	3.6	4.1	3.6	3.9	3.7
Fourth District	28	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	55	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.1	3.9
Female	31	3.8	3.5	3.9	3.5	3.9	3.7

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 17**  
**Judge Paul A. Roetman**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	108	88.5
Professional reputation	10	8.2
Other personal contacts	4	3.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	87	87.0
Substantial amount of experience	33	30.8
Moderate amount of experience	35	32.7
Limited amount of experience	39	36.4
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.6
Private, solo	21	17.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	12.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	10.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	34	27.9
Government	26	21.3
Public service agency or organization	1	0.8
Retired	10	8.2
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	2.5
5 years or fewer	1	0.8
6 to 10 years	11	9.0
11 to 15 years	4	3.3
16 to 20 years	22	18.0
More than 20 years	81	66.4
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	1.6
Prosecution	9	7.4
Criminal	15	12.3
Mixed criminal & civil	52	42.6
Civil	39	32.0
Other	5	4.1
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.6
First District	6	4.9
Second District	8	6.6
Third District	84	68.9
Fourth District	21	17.2
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	4	3.3
Male	77	63.1
Female	41	33.6

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 18**  
**Judge Paul A. Roetman**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	<b>Legal Ability</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Integrity</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Judicial Temperament</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Diligence</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Overall</b> <i>M</i>
All respondents	122	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.1</b>
Experience within last 5 years	87	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	13	3.2	3.3	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.3
Substantial amount of experience	33	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	35	4.0	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	39	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Professional reputation	10	3.7	3.8	4.1	4.3	3.8	3.7
Other personal contacts	4	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.5
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	19	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.8	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.0	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	3.6	3.7	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Government	23	3.4	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.6
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	9	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	10	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.4
11 to 15 years	4	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.5
16 to 20 years	17	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0
More than 20 years	73	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	8	3.3	3.5	4.1	4.1	3.4	3.5
Criminal	13	3.3	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	48	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3
Civil	33	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Other	4	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.8	3.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	2.7	3.3	3.0	2.7	3.7	2.7
Second District	8	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Third District	74	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	20	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	69	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Female	35	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 19**  
**Judge Dani Crosby**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	194	82.6
Professional reputation	27	11.5
Other personal contacts	14	6.0
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	177	95.7
Substantial amount of experience	65	33.9
Moderate amount of experience	67	34.9
Limited amount of experience	60	31.3
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	4	1.7
Private, solo	53	22.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	40	17.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	36	15.3
Private, corporate employee	6	2.6
Judge or judicial officer	29	12.3
Government	47	20.0
Public service agency or organization	3	1.3
Retired	14	6.0
Other	3	1.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	4	1.7
5 years or fewer	22	9.4
6 to 10 years	19	8.1
11 to 15 years	14	6.0
16 to 20 years	32	13.6
More than 20 years	144	61.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	3	1.3
Prosecution	4	1.7
Criminal	11	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	53	22.6
Civil	151	64.3
Other	13	5.5
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.3
First District	6	2.6
Second District	-	-
Third District	217	92.3
Fourth District	5	2.1
Outside Alaska	4	1.7
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	4	1.7
Male	141	60.0
Female	90	38.3

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 20**  
**Judge Dani Crosby**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	235	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>
Experience within last 5 years	177	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Substantial amount of experience	65	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	67	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	60	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	27	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	14	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	47	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	34	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	29	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
Private, corporate employee	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.6
Government	38	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Retired	8	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	20	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.5
6 to 10 years	17	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
11 to 15 years	12	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.3
16 to 20 years	23	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.5
More than 20 years	119	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	4	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.5
Criminal	7	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	47	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3
Civil	123	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other	11	4.6	4.5	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	181	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	4	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.5
Outside Alaska	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	117	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Female	74	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 21**  
**Judge Andrew Guidi**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	250	90.9
Professional reputation	21	7.6
Other personal contacts	4	1.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	214	89.2
Substantial amount of experience	77	30.9
Moderate amount of experience	92	36.9
Limited amount of experience	80	32.1
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.1
Private, solo	68	24.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	46	16.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	39	14.2
Private, corporate employee	8	2.9
Judge or judicial officer	31	11.3
Government	55	20.0
Public service agency or organization	6	2.2
Retired	17	6.2
Other	2	0.7
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	0.7
5 years or fewer	22	8.0
6 to 10 years	27	9.8
11 to 15 years	20	7.3
16 to 20 years	29	10.5
More than 20 years	175	63.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	3	1.1
Prosecution	5	1.8
Criminal	9	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	63	22.9
Civil	183	66.5
Other	12	4.4
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.1
First District	5	1.8
Second District	1	0.4
Third District	252	91.6
Fourth District	11	4.0
Outside Alaska	3	1.1
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	5	1.8
Male	174	63.3
Female	96	34.9

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 22**  
**Judge Andrew Guidi**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	275	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>
Experience within last 5 years	214	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	26	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	77	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	92	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	80	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	21	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.0
Other personal contacts	4	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	64	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	43	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	36	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Private, corporate employee	6	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.7
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2
Government	51	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Public service agency or organization	6	3.8	3.3	3.7	3.2	3.8	3.3
Retired	13	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
Other	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	19	3.9	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.9
6 to 10 years	26	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
11 to 15 years	20	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	25	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
More than 20 years	158	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	5	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.6
Criminal	6	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.5	4.3	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	56	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Civil	170	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Other	10	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	4	3.3	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.5
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	231	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Fourth District	9	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.8
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	155	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Female	90	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 23**  
**Judge Jennifer S. Henderson**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	220	84.9
Professional reputation	23	8.9
Other personal contacts	16	6.2
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	202	97.6
Substantial amount of experience	72	33.0
Moderate amount of experience	87	39.9
Limited amount of experience	59	27.1
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.2
Private, solo	52	20.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	36	13.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	33	12.7
Private, corporate employee	4	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	37	14.3
Government	68	26.3
Public service agency or organization	11	4.2
Retired	14	5.4
Other	1	0.4
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	0.8
5 years or fewer	26	10.0
6 to 10 years	32	12.4
11 to 15 years	25	9.7
16 to 20 years	36	13.9
More than 20 years	138	53.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	3	1.2
Prosecution	17	6.6
Criminal	23	8.9
Mixed criminal & civil	76	29.3
Civil	128	49.4
Other	12	4.6
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.2
First District	8	3.1
Second District	1	0.4
Third District	238	91.9
Fourth District	9	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	5	1.9
Male	154	59.5
Female	100	38.6

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 24**  
**Judge Jennifer S. Henderson**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	259	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	202	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	5.0	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	72	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	87	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	59	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	23	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	16	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	48	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	34	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	29	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	32	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Government	56	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6
Public service agency or organization	8	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Retired	8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	22	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
6 to 10 years	26	4.4	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	22	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.6
16 to 20 years	28	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6
More than 20 years	120	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	11	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
Criminal	17	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	69	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Civil	110	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Other	10	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	205	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	8	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	133	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	82	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 25**  
**Judge Yvonne Lamoureux**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	167	86.1
Professional reputation	19	9.8
Other personal contacts	8	4.1
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	153	99.4
Substantial amount of experience	60	36.1
Moderate amount of experience	55	33.1
Limited amount of experience	51	30.7
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.0
Private, solo	34	17.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	27	13.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	35	18.0
Private, corporate employee	1	0.5
Judge or judicial officer	30	15.5
Government	50	25.8
Public service agency or organization	5	2.6
Retired	8	4.1
Other	2	1.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.5
5 years or fewer	21	10.8
6 to 10 years	20	10.3
11 to 15 years	21	10.8
16 to 20 years	29	14.9
More than 20 years	102	52.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	9	4.6
Criminal	12	6.2
Mixed criminal & civil	53	27.3
Civil	109	56.2
Other	9	4.6
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.5
First District	7	3.6
Second District	-	-
Third District	177	91.2
Fourth District	8	4.1
Outside Alaska	1	0.5
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	3	1.5
Male	114	58.8
Female	77	39.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 26**  
**Judge Yvonne Lamoureux**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	194	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>
Experience within last 5 years	153	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	60	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	55	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Limited amount of experience	51	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4
Professional reputation	19	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	30	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	25	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	31	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7
Government	36	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3
Public service agency or organization	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8
Retired	8	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.0
Other	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	17	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6
6 to 10 years	18	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	17	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5
16 to 20 years	19	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7
More than 20 years	95	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7
Criminal	8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	48	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4
Civil	96	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.4
Other	7	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.4
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	154	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Fourth District	7	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.2	4.0	3.7
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	99	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Female	65	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 27**  
**Judge Gregory Miller**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	206	87.7
Professional reputation	20	8.5
Other personal contacts	9	3.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	185	93.4
Substantial amount of experience	71	34.6
Moderate amount of experience	77	37.6
Limited amount of experience	57	27.8
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	0.9
Private, solo	55	23.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	35	14.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	33	14.0
Private, corporate employee	6	2.6
Judge or judicial officer	33	14.0
Government	53	22.6
Public service agency or organization	2	0.9
Retired	13	5.5
Other	3	1.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	0.9
5 years or fewer	20	8.5
6 to 10 years	25	10.6
11 to 15 years	19	8.1
16 to 20 years	25	10.6
More than 20 years	144	61.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	0.9
Prosecution	14	6.0
Criminal	19	8.1
Mixed criminal & civil	58	24.7
Civil	131	55.7
Other	11	4.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.4
First District	4	1.7
Second District	3	1.3
Third District	215	91.5
Fourth District	8	3.4
Outside Alaska	4	1.7
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	3	1.3
Male	156	66.4
Female	76	32.3

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 28**  
**Judge Gregory Miller**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	235	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.0
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.0</b>
Experience within last 5 years	185	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	13	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	71	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	77	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.2	4.0
Limited amount of experience	57	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Professional reputation	20	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.7
Other personal contacts	9	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	50	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	33	4.2	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	32	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Private, corporate employee	5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Judge or judicial officer	29	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.4
Government	46	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.8	3.6
Public service agency or organization	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0
Retired	7	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.6	4.1	3.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	16	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.7	4.0	3.9
6 to 10 years	23	3.7	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.9	3.4
11 to 15 years	19	3.9	3.8	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0
16 to 20 years	23	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	123	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	12	2.7	2.4	2.9	2.3	3.3	2.3
Criminal	14	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	53	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.2
Civil	115	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Other	10	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Second District	1	-	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	190	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.0
Fourth District	8	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.6
Outside Alaska	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	141	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Female	62	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 29**  
**Judge Christina Reigh**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	79	84.9
Professional reputation	8	8.6
Other personal contacts	6	6.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	74	97.4
Substantial amount of experience	23	29.9
Moderate amount of experience	29	37.7
Limited amount of experience	25	32.5
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.1
Private, solo	8	8.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	8.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	6.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	31	33.3
Government	24	25.8
Public service agency or organization	8	8.6
Retired	7	7.5
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.1
5 years or fewer	8	8.6
6 to 10 years	17	18.3
11 to 15 years	7	7.5
16 to 20 years	13	14.0
More than 20 years	47	50.5
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.1
Prosecution	7	7.5
Criminal	9	9.7
Mixed criminal & civil	42	45.2
Civil	31	33.3
Other	3	3.2
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.1
First District	7	7.5
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	74	79.6
Fourth District	9	9.7
Outside Alaska	1	1.1
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	2.2
Male	49	52.7
Female	42	45.2

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 30**  
**Judge Christina Reigh**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	93	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>
Experience within last 5 years	74	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	2	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Substantial amount of experience	23	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	29	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.4
Limited amount of experience	25	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Professional reputation	8	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.6	4.3
Other personal contacts	6	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	7	3.7	3.8	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	29	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Government	19	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5
Public service agency or organization	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5
Retired	5	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	8	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	12	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	7	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	42	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.4
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5
Criminal	7	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Civil	26	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	65	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	7	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	45	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	32	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 31**  
**Judge Jennifer K. Wells**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	115	88.5
Professional reputation	10	7.7
Other personal contacts	5	3.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	96	88.9
Substantial amount of experience	49	42.6
Moderate amount of experience	43	37.4
Limited amount of experience	23	20.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	21	16.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	15.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	11.5
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	33	25.4
Government	24	18.5
Public service agency or organization	3	2.3
Retired	10	7.7
Other	3	2.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	13	10.0
6 to 10 years	8	6.2
11 to 15 years	10	7.7
16 to 20 years	17	13.1
More than 20 years	82	63.1
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	4.6
Criminal	11	8.5
Mixed criminal & civil	61	46.9
Civil	46	35.4
Other	6	4.6
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	3	2.3
Second District	1	0.8
Third District	114	87.7
Fourth District	12	9.2
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	0.8
Male	72	55.4
Female	57	43.8

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 32**  
**Judge Jennifer K. Wells**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	130	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Experience within last 5 years	96	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	12	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	49	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	43	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3
Limited amount of experience	23	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7
Professional reputation	10	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1
Other personal contacts	5	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.2
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	19	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.1
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.8
Government	21	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Retired	10	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	12	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	7	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.0	4.1
11 to 15 years	10	4.5	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.6
16 to 20 years	14	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
More than 20 years	72	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	3.8	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2
Criminal	10	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	50	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Civil	44	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2
Other	5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	102	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	10	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	64	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Female	50	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 33**  
**Judge Jonathan A. Woodman**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	114	83.8
Professional reputation	10	7.4
Other personal contacts	12	8.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	108	96.4
Substantial amount of experience	45	39.8
Moderate amount of experience	38	33.6
Limited amount of experience	30	26.5
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	26	19.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	11.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	12.5
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	18	13.2
Government	46	33.8
Public service agency or organization	4	2.9
Retired	9	6.6
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	14	10.3
6 to 10 years	13	9.6
11 to 15 years	15	11.0
16 to 20 years	24	17.6
More than 20 years	70	51.5
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	4.4
Criminal	11	8.1
Mixed criminal & civil	42	30.9
Civil	70	51.5
Other	7	5.1
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	4	2.9
Second District	-	-
Third District	124	91.2
Fourth District	7	5.1
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	0.7
Male	75	55.1
Female	60	44.1

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 34**  
**Judge Jonathan A. Woodman**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	136	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Experience within last 5 years	108	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	38	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	30	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Professional reputation	10	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9
Other personal contacts	12	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	24	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.5	3.8	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.1	3.8	4.2	4.1	3.8	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.9
Government	38	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.0	4.3
Retired	7	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	12	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	12	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
11 to 15 years	11	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.7	4.1
16 to 20 years	17	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.6	4.0	4.2
More than 20 years	62	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6
Criminal	9	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.4	3.9	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.3
Civil	56	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Other	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	106	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	6	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	61	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3
Female	52	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 35**  
**Judge Leslie Dickson**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	141	77.0
Professional reputation	25	13.7
Other personal contacts	17	9.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	118	90.1
Substantial amount of experience	50	36.0
Moderate amount of experience	50	36.0
Limited amount of experience	39	28.1
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	4	2.2
Private, solo	34	18.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	10.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	8.7
Private, corporate employee	7	3.8
Judge or judicial officer	30	16.4
Government	51	27.9
Public service agency or organization	5	2.7
Retired	14	7.7
Other	2	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	4	2.2
5 years or fewer	16	8.7
6 to 10 years	22	12.0
11 to 15 years	17	9.3
16 to 20 years	29	15.8
More than 20 years	95	51.9
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	4	2.2
Prosecution	16	8.7
Criminal	22	12.0
Mixed criminal & civil	66	36.1
Civil	63	34.4
Other	12	6.6
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.6
First District	3	1.6
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	156	85.2
Fourth District	15	8.2
Outside Alaska	5	2.7
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	6	3.3
Male	114	62.3
Female	63	34.4

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 36**  
**Judge Leslie Dickson**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	183	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>
Experience within last 5 years	118	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	50	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	50	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	39	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Professional reputation	25	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	17	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	28	4.3	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.5
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Government	38	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8
Retired	10	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	14	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	21	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
11 to 15 years	14	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3
16 to 20 years	18	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
More than 20 years	72	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	14	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.8
Criminal	18	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	58	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Civil	43	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6
Other	5	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	127	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	8	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	97	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	40	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 37**  
**Judge Michael Franciosi**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	84	88.4
Professional reputation	8	8.4
Other personal contacts	3	3.2
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	83	98.8
Substantial amount of experience	31	36.9
Moderate amount of experience	32	38.1
Limited amount of experience	21	25.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	16	16.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	9.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	7.4
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	29	30.5
Government	30	31.6
Public service agency or organization	2	2.1
Retired	1	1.1
Other	1	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	13	13.7
6 to 10 years	12	12.6
11 to 15 years	10	10.5
16 to 20 years	13	13.7
More than 20 years	47	49.5
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	12	12.6
Criminal	12	12.6
Mixed criminal & civil	46	48.4
Civil	22	23.2
Other	3	3.2
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.1
Second District	2	2.1
Third District	88	92.6
Fourth District	4	4.2
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.1
Male	66	69.5
Female	28	29.5

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 38**  
**Judge Michael Franciosi**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	95	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	83	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	31	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	21	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	8	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.6
Other personal contacts	3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	16	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Government	22	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	13	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.3
6 to 10 years	9	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0
11 to 15 years	10	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.9
16 to 20 years	8	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	44	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	9	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4
Criminal	12	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	39	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Civil	22	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	79	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	62	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Female	21	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 39**  
**Judge J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	%
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	152	85.4
Professional reputation	15	8.4
Other personal contacts	11	6.2
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	136	91.3
Substantial amount of experience	56	36.8
Moderate amount of experience	63	41.4
Limited amount of experience	33	21.7
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.1
Private, solo	31	17.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	9.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	10.1
Private, corporate employee	4	2.2
Judge or judicial officer	35	19.7
Government	54	30.3
Public service agency or organization	4	2.2
Retired	12	6.7
Other	2	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.6
5 years or fewer	12	6.7
6 to 10 years	24	13.5
11 to 15 years	15	8.4
16 to 20 years	23	12.9
More than 20 years	103	57.9
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	1.1
Prosecution	18	10.1
Criminal	21	11.8
Mixed criminal & civil	65	36.5
Civil	65	36.5
Other	7	3.9
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.1
First District	6	3.4
Second District	-	-
Third District	161	90.4
Fourth District	7	3.9
Outside Alaska	2	1.1
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	1.1
Male	117	65.7
Female	59	33.1

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 40**  
**Judge J. Patrick Hanley**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	178	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Experience within last 5 years	136	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	56	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	63	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	33	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.9
Professional reputation	15	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Other personal contacts	11	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	28	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.9
Private, corporate employee	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	34	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9
Government	46	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Retired	11	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	11	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	23	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8
11 to 15 years	13	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.8
16 to 20 years	17	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
More than 20 years	87	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	16	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Criminal	19	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	60	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	50	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Other	5	4.4	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.6	4.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	139	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Fourth District	6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	101	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Female	49	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 41**  
**Judge Michael Logue**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	100	82.0
Professional reputation	13	10.7
Other personal contacts	9	7.4
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	90	91.8
Substantial amount of experience	42	42.4
Moderate amount of experience	32	32.3
Limited amount of experience	25	25.3
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	23	18.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	11.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	10.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	21	17.2
Government	36	29.5
Public service agency or organization	5	4.1
Retired	6	4.9
Other	2	1.6
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.8
5 years or fewer	15	12.3
6 to 10 years	13	10.7
11 to 15 years	11	9.0
16 to 20 years	13	10.7
More than 20 years	69	56.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	12	9.8
Criminal	19	15.6
Mixed criminal & civil	42	34.4
Civil	40	32.8
Other	8	6.6
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.8
First District	2	1.6
Second District	-	-
Third District	115	94.3
Fourth District	2	1.6
Outside Alaska	2	1.6
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	1.6
Male	86	70.5
Female	34	27.9

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 42**  
**Judge Michael Logue**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	122	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.1</b>
Experience within last 5 years	90	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	42	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
Limited amount of experience	25	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	13	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	9	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.1	4.4
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	19	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5
Government	31	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7
Public service agency or organization	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5
Retired	3	3.7	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	13	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.6
6 to 10 years	13	4.0	3.7	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.8
11 to 15 years	9	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	8	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.4
More than 20 years	56	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	12	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.2
Criminal	18	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	35	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5
Civil	28	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3
Other	6	4.2	4.5	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	93	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	72	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Female	26	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 43**  
**Judge Kari L. McCrea**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	104	82.5
Professional reputation	16	12.7
Other personal contacts	6	4.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	97	99.0
Substantial amount of experience	39	37.5
Moderate amount of experience	30	28.8
Limited amount of experience	35	33.7
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	23	18.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	7.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	6.3
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	33	26.2
Government	41	32.5
Public service agency or organization	3	2.4
Retired	5	4.0
Other	2	1.6
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.8
5 years or fewer	16	12.7
6 to 10 years	16	12.7
11 to 15 years	11	8.7
16 to 20 years	21	16.7
More than 20 years	61	48.4
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	11	8.7
Criminal	22	17.5
Mixed criminal & civil	49	38.9
Civil	36	28.6
Other	8	6.3
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	1	0.8
Second District	-	-
Third District	113	89.7
Fourth District	11	8.7
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	0.8
Male	75	59.5
Female	50	39.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 44**  
**Judge Kari L. McCrea**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	126	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	97	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	2.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	2.0
Substantial amount of experience	39	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	30	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4
Limited amount of experience	35	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3
Professional reputation	16	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	6	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.7
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	20	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	29	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Government	32	4.0	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.4	3.9
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.8
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	12	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	11	3.7	3.3	4.1	4.4	4.5	3.5
11 to 15 years	9	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.6
16 to 20 years	16	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.6
More than 20 years	55	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	10	2.8	2.1	3.1	3.9	3.8	2.5
Criminal	16	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	42	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Civil	31	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Other	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	95	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
Fourth District	8	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	70	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.4
Female	33	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 45**  
**Judge David R. Wallace**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	131	90.3
Professional reputation	13	9.0
Other personal contacts	1	0.7
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	85.3
Substantial amount of experience	61	46.6
Moderate amount of experience	44	33.6
Limited amount of experience	26	19.8
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.4
Private, solo	26	17.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	15.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	20	13.8
Private, corporate employee	2	1.4
Judge or judicial officer	21	14.5
Government	38	26.2
Public service agency or organization	3	2.1
Retired	7	4.8
Other	3	2.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	0.7
5 years or fewer	14	9.7
6 to 10 years	20	13.8
11 to 15 years	11	7.6
16 to 20 years	16	11.0
More than 20 years	83	57.2
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	1.4
Prosecution	14	9.7
Criminal	18	12.4
Mixed criminal & civil	47	32.4
Civil	60	41.4
Other	4	2.8
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.4
First District	3	2.1
Second District	-	-
Third District	137	94.5
Fourth District	3	2.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	1.4
Male	106	73.1
Female	37	25.5

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 46**  
**Judge David R. Wallace**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	145	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Experience within last 5 years	110	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	19	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	61	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	44	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	26	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	13	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	24	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Private, corporate employee	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	19	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Government	34	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Public service agency or organization	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.7	4.0
Retired	6	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.5	3.7	4.0
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	14	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.1
6 to 10 years	19	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1
11 to 15 years	11	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8
16 to 20 years	15	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4
More than 20 years	71	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	13	4.2	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.5
Criminal	16	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	44	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Civil	52	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Other	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	124	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	97	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Female	32	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 47**  
**Judge Pamela S. Washington**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	178	84.8
Professional reputation	21	10.0
Other personal contacts	11	5.2
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	153	89.0
Substantial amount of experience	58	32.8
Moderate amount of experience	72	40.7
Limited amount of experience	47	26.6
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	4	1.9
Private, solo	44	21.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	32	15.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	23	11.0
Private, corporate employee	5	2.4
Judge or judicial officer	32	15.2
Government	51	24.3
Public service agency or organization	5	2.4
Retired	11	5.2
Other	3	1.4
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.4
5 years or fewer	22	10.5
6 to 10 years	22	10.5
11 to 15 years	19	9.0
16 to 20 years	30	14.3
More than 20 years	114	54.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	4	1.9
Prosecution	13	6.2
Criminal	22	10.5
Mixed criminal & civil	64	30.5
Civil	94	44.8
Other	13	6.2
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	1.4
First District	2	1.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	191	91.0
Fourth District	11	5.2
Outside Alaska	3	1.4
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	5	2.4
Male	126	60.0
Female	79	37.6

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 48**  
**Judge Pamela S. Washington**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	210	3.6	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.9
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Experience within last 5 years	153	3.6	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	19	3.8	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	58	3.6	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	72	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.2	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	47	3.7	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	21	3.6	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.9
Other personal contacts	11	4.0	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.5	4.2
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	37	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	28	3.5	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	3.2	3.7	4.1	3.8	3.4	3.5
Private, corporate employee	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.0	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Government	44	3.2	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.5
Public service agency or organization	5	3.5	4.4	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.0
Retired	8	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.6
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	19	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.0	3.9
6 to 10 years	20	2.9	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.5
11 to 15 years	18	3.6	3.9	4.4	4.3	3.9	3.7
16 to 20 years	23	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.2
More than 20 years	95	3.7	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	12	2.6	3.5	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.0
Criminal	20	3.9	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.0	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	56	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.1
Civil	77	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.0	3.8	3.8
Other	10	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	162	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.2	3.9	3.9
Fourth District	9	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	112	3.6	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.9
Female	63	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 49**  
**Judge Nathaniel Peters**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	69	92.0
Professional reputation	2	2.7
Other personal contacts	4	5.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	63	95.5
Substantial amount of experience	26	38.2
Moderate amount of experience	13	19.1
Limited amount of experience	29	42.6
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.3
Private, solo	7	9.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	12.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	9.3
Private, corporate employee	1	1.3
Judge or judicial officer	23	30.7
Government	19	25.3
Public service agency or organization	1	1.3
Retired	6	8.0
Other	1	1.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	2.7
5 years or fewer	7	9.3
6 to 10 years	10	13.3
11 to 15 years	9	12.0
16 to 20 years	17	22.7
More than 20 years	30	40.0
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.3
Prosecution	7	9.3
Criminal	11	14.7
Mixed criminal & civil	35	46.7
Civil	16	21.3
Other	5	6.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.3
First District	2	2.7
Second District	1	1.3
Third District	54	72.0
Fourth District	17	22.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	2.7
Male	47	62.7
Female	26	34.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 50**  
**Judge Nathaniel Peters**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	75	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Experience within last 5 years	63	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	13	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4
Limited amount of experience	29	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.8	4.0	4.3
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	7	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	3.3	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.1	3.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Government	18	3.6	3.9	4.5	4.2	4.0	3.8
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	5	4.0	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.2	4.4
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	7	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1
6 to 10 years	8	3.5	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
11 to 15 years	9	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.3
16 to 20 years	16	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	27	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.0
Criminal	11	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6
Civil	14	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Other	5	3.8	3.6	4.6	4.2	3.8	4.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	3.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.5
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	50	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	15	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	45	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Female	22	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 51**  
**Judge Matthew Christian**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	81	88.0
Professional reputation	6	6.5
Other personal contacts	5	5.4
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	76	98.7
Substantial amount of experience	38	47.5
Moderate amount of experience	21	26.3
Limited amount of experience	21	26.3
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	2.2
Private, solo	10	10.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	14.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	8.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	26	28.3
Government	20	21.7
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3
Retired	8	8.7
Other	1	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	3.3
5 years or fewer	9	9.8
6 to 10 years	12	13.0
11 to 15 years	10	10.9
16 to 20 years	16	17.4
More than 20 years	42	45.7
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	2.2
Prosecution	3	3.3
Criminal	8	8.7
Mixed criminal & civil	43	46.7
Civil	31	33.7
Other	5	5.4
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	2	2.2
First District	-	-
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	31	33.7
Fourth District	58	63.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	5	5.4
Male	48	52.2
Female	39	42.4

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 52**  
**Judge Matthew Christian**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	92	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Experience within last 5 years	76	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	38	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	21	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.4
Limited amount of experience	21	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	6	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.8
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	4.6	5.0	4.4	4.3	4.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	10	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.7	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.7	4.7
Government	19	3.8	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.9
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3
Retired	6	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	5	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.8	4.0	4.5
6 to 10 years	11	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.1
11 to 15 years	10	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4
16 to 20 years	16	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
More than 20 years	37	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.4
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	3	3.0	2.5	3.5	2.5	3.5	3.0
Criminal	6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.6
Civil	27	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.1
Other	4	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	29	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.4
Fourth District	50	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	44	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Female	34	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.2

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 53**  
**Judge William T. Montgomery**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	%
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Experience with Judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	31	83.8
Professional reputation	4	10.8
Other personal contacts	2	5.4
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	30	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	15	50.0
Moderate amount of experience	7	23.3
Limited amount of experience	8	26.7
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	2.7
Private, solo	1	2.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	3	8.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	8.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	43.2
Government	9	24.3
Public service agency or organization	2	5.4
Retired	2	5.4
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	2.7
5 years or fewer	5	13.5
6 to 10 years	5	13.5
11 to 15 years	6	16.2
16 to 20 years	5	13.5
More than 20 years	15	40.5
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	2.7
Prosecution	3	8.1
Criminal	7	18.9
Mixed criminal & civil	21	56.8
Civil	4	10.8
Other	1	2.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	2.7
First District	1	2.7
Second District	-	-
Third District	19	51.4
Fourth District	16	43.2
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	5.4
Male	25	67.6
Female	10	27.0

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 54**  
**Judge William T. Montgomery**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	37	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Experience within last 5 years	30	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	15	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.6
Limited amount of experience	8	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.0
Professional reputation	4	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	2	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.3	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.6	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.8
Government	8	3.6	4.1	4.4	3.6	3.6	3.9
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	5	3.8	4.2	4.6	3.8	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	3	3.7	4.3	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.7
11 to 15 years	6	4.2	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.2	4.3
16 to 20 years	5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	11	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	3	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	2.7	3.7
Criminal	6	4.0	4.3	4.5	3.7	4.3	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	17	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4
Civil	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	15	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Fourth District	14	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	23	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Female	6	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.