



UAA Center for Behavioral
Health Research & Services
UNIVERSITY of ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Social Service Professionals

Technical Report

Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Assistant Professor
Maia Wen, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Elizabeth Williams, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Staci Corey, M.S., Project Manager

May 4, 2016
Revised June 30, 2016

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges	2
Introduction	4
Methodology.....	4
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	5
Instrumentation	6
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	6
Results	7
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	10
Table 5: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work.....	12
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Experience	14
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Respondents' Gender	16
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work	18
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population.....	20
<i>Individual Tables</i>	
Table 10: David V. George: Demographic Description of Respondents	22
Table 11: David V. George: Detailed Responses.....	23
Table 12: Philip M. Pallenberg: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 13: Philip M. Pallenberg: Detailed Responses	25
Table 14: Trevor Stephens: Demographic Description of Respondents	26
Table 15: Trevor Stephens: Detailed Responses.....	27
Table 16: Thomas G. Nave: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	28
Table 17: Thomas G. Nave: Detailed Responses	29
Table 18: Eric A. Aarseth: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	30
Table 19: Eric A. Aarseth: Detailed Responses	31
Table 20: Catherine M. Easter: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	32
Table 21: Catherine M. Easter: Detailed Responses	33
Table 22: Kari Kristiansen: Demographic Description of Respondents	34
Table 23: Kari Kristiansen: Detailed Responses.....	35
Table 24: Erin B. Marston: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	36
Table 25: Erin B. Marston: Detailed Responses	37
Table 26: Anna M. Moran: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	38
Table 27: Anna M. Moran: Detailed Responses	39
Table 28: Mark Rindner: Demographic Description of Respondents	40
Table 29: Mark Rindner: Detailed Responses	41
Table 30: Kevin Saxby: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	42
Table 31: Kevin Saxby: Detailed Responses	43
Table 32: Jack W. Smith: Demographic Description of Respondents	44
Table 33: Jack W. Smith: Detailed Responses.....	45
Table 34: Vanessa H. White: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	46
Table 35: Vanessa H. White: Detailed Responses	47
Table 36: Leslie N. Dickson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	48
Table 37: Leslie N. Dickson: Detailed Responses	49

Table 38: J. Patrick Hanley: Demographic Description of Respondents	50
Table 39: J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Responses.....	51
Table 40: Jennifer Stuart Henderson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	52
Table 41: Jennifer Stuart Henderson: Detailed Responses	53
Table 42: Margaret L. Murphy: Demographic Description of Respondents	54
Table 43: Margaret L. Murphy: Detailed Responses	55
Table 44: Daniel Schally: Demographic Description of Respondents	56
Table 45: Daniel Schally: Detailed Responses	57
Table 46: Alex M. Swiderski: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	58
Table 47: Alex M. Swiderski: Detailed Responses	59
Table 48: David R. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents	60
Table 49: David R. Wallace: Detailed Responses.....	61
Table 50: Pamela Scott Washington: Demographic Description of Respondents	62
Table 51: Pamela Scott Washington: Detailed Responses	63
Table 52: David Zwink: Demographic Description of Respondents	64
Table 53: David Zwink: Detailed Responses.....	65
Table 54: Douglas L. Blankenship: Demographic Description of Respondents	66
Table 55: Douglas L. Blankenship: Detailed Responses	67
Table 56: Bethany Spalding Harbison: Demographic Description of Respondents	68
Table 57: Bethany Spalding Harbison: Detailed Responses.....	69
Table 58: Jane F. Kauvar: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	70
Table 59: Jane F. Kauvar: Detailed Responses	71
Table 60: Michael A. MacDonald: Demographic Description of Respondents	72
Table 61: Michael A. MacDonald: Detailed Responses.....	73
Table 62: Dwayne McConnell: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	74
Table 63: Dwayne McConnell: Detailed Responses	75
Table 64: Matthew Christian: Demographic Description of Respondents	76
Table 65: Matthew Christian: Detailed Responses	77
Table 66: Patrick S. Hammers: Demographic Description of Respondents	78
Table 67: Patrick S. Hammers: Detailed Responses	79
Table 68: Nathaniel Peters: Demographic Description of Respondents	80
Table 69: Nathaniel Peters: Detailed Responses.....	81

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska justices and judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among social service professionals to obtain their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2016 retention survey for social service professionals included 30 superior and district court judges eligible for retention.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked social service professionals to evaluate the judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, and Overall Rating*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all five characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
David V. George	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Philip M. Pallenberg	7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Trevor Stephens	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
<i>District Court</i>						
Thomas G. Nave	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Eric A. Aarseth	23	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Catherine M. Easter	23	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.0
Kari Kristiansen	10	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.4
Erin B. Marston	13	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2
Anna M. Moran	10	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Mark Rindner	22	4.2	4.3	3.7	4.1	4.1
Kevin Saxby	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Jack W. Smith	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Vanessa H. White	12	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9
<i>District Court</i>						
Leslie N. Dickson	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
J. Patrick Hanley	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jennifer S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-	-
Margaret L. Murphy	6	3.2	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.2
Daniel Schally	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Alex M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-	-
David R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pamela S. Washington	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.7
David Zwink	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 1 (continued)
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
Fourth Judicial District						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Douglas Blankenship	21	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.5	3.4
Bethany S. Harbison	18	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6
Jane F. Kauvar	16	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1
Michael A. MacDonald	15	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Dwayne McConnell	10	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0
<i>District Court</i>						
Matthew Christian	-	-	-	-	-	-
Patrick S. Hammers	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Nathaniel Peters	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Judicial Retention Survey: Social Service Professionals

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council evaluate justices and judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State’s voters to either retain or not retain each justice and judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska social service professionals and asked them to rate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall Rating*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of work, length of experience, community population, location of work, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the survey as well as data analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2016 retention survey for social service professionals included 30 superior and district court judges eligible for retention.

Methodology

State of Alaska social service professionals, specifically Office of Children’s Services protective service specialists and managers (also known as social workers), guardians ad litem, and court appointed special advocate (CASA) volunteers, were invited via email to participate in an online survey.

Of 475 social service professionals invited to participate, 142 initiated an online survey for a return rate of 29.2%. Of the 142 returned surveys, 19 did not rate any judges; 123 (86.6%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		142	100.0	123	100.0
Type of Work					
	No response	5	3.5	-	-
	Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	95	66.9	90	73.2
	Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	20	14.1	20	16.3
	CASA Volunteer	21	14.8	12	9.8
	Other	1	0.7	1	0.8
Length of Experience					
	No response	5	3.5	-	-
	5 years or fewer	80	56.3	67	54.5
	6 to 10 years	33	23.2	32	26.0
	11 to 15 years	10	7.0	10	8.1
	16 to 20 years	13	9.2	13	10.6
	More than 20 years	1	0.7	1	0.8
Gender					
	No response	5	3.5	-	-
	Male	30	21.1	26	21.1
	Female	107	75.4	97	78.9
Location of Work					
	No response	12	8.5	2	1.6
	First District	20	14.1	20	16.3
	Second District	7	4.9	6	4.9
	Third District	65	45.8	58	47.2
	Fourth District	34	23.9	34	27.6
	Outside Alaska	4	2.8	3	2.4
Community Population					
	No response	10	7.0	2	1.6
	Under 2,000	6	4.2	5	4.1
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	58	40.8	55	44.7
	Over 35,000	68	47.9	61	49.6

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, five evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge.

Respondents evaluated judges in five areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety/Management

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect data. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. All data are maintained on a secure server.

Each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Second, ratings and comparisons of the judges are provided in a variety of ways. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In all summary tables, judges appear in order based on court/district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-9 present detail on the *Overall Rating* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-9 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of work. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of experience. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondent location of work. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by the population of the community in which respondents work.

For each individual judge, Tables 10-69 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>First District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. V. George	8	5.6	100.0	-	-
P. M. Pallenberg	9	6.3	77.8	22.2	-
T. Stephens	8	5.6	87.5	12.5	-
<i>District Court</i>					
T. G. Nave	1	0.7	-	100.0	-
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
E. A. Aarseth	23	16.2	100.0	-	-
C. M. Easter	24	16.9	95.8	4.2	-
K. Kristiansen	10	7.0	100.0	-	-
E. B. Marston	14	9.9	92.9	7.1	-
A. M. Moran	10	7.0	100.0	-	-
M. Rindner	22	15.5	100.0	-	-
K. Saxby	2	1.4	100.0	-	-
J. W. Smith	3	2.1	66.7	33.3	-
V. H. White	12	8.5	100.0	-	-
<i>District Court</i>					
L. N. Dickson	1	0.7	100.0	-	-
J. P. Hanley	1	0.7	-	-	100.0
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	6	4.2	100.0	-	-
D. Schally	5	3.5	100.0	-	-
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	7	4.9	100.0	-	-
D. Zwink	2	1.4	100.0	-	-

Table 3 (continued)
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>Fourth District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. Blankenship	24	16.9	87.5	8.3	4.2
B. S. Harbison	19	13.4	94.7	5.3	-
J. F. Kauvar	18	12.7	88.9	5.6	5.6
M. A. MacDonald	16	11.3	93.8	6.3	-
D. McConnell	11	7.7	90.9	9.1	-
<i>District Court</i>					
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	2	1.4	100.0	-	-
N. Peters	4	2.8	100.0	-	-

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<u>First District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
D. V. George	8	4.3	4.5	0.9	8	4.3	4.5	0.9
P. M. Pallenberg	9	4.1	4.0	0.8	7	4.1	4.0	0.7
T. Stephens	8	4.5	5.0	0.8	7	4.7	5.0	0.5
<i>District Court</i>								
T. G. Nave	1	4.0	4.0	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
E. A. Aarseth	23	4.4	4.0	0.6	23	4.4	4.0	0.6
C. M. Easter	21	4.0	4.0	0.7	20	4.0	4.0	0.8
K. Kristiansen	9	3.4	3.0	1.1	9	3.4	3.0	1.1
E. B. Marston	13	4.2	4.0	0.9	12	4.2	4.0	0.9
A. M. Moran	10	4.8	5.0	0.4	10	4.8	5.0	0.4
M. Rindner	22	4.1	4.0	0.9	22	4.1	4.0	0.9
K. Saxby	2	3.5	3.5	0.7	2	3.5	3.5	0.7
J. W. Smith	3	4.3	4.0	0.6	2	4.5	4.5	0.7
V. H. White	12	3.9	4.0	1.2	12	3.9	4.0	1.2
<i>District Court</i>								
L. N. Dickson	1	5.0	5.0	-	1	5.0	5.0	-
J. P. Hanley	1	4.0	4.0	-	-	-	-	-
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	6	3.2	3.0	1.2	6	3.2	3.0	1.2
D. Schally	5	4.8	5.0	0.4	5	4.8	5.0	0.4
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	7	4.7	5.0	0.5	7	4.7	5.0	0.5
D. Zwink	2	3.5	3.5	2.1	2	3.5	3.5	2.1

Table 4 (continued)
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<u>Fourth District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
D. Blankenship	23	3.4	4.0	1.3	20	3.4	4.0	1.4
B. S. Harbison	18	4.6	5.0	0.6	17	4.6	5.0	0.6
J. F. Kauvar	18	4.2	4.0	0.9	16	4.1	4.0	1.0
M. A. MacDonald	16	4.3	4.0	0.7	15	4.3	4.0	0.7
D. McConnell	11	3.9	4.0	1.0	10	4.0	4.0	1.1
<i>District Court</i>								
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	2	4.5	4.5	0.7	2	4.5	4.5	0.7
N. Peters	4	3.8	3.5	1.0	4	3.8	3.5	1.0

Table 5
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)		Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)		CASA Volunteer		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
D. V. George	5	4.2	2	4.0	1	5.0	-	-	4.3
P. M. Pallenberg	6	4.0	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	4.1
T. Stephens	4	4.8	3	4.7	-	-	-	-	4.7
<i>District Court</i>									
T. G. Nave	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
E. A. Aarseth	18	4.3	4	4.5	1	5.0	-	-	4.4
C. M. Easter	13	3.7	5	4.4	2	4.5	-	-	4.0
K. Kristiansen	4	3.3	4	4.0	-	-	1	2.0	3.4
E. B. Marston	8	4.1	4	4.3	-	-	-	-	4.2
A. M. Moran	7	4.9	3	4.7	-	-	-	-	4.8
M. Rindner	18	4.0	3	4.7	1	4.0	-	-	4.1
K. Saxby	1	4.0	-	-	1	3.0	-	-	3.5
J. W. Smith	2	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5
V. H. White	6	3.3	4	4.8	1	4.0	1	4.0	3.9
<i>District Court</i>									
L. N. Dickson	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.0
J. P. Hanley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	3	2.3	3	4.0	-	-	-	-	3.2
D. Schally	4	4.8	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	4.8
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	6	4.8	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	4.7
D. Zwink	-	-	2	3.5	-	-	-	-	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 5 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)		Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)		CASA Volunteer		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
D. Blankenship	16	3.3	3	3.7	1	4.0	-	-	3.4
B. S. Harbison	12	4.4	4	5.0	1	5.0	-	-	4.6
J. F. Kauvar	10	3.8	4	4.8	2	4.5	-	-	4.1
M. A. MacDonald	10	4.3	4	4.5	1	4.0	-	-	4.3
D. McConnell	8	4.1	-	-	1	5.0	1	2.0	4.0
<i>District Court</i>									
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	-	-	1	5.0	1	4.0	-	-	4.5
N. Peters	3	4.0	-	-	-	-	1	3.0	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Experience

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	4	4.5	-	-	2	4.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	4.3
P. M. Pallenberg	5	4.0	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	-	-	4.1
T. Stephens	6	4.8	-	-	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	4.7
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	9	4.3	8	4.3	3	4.3	3	5.0	-	-	4.4
C. M. Easter	7	3.9	8	3.9	3	3.7	2	5.0	-	-	4.0
K. Kristiansen	5	3.4	3	3.3	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	3.4
E. B. Marston	5	4.0	4	4.0	1	4.0	2	5.0	-	-	4.2
A. M. Moran	6	4.8	1	4.0	1	5.0	2	5.0	-	-	4.8
M. Rindner	7	3.9	7	4.0	3	4.3	5	4.4	-	-	4.1
K. Saxby	1	3.0	1	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5
J. W. Smith	-	-	1	4.0	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	4.5
V. H. White	8	4.1	2	4.5	-	-	2	2.5	-	-	3.9
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.0
J. P. Hanley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	2	2.5	1	4.0	1	5.0	2	2.5	-	-	3.2
D. Schally	3	4.7	-	-	-	-	2	5.0	-	-	4.8
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	4	5.0	1	4.0	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	4.7
D. Zwink	1	5.0	1	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Experience

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	10	2.9	8	3.8	2	4.5	-	-	-	-	3.4
B. S. Harbison	7	4.6	8	4.6	1	5.0	1	4.0	-	-	4.6
J. F. Kauvar	8	3.8	7	4.4	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	4.1
M. A. MacDonald	6	4.0	7	4.4	1	5.0	1	5.0	-	-	4.3
D. McConnell	9	3.9	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	1	4.0	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5
N. Peters	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Respondents' Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. V. George	1	5.0	7	4.1	4.3
P. M. Pallenberg	2	3.5	5	4.4	4.1
T. Stephens	2	4.5	5	4.8	4.7
<i>District Court</i>					
T. G. Nave	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
E. A. Aarseth	3	4.0	20	4.5	4.4
C. M. Easter	3	4.0	17	3.9	4.0
K. Kristiansen	-	-	9	3.4	3.4
E. B. Marston	2	4.5	10	4.1	4.2
A. M. Moran	1	5.0	9	4.8	4.8
M. Rindner	1	2.0	21	4.2	4.1
K. Saxby	-	-	2	3.5	3.5
J. W. Smith	-	-	2	4.5	4.5
V. H. White	-	-	12	3.9	3.9
<i>District Court</i>					
L. N. Dickson	1	5.0	-	-	5.0
J. P. Hanley	-	-	-	-	-
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	-	-	6	3.2	3.2
D. Schally	1	4.0	4	5.0	4.8
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	1	5.0	6	4.7	4.7
D. Zwink	-	-	2	3.5	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Respondents' Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. Blankenship	6	4.2	14	3.1	3.4
B. S. Harbison	2	4.5	15	4.6	4.6
J. F. Kauvar	2	2.5	14	4.4	4.1
M. A. MacDonald	1	4.0	14	4.4	4.3
D. McConnell	4	3.8	6	4.2	4.0
<i>District Court</i>					
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	-	-	2	4.5	4.5
N. Peters	-	-	4	3.8	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	8	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3
P. M. Pallenberg	7	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.1
T. Stephens	7	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	-	-	-	-	22	4.4	1	4.0	-	-	4.4
C. M. Easter	-	-	-	-	19	3.9	-	-	-	-	4.0
K. Kristiansen	-	-	-	-	9	3.4	-	-	-	-	3.4
E. B. Marston	-	-	-	-	12	4.2	-	-	-	-	4.2
A. M. Moran	-	-	-	-	10	4.8	-	-	-	-	4.8
M. Rindner	-	-	-	-	21	4.2	-	-	-	-	4.1
K. Saxby	-	-	-	-	2	3.5	-	-	-	-	3.5
J. W. Smith	-	-	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	-	-	4.5
V. H. White	-	-	-	-	11	4.2	-	-	1	1.0	3.9
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	-	-	5.0
J. P. Hanley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	-	-	-	-	6	3.2	-	-	-	-	3.2
D. Schally	-	-	-	-	5	4.8	-	-	-	-	4.8
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	-	-	-	-	7	4.7	-	-	-	-	4.7
D. Zwink	-	-	-	-	2	3.5	-	-	-	-	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	19	3.3	-	-	3.4
B. S. Harbison	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	4.6	-	-	4.6
J. F. Kauvar	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	4.1	-	-	4.1
M. A. MacDonald	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	4.3	-	-	4.3
D. McConnell	-	-	1	3.0	2	3.0	7	4.4	-	-	4.0
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	4.5
N. Peters	-	-	-	-	1	3.0	3	4.0	-	-	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	
<u>First District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
D. V. George	-	-	7	4.4	1	3.0	4.3
P. M. Pallenberg	-	-	5	4.0	2	4.5	4.1
T. Stephens	-	-	7	4.7	-	-	4.7
<i>District Court</i>							
T. G. Nave	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Third District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
E. A. Aarseth	-	-	1	5.0	22	4.4	4.4
C. M. Easter	-	-	-	-	20	4.0	4.0
K. Kristiansen	-	-	1	3.0	8	3.5	3.4
E. B. Marston	-	-	-	-	12	4.2	4.2
A. M. Moran	-	-	7	4.7	3	5.0	4.8
M. Rindner	-	-	1	5.0	21	4.0	4.1
K. Saxby	-	-	-	-	2	3.5	3.5
J. W. Smith	-	-	-	-	2	4.5	4.5
V. H. White	-	-	4	4.5	8	3.6	3.9
<i>District Court</i>							
L. N. Dickson	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0
J. P. Hanley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M. L. Murphy	1	3.0	4	3.3	1	3.0	3.2
D. Schally	2	5.0	2	4.5	1	5.0	4.8
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Washington	-	-	1	5.0	6	4.7	4.7
D. Zwink	-	-	-	-	2	3.5	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
D. Blankenship	1	4.0	9	3.7	9	2.9	3.4
B. S. Harbison	1	4.0	5	4.6	11	4.6	4.6
J. F. Kauvar	-	-	7	4.3	9	4.0	4.1
M. A. MacDonald	-	-	5	4.2	9	4.4	4.3
D. McConnell	2	3.5	7	4.4	1	2.0	4.0
<i>District Court</i>							
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. Hammers	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	4.5
N. Peters	-	-	3	4.0	1	3.0	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
David V. George
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	8	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	8	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	8	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	50.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	25.0
Limited amount of experience	2	25.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	5	62.5
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	25.0
CASA Volunteer	1	12.5
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	50.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	2	25.0
16 to 20 years	1	12.5
More than 20 years	1	12.5
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	12.5
Female	7	87.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	8	100.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	87.5
Over 35,000	1	12.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 11
David V. George
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	5	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
More than 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*						
Male	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Female	7	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Location of Work*						
First District	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Over 35,000	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
Philip M. Pallenberg
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	9	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	7	77.8
Professional reputation	2	22.2
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	7	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	4	57.1
Limited amount of experience	3	42.9
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	8	88.9
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	11.1
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	77.8
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	2	22.2
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	3	33.3
Female	6	66.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	9	100.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	77.8
Over 35,000	2	22.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Philip M. Pallenberg
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.0	4.3
Professional reputation	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	6	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	5	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.0	3.5
Female	5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4
Location of Work*						
First District	7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.0
Over 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Trevor Stephens
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	8	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	7	87.5
Professional reputation	1	12.5
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	7	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	42.9
Moderate amount of experience	4	57.1
Limited amount of experience	-	-
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	5	62.5
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	37.5
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	75.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	1	12.5
16 to 20 years	1	12.5
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	25.0
Female	6	75.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	8	100.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	87.5
Over 35,000	1	12.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Trevor Stephens
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.5
Limited amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.8
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Female	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Thomas G. Nave
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	1	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	-	-
Professional reputation	1	100.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-
Limited amount of experience	-	-
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	1	100.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	100.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	1	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	100.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	100.0
Over 35,000	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Thomas G. Nave
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Experience within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional reputation	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Eric A. Aarseth
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	23	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	23	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	22	95.7
Substantial amount of experience	4	17.4
Moderate amount of experience	14	60.9
Limited amount of experience	5	21.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	17	78.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	17.4
CASA Volunteer	1	4.3
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	9	39.1
6 to 10 years	8	34.8
11 to 15 years	3	13.0
16 to 20 years	3	13.0
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	3	13.0
Female	20	87.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	22	95.7
Fourth District	1	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.3
Over 35,000	22	95.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Eric A. Aarseth
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	23	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	23	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	22	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	14	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	5	3.6	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	18	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	9	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3
6 to 10 years	8	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3
11 to 15 years	3	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Female	20	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	22	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	22	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Catherine M. Easter
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	24	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	23	95.8
Professional reputation	1	4.2
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	21	91.3
Substantial amount of experience	2	8.7
Moderate amount of experience	12	52.2
Limited amount of experience	9	39.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	17	70.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	5	20.8
CASA Volunteer	2	8.3
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	33.3
6 to 10 years	10	41.7
11 to 15 years	3	12.5
16 to 20 years	3	12.5
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	4	16.7
Female	20	83.3
Location of Work		
No response	1	4.2
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	22	91.7
Fourth District	1	4.2
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	24	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Catherine M. Easter
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	24	4.0	4.1	3.8	4.3	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	23	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	21	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.4	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.1	4.3	3.6	4.4	3.9
Limited amount of experience	9	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.7
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	16	3.8	3.8	3.4	4.1	3.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4
CASA Volunteer	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.0	4.1	3.6	4.3	3.9
6 to 10 years	9	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.3	3.9
11 to 15 years	3	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7
16 to 20 years	3	5.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0
Female	19	4.0	4.1	3.7	4.3	3.9
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	21	4.0	4.1	3.7	4.3	3.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	23	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Kari Kristiansen
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	9	90.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	30.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	40.0
Limited amount of experience	2	20.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	5	50.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	40.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	1	10.0
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	50.0
6 to 10 years	3	30.0
11 to 15 years	1	10.0
16 to 20 years	1	10.0
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	10	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	10	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	10.0
Over 35,000	9	90.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
Kari Kristiansen
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	10	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.4
Experience within last 5 years	9	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.4
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	3.7	5.0	3.7	4.0	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	4	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.8	3.5
Limited amount of experience	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	5	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	3.8	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	5	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.4
6 to 10 years	3	3.3	4.3	3.0	4.0	3.3
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	10	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.4
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.4
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Over 35,000	9	3.3	3.9	3.4	3.8	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24
Erin B. Marston
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	14	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	13	92.9
Professional reputation	1	7.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	12	92.3
Substantial amount of experience	3	23.1
Moderate amount of experience	7	53.8
Limited amount of experience	3	23.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	9	64.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	28.6
CASA Volunteer	1	7.1
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	35.7
6 to 10 years	6	42.9
11 to 15 years	1	7.1
16 to 20 years	2	14.3
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	14.3
Female	12	85.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	14	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	14	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Erin B. Marston
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	13	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	12	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.5
Limited amount of experience	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.0
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	9	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.1
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Female	11	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.1
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	13	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	13	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Anna M. Moran
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	10	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	70.0
Moderate amount of experience	3	30.0
Limited amount of experience	-	-
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	7	70.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	30.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	60.0
6 to 10 years	1	10.0
11 to 15 years	1	10.0
16 to 20 years	2	20.0
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	10.0
Female	9	90.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	10	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	70.0
Over 35,000	3	30.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Anna M. Moran
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	10	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	10	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Limited amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	7	4.4	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	6	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Female	9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.7
Over 35,000	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 28
Mark Rindner
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	22	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	22	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	21	95.5
Substantial amount of experience	8	36.4
Moderate amount of experience	7	31.8
Limited amount of experience	7	31.8
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	18	81.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	13.6
CASA Volunteer	1	4.5
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	31.8
6 to 10 years	7	31.8
11 to 15 years	3	13.6
16 to 20 years	5	22.7
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	4.5
Female	21	95.5
Location of Work		
No response	1	4.5
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	21	95.5
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.5
Over 35,000	21	95.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Mark Rindner
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	22	4.2	4.3	3.7	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	22	4.2	4.3	3.7	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	21	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	8	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.0	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.0	4.4	3.4	4.0	3.9
Limited amount of experience	7	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.3
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	18	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.1	4.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7
CASA Volunteer	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	7	4.0	4.1	3.4	3.7	3.9
6 to 10 years	7	4.1	4.3	3.4	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.3
16 to 20 years	5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Female	21	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.2	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	21	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	21	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.1	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Kevin Saxby
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	2	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	2	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	2	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-
Limited amount of experience	2	100.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	1	50.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	50.0
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	50.0
6 to 10 years	1	50.0
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	2	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	2	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Kevin Saxby
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited amount of experience	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Jack W. Smith
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	3	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	2	66.7
Professional reputation	1	33.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	1	50.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	1	50.0
Limited amount of experience	1	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	3	100.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	2	66.7
11 to 15 years	1	33.3
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	3	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	3	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
Jack W. Smith
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
Vanessa H. White
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	12	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	12	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	12	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	33.3
Limited amount of experience	5	41.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	6	50.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	33.3
CASA Volunteer	1	8.3
Other	1	8.3
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	66.7
6 to 10 years	2	16.7
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	16.7
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	12	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	11	91.7
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	1	8.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	33.3
Over 35,000	8	66.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Vanessa H. White
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	12	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	12	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	12	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.5
Limited amount of experience	5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.7	3.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8
CASA Volunteer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1
6 to 10 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	2.0	2.5	2.0	3.5	2.5
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	12	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	11	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5
Over 35,000	8	3.5	3.6	3.5	4.0	3.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Leslie N. Dickson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	1	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	1	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	1	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	1	100.0
Limited amount of experience	-	-
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	1	100.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	100.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	100.0
Female	-	-
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	1	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Leslie N. Dickson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Experience within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Limited amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
J. Patrick Hanley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	1	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	-	-
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	1	100.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-
Limited amount of experience	-	-
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	100.0
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	100.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	1	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	1	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
J. Patrick Hanley
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Experience within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Jennifer Stuart Henderson
Demographic Description of Respondents

No Respondents

Table 41
Jennifer Stuart Henderson
Detailed Responses

No Respondents

Table 42
Margaret L. Murphy
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	6	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	6	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	6	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	3	50.0
Limited amount of experience	1	16.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	3	50.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	50.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	33.3
6 to 10 years	1	16.7
11 to 15 years	1	16.7
16 to 20 years	2	33.3
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	6	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	6	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	16.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	66.7
Over 35,000	1	16.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Margaret L. Murphy
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	6	3.2	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	6	3.2	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.2
Experience within last 5 years	6	3.2	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	3.0	4.0	4.5	3.0	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	3	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0
Limited amount of experience	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	3	2.7	2.7	3.3	2.0	2.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	2	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.5
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	2	2.5	3.0	3.5	1.5	2.5
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	6	3.2	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	6	3.2	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.2
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	3.3	3.5	4.0	2.8	3.3
Over 35,000	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 44
Daniel Schally
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	5	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	5	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	5	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	3	60.0
Limited amount of experience	1	20.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	4	80.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	20.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	60.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	40.0
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	20.0
Female	4	80.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	5	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	40.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	40.0
Over 35,000	1	20.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 45
Daniel Schally
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	4	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Female	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 46
Alex M. Swiderski
Demographic Description of Respondents

No Respondents

Table 47
Alex M. Swiderski
Detailed Responses

No Respondents

Table 48
David R. Wallace
Demographic Description of Respondents

No Respondents

Table 49
David R. Wallace
Detailed Responses

No Respondents

Table 50
Pamela Scott Washington
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	7	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	7	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	6	85.7
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-
Limited amount of experience	7	100.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	6	85.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	14.3
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	57.1
6 to 10 years	1	14.3
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	28.6
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	1	14.3
Female	6	85.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	7	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	14.3
Over 35,000	6	85.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 51
Pamela Scott Washington
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited amount of experience	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.7
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	6	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Female	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.7
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 52
David Zwink
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	2	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	2	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	2	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	50.0
Moderate amount of experience	-	-
Limited amount of experience	1	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	100.0
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	50.0
6 to 10 years	1	50.0
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	2	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	2	100.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 53
David Zwink
Detailed Responses

	n	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall Evaluation M
All respondents	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited amount of experience	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 54
Douglas L. Blankenship
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	24	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	21	87.5
Professional reputation	2	8.3
Other personal contacts	1	4.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	20	95.2
Substantial amount of experience	3	14.3
Moderate amount of experience	10	47.6
Limited amount of experience	8	38.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	18	75.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	12.5
CASA Volunteer	3	12.5
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	10	41.7
6 to 10 years	10	41.7
11 to 15 years	2	8.3
16 to 20 years	2	8.3
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	7	29.2
Female	17	70.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	4.2
Fourth District	23	95.8
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	1	4.2
Under 2,000	1	4.2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	41.7
Over 35,000	12	50.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 55
Douglas L. Blankenship
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	24	3.6	3.8	3.2	3.5	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	21	3.6	3.8	3.3	3.5	3.4
Experience within last 5 years	20	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.4	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.0	5.0	3.7	3.7	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	3.3	3.1	2.6	3.0	2.8
Limited amount of experience	8	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0
Professional reputation	2	3.0	3.5	2.5	3.5	3.0
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	-	-	5.0
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	16	3.6	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	3	4.0	4.7	3.7	3.3	3.7
CASA Volunteer	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	10	3.3	3.2	2.8	3.0	2.9
6 to 10 years	9	3.7	4.3	3.4	3.9	3.8
11 to 15 years	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	6	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2
Female	15	3.5	3.6	2.9	3.1	3.1
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	20	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.4	3.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	3.7	4.1	3.4	4.0	3.7
Over 35,000	10	3.5	3.3	2.8	2.8	2.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 56
Bethany Spalding Harbison
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	19	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	94.7
Professional reputation	1	5.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	6	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	8	44.4
Limited amount of experience	4	22.2
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	13	68.4
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	21.1
CASA Volunteer	2	10.5
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	36.8
6 to 10 years	9	47.4
11 to 15 years	1	5.3
16 to 20 years	2	10.5
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	3	15.8
Female	16	84.2
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	19	100.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	5.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	31.6
Over 35,000	12	63.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 57
Bethany Spalding Harbison
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	19	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	18	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	4	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	12	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	7	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6
6 to 10 years	9	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Female	16	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	18	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6
Over 35,000	12	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 58
Jane F. Kauvar
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	18	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	88.9
Professional reputation	1	5.6
Other personal contacts	1	5.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	14	87.5
Substantial amount of experience	6	37.5
Moderate amount of experience	8	50.0
Limited amount of experience	2	12.5
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	12	66.7
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	22.2
CASA Volunteer	2	11.1
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	44.4
6 to 10 years	7	38.9
11 to 15 years	1	5.6
16 to 20 years	2	11.1
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	3	16.7
Female	15	83.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	18	100.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	44.4
Over 35,000	10	55.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 59
Jane F. Kauvar
Detailed Responses

	n	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall Evaluation M
All respondents	18	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	14	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	2	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.0
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
CASA Volunteer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8
6 to 10 years	7	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.4
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	2	2.5	2.5	1.5	2.5	2.5
Female	14	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	16	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.4	4.3
Over 35,000	9	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 60
Michael A. MacDonald
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	16	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	15	93.8
Professional reputation	1	6.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	7	46.7
Limited amount of experience	3	20.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	11	68.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	25.0
CASA Volunteer	1	6.3
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	37.5
6 to 10 years	7	43.8
11 to 15 years	1	6.3
16 to 20 years	2	12.5
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	2	12.5
Female	14	87.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	16	100.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	1	6.3
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	37.5
Over 35,000	9	56.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 61
Michael A. MacDonald
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	16	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	15	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	15	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.0	4.0	3.4	4.1	3.9
Limited amount of experience	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	5.0
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	10	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	4	4.5	4.8	3.8	4.5	4.5
CASA Volunteer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	6	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.0
6 to 10 years	7	4.6	4.6	4.0	4.4	4.4
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Female	14	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.4
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	15	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	4.2	4.4	3.6	4.4	4.2
Over 35,000	9	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 62
Dwayne McConnell
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	11	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	90.9
Professional reputation	1	9.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	9	90.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	10.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	50.0
Limited amount of experience	4	40.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	9	81.8
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	9.1
Other	1	9.1
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	9	81.8
6 to 10 years	1	9.1
11 to 15 years	1	9.1
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	4	36.4
Female	7	63.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	9.1
Second District	1	9.1
Third District	2	18.2
Fourth District	7	63.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	18.2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	63.6
Over 35,000	2	18.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 63
Dwayne McConnell
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	11	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	9	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	4	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	8	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Other	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	9	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.9
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	4	3.5	3.8	3.3	3.5	3.8
Female	6	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	2	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
Fourth District	7	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Over 35,000	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 64
Matthew Christian
Demographic Description of Respondents

No Respondents

Table 65
Matthew Christian
Detailed Responses

No Respondents

Table 66
Patrick S. Hammers
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	2	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	2	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	2	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	2	100.0
Limited amount of experience	-	-
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	50.0
CASA Volunteer	1	50.0
Other	-	-
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	50.0
6 to 10 years	1	50.0
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	2	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	2	100.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	100.0
Over 35,000	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 67
Patrick S. Hammers
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CASA Volunteer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 68
Nathaniel Peters
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	4	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	4	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	3	75.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	50.0
Limited amount of experience	1	25.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	3	75.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-
Other	1	25.0
Length of Experience		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	100.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	-	-
Female	4	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	25.0
Fourth District	3	75.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	75.0
Over 35,000	1	25.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 69
Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Limited amount of experience	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
Protective Services Specialist (aka Social Worker)	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CASA Volunteer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Length of Experience*						
5 years or fewer	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	-	-	-	-	-	-
Female	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Fourth District	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.