



UAA Center for Behavioral
Health Research & Services
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Technical Report

Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Assistant Professor
Maia Wen, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Elizabeth Williams, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Staci Corey, M.S., Project Manager

May 6, 2016
Revised June 30, 2016

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

uaa.alaska.edu/cbhrs

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges	2
Introduction	4
Methodology.....	4
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	5
Instrumentation	6
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	6
Results	7
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	10
Table 5: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work.....	12
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer	14
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population.....	16
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work	18
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Respondent Gender	20
<i>Individual Tables</i>	
Table 10: David V. George: Demographic Description of Respondents	22
Table 11: David V. George: Detailed Responses.....	23
Table 12: Philip M. Pallenberg: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 13: Philip M. Pallenberg: Detailed Responses	25
Table 14: Trevor Stephens: Demographic Description of Respondents	26
Table 15: Trevor Stephens: Detailed Responses.....	27
Table 16: Thomas G. Nave: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	28
Table 17: Thomas G. Nave: Detailed Responses	29
Table 18: Eric A. Aarseth: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	30
Table 19: Eric A. Aarseth: Detailed Responses	31
Table 20: Catherine M. Easter: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	32
Table 21: Catherine M. Easter: Detailed Responses	33
Table 22: Kari Kristiansen: Demographic Description of Respondents	34
Table 23: Kari Kristiansen: Detailed Responses.....	35
Table 24: Erin B. Marston: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	36
Table 25: Erin B. Marston: Detailed Responses	37
Table 26: Anna M. Moran: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	38
Table 27: Anna M. Moran: Detailed Responses	39
Table 28: Mark Rindner: Demographic Description of Respondents	40
Table 29: Mark Rindner: Detailed Responses	41
Table 30: Kevin Saxby: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	42
Table 31: Kevin Saxby: Detailed Responses	43
Table 32: Jack W. Smith: Demographic Description of Respondents	44
Table 33: Jack W. Smith: Detailed Responses.....	45
Table 34: Vanessa H. White: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	46
Table 35: Vanessa H. White: Detailed Responses	47
Table 36: Leslie N. Dickson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	48
Table 37: Leslie N. Dickson: Detailed Responses	49

Table 38: J. Patrick Hanley: Demographic Description of Respondents	50
Table 39: J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Responses.....	51
Table 40: Jennifer Stuart Henderson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	52
Table 41: Jennifer Stuart Henderson: Detailed Responses	53
Table 42: Margaret L. Murphy: Demographic Description of Respondents	54
Table 43: Margaret L. Murphy: Detailed Responses	55
Table 44: Daniel Schally: Demographic Description of Respondents	56
Table 45: Daniel Schally: Detailed Responses	57
Table 46: Alex M. Swiderski: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	58
Table 47: Alex M. Swiderski: Detailed Responses	59
Table 48: David R. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents	60
Table 49: David R. Wallace: Detailed Responses.....	61
Table 50: Pamela Scott Washington: Demographic Description of Respondents	62
Table 51: Pamela Scott Washington: Detailed Responses	63
Table 52: David Zwink: Demographic Description of Respondents	64
Table 53: David Zwink: Detailed Responses.....	65
Table 54: Douglas L. Blankenship: Demographic Description of Respondents	66
Table 55: Douglas L. Blankenship: Detailed Responses	67
Table 56: Bethany Spalding Harbison: Demographic Description of Respondents	68
Table 57: Bethany Spalding Harbison: Detailed Responses.....	69
Table 58: Jane F. Kauvar: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	70
Table 59: Jane F. Kauvar: Detailed Responses	71
Table 60: Michael A. MacDonald: Demographic Description of Respondents	72
Table 61: Michael A. MacDonald: Detailed Responses.....	73
Table 62: Dwayne McConnell: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	74
Table 63: Dwayne McConnell: Detailed Responses	75
Table 64: Matthew Christian: Demographic Description of Respondents	76
Table 65: Matthew Christian: Detailed Responses	77
Table 66: Patrick S. Hammers: Demographic Description of Respondents	78
Table 67: Patrick S. Hammers: Detailed Responses	79
Table 68: Nathaniel Peters: Demographic Description of Respondents	80
Table 69: Nathaniel Peters: Detailed Responses.....	81

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska justices and judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska peace and probation officers to obtain their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2016 retention survey for peace and probation officers included 30 superior and district court judges eligible for retention.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked peace and probation officers to evaluate the judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall Rating*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all five characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
David V. George	23	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0
Philip M. Pallenberg	21	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3
Trevor Stephens	25	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
<i>District Court</i>						
Thomas G. Nave	16	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Eric A. Aarseth	32	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Catherine M. Easter	28	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Kari Kristiansen	26	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.3
Erin B. Marston	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Anna M. Moran	31	3.1	3.5	3.0	3.3	2.9
Mark Rindner	10	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
Kevin Saxby	31	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Jack W. Smith	45	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
Vanessa H. White	33	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
<i>District Court</i>						
Leslie N. Dickson	27	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
J. Patrick Hanley	46	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Jennifer S. Henderson	22	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Margaret L. Murphy	25	3.5	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.5
Daniel Schally	25	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	35	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
David R. Wallace	41	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Pamela S. Washington	29	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1
David Zwink	36	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges

Table 1 (continued)
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Douglas Blankenship	39	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.3
Bethany S. Harbison	30	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.3
Jane F. Kauvar	31	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
Michael A. MacDonald	33	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Dwayne McConnell	20	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.8
<i>District Court</i>						
Matthew Christian	35	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9
Patrick S. Hammers	30	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Nathaniel Peters	18	3.1	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council evaluate justices and judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each justice and judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska peace and probation officers and asked them to rate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall Rating*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of work, length of time as officer, community population, location of work, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the survey as well as data analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2016 retention survey for peace and probation offices included 30 superior and district court judges eligible for retention.

Methodology

State of Alaska peace and probation officers, including state troopers, airport police and fire officers, village public safety officers, and adult and juvenile probation officers, were invited via email to participate in an online survey.

Of 1,438 officers invited to participate, 434 initiated an online survey for a return rate of 30.2%. Of the 434 returned surveys, 78 did not rate any of the judges; 356 (82.0%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		434	100.0	356	100.0
Type of Work					
	No response	5	1.2	-	-
	State law enforcement officer (LEO)	201	46.3	181	50.8
	Municipal/Borough LEO	120	27.6	84	23.6
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	21	4.8	14	3.9
	Probation/parole officer	83	19.1	73	20.5
	Other	4	0.9	4	1.1
Length of Time as Officer					
	No response	7	1.6	1	0.3
	5 years or fewer	97	22.4	76	21.3
	6 to 10 years	80	18.4	66	18.5
	11 to 15 years	101	23.3	88	24.7
	16 to 20 years	89	20.5	75	21.1
	More than 20 years	60	13.8	50	14.0
Community Population					
	No response	6	1.4	-	-
	Under 2,000	35	8.1	29	8.1
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	172	39.6	145	40.7
	Over 35,000	221	50.9	182	51.1
Location of Work					
	No response	6	1.4	-	-
	First District	49	11.3	46	12.9
	Second District	19	4.4	14	3.9
	Third District	258	59.4	206	57.9
	Fourth District	102	23.5	90	25.3
	Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-
Gender					
	No response	6	1.4	1	0.3
	Male	349	80.4	284	79.8
	Female	79	18.2	71	19.9

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, five evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge.

Respondents evaluated judges in five areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety/Management

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect data. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. All data are maintained on a secure server.

Each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Second, ratings and comparisons of the judges are provided in a variety of ways. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In all summary tables, judges appear in order based on court/district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-9 present detail on the *Overall Rating* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-9 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of work. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of time as an Alaskan officer. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by the population of the community in which respondents work. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of work. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 10-69 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
First District					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. V. George	27	6.2	85.2	7.4	7.4
P. M. Pallenberg	23	5.3	91.3	8.7	-
T. Stephens	27	6.2	92.6	7.4	-
<i>District Court</i>					
T. G. Nave	19	4.4	84.2	5.3	10.5
Third District					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
E. A. Aarseth	39	9.0	82.1	10.3	7.7
C. M. Easter	31	7.1	90.3	6.5	3.2
K. Kristiansen	31	7.1	83.9	16.1	-
E. B. Marston	5	1.2	100.0	-	-
A. M. Moran	37	8.5	83.8	16.2	-
M. Rindner	12	2.8	83.3	8.3	8.3
K. Saxby	35	8.1	88.6	11.4	-
J. W. Smith	49	11.3	91.8	8.2	-
V. H. White	38	8.8	86.8	7.9	5.3
<i>District Court</i>					
L. N. Dickson	28	6.5	96.4	-	3.6
J. P. Hanley	50	11.5	92.0	8.0	-
J. S. Henderson	26	6.0	84.6	3.8	11.5
M. L. Murphy	26	6.0	96.2	3.8	-
D. Schally	26	6.0	96.2	3.8	-
A. M. Swiderski	38	8.8	92.1	5.3	2.6
D. R. Wallace	43	9.9	95.3	4.7	-
P. S. Washington	33	7.6	87.9	6.1	6.1
D. Zwink	40	9.2	90.0	7.5	2.5

Table 3 (continued)
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>Fourth District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. Blankenship	43	9.9	90.7	7.0	2.3
B. S. Harbison	34	7.8	88.2	11.8	-
J. F. Kauvar	35	8.1	88.6	8.6	2.9
M. A. MacDonald	35	8.1	94.3	-	5.7
D. McConnell	23	5.3	87.0	13.0	-
<i>District Court</i>					
M. Christian	38	8.8	92.1	2.6	5.3
P. S. Hammers	35	8.1	85.7	14.3	-
N. Peters	19	4.4	94.7	5.3	-

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<u>First District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
D. V. George	24	4.0	4.0	1.0	21	4.0	4.0	1.0
P. M. Pallenberg	22	4.1	4.0	0.8	20	4.3	4.0	0.8
T. Stephens	27	4.6	5.0	0.6	25	4.6	5.0	0.6
<i>District Court</i>								
T. G. Nave	18	4.6	5.0	0.6	15	4.5	5.0	0.6
<u>Third District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
E. A. Aarseth	39	4.2	4.0	0.9	32	4.2	4.0	0.9
C. M. Easter	30	4.4	5.0	0.8	27	4.6	5.0	0.6
K. Kristiansen	31	3.3	4.0	1.3	26	3.3	4.0	1.4
E. B. Marston	5	4.0	4.0	1.0	5	4.0	4.0	1.0
A. M. Moran	36	2.9	3.0	1.2	31	2.9	3.0	1.2
M. Rindner	12	4.4	4.5	0.7	10	4.3	4.0	0.7
K. Saxby	34	4.2	4.0	0.8	30	4.3	4.0	0.8
J. W. Smith	49	4.2	4.0	0.9	45	4.3	4.0	0.8
V. H. White	37	3.9	4.0	1.2	33	4.0	4.0	1.3
<i>District Court</i>								
L. N. Dickson	27	4.7	5.0	0.6	27	4.7	5.0	0.6
J. P. Hanley	48	4.7	5.0	0.5	44	4.7	5.0	0.5
J. S. Henderson	24	4.6	5.0	0.6	22	4.7	5.0	0.5
M. L. Murphy	25	3.6	4.0	0.9	24	3.5	4.0	0.9
D. Schally	26	4.5	5.0	0.8	25	4.5	5.0	0.8
A. M. Swiderski	38	4.2	4.0	0.8	35	4.3	4.0	0.8
D. R. Wallace	42	4.6	5.0	0.6	40	4.7	5.0	0.6
P. S. Washington	32	4.0	4.0	0.9	28	4.1	4.0	0.9
D. Zwink	39	3.4	4.0	1.2	35	3.5	4.0	1.1

Table 4 (continued)
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Fourth District								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
D. Blankenship	40	3.3	3.0	1.2	36	3.3	3.0	1.2
B. S. Harbison	32	4.1	4.0	0.9	28	4.3	4.0	0.9
J. F. Kauvar	35	3.6	4.0	1.1	31	3.6	4.0	1.1
M. A. MacDonald	35	4.2	4.0	0.9	33	4.3	4.0	0.8
D. McConnell	21	3.8	4.0	1.0	18	3.8	4.0	1.1
<i>District Court</i>								
M. Christian	37	3.8	4.0	1.1	34	3.9	4.0	1.0
P. S. Hammers	34	4.2	4.0	0.9	29	4.2	5.0	1.0
N. Peters	18	3.6	4.0	1.2	17	3.6	4.0	1.3

Table 5
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	State law enforcement officer		Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		Probation/Parole officer		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	10	3.4	3	4.7	1	5.0	7	4.6	-	-	4.0
P. M. Pallenberg	5	4.2	7	4.0	-	-	8	4.5	-	-	4.3
T. Stephens	11	4.6	1	4.0	-	-	13	4.6	-	-	4.6
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	8	4.6	7	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	16	4.1	11	4.4	-	-	7	3.9	-	-	4.2
C. M. Easter	16	4.7	8	4.4	-	-	3	4.3	-	-	4.6
K. Kristiansen	16	2.6	-	-	-	-	11	4.4	-	-	3.3
E. B. Marston	5	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
A. M. Moran	21	2.9	4	3.0	2	3.0	4	3.0	-	-	2.9
M. Rindner	7	4.3	1	5.0	-	-	2	4.0	-	-	4.3
K. Saxby	13	4.5	4	4.3	-	-	13	4.1	-	-	4.3
J. W. Smith	24	4.6	11	3.8	-	-	10	4.1	-	-	4.3
V. H. White	19	3.4	2	4.0	-	-	12	4.9	-	-	4.0
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	16	4.7	9	4.6	-	-	2	5.0	-	-	4.7
J. P. Hanley	21	4.6	23	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7
J. S. Henderson	15	4.7	6	4.7	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	4.7
M. L. Murphy	16	3.4	1	4.0	2	4.5	5	3.6	-	-	3.5
D. Schally	9	4.1	6	4.5	3	4.7	6	4.8	1	5.0	4.5
A. M. Swiderski	19	4.4	15	4.2	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	4.3
D. R. Wallace	23	4.7	17	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7
P. S. Washington	17	4.0	9	4.0	-	-	2	5.0	-	-	4.1
D. Zwink	32	3.4	1	5.0	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 5 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	State law enforcement officer		Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		Probation/Parole officer		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	19	3.6	3	3.7	1	3.0	13	2.8	-	-	3.3
B. S. Harbison	11	4.0	3	4.3	1	3.0	13	4.5	-	-	4.3
J. F. Kauvar	14	3.4	4	3.0	2	3.5	11	4.1	-	-	3.6
M. A. MacDonald	13	4.2	7	4.9	1	3.0	12	4.1	-	-	4.3
D. McConnell	11	3.5	-	-	-	-	7	4.1	-	-	3.8
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	20	3.7	7	4.1	1	3.0	6	4.3	-	-	3.9
P. S. Hammers	17	4.0	8	4.9	2	4.5	2	3.0	-	-	4.2
N. Peters	12	3.5	-	-	-	-	5	3.8	-	-	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	2	5.0	5	3.4	4	4.0	8	4.3	2	4.0	4.0
P. M. Pallenberg	2	4.0	4	4.3	2	4.0	7	4.4	5	4.2	4.3
T. Stephens	3	4.7	6	4.5	3	5.0	7	4.7	6	4.3	4.6
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	-	-	4	5.0	3	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.8	4.5
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	4	4.5	5	3.8	8	3.9	9	4.3	7	4.3	4.2
C. M. Easter	4	4.8	7	4.4	5	4.4	7	4.8	3	4.3	4.6
K. Kristiansen	5	4.2	5	4.0	10	2.5	5	3.7	1	1.0	3.3
E. B. Marston	2	4.5	-	-	-	-	2	4.0	1	3.0	4.0
A. M. Moran	-	-	9	2.9	11	2.8	6	2.8	5	3.2	2.9
M. Rindner	3	4.7	1	4.0	1	5.0	3	4.3	2	3.5	4.3
K. Saxby	7	4.4	10	4.0	3	4.3	8	4.6	2	4.0	4.3
J. W. Smith	8	4.5	11	4.0	7	4.1	12	4.4	7	4.4	4.3
V. H. White	4	4.8	5	4.8	12	3.6	7	3.7	5	3.8	4.0
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	4	4.8	4	4.5	10	4.6	5	4.8	4	4.8	4.7
J. P. Hanley	3	4.7	5	4.6	12	4.8	14	4.8	10	4.5	4.7
J. S. Henderson	4	4.8	4	4.5	5	4.6	4	4.8	5	4.8	4.7
M. L. Murphy	3	4.3	4	3.0	10	3.5	3	3.7	4	3.5	3.5
D. Schally	4	4.8	5	4.2	8	4.6	4	4.5	4	4.3	4.5
A. M. Swiderski	2	5.0	6	4.0	12	4.2	8	4.6	7	4.1	4.3
D. R. Wallace	3	4.7	6	4.7	15	4.6	9	4.9	7	4.4	4.7
P. S. Washington	5	4.2	2	4.5	10	3.9	7	4.0	4	4.3	4.1
D. Zwink	6	3.3	3	4.3	13	3.3	7	3.0	6	4.2	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	8	3.2	8	3.4	5	3.0	7	3.4	7	3.3	3.3
B. S. Harbison	9	4.3	6	4.5	5	4.0	7	4.1	1	4.0	4.3
J. F. Kauvar	6	3.3	5	4.6	6	3.3	7	3.1	7	3.7	3.6
M. A. MacDonald	8	4.1	6	4.5	3	4.0	8	4.5	8	4.1	4.3
D. McConnell	5	4.0	3	2.7	2	2.5	3	4.3	5	4.4	3.8
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	8	4.4	6	4.0	8	3.0	8	4.4	4	3.3	3.9
P. S. Hammers	5	4.0	5	4.6	5	3.4	9	4.8	5	3.8	4.2
N. Peters	5	4.0	3	2.3	2	2.0	1	4.0	6	4.3	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
D. V. George	1	5.0	15	3.7	5	4.8	4.0
P. M. Pallenberg	-	-	14	4.2	6	4.3	4.3
T. Stephens	-	-	17	4.6	8	4.6	4.6
<i>District Court</i>							
T. G. Nave	-	-	14	4.6	1	4.0	4.5
<u>Third District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
E. A. Aarseth	-	-	6	3.5	27	4.3	4.2
C. M. Easter	-	-	2	5.0	25	4.5	4.6
K. Kristiansen	-	-	3	3.7	23	3.3	3.3
E. B. Marston	-	-	-	-	5	4.0	4.0
A. M. Moran	3	3.3	22	2.8	6	3.0	2.9
M. Rindner	-	-	-	-	10	4.3	4.3
K. Saxby	-	-	-	-	30	4.3	4.3
J. W. Smith	-	-	2	4.5	43	4.3	4.3
V. H. White	1	1.0	5	4.4	27	4.0	4.0
<i>District Court</i>							
L. N. Dickson	-	-	1	5.0	26	4.7	4.7
J. P. Hanley	-	-	1	5.0	43	4.7	4.7
J. S. Henderson	-	-	2	4.5	20	4.7	4.7
M. L. Murphy	2	4.5	14	3.5	8	3.4	3.5
D. Schally	6	4.2	12	4.6	7	4.6	4.5
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	1	4.0	34	4.3	4.3
D. R. Wallace	-	-	2	4.0	38	4.7	4.7
P. S. Washington	-	-	3	4.0	25	4.1	4.1
D. Zwink	2	3.0	2	4.0	31	3.5	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
D. Blankenship	1	5.0	11	3.5	24	3.1	3.3
B. S. Harbison	-	-	8	4.5	20	4.2	4.3
J. F. Kauvar	2	4.0	9	3.7	20	3.5	3.6
M. A. MacDonald	-	-	11	4.2	22	4.3	4.3
D. McConnell	1	1.0	11	3.9	6	4.0	3.8
<i>District Court</i>							
M. Christian	-	-	10	3.5	24	4.0	3.9
P. S. Hammers	4	3.8	8	4.3	17	4.3	4.2
N. Peters	1	1.0	11	3.9	5	3.4	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	17	3.9	-	-	4	4.5	-	-	-	-	4.0
P. M. Pallenberg	17	4.3	-	-	3	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.3
T. Stephens	16	4.7	-	-	7	4.4	2	4.5	-	-	4.6
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	14	4.6	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.5
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	1	5.0	1	4.0	30	4.1	-	-	-	-	4.2
C. M. Easter	-	-	1	5.0	26	4.5	-	-	-	-	4.6
K. Kristiansen	-	-	-	-	26	3.3	-	-	-	-	3.3
E. B. Marston	-	-	-	-	5	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.0
A. M. Moran	1	3.0	-	-	28	2.9	2	2.5	-	-	2.9
M. Rindner	-	-	-	-	10	4.3	-	-	-	-	4.3
K. Saxby	1	5.0	-	-	29	4.3	-	-	-	-	4.3
J. W. Smith	-	-	-	-	45	4.3	-	-	-	-	4.3
V. H. White	-	-	-	-	32	4.1	1	1.0	-	-	4.0
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	-	-	1	5.0	25	4.6	1	5.0	-	-	4.7
J. P. Hanley	-	-	-	-	44	4.7	-	-	-	-	4.7
J. S. Henderson	-	-	1	5.0	21	4.7	-	-	-	-	4.7
M. L. Murphy	1	3.0	-	-	20	3.5	3	4.0	-	-	3.5
D. Schally	-	-	-	-	24	4.5	1	5.0	-	-	4.5
A. M. Swiderski	-	-	-	-	35	4.3	-	-	-	-	4.3
D. R. Wallace	-	-	-	-	39	4.6	1	5.0	-	-	4.7
P. S. Washington	-	-	1	5.0	27	4.0	-	-	-	-	4.1
D. Zwink	-	-	-	-	33	3.5	2	3.0	-	-	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Fourth District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	-	-	-	-	2	4.0	34	3.2	-	-	3.3
B. S. Harbison	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	4.3	-	-	4.3
J. F. Kauvar	1	5.0	-	-	2	3.5	28	3.5	-	-	3.6
M. A. MacDonald	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	32	4.3	-	-	4.3
D. McConnell	1	4.0	-	-	2	4.5	15	3.7	-	-	3.8
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	-	-	-	-	4	2.5	30	4.0	-	-	3.9
P. S. Hammers	-	-	-	-	2	4.0	27	4.2	-	-	4.2
N. Peters	-	-	-	-	2	2.5	15	3.7	-	-	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Respondent Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>First District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. V. George	17	3.9	4	4.8	4.0
P. M. Pallenberg	16	4.2	4	4.5	4.3
T. Stephens	16	4.6	9	4.7	4.6
<i>District Court</i>					
T. G. Nave	15	4.5	-	-	4.5
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
E. A. Aarseth	22	4.4	9	3.9	4.2
C. M. Easter	17	4.6	10	4.4	4.6
K. Kristiansen	19	3.0	8	4.0	3.3
E. B. Marston	4	4.3	1	3.0	4.0
A. M. Moran	29	2.9	2	3.0	2.9
M. Rindner	5	4.6	5	4.0	4.3
K. Saxby	20	4.3	10	4.4	4.3
J. W. Smith	33	4.4	12	4.1	4.3
V. H. White	25	3.7	8	4.8	4.0
<i>District Court</i>					
L. N. Dickson	22	4.7	5	4.6	4.7
J. P. Hanley	37	4.7	7	4.4	4.7
J. S. Henderson	18	4.6	4	5.0	4.7
M. L. Murphy	23	3.6	1	3.0	3.5
D. Schally	19	4.4	6	4.8	4.5
A. M. Swiderski	27	4.3	8	4.1	4.3
D. R. Wallace	32	4.7	8	4.6	4.7
P. S. Washington	21	3.9	7	4.7	4.1
D. Zwink	32	3.5	3	3.7	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Respondent Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Fourth District					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. Blankenship	26	3.4	10	3.0	3.3
B. S. Harbison	20	4.2	8	4.5	4.3
J. F. Kauvar	25	3.5	6	3.8	3.6
M. A. MacDonald	25	4.3	8	4.1	4.3
D. McConnell	11	3.5	7	4.3	3.8
<i>District Court</i>					
M. Christian	31	3.8	3	4.3	3.9
P. S. Hammers	29	4.2	-	-	4.2
N. Peters	13	3.5	4	4.0	3.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
David V. George
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	27	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	23	85.2
Professional reputation	2	7.4
Other personal contacts	2	7.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	22	95.7
Substantial amount of experience	9	39.1
Moderate amount of experience	8	34.8
Limited amount of experience	6	26.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	44.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	14.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	7.4
Probation/Parole officer	9	33.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	11.1
6 to 10 years	8	29.6
11 to 15 years	5	18.5
16 to 20 years	8	29.6
More than 20 years	3	11.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	11.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	17	63.0
Over 35,000	7	25.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	22	81.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	5	18.5
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	21	77.8
Female	6	22.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 11
David V. George
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	27	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	23	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	22	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	9	3.8	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.1
Limited amount of experience	6	3.7	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	10	3.1	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Probation/Parole officer	8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	7	3.2	3.2	4.0	3.8	3.4
11 to 15 years	4	3.8	4.5	3.8	4.3	4.0
16 to 20 years	8	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3
More than 20 years	2	4.0	3.5	4.5	4.5	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	16	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.7
Over 35,000	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8
Location of Work*						
First District	19	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.2	3.9
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	18	3.6	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9
Female	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
Philip M. Pallenberg
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	23	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	21	91.3
Professional reputation	2	8.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	20	95.2
Substantial amount of experience	5	23.8
Moderate amount of experience	7	33.3
Limited amount of experience	9	42.9
Type of Work		
State law enforcement officer	7	30.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	30.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	9	39.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	8.7
6 to 10 years	4	17.4
11 to 15 years	2	8.7
16 to 20 years	8	34.8
More than 20 years	7	30.4
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	65.2
Over 35,000	8	34.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	18	78.3
Second District	-	-
Third District	5	21.7
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	78.3
Female	5	21.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Philip M. Pallenberg
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	23	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	21	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	20	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	3.6	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5
Limited amount of experience	9	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Professional reputation	2	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	6	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.2	4.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	8	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0
6 to 10 years	4	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.3
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.4
More than 20 years	6	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2
Over 35,000	6	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	18	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	3	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	17	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2
Female	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Trevor Stephens
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	27	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	25	92.6
Professional reputation	2	7.4
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	22	88.0
Substantial amount of experience	12	48.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	20.0
Limited amount of experience	8	32.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	44.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	7.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	13	48.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	11.1
6 to 10 years	6	22.2
11 to 15 years	3	11.1
16 to 20 years	8	29.6
More than 20 years	7	25.9
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	18	66.7
Over 35,000	9	33.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	17	63.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	8	29.6
Fourth District	2	7.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	66.7
Female	9	33.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Trevor Stephens
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	27	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	25	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	22	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	12	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	8	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	11	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	13	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.5	4.7	4.2	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	6	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	17	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.6
Over 35,000	8	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6
Location of Work*						
First District	16	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.7
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	7	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	16	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Female	9	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Thomas G. Nave
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	19	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	84.2
Professional reputation	1	5.3
Other personal contacts	2	10.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	93.8
Substantial amount of experience	9	56.3
Moderate amount of experience	6	37.5
Limited amount of experience	1	6.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	47.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	42.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	10.5
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	-	-
6 to 10 years	5	26.3
11 to 15 years	3	15.8
16 to 20 years	5	26.3
More than 20 years	6	31.6
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	78.9
Over 35,000	4	21.1
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	17	89.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	10.5
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	94.7
Female	1	5.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Thomas G. Nave
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	19	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	15	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	9	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	6	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2
Limited amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	4	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	5	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6
Over 35,000	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	15	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	16	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Eric A. Aarseth
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	39	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	32	82.1
Professional reputation	4	10.3
Other personal contacts	3	7.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	25	78.1
Substantial amount of experience	6	18.8
Moderate amount of experience	13	40.6
Limited amount of experience	13	40.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	19	48.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	28.2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	9	23.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	10.3
6 to 10 years	4	10.3
11 to 15 years	10	25.6
16 to 20 years	12	30.8
More than 20 years	9	23.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	15.4
Over 35,000	33	84.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	2	5.1
Second District	1	2.6
Third District	36	92.3
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	2.6
Male	26	66.7
Female	12	30.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Eric A. Aarseth
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	39	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	32	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	25	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	6	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	13	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	13	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	16	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	6	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.2	3.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.5	5.0	4.8	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	4	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.8
11 to 15 years	8	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9
16 to 20 years	9	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.4	4.3
More than 20 years	7	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	3.8	4.0	3.4	3.5	3.5
Over 35,000	27	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	30	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	22	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	9	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Catherine M. Easter
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	31	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	28	90.3
Professional reputation	2	6.5
Other personal contacts	1	3.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	96.4
Substantial amount of experience	7	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	42.9
Limited amount of experience	8	28.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	18	58.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	32.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	9.7
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	12.9
6 to 10 years	7	22.6
11 to 15 years	7	22.6
16 to 20 years	9	29.0
More than 20 years	4	12.9
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	9.7
Over 35,000	28	90.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	3.2
Third District	30	96.8
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	3.2
Male	18	58.1
Female	12	38.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Catherine M. Easter
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	31	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	28	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	27	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
Limited amount of experience	8	3.9	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	2	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	17	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
6 to 10 years	7	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.3	4.4
11 to 15 years	6	4.0	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.4
16 to 20 years	7	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8
More than 20 years	4	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	25	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	27	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	16	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.6
Female	11	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Kari Kristiansen
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	31	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	26	83.9
Professional reputation	5	16.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	26	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	26.9
Moderate amount of experience	12	46.2
Limited amount of experience	7	26.9
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	19	61.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	3.2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	11	35.5
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	19.4
6 to 10 years	5	16.1
11 to 15 years	13	41.9
16 to 20 years	6	19.4
More than 20 years	1	3.2
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	12.9
Over 35,000	27	87.1
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	30	96.8
Fourth District	1	3.2
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	23	74.2
Female	8	25.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
Kari Kristiansen
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	31	3.2	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	26	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.3
Experience within last 5 years	26	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	3.4	3.6	3.1	3.3	3.3
Moderate amount of experience	12	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.3
Limited amount of experience	7	3.1	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.1
Professional reputation	5	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	15	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	11	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.0	4.2
6 to 10 years	5	4.0	4.4	3.2	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	10	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.5
16 to 20 years	5	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.7
More than 20 years	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	3.7	3.7	2.7	3.3	3.7
Over 35,000	23	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	26	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	18	3.1	3.3	2.9	3.0	3.0
Female	8	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24
Erin B. Marston
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	5	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	5	100.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	5	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	2	40.0
Limited amount of experience	3	60.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	5	100.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	40.0
6 to 10 years	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	40.0
More than 20 years	1	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	5	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	5	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	4	80.0
Female	1	20.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Erin B. Marston
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Limited amount of experience	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
More than 20 years	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Female	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Anna M. Moran
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	37	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	31	83.8
Professional reputation	6	16.2
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	26	83.9
Substantial amount of experience	7	22.6
Moderate amount of experience	16	51.6
Limited amount of experience	8	25.8
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	27	73.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	10.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	5.4
Probation/Parole officer	4	10.8
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	1	2.7
6 to 10 years	10	27.0
11 to 15 years	12	32.4
16 to 20 years	7	18.9
More than 20 years	7	18.9
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	8.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	26	70.3
Over 35,000	8	21.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	2.7
Second District	-	-
Third District	34	91.9
Fourth District	2	5.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	35	94.6
Female	2	5.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Anna M. Moran
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	37	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.3	2.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	31	3.1	3.5	3.0	3.3	2.9
Experience within last 5 years	26	3.3	3.6	3.2	3.3	3.0
Experience not within last 5 years	5	2.2	3.2	2.2	3.2	2.6
Substantial amount of experience	7	3.6	3.7	2.7	3.1	2.9
Moderate amount of experience	16	2.9	3.3	3.1	3.1	2.7
Limited amount of experience	8	3.3	3.8	3.3	3.9	3.4
Professional reputation	6	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	21	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.1	2.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	3.3	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Probation/Parole officer	4	3.5	4.3	2.8	3.3	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	9	2.9	3.4	2.9	3.7	2.9
11 to 15 years	11	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.0	2.8
16 to 20 years	6	3.0	3.7	2.5	3.3	2.8
More than 20 years	5	3.4	4.0	3.8	3.4	3.2
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	22	3.2	3.6	3.1	3.4	2.8
Over 35,000	6	2.8	3.3	2.8	3.0	3.0
Location of Work*						
First District	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	28	3.1	3.5	3.1	3.3	2.9
Fourth District	2	2.5	4.0	2.5	3.5	2.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	29	3.1	3.5	3.1	3.3	2.9
Female	2	3.5	3.5	2.5	3.0	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 28
Mark Rindner
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	12	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	83.3
Professional reputation	1	8.3
Other personal contacts	1	8.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	7	70.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	10.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	40.0
Limited amount of experience	5	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	7	58.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	25.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	16.7
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	25.0
6 to 10 years	1	8.3
11 to 15 years	2	16.7
16 to 20 years	3	25.0
More than 20 years	3	25.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	12	100.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	12	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	6	50.0
Female	6	50.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Mark Rindner
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	12	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	7	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	5	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	7	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	10	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.6	4.6
Female	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Kevin Saxby
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	35	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	31	88.6
Professional reputation	4	11.4
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	31	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	13	41.9
Moderate amount of experience	12	38.7
Limited amount of experience	6	19.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	15	42.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	14.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	15	42.9
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	22.9
6 to 10 years	10	28.6
11 to 15 years	4	11.4
16 to 20 years	11	31.4
More than 20 years	2	5.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	35	100.0
Location of Work		
-	-	-
First District	1	2.9
Second District	-	-
Third District	34	97.1
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	23	65.7
Female	12	34.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Kevin Saxby
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	35	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	31	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	31	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	13	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	6	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2
Professional reputation	4	3.8	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.8
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	13	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	4.0	4.3	4.5	3.8	4.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	13	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.4
6 to 10 years	10	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	3	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	9	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.6
More than 20 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	31	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	30	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	21	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Female	10	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Jack W. Smith
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	49	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	45	91.8
Professional reputation	4	8.2
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	40	88.9
Substantial amount of experience	18	40.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	26.7
Limited amount of experience	15	33.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	24	49.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	13	26.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	12	24.5
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	16.3
6 to 10 years	12	24.5
11 to 15 years	9	18.4
16 to 20 years	12	24.5
More than 20 years	8	16.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	6.1
Over 35,000	46	93.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	49	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	36	73.5
Female	13	26.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
Jack W. Smith
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	49	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	45	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	40	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	18	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Limited amount of experience	15	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4
Professional reputation	4	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.3
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	24	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	3.7	4.2	3.7	3.9	3.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	10	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	11	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.0
11 to 15 years	7	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.1
16 to 20 years	12	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.4
More than 20 years	7	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5
Over 35,000	43	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	45	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	33	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.4
Female	12	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.1

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
Vanessa H. White
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	38	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	33	86.8
Professional reputation	3	7.9
Other personal contacts	2	5.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	31	93.9
Substantial amount of experience	7	21.2
Moderate amount of experience	19	57.6
Limited amount of experience	7	21.2
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	22	57.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	7.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	13	34.2
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	13.2
6 to 10 years	5	13.2
11 to 15 years	14	36.8
16 to 20 years	9	23.7
More than 20 years	5	13.2
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	2.6
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	15.8
Over 35,000	31	81.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	37	97.4
Fourth District	1	2.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	29	76.3
Female	9	23.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Vanessa H. White
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	38	3.8	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	33	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	31	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	19	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	7	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.7
Professional reputation	3	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	19	3.2	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	12	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	5.0	4.5	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8
11 to 15 years	12	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.6
16 to 20 years	7	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.7
More than 20 years	5	3.6	4.5	4.2	4.2	3.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	1.0	-	3.0	3.0	1.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Over 35,000	27	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	32	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	1	1.0	-	3.0	3.0	1.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	25	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Female	8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Leslie N. Dickson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	28	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	27	96.4
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	1	3.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	25.9
Moderate amount of experience	14	51.9
Limited amount of experience	6	22.2
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	16	57.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	32.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	10.7
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	14.3
6 to 10 years	4	14.3
11 to 15 years	10	35.7
16 to 20 years	6	21.4
More than 20 years	4	14.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	3.6
Over 35,000	27	96.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	3.6
Third District	26	92.9
Fourth District	1	3.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	22	78.6
Female	6	21.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Leslie N. Dickson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	28	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	27	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	27	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	14	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Limited amount of experience	6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	16	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.8
6 to 10 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.5
11 to 15 years	10	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.2	4.6
16 to 20 years	5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	4	4.8	4.8	4.5	5.0	4.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	26	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	25	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	22	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.7
Female	5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
J. Patrick Hanley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	50	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	46	92.0
Professional reputation	4	8.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	42	91.3
Substantial amount of experience	16	34.8
Moderate amount of experience	21	45.7
Limited amount of experience	9	19.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	23	46.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	27	54.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	8.0
6 to 10 years	6	12.0
11 to 15 years	14	28.0
16 to 20 years	16	32.0
More than 20 years	10	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	2.0
Over 35,000	49	98.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	50	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	43	86.0
Female	7	14.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
J. Patrick Hanley
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	50	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	46	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	42	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	16	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	21	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	9	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4
Professional reputation	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	22	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	24	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.2	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.6
11 to 15 years	12	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
16 to 20 years	14	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
More than 20 years	10	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	45	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	46	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	39	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Female	7	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Jennifer Stuart Henderson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	26	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	22	84.6
Professional reputation	1	3.8
Other personal contacts	3	11.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	21	95.5
Substantial amount of experience	8	36.4
Moderate amount of experience	7	31.8
Limited amount of experience	7	31.8
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	16	61.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	30.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	7.7
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	15.4
6 to 10 years	5	19.2
11 to 15 years	7	26.9
16 to 20 years	5	19.2
More than 20 years	5	19.2
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	7.7
Over 35,000	24	92.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	3.8
Third District	25	96.2
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	21	80.8
Female	5	19.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Jennifer Stuart Henderson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	26	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	22	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	21	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	8	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Limited amount of experience	7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	15	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
16 to 20 years	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
More than 20 years	5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	20	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	21	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	18	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Female	4	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 42
Margaret L. Murphy
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	26	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	25	96.2
Professional reputation	1	3.8
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	23	92.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	11	44.0
Limited amount of experience	9	36.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	18	69.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	3.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	7.7
Probation/Parole officer	5	19.2
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	11.5
6 to 10 years	4	15.4
11 to 15 years	12	46.2
16 to 20 years	3	11.5
More than 20 years	4	15.4
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	7.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	16	61.5
Over 35,000	8	30.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	2	7.7
Second District	-	-
Third District	20	76.9
Fourth District	4	15.4
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	25	96.2
Female	1	3.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Margaret L. Murphy
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	26	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	25	3.5	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	23	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	3.6	4.4	4.2	4.0	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	11	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.1	3.4
Limited amount of experience	9	3.8	4.1	3.5	3.4	3.6
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	17	3.4	4.0	3.6	3.2	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
Probation/Parole officer	5	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	3.7	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.3
6 to 10 years	4	2.8	3.8	3.8	3.0	3.0
11 to 15 years	11	3.5	4.0	3.6	3.2	3.5
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7
More than 20 years	4	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.5	3.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	3.4	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.5
Over 35,000	8	3.5	4.1	3.5	3.4	3.4
Location of Work*						
First District	2	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	20	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.4	3.5
Fourth District	3	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.7	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	24	3.6	4.1	3.8	3.4	3.6
Female	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 44
Daniel Schally
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	26	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	25	96.2
Professional reputation	1	3.8
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	25	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	28.0
Moderate amount of experience	11	44.0
Limited amount of experience	7	28.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	10	38.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	23.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	3	11.5
Probation/Parole officer	6	23.1
Other	1	3.8
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	15.4
6 to 10 years	5	19.2
11 to 15 years	8	30.8
16 to 20 years	5	19.2
More than 20 years	4	15.4
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	6	23.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	50.0
Over 35,000	7	26.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	25	96.2
Fourth District	1	3.8
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	20	76.9
Female	6	23.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 45
Daniel Schally
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	26	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	25	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	25	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	11	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Limited amount of experience	7	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Probation/Parole officer	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2
11 to 15 years	8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6
16 to 20 years	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5
More than 20 years	4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	6	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6
Over 35,000	7	4.7	4.9	4.4	4.6	4.6
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	24	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Fourth District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	19	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4
Female	6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 46
Alex M. Swiderski
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	38	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	35	92.1
Professional reputation	2	5.3
Other personal contacts	1	2.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	34	97.1
Substantial amount of experience	10	28.6
Moderate amount of experience	15	42.9
Limited amount of experience	10	28.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	22	57.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	15	39.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	2.6
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	5.3
6 to 10 years	7	18.4
11 to 15 years	12	31.6
16 to 20 years	10	26.3
More than 20 years	7	18.4
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	2.6
Over 35,000	37	97.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	38	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	29	76.3
Female	9	23.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 47
Alex M. Swiderski
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	38	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	35	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	34	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	10	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Limited amount of experience	10	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	19	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	15	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	6	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.0
11 to 15 years	12	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	8	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.6
More than 20 years	7	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	34	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	35	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	27	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3
Female	8	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 48
David R. Wallace
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	43	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	41	95.3
Professional reputation	2	4.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	41	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	19	46.3
Moderate amount of experience	16	39.0
Limited amount of experience	6	14.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	24	55.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	18	41.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	2.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	7.0
6 to 10 years	7	16.3
11 to 15 years	15	34.9
16 to 20 years	10	23.3
More than 20 years	8	18.6
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	7.0
Over 35,000	40	93.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	42	97.7
Fourth District	1	2.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	34	79.1
Female	9	20.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 49
David R. Wallace
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	43	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	41	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	41	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	19	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	16	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	24	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	17	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	15	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
16 to 20 years	9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
More than 20 years	7	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	39	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	40	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	33	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Female	8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 50
Pamela Scott Washington
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	33	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	29	87.9
Professional reputation	2	6.1
Other personal contacts	2	6.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	29	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	8	27.6
Moderate amount of experience	15	51.7
Limited amount of experience	6	20.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	20	60.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	33.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	6.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	15.2
6 to 10 years	5	15.2
11 to 15 years	11	33.3
16 to 20 years	7	21.2
More than 20 years	5	15.2
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	9.1
Over 35,000	30	90.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	3.0
Third District	32	97.0
Fourth District	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	25	75.8
Female	8	24.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 51
Pamela Scott Washington
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	33	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	29	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	29	4.0	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	8	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0
Limited amount of experience	6	3.3	3.7	4.2	3.7	3.8
Professional reputation	2	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	18	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	3.6	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.2
6 to 10 years	3	3.5	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5
11 to 15 years	10	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.9
16 to 20 years	7	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	26	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.1
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	28	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	22	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.9
Female	7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 52
David Zwink
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	40	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	36	90.0
Professional reputation	3	7.5
Other personal contacts	1	2.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	33	91.7
Substantial amount of experience	10	27.8
Moderate amount of experience	19	52.8
Limited amount of experience	7	19.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	35	87.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	7.5
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	17.5
6 to 10 years	4	10.0
11 to 15 years	15	37.5
16 to 20 years	8	20.0
More than 20 years	6	15.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	7.5
Over 35,000	35	87.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	37	92.5
Fourth District	3	7.5
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	36	90.0
Female	4	10.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 53
David Zwink
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	40	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	36	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	33	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	3	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	10	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.4
Moderate amount of experience	19	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8
Limited amount of experience	7	3.0	3.7	2.7	3.4	2.9
Professional reputation	3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.3
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	32	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.3	3.7	3.2	3.0	3.3
6 to 10 years	3	3.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3
11 to 15 years	13	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.8	3.3
16 to 20 years	8	2.6	3.3	2.9	3.3	3.0
More than 20 years	6	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0
Over 35,000	31	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	34	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.7	3.5
Fourth District	2	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	33	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.5
Female	3	3.3	4.0	3.3	4.0	3.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 54
Douglas L. Blankenship
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	43	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	39	90.7
Professional reputation	3	7.0
Other personal contacts	1	2.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	37	94.9
Substantial amount of experience	6	15.4
Moderate amount of experience	16	41.0
Limited amount of experience	16	41.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	23	53.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	9.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.3
Probation/Parole officer	15	34.9
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	10	23.3
6 to 10 years	11	25.6
11 to 15 years	8	18.6
16 to 20 years	7	16.3
More than 20 years	7	16.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	2.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	27.9
Over 35,000	30	69.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	7.0
Fourth District	40	93.0
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	31	72.1
Female	12	27.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 55
Douglas L. Blankenship
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	43	3.4	3.6	3.1	3.6	3.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	39	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.3
Experience within last 5 years	37	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	6	3.2	3.8	3.0	3.4	3.2
Moderate amount of experience	16	2.9	3.2	2.3	3.2	2.7
Limited amount of experience	16	3.9	3.9	3.7	4.1	3.8
Professional reputation	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	21	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.3	3.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Probation/Parole officer	14	3.1	3.2	2.3	3.7	2.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	8	3.4	3.4	2.9	3.7	3.2
6 to 10 years	10	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.8	3.4
11 to 15 years	7	3.0	3.6	2.6	3.0	3.0
16 to 20 years	7	3.6	3.9	3.3	3.6	3.4
More than 20 years	7	3.1	3.6	3.0	3.9	3.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	3.5	3.6	3.0	3.8	3.5
Over 35,000	27	3.3	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.1
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	37	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.2
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	28	3.5	3.7	3.1	3.7	3.4
Female	11	3.2	3.2	2.8	3.5	3.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 56
Bethany Spalding Harbison
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	34	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	30	88.2
Professional reputation	4	11.8
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	29	96.7
Substantial amount of experience	6	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	18	60.0
Limited amount of experience	6	20.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	13	38.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	17.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.9
Probation/Parole officer	14	41.2
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	10	29.4
6 to 10 years	7	20.6
11 to 15 years	7	20.6
16 to 20 years	7	20.6
More than 20 years	3	8.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	29.4
Over 35,000	24	70.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	2.9
Fourth District	33	97.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	25	73.5
Female	9	26.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 57
Bethany Spalding Harbison
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	34	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	30	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	29	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	3.0	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
Moderate amount of experience	18	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6
Limited amount of experience	6	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.2	4.0
Professional reputation	4	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	11	4.0	3.9	4.5	3.9	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Probation/Parole officer	13	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	10	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.3
6 to 10 years	6	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5
11 to 15 years	6	4.2	3.8	4.6	3.6	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1
More than 20 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5
Over 35,000	21	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	30	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	22	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.2
Female	8	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 58
Jane F. Kauvar
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	35	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	31	88.6
Professional reputation	3	8.6
Other personal contacts	1	2.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	87.1
Substantial amount of experience	3	9.7
Moderate amount of experience	17	54.8
Limited amount of experience	11	35.5
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	16	45.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	14.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	5.7
Probation/Parole officer	12	34.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	20.0
6 to 10 years	6	17.1
11 to 15 years	7	20.0
16 to 20 years	8	22.9
More than 20 years	7	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	5.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	25.7
Over 35,000	24	68.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	2.9
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	8.6
Fourth District	31	88.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	28	80.0
Female	7	20.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 59
Jane F. Kauvar
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	35	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	31	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
Experience within last 5 years	27	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	2	2.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	3	3.3	4.3	3.7	3.0	3.3
Moderate amount of experience	17	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.5
Limited amount of experience	11	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	14	3.1	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
Probation/Parole officer	11	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.3
6 to 10 years	5	4.4	4.2	4.2	5.0	4.6
11 to 15 years	6	3.0	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.3
16 to 20 years	7	3.0	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.1
More than 20 years	7	3.4	4.3	3.9	3.6	3.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	3.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	3.3	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7
Over 35,000	20	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	3.0	4.5	4.0	3.5	3.5
Fourth District	28	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	25	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.5
Female	6	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 60
Michael A. MacDonald
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	35	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	33	94.3
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	2	5.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	32	97.0
Substantial amount of experience	8	24.2
Moderate amount of experience	14	42.4
Limited amount of experience	11	33.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	13	37.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	20.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	3	8.6
Probation/Parole officer	12	34.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	9	25.7
6 to 10 years	7	20.0
11 to 15 years	3	8.6
16 to 20 years	8	22.9
More than 20 years	8	22.9
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	5.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	31.4
Over 35,000	22	62.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	2.9
Fourth District	34	97.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	26	74.3
Female	9	25.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 61
Michael A. MacDonald
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	35	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	33	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	32	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	8	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	14	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.3
Limited amount of experience	11	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	13	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	4.9	4.9	4.7	5.0	4.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Probation/Parole officer	12	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.4	4.1
6 to 10 years	6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5
11 to 15 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	8	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.2
Over 35,000	22	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Fourth District	32	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	25	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Female	8	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.1

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 62
Dwayne McConnell
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	23	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	20	87.0
Professional reputation	3	13.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	95.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	60.0
Limited amount of experience	3	15.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	13	56.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	4.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	9	39.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	26.1
6 to 10 years	4	17.4
11 to 15 years	4	17.4
16 to 20 years	3	13.0
More than 20 years	6	26.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	4.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	65.2
Over 35,000	7	30.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	3	13.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	13.0
Fourth District	17	73.9
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	15	65.2
Female	8	34.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 63
Dwayne McConnell
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	23	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	20	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	19	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	3.8	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7
Limited amount of experience	3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Professional reputation	3	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.7
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	13	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	7	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.0
6 to 10 years	4	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
11 to 15 years	3	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3
More than 20 years	5	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.4
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.9
Over 35,000	7	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	15	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	13	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.5
Female	7	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 64
Matthew Christian
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	38	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	35	92.1
Professional reputation	1	2.6
Other personal contacts	2	5.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	33	94.3
Substantial amount of experience	7	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	13	37.1
Limited amount of experience	15	42.9
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	23	60.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	18.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.6
Probation/Parole officer	7	18.4
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	21.1
6 to 10 years	7	18.4
11 to 15 years	9	23.7
16 to 20 years	9	23.7
More than 20 years	5	13.2
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	26.3
Over 35,000	28	73.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	8	21.1
Fourth District	30	78.9
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	33	86.8
Female	5	13.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 65
Matthew Christian
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	38	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	35	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	33	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	7	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	13	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8
Limited amount of experience	15	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9
Professional reputation	1	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.0
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	21	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Probation/Parole officer	6	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	8	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4
6 to 10 years	7	3.8	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.0
11 to 15 years	8	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.6	3.0
16 to 20 years	8	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	4	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.5
Over 35,000	25	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	5	2.5	2.8	2.3	2.0	2.5
Fourth District	30	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	32	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8
Female	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 66
Patrick S. Hammers
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	35	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	30	85.7
Professional reputation	5	14.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	90.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	16.7
Moderate amount of experience	11	36.7
Limited amount of experience	14	46.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	21	60.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	25.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	5.7
Probation/Parole officer	3	8.6
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	8	22.9
6 to 10 years	6	17.1
11 to 15 years	5	14.3
16 to 20 years	9	25.7
More than 20 years	7	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	4	11.4
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	25.7
Over 35,000	22	62.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	4	11.4
Fourth District	31	88.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	34	97.1
Female	1	2.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 67
Patrick S. Hammers
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	35	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	30	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	27	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	3	3.0	3.7	2.7	4.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	11	3.7	4.0	3.8	4.1	3.8
Limited amount of experience	14	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.4
Professional reputation	5	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	18	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Probation/Parole officer	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.8	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.4	4.6
11 to 15 years	5	3.0	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.4
16 to 20 years	9	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	5	3.8	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	4	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.0	3.8
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	4.0	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
Over 35,000	18	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	28	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	30	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 68
Nathaniel Peters
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	19	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	94.7
Professional reputation	1	5.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	17	94.4
Substantial amount of experience	6	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	8	44.4
Limited amount of experience	4	22.2
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	14	73.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	26.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	26.3
6 to 10 years	4	21.1
11 to 15 years	2	10.5
16 to 20 years	2	10.5
More than 20 years	6	31.6
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	5.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	63.2
Over 35,000	6	31.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	10.5
Fourth District	17	89.5
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	14	73.7
Female	5	26.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 69
Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall Evaluation <i>M</i>
All respondents	19	3.2	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	3.1	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.6
Experience within last 5 years	17	3.1	3.4	3.9	3.9	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	3.3	3.7	4.3	4.5	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	8	3.1	3.5	4.0	3.8	3.5
Limited amount of experience	4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.7
Professional reputation	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	13	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	3.2	3.4	4.6	4.2	3.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	3.8	3.8	4.6	4.2	4.0
6 to 10 years	3	1.3	1.7	3.0	3.0	2.3
11 to 15 years	2	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.0
16 to 20 years	2	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	6	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	3.3	3.6	4.3	4.3	3.9
Over 35,000	6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.4
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	2.5	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.5
Fourth District	16	3.2	3.5	4.1	4.0	3.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	13	3.0	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.5
Female	5	3.5	3.8	4.5	4.3	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.