



UAA Center for Behavioral
Health Research & Services
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Technical Report

Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Assistant Professor
Maia Wen, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Elizabeth Williams, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Staci Corey, M.S., Project Manager

April 19, 2016
Revised June 30, 2016

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Justices/Judges	2
Introduction	4
Methodology	4
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	6
Instrumentation	7
Confidentiality and Data Safety	7
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding	8
Data Management	8
Results	9
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Justices/Judges	10
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	12
Table 5: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	14
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice.....	17
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled.....	19
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	21
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Respondent Gender	23
<i>Individual Tables</i>	
Table 10: Joel H. Bolger: Demographic Description of Respondents	25
Table 11: Joel H. Bolger: Detailed Information on Responses.....	26
Table 12: Peter J. Maassen: Demographic Description of Respondents	27
Table 13: Peter J. Maassen: Detailed Responses	28
Table 14: Marjorie K. Allard: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	29
Table 15: Marjorie K. Allard: Detailed Responses	30
Table 16: David V. George: Demographic Description of Respondents	31
Table 17: David V. George: Detailed Responses.....	32
Table 18: Philip M. Pallenberg: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	33
Table 19: Philip M. Pallenberg: Detailed Responses	34
Table 20: Trevor Stephens: Demographic Description of Respondents	35
Table 21: Trevor Stephens: Detailed Responses.....	36
Table 22: Thomas G. Nave: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	37
Table 23: Thomas G. Nave: Detailed Responses	38
Table 24: Eric A. Aarseth: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	39
Table 25: Eric A. Aarseth: Detailed Responses	40
Table 26: Catherine M. Easter: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	41
Table 27: Catherine M. Easter: Detailed Responses	42
Table 28: Kari Kristiansen: Demographic Description of Respondents	43
Table 29: Kari Kristiansen: Detailed Responses.....	44
Table 30: Erin B. Marston: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	45
Table 31: Erin B. Marston: Detailed Responses	46
Table 32: Anna M. Moran: Demographic Description of Respondents	47
Table 33: Anna M. Moran: Detailed Responses	48

Table 34: Mark Rindner: Demographic Description of Respondents	49
Table 35: Mark Rindner: Detailed Responses	50
Table 36: Kevin Saxby: Demographic Description of Respondents	51
Table 37: Kevin Saxby: Detailed Responses	52
Table 38: Jack W. Smith: Demographic Description of Respondents	53
Table 39: Jack W. Smith: Detailed Responses.....	54
Table 40: Vanessa H. White: Demographic Description of Respondents	55
Table 41: Vanessa H. White: Detailed Responses	56
Table 42: Leslie N. Dickson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	57
Table 43: Leslie N. Dickson: Detailed Responses	58
Table 44: J. Patrick Hanley: Demographic Description of Respondents	59
Table 45: J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Responses.....	60
Table 46: Jennifer Stuart Henderson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	61
Table 47: Jennifer Stuart Henderson: Detailed Responses	62
Table 48: Margaret L. Murphy: Demographic Description of Respondents	63
Table 49: Margaret L. Murphy: Detailed Responses	64
Table 50: Daniel Schally: Demographic Description of Respondents	65
Table 51: Daniel Schally: Detailed Responses	66
Table 52: Alex M. Swiderski: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	67
Table 53: Alex M. Swiderski: Detailed Responses	68
Table 54: David R. Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents	69
Table 55: David R. Wallace: Detailed Responses.....	70
Table 56: Pamela Scott Washington: Demographic Description of Respondents	71
Table 57: Pamela Scott Washington: Detailed Responses	72
Table 58: David Zwink: Demographic Description of Respondents	73
Table 59: David Zwink: Detailed Responses.....	74
Table 60: Douglas L. Blankenship: Demographic Description of Respondents	75
Table 61: Douglas L. Blankenship: Detailed Responses	76
Table 62: Bethany Spalding Harbison: Demographic Description of Respondents	77
Table 63: Bethany Spalding Harbison: Detailed Responses.....	78
Table 64: Jane F. Kauvar: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	79
Table 65: Jane F. Kauvar: Detailed Responses	80
Table 66: Michael A. MacDonald: Demographic Description of Respondents	81
Table 67: Michael A. MacDonald: Detailed Responses.....	82
Table 68: Dwayne McConnell: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	83
Table 69: Dwayne McConnell: Detailed Responses	84
Table 70: Matthew Christian: Demographic Description of Respondents	85
Table 71: Matthew Christian: Detailed Responses	86
Table 72: Patrick S. Hammers: Demographic Description of Respondents	87
Table 73: Patrick S. Hammers: Detailed Responses	88
Table 74: Nathaniel Peters: Demographic Description of Respondents	89
Table 75: Nathaniel Peters: Detailed Responses.....	90

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska justices and judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska Bar Association members to obtain information about their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2016 retention survey included 2 supreme court justices, 1 appeals court judge, and 30 trial court judges eligible for retention.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate the justices and judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, and Overall Rating*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each justice/judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Justices/Judges

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>Supreme Court Justices</u>							
Joel H. Bolger	240	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Peter J. Maassen	192	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
<u>Court of Appeals</u>							
Marjorie K. Allard	97	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.5
<u>First Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
David V. George	97	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.6	3.8
Philip M. Pallenberg	164	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.2
Trevor Stephens	121	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
<i>District Court</i>							
Thomas G. Nave	69	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
<u>Third Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Eric A. Aarseth	259	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Catherine M. Easter	205	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
Kari Kristiansen	161	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Erin B. Marston	178	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Anna M. Moran	119	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Mark Rindner	261	4.4	4.1	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.2
Kevin Saxby	114	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Jack W. Smith	138	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Vanessa H. White	163	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 1 (continued)
Mean Ratings of Justices/Judges

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>Third Judicial District</u>							
<i>District Court</i>							
Leslie N. Dickson	108	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	148	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Jennifer S. Henderson	80	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Margaret L. Murphy	73	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.7
Daniel Schally	94	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Alex M. Swiderski	137	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
David R. Wallace	115	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Pamela S. Washington	147	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.7
David Zwink	93	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Douglas Blankenship	117	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.5	3.5
Bethany S. Harbison	96	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Jane F. Kauvar	122	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.0
Michael A. MacDonald	117	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.1
Dwayne McConnell	80	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.8
<i>District Court</i>							
Matthew Christian	80	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	66	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0
Nathaniel Peters	39	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Judicial Retention Survey: Alaska Bar Association Members

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council evaluate justices and judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State’s voters to either retain or not retain each justice and judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate justices/judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, and Overall Rating*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, gender, types of cases handled, and primary location of practice.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Judicial Council but returned directly to CBHRS for processing, data entry, and analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2016 retention survey included 2 supreme court justices, 1 appeals court judge, and 30 trial court judges eligible for retention.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,502 individuals invited to participate, the vast majority of individuals (3,350) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Fifty-five (55) individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 97 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 994 online surveys. Two surveys were excluded because the respondent answered “No” to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2 and 29 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question. Two online surveys were excluded because the respondents also returned paper surveys that were retained because the paper survey was dated earlier than the online survey was initiated (in one case) or because the undated paper survey was more complete than the online survey (in the second case). Therefore, 961 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 35 paper surveys. No paper surveys were unsigned. Four paper surveys were excluded either because the respondents answered “No” to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out on Professional Rule 8.2 or because they left that question blank. One paper survey was returned with signature but was otherwise completely blank. Three paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the

online survey. In one case, the online survey was initiated earlier than the paper survey was dated; thus, the online survey was retained while the paper survey was removed from analysis. In the other two cases, the paper survey was retained while the online survey was removed from analyses. Therefore, 29 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 961 online surveys and 29 paper surveys, for a total of 990 surveys and a survey return rate of 28.3%. Of the 990 returned surveys, 120 respondents did not rate any of the justices/judges; 870 (87.9%) respondents evaluated one or more justices/judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

	All Respondents	All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Justice/Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Type of Practice	All respondents	990	100.0	870	100.0
	No response	17	1.7	12	1.4
	Private, solo	193	19.5	178	20.5
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	154	15.6	139	16.0
	Private, 6+ attorneys	127	12.8	103	11.8
	Private, corporate employee	27	2.7	20	2.3
	Judge or judicial officer	92	9.3	91	10.5
	Government	257	26.0	231	26.6
	Public service agency or organization	31	3.1	29	3.3
	Retired	58	5.9	40	4.6
	Other	34	3.4	27	3.1
Length of Alaska Practice					
	No response	18	1.8	12	1.4
	5 years or fewer	144	14.5	120	13.8
	6 to 10 years	114	11.5	101	11.6
	11 to 15 years	106	10.7	87	10.0
	16 to 20 years	86	8.7	76	8.7
	More than 20 years	522	52.7	474	54.5
Cases Handled					
	No response	12	1.2	7	0.8
	Prosecution	53	5.4	47	5.4
	Criminal	92	9.3	84	9.7
	Mixed criminal & civil	205	20.7	197	22.6
	Civil	547	55.3	470	54.0
	Other	81	8.2	65	7.5
Location of Practice					
	No response	14	1.4	10	1.1
	First District	120	12.1	113	13.0
	Second District	19	1.9	17	2.0
	Third District	684	69.1	611	70.2
	Fourth District	108	10.9	97	11.1
	Outside Alaska	45	4.5	22	2.5
Gender					
	No response	16	1.6	10	1.1
	Male	603	60.9	532	61.1
	Female	371	37.5	328	37.7

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the justices/judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each justice/judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each justice/judge. Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that he/she had rated the justices/judges as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these judges.”

Respondents evaluated justices/judges in six areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Legal Ability: Please evaluate the justice's/judge’s legal and factual analysis including the justice's/judge’s knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the justice's/judge’s writing clarity and precision.

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the justice's/judge’s sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the justice/judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the justice's/judge’s conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the justice/judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the justice's/judge’s courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the justice/judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the justice/judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the justice's/judge’s performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect

data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”

Based on these instructions, CBHRS implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. If a survey had been returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope would have been opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. No paper surveys were returned without a name on the outside of the envelope. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys.

Had any paper surveys been returned without signatures, with illegible signatures, or without being on the mailing list they would have been excluded from the data entry and analysis and not reflected in the total number of surveys received. No surveys were returned that were not on the list or signed.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, CBHRS analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. Three duplicate surveys were identified. For each set of duplicate surveys, the earlier completed data was retained; completion data was based on online survey initiation date and the data accompanying the paper survey signature. In cases where the respondent did not date the paper survey, the more complete survey data was retained. The duplicate survey was removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, CBHRS implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. Before entry, all paper data was reviewed and cleaned prior to data entry. Then, a second staff member confirmed all data cleaning and entered the surveys. After the paper surveys were entered, the first staff

member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each justice/judge is shown. Second, ratings and comparisons of the justices/judges are provided in a variety of ways. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In all summary tables, justice/judges appear in order based on court/district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Justice/Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each justice/judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each justice/judge.

Ratings of Justices/Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-9 present detail on the *Overall Rating* item. Table 4 compares all justice/judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-9 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides justices'/judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 6 provides justices'/judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 7 provides justices'/judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 8 provides justices'/judges' mean scores broken down by location of practice. Table 9 provides justices'/judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual justice/judge, Tables 10-75 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Respondents' Level of Experience with Justices/Judges

			Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated justice/judge	Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>Supreme Court</u>					
J. H. Bolger	321	32.4	74.8	17.1	8.1
P. J. Maassen	297	30.0	64.6	22.9	12.5
<u>Court of Appeals</u>					
M. K. Allard	150	15.2	64.7	25.3	10.0
<u>First District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. V. George	121	12.2	80.2	11.6	8.3
P. M. Pallenberg	210	21.2	78.1	13.3	8.6
T. Stephens	141	14.2	85.8	11.3	2.8
<i>District Court</i>					
T. G. Nave	113	11.4	61.1	27.4	11.5
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
E. A. Aarseth	290	29.3	89.3	8.3	2.4
C. M. Easter	247	24.9	83.0	12.6	4.5
K. Kristiansen	191	19.3	84.3	11.0	4.7
E. B. Marston	216	21.8	82.4	8.8	8.8
A. M. Moran	133	13.4	89.5	7.5	3.0
M. Rindner	292	29.5	89.4	6.5	4.1
K. Saxby	136	13.7	83.8	12.5	3.7
J. W. Smith	157	15.9	87.9	10.2	1.9
V. H. White	193	19.5	84.5	10.4	5.2

Table 3 (continued)
Respondents' Level of Experience with the Justices/Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated justice/judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>District Court</i>					
L. N. Dickson	156	15.8	69.2	10.9	19.9
J. P. Hanley	183	18.5	80.9	10.4	8.7
J. S. Henderson	94	9.5	85.1	9.6	5.3
M. L. Murphy	82	8.3	89.0	8.5	2.4
D. Schally	105	10.6	89.5	6.7	3.8
A. M. Swiderski	165	16.7	83.0	10.9	6.1
D. R. Wallace	130	13.1	88.5	7.7	3.8
P. S. Washington	175	17.7	84.0	9.7	6.3
D. Zwink	110	11.1	84.5	10.0	5.5
<u>Fourth District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. Blankenship	134	13.5	87.3	8.2	4.5
B. S. Harbison	113	11.4	85.0	11.5	3.5
J. F. Kauvar	140	14.1	87.1	12.9	-
M. A. MacDonald	131	13.2	89.3	8.4	2.3
D. McConnell	93	9.4	86.0	9.7	4.3
<i>District Court</i>					
M. Christian	91	9.2	87.9	7.7	4.4
P. S. Hammers	75	7.6	88.0	9.3	2.7
N. Peters	49	4.9	79.6	14.3	6.1

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience				
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	
<u>Supreme Court</u>									
J. H. Bolger	314	4.6	5.0	0.7	235	4.6	5.0	0.8	
P. J. Maassen	295	4.6	5.0	0.6	192	4.6	5.0	0.7	
<u>Court of Appeals</u>									
M. K. Allard	148	4.5	5.0	0.8	97	4.5	5.0	0.9	
<u>First District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
D. V. George	118	3.9	4.0	0.9	97	3.8	4.0	0.9	
P. M. Pallenberg	205	4.3	5.0	0.9	161	4.2	4.0	0.9	
T. Stephens	140	4.6	5.0	0.7	121	4.6	5.0	0.7	
<i>District Court</i>									
T. G. Nave	110	4.5	5.0	0.7	69	4.4	5.0	0.7	
<u>Third District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
E. A. Aarseth	289	4.2	4.0	0.9	259	4.2	4.0	0.9	
C. M. Easter	246	4.1	4.0	1.1	204	4.0	4.0	1.1	
K. Kristiansen	191	3.8	4.0	1.2	161	3.7	4.0	1.2	
E. B. Marston	212	4.4	5.0	0.8	175	4.4	5.0	0.8	
A. M. Moran	131	3.8	4.0	1.1	119	3.7	4.0	1.2	
M. Rindner	289	4.2	4.0	1.0	259	4.2	4.0	1.0	
K. Saxby	134	3.8	4.0	1.1	113	3.8	4.0	1.1	
J. W. Smith	156	4.4	5.0	0.9	137	4.4	5.0	0.9	
V. H. White	191	4.0	4.0	1.1	162	4.0	4.0	1.2	

Table 4 (continued)
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<u>Third District</u>								
<i>District Court</i>								
L. N. Dickson	153	4.3	4.0	0.9	106	4.2	4.0	0.9
J. P. Hanley	181	4.6	5.0	0.7	146	4.6	5.0	0.8
J. S. Henderson	94	4.5	5.0	0.8	80	4.5	5.0	0.9
M. L. Murphy	82	3.7	4.0	1.1	73	3.7	4.0	1.1
D. Schally	102	4.2	4.0	0.9	91	4.3	5.0	0.9
A. M. Swiderski	164	4.1	4.0	1.1	137	4.0	4.0	1.1
D. R. Wallace	128	4.5	5.0	0.8	113	4.5	5.0	0.8
P. S. Washington	174	3.9	4.0	1.1	146	3.7	4.0	1.1
D. Zwink	110	4.4	5.0	0.8	93	4.5	5.0	0.8
<u>Fourth District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
D. Blankenship	132	3.6	4.0	1.0	115	3.5	4.0	1.0
B. S. Harbison	112	4.5	5.0	0.9	95	4.5	5.0	0.9
J. F. Kauvar	139	4.0	4.0	1.1	121	4.0	4.0	1.1
M. A. MacDonald	131	4.2	4.0	0.9	117	4.1	4.0	0.9
D. McConnell	93	3.8	4.0	1.2	80	3.8	4.0	1.2
<i>District Court</i>								
M. Christian	90	4.2	4.0	0.9	80	4.2	4.0	0.9
P. S. Hammers	75	4.0	4.0	1.1	66	4.0	4.0	1.0
N. Peters	49	4.4	5.0	0.8	39	4.3	5.0	0.9

Table 5
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall	
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	
Supreme Court																		
J. H. Bolger	37	4.6	27	4.2	35	4.6	6	4.2	46	4.8	61	4.5	4	5.0	12	4.7	4.6	
P. J. Maassen	32	4.6	28	4.4	39	4.8	3	4.3	27	4.7	44	4.6	4	4.8	7	5.0	4.6	
Court of Appeals																		
M. K. Allard	8	4.4	5	3.8	3	5.0	1	3.0	26	4.7	43	4.3	-	-	6	4.8	4.5	
First District																		
<i>Superior Court</i>																		
D. V. George	25	3.8	12	3.6	3	4.3	1	3.0	20	4.3	24	3.6	4	3.8	6	4.0	3.8	
P. M. Pallenberg	34	4.2	22	4.0	11	4.2	1	4.0	24	4.4	45	4.3	7	3.9	13	4.6	4.2	
T. Stephens	15	4.6	17	4.4	12	4.3	1	5.0	25	4.9	37	4.7	1	5.0	8	4.9	4.6	
<i>District Court</i>																		
T. G. Nave	15	4.1	12	4.3	2	4.0	-	-	12	4.7	16	4.6	-	-	11	4.5	4.4	
Third District																		
<i>Superior Court</i>																		
E. A. Aarseth	58	4.1	49	4.0	41	4.2	4	3.3	36	4.5	44	4.4	6	4.2	12	4.4	4.2	
C. M. Easter	45	3.8	42	3.8	34	3.9	5	4.0	30	4.4	37	4.4	3	4.7	5	4.6	4.0	
K. Kristiansen	28	3.5	36	3.5	14	4.0	-	-	25	4.2	44	3.7	3	3.7	6	4.2	3.7	
E. B. Marston	40	4.5	41	4.6	35	4.3	3	3.7	18	4.7	26	4.0	3	4.0	6	4.5	4.4	
A. M. Moran	22	3.8	23	3.6	14	3.9	-	-	23	4.0	28	3.4	3	4.3	4	4.3	3.7	
M. Rindner	60	4.2	54	4.2	49	4.4	3	3.7	29	4.4	42	4.0	6	4.3	10	3.7	4.2	
K. Saxby	13	3.6	18	3.3	5	3.4	3	3.7	22	4.0	44	4.0	2	2.0	2	2.5	3.8	

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 5 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Third District																	
<i>Superior Court</i>																	
J. W. Smith	19	4.1	26	4.1	12	4.3	2	4.0	25	4.8	46	4.5	3	4.7	3	3.0	4.4
V. H. White	35	4.1	32	3.5	17	4.3	1	5.0	27	4.4	37	3.6	2	4.0	8	4.4	4.0
<i>District Court</i>																	
L. N. Dickson	14	4.0	25	4.0	8	4.6	2	4.0	21	4.5	32	4.1	3	4.3	1	4.0	4.2
J. P. Hanley	22	4.3	32	4.3	13	4.8	3	4.3	31	4.8	38	4.7	2	4.5	4	5.0	4.6
J. S. Henderson	7	4.6	16	4.2	12	4.7	1	5.0	15	4.7	26	4.3	2	4.5	-	-	4.5
M. L. Murphy	12	3.9	15	3.9	6	3.3	-	-	22	3.7	12	3.3	2	4.5	4	3.0	3.7
D. Schally	9	4.0	22	4.4	3	4.0	-	-	28	4.4	22	4.3	1	3.0	5	3.8	4.3
A. M. Swiderski	20	4.1	32	3.6	20	4.2	4	4.8	28	4.4	26	3.8	2	4.0	2	3.5	4.0
D. R. Wallace	15	4.5	26	4.4	13	4.5	2	5.0	24	4.7	26	4.5	3	3.7	3	4.3	4.5
P. S. Washington	21	3.8	38	3.7	16	3.9	2	5.0	32	4.3	29	3.2	3	3.3	3	4.0	3.7
D. Zwink	18	4.5	16	4.4	4	5.0	-	-	27	4.4	20	4.5	1	4.0	4	4.5	4.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 5 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Fourth District																	
<i>Superior Court</i>																	
D. Blankenship	13	4.2	18	3.2	15	3.3	-	-	20	4.1	36	3.3	2	4.5	7	3.6	3.5
B. S. Harbison	9	5.0	17	4.1	9	3.9	-	-	23	4.7	29	4.3	1	5.0	7	4.9	4.5
J. F. Kauvar	19	4.0	19	3.5	12	3.7	-	-	28	4.4	28	4.3	2	5.0	11	3.4	4.0
M. A. MacDonald	17	4.2	19	4.2	12	3.8	1	4.0	29	4.5	27	3.8	3	4.3	7	3.9	4.1
D. McConnell	8	4.4	4	3.6	6	2.7	-	-	24	4.4	33	3.4	-	-	5	4.4	3.8
<i>District Court</i>																	
M. Christian	2	4.5	19	4.2	7	4.6	-	-	26	4.5	21	3.8	2	4.5	3	4.7	4.2
P. S. Hammers	7	3.6	14	4.0	6	3.8	-	-	19	4.7	17	3.6	1	4.0	2	4.0	4.0
N. Peters	4	4.5	1	3.0	3	4.7	-	-	14	4.6	16	4.0	-	-	1	5.0	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Supreme Court</u>											
J. H. Bolger	12	4.3	11	4.5	20	4.5	18	4.8	169	4.6	4.6
P. J. Maassen	11	4.7	6	4.2	9	4.4	16	4.6	144	4.7	4.6
<u>Court of Appeals</u>											
M. K. Allard	11	4.2	4	5.0	7	4.0	13	4.6	59	4.5	4.5
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	12	4.1	6	3.2	10	3.5	6	3.5	63	3.9	3.8
P. M. Pallenberg	15	3.9	16	4.3	13	4.2	11	4.2	104	4.3	4.2
T. Stephens	11	4.8	7	5.0	13	4.4	10	4.3	78	4.7	4.6
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	6	4.5	2	4.0	6	3.7	5	4.8	49	4.5	4.4
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	16	4.5	23	4.1	25	4.4	28	4.0	165	4.2	4.2
C. M. Easter	18	4.2	17	4.2	20	4.0	19	4.0	128	4.0	4.0
K. Kristiansen	15	3.9	17	3.4	19	3.5	16	4.3	89	3.7	3.7
E. B. Marston	12	4.0	5	4.0	9	4.0	11	4.2	135	4.5	4.4
A. M. Moran	7	3.7	11	3.0	12	3.3	10	3.6	77	3.9	3.7
M. Rindner	11	4.2	16	4.4	20	4.4	22	4.4	186	4.1	4.2
K. Saxby	8	3.3	20	4.0	10	4.1	10	3.9	65	3.7	3.8
J. W. Smith	8	5.0	21	4.7	16	4.4	14	4.4	78	4.2	4.4
V. H. White	15	3.6	14	3.4	18	3.7	15	3.9	97	4.2	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 6 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Third District											
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	11	3.9	16	4.1	13	4.2	12	4.3	54	4.2	4.2
J. P. Hanley	11	4.9	22	4.7	12	4.6	18	4.8	83	4.5	4.6
J. S. Henderson	9	4.4	14	4.5	11	4.4	11	4.6	35	4.4	4.5
M. L. Murphy	6	3.3	7	4.3	6	3.8	8	3.9	46	3.6	3.7
D. Schally	10	4.6	9	4.7	9	4.1	8	3.9	54	4.2	4.3
A. M. Swiderski	13	4.2	20	4.0	8	3.6	15	4.1	81	4.0	4.0
D. R. Wallace	12	4.8	16	4.6	10	4.2	14	4.6	61	4.4	4.5
P. S. Washington	17	3.3	18	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.9	79	3.8	3.7
D. Zwink	9	4.8	12	4.4	10	4.6	11	4.7	50	4.3	4.5
Fourth District											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	18	3.2	13	3.5	8	3.5	7	3.6	66	3.7	3.5
B. S. Harbison	10	4.0	13	4.2	8	4.8	7	4.4	56	4.5	4.5
J. F. Kauvar	11	3.9	15	4.1	11	4.4	10	4.2	73	3.9	4.0
M. A. MacDonald	12	3.7	13	3.7	8	4.0	7	4.0	75	4.3	4.1
D. McConnell	13	3.5	6	3.8	7	3.6	3	4.0	52	3.9	3.8
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	10	4.1	11	4.5	10	4.1	3	4.0	45	4.2	4.2
P. S. Hammers	7	3.7	10	4.0	7	3.9	3	3.0	38	4.3	4.0
N. Peters	9	4.1	2	5.0	5	3.6	2	4.0	21	4.6	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Supreme Court</u>											
J. H. Bolger	11	4.9	23	4.3	73	4.6	110	4.6	15	4.8	4.6
P. J. Maassen	2	4.5	13	4.2	40	4.5	121	4.7	13	5.0	4.6
<u>Court of Appeals</u>											
M. K. Allard	10	4.0	26	4.7	37	4.4	16	4.5	7	4.7	4.5
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	5	3.2	9	4.4	37	3.8	39	3.8	6	4.2	3.8
P. M. Pallenberg	5	4.0	15	4.5	57	4.3	72	4.2	12	4.3	4.2
T. Stephens	6	4.3	15	4.8	41	4.8	46	4.5	13	4.8	4.6
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	1	5.0	7	4.6	32	4.4	26	4.3	3	5.0	4.4
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	10	4.5	14	3.9	70	4.3	151	4.1	14	4.2	4.2
C. M. Easter	6	4.5	16	4.4	48	4.2	120	3.9	12	4.3	4.0
K. Kristiansen	8	4.0	20	3.4	48	3.8	73	3.7	10	4.6	3.7
E. B. Marston	2	3.5	4	4.3	39	4.5	120	4.4	9	4.3	4.4
A. M. Moran	8	2.4	13	3.8	40	3.7	54	4.0	3	3.0	3.7
M. Rindner	3	3.7	8	4.3	59	4.2	174	4.2	14	4.2	4.2
K. Saxby	14	4.3	22	3.1	28	3.9	42	3.7	7	4.3	3.8
J. W. Smith	20	4.5	25	4.6	41	4.4	45	4.2	6	4.3	4.4
V. H. White	8	4.5	22	3.3	51	4.1	71	4.0	9	4.2	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 7 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Third District											
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	14	3.7	18	4.7	37	4.4	32	3.9	5	4.0	4.2
J. P. Hanley	13	4.8	29	4.7	53	4.6	45	4.4	6	4.7	4.6
J. S. Henderson	12	4.8	15	4.1	22	4.5	29	4.5	2	5.0	4.5
M. L. Murphy	4	3.8	9	3.6	38	3.8	20	3.5	2	3.0	3.7
D. Schally	5	4.8	11	4.1	51	4.3	19	4.2	4	4.5	4.3
A. M. Swiderski	11	3.8	19	3.9	44	4.2	56	4.0	7	3.7	4.0
D. R. Wallace	11	4.4	21	4.6	35	4.5	42	4.4	4	5.0	4.5
P. S. Washington	11	3.1	16	3.4	47	4.0	64	3.8	7	4.0	3.7
D. Zwink	4	3.5	15	4.7	47	4.4	22	4.5	4	4.8	4.5
Fourth District											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	7	4.0	12	3.3	42	3.6	46	3.5	8	3.9	3.5
B. S. Harbison	3	3.7	11	4.0	38	4.8	40	4.4	3	4.0	4.5
J. F. Kauvar	5	3.4	13	4.4	51	3.9	47	4.0	5	3.6	4.0
M. A. MacDonald	4	4.0	12	3.8	46	4.2	47	4.1	8	4.1	4.1
D. McConnell	7	3.9	11	3.4	33	4.1	23	3.5	6	4.2	3.8
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	2	2.0	14	4.4	38	4.4	22	4.2	4	3.8	4.2
P. S. Hammers	2	3.0	8	4.1	32	4.3	21	3.9	3	3.7	4.0
N. Peters	4	4.0	9	3.8	15	4.6	9	4.4	2	5.0	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Supreme Court</u>											
J. H. Bolger	17	4.4	3	4.7	193	4.7	18	3.8	3	5.0	4.6
P. J. Maassen	13	4.5	-	-	159	4.7	13	4.3	4	5.0	4.6
<u>Court of Appeals</u>											
M. K. Allard	3	4.7	1	4.0	85	4.5	6	4.0	1	2.0	4.5
<u>First District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. V. George	54	3.9	2	4.5	37	3.6	3	4.0	1	5.0	3.8
P. M. Pallenberg	81	4.3	1	4.0	67	4.1	10	4.6	2	5.0	4.2
T. Stephens	55	4.7	1	5.0	59	4.5	5	4.8	1	5.0	4.6
<i>District Court</i>											
T. G. Nave	44	4.4	1	4.0	20	4.4	4	4.8	-	-	4.4
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
E. A. Aarseth	2	4.0	2	3.5	242	4.2	10	3.8	3	4.7	4.2
C. M. Easter	-	-	1	5.0	195	4.1	3	3.3	3	4.3	4.0
K. Kristiansen	2	4.5	1	3.0	146	3.7	9	3.7	1	5.0	3.7
E. B. Marston	2	4.5	-	-	164	4.4	6	4.3	2	4.5	4.4
A. M. Moran	-	-	1	3.0	107	3.7	8	4.0	1	5.0	3.7
M. Rindner	6	4.3	3	4.3	238	4.2	8	3.5	2	4.0	4.2
K. Saxby	2	4.0	1	5.0	108	3.8	2	3.5	-	-	3.8
J. W. Smith	-	-	1	4.0	132	4.4	3	4.0	1	5.0	4.4
V. H. White	-	-	-	-	153	4.0	7	4.1	-	-	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 8 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Third District</u>											
<i>District Court</i>											
L. N. Dickson	-	-	1	3.0	96	4.2	6	4.0	2	5.0	4.2
J. P. Hanley	2	5.0	1	4.0	137	4.6	5	5.0	1	5.0	4.6
J. S. Henderson	-	-	-	-	79	4.5	1	5.0	-	-	4.5
M. L. Murphy	-	-	1	4.0	61	3.7	9	3.7	1	4.0	3.7
D. Schally	3	4.0	2	4.5	76	4.3	9	4.0	-	-	4.3
A. M. Swiderski	2	4.5	2	5.0	129	4.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	4.0
D. R. Wallace	1	3.0	1	5.0	109	4.5	1	2.0	1	5.0	4.5
P. S. Washington	1	4.0	1	5.0	134	3.8	8	3.5	-	-	3.7
D. Zwink	-	-	-	-	87	4.5	5	4.6	-	-	4.5
<u>Fourth District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
D. Blankenship	4	3.5	3	2.3	47	3.9	58	3.3	1	5.0	3.5
B. S. Harbison	2	5.0	4	4.0	36	4.5	50	4.4	-	-	4.5
J. F. Kauvar	4	3.8	1	5.0	58	4.1	52	3.8	3	4.7	4.0
M. A. MacDonald	4	4.0	2	4.5	55	4.3	52	4.0	2	3.5	4.1
D. McConnell	5	4.4	1	4.0	50	3.8	21	3.9	1	4.0	3.8
<i>District Court</i>											
M. Christian	2	5.0	3	4.7	34	4.3	39	4.2	-	-	4.2
P. S. Hammers	1	3.0	2	5.0	21	4.6	40	3.8	-	-	4.0
N. Peters	1	4.0	-	-	23	4.3	15	4.5	-	-	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Respondent Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Supreme Court</u>					
J. H. Bolger	157	4.6	76	4.5	4.6
P. J. Maassen	131	4.6	56	4.6	4.6
<u>Court of Appeals</u>					
M. K. Allard	55	4.4	41	4.5	4.5
<u>First District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. V. George	66	3.9	30	3.8	3.8
P. M. Pallenberg	95	4.2	66	4.4	4.2
T. Stephens	82	4.7	39	4.6	4.6
<i>District Court</i>					
T. G. Nave	49	4.4	20	4.5	4.4
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
E. A. Aarseth	173	4.2	85	4.1	4.2
C. M. Easter	136	4.0	66	4.1	4.0
K. Kristiansen	100	3.7	59	3.8	3.7
E. B. Marston	124	4.4	49	4.3	4.4
A. M. Moran	71	3.6	47	3.8	3.7
M. Rindner	172	4.2	85	4.2	4.2
K. Saxby	81	3.8	32	3.7	3.8
J. W. Smith	98	4.3	39	4.6	4.4
V. H. White	104	4.0	57	4.0	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 9 (continued)
Mean Overall Ratings by Respondent Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<u>Third District</u>					
<i>District Court</i>					
L. N. Dickson	75	4.1	31	4.3	4.2
J. P. Hanley	104	4.6	42	4.6	4.6
J. S. Henderson	58	4.5	22	4.4	4.5
M. L. Murphy	49	3.8	24	3.4	3.7
D. Schally	69	4.3	21	4.0	4.3
A. M. Swiderski	104	4.1	33	3.9	4.0
D. R. Wallace	84	4.5	29	4.4	4.5
P. S. Washington	95	3.7	50	3.8	3.7
D. Zwink	61	4.4	31	4.5	4.5
<u>Fourth District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
D. Blankenship	73	3.6	41	3.5	3.5
B. S. Harbison	57	4.4	38	4.6	4.5
J. F. Kauvar	76	3.9	45	4.0	4.0
M. A. MacDonald	80	4.2	37	4.0	4.1
D. McConnell	55	3.9	24	3.7	3.8
<i>District Court</i>					
M. Christian	52	4.4	28	3.9	4.2
P. S. Hammers	43	4.2	23	3.8	4.0
N. Peters	23	4.3	16	4.3	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the justice/judge.

Table 10
Joel H. Bolger
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	321	100.0
Experience with Justice		
Direct professional experience	240	74.8
Professional reputation	55	17.1
Other personal contacts	26	8.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	209	87.1
Substantial amount of experience	67	27.9
Moderate amount of experience	91	37.9
Limited amount of experience	82	34.2
Type of Practice		
No response	4	1.2
Private, solo	55	17.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	33	10.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	48	15.0
Private, corporate employee	8	2.5
Judge or judicial officer	55	17.1
Government	87	27.1
Public service agency or organization	7	2.2
Retired	16	5.0
Other	8	2.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	6	1.9
5 years or fewer	23	7.2
6 to 10 years	22	6.9
11 to 15 years	29	9.0
16 to 20 years	23	7.2
More than 20 years	218	67.9
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.6
Prosecution	16	5.0
Criminal	28	8.7
Mixed criminal & civil	87	27.1
Civil	164	51.1
Other	24	7.5
Location of Practice		
No response	2	0.6
First District	28	8.7
Second District	5	1.6
Third District	253	78.8
Fourth District	29	9.0
Outside Alaska	4	1.2
Gender		
No response	4	1.2
Male	206	64.2
Female	111	34.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

Table 11
Joel H. Bolger
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	321	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	240	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	209	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	29	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	67	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	91	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	82	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Professional reputation	55	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	26	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	41	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	27	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	37	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6
Private, corporate employee	6	4.3	4.2	4.4	3.7	4.2	4.2
Judge or judicial officer	46	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Government	61	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.8	5.0
Retired	12	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7
Other	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.0	4.8
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
6 to 10 years	14	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5
11 to 15 years	19	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5
16 to 20 years	19	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	170	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.9
Criminal	24	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	73	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Civil	116	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Other	15	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	19	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Second District	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	4.7
Third District	193	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	21	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.8
Outside Alaska	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	160	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Female	78	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

Table 12
Peter J. Maassen
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	297	100.0
Experience with Justice		
Direct professional experience	192	64.6
Professional reputation	68	22.9
Other personal contacts	37	12.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	174	90.6
Substantial amount of experience	45	23.4
Moderate amount of experience	89	46.4
Limited amount of experience	56	29.2
Type of Practice		
No response	4	1.3
Private, solo	54	18.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	38	12.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	50	16.8
Private, corporate employee	8	2.7
Judge or judicial officer	41	13.8
Government	71	23.9
Public service agency or organization	13	4.4
Retired	12	4.0
Other	6	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	6	2.0
5 years or fewer	22	7.4
6 to 10 years	14	4.7
11 to 15 years	20	6.7
16 to 20 years	23	7.7
More than 20 years	212	71.4
Cases Handled		
No response	3	1.0
Prosecution	9	3.0
Criminal	18	6.1
Mixed criminal & civil	55	18.5
Civil	184	62.0
Other	28	9.4
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.0
First District	26	8.8
Second District	-	-
Third District	243	81.8
Fourth District	17	5.7
Outside Alaska	8	2.7
Gender		
No response	4	1.3
Male	198	66.7
Female	95	32.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

Table 13
Peter J. Maassen
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	297	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	192	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	174	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	89	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	56	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	68	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Other personal contacts	37	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	32	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	29	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	39	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Private, corporate employee	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.3
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.7
Government	44	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Retired	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.7	3.8	4.2
11 to 15 years	10	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.4
16 to 20 years	16	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.6
More than 20 years	144	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Criminal	13	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.5
Civil	121	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Other	13	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	13	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	159	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	13	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.3
Outside Alaska	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	131	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Female	57	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

Table 14
Marjorie K. Allard
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	150	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	97	64.7
Professional reputation	38	25.3
Other personal contacts	15	10.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	91	93.8
Substantial amount of experience	45	46.4
Moderate amount of experience	32	33.0
Limited amount of experience	20	20.6
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
Private, solo	17	11.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	7.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	8.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	37	24.7
Government	59	39.3
Public service agency or organization	1	0.7
Retired	7	4.7
Other	3	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	3	2.0
5 years or fewer	19	12.7
6 to 10 years	10	6.7
11 to 15 years	17	11.3
16 to 20 years	19	12.7
More than 20 years	82	54.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	14	9.3
Criminal	32	21.3
Mixed criminal & civil	50	33.3
Civil	41	27.3
Other	12	8.0
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
First District	7	4.7
Second District	2	1.3
Third District	130	86.7
Fourth District	8	5.3
Outside Alaska	2	1.3
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	88	58.7
Female	61	40.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Marjorie K. Allard
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	150	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	97	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	91	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	20	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.6
Professional reputation	38	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	15	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	4.2	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.7
Government	43	4.6	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.5	4.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	2	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.3	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.8	4.4	4.1	3.7	4.4	4.2
6 to 10 years	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	3.8	4.5	5.0
11 to 15 years	7	4.4	4.0	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	13	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.6
More than 20 years	60	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	4.5	4.2	4.1	3.8	4.7	4.0
Criminal	26	4.8	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	37	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.4
Civil	17	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.5
Other	6	4.9	4.4	4.6	4.1	5.0	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.7
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	85	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	6	4.0	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.0
Gender*							
Male	55	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.4
Female	41	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
David V. George
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	121	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	97	80.2
Professional reputation	14	11.6
Other personal contacts	10	8.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	86	88.7
Substantial amount of experience	26	26.8
Moderate amount of experience	33	34.0
Limited amount of experience	38	39.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	27	22.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	14.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.3
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	22	18.2
Government	33	27.3
Public service agency or organization	4	3.3
Retired	8	6.6
Other	4	3.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.8
5 years or fewer	13	10.7
6 to 10 years	6	5.0
11 to 15 years	14	11.6
16 to 20 years	9	7.4
More than 20 years	78	64.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	7	5.8
Criminal	11	9.1
Mixed criminal & civil	40	33.1
Civil	53	43.8
Other	9	7.4
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
First District	66	54.5
Second District	2	1.7
Third District	47	38.8
Fourth District	4	3.3
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	1	0.8
Male	81	66.9
Female	39	32.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
David V. George
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	121	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.9
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	97	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.6	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	86	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.6	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	10	4.0	4.1	4.6	4.3	3.9	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.5	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	33	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.8	4.0
Limited amount of experience	38	3.6	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.6	3.7
Professional reputation	14	3.6	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.8
Other personal contacts	10	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	25	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.4	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.3
Private, corporate employee	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.3
Government	24	3.7	3.8	4.2	3.7	3.6	3.6
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	3.5	4.3	4.0	3.3	3.8
Retired	6	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.3	3.8	4.0
Other	2	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.5	2.5	3.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.8	3.8	4.1
6 to 10 years	6	3.7	3.7	4.2	3.3	3.3	3.2
11 to 15 years	10	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.1	3.5
16 to 20 years	6	3.7	3.3	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
More than 20 years	63	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.2	3.2	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.2
Criminal	10	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.0	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	37	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.8	3.6	3.8
Civil	39	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.8
Other	6	3.8	3.8	4.7	4.2	3.8	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	54	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.0	3.6	3.9
Second District	2	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Third District	37	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.4	3.6
Fourth District	3	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	66	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.9
Female	31	3.7	3.6	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Philip M. Pallenberg
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	210	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	164	78.1
Professional reputation	28	13.3
Other personal contacts	18	8.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	140	85.4
Substantial amount of experience	47	28.7
Moderate amount of experience	56	34.1
Limited amount of experience	61	37.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
Private, solo	39	18.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	28	13.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	6.2
Private, corporate employee	2	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	31	14.8
Government	63	30.0
Public service agency or organization	11	5.2
Retired	17	8.1
Other	5	2.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	1.9
5 years or fewer	19	9.0
6 to 10 years	16	7.6
11 to 15 years	16	7.6
16 to 20 years	14	6.7
More than 20 years	141	67.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.5
Prosecution	6	2.9
Criminal	18	8.6
Mixed criminal & civil	66	31.4
Civil	102	48.6
Other	17	8.1
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
First District	92	43.8
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	96	45.7
Fourth District	14	6.7
Outside Alaska	6	2.9
Gender		
No response	1	0.5
Male	124	59.0
Female	85	40.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Philip M. Pallenberg
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	210	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	164	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	140	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	21	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	47	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	56	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.7	4.1
Limited amount of experience	61	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.3
Professional reputation	28	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.4
Other personal contacts	18	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	34	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.7	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	22	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.7	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.2
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.0	4.4
Government	46	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.3
Public service agency or organization	7	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.7	3.3	3.9
Retired	14	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Other	4	4.3	4.8	5.0	4.0	3.5	4.3
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	3.5	3.9
6 to 10 years	16	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.8	4.3
11 to 15 years	14	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	11	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.2
More than 20 years	105	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	3.9	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.4	4.4	4.0
Criminal	16	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	59	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.3
Civil	72	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.2
Other	12	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	3.8	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	82	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	3.9	4.3
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	68	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.7	4.1
Fourth District	11	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Gender*							
Male	96	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.2
Female	68	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Trevor Stephens
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	141	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	121	85.8
Professional reputation	16	11.3
Other personal contacts	4	2.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	115	95.0
Substantial amount of experience	38	31.4
Moderate amount of experience	37	30.6
Limited amount of experience	45	37.2
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	16	11.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	12.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	8.5
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	29	20.6
Government	47	33.3
Public service agency or organization	2	1.4
Retired	9	6.4
Other	7	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.4
5 years or fewer	12	8.5
6 to 10 years	8	5.7
11 to 15 years	15	10.6
16 to 20 years	11	7.8
More than 20 years	93	66.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	5.7
Criminal	15	10.6
Mixed criminal & civil	45	31.9
Civil	56	39.7
Other	17	12.1
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	63	44.7
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	70	49.6
Fourth District	6	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	90	63.8
Female	51	36.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Trevor Stephens
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	141	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	121	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	115	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	38	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	37	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	45	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Professional reputation	16	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5
Other personal contacts	4	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.7	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
Government	37	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Other	5	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.4
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	5.0	4.8
6 to 10 years	7	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	13	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.4
16 to 20 years	10	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
More than 20 years	78	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.7	4.3
Criminal	15	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Civil	46	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Other	13	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	55	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	59	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Fourth District	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.6	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	82	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Female	39	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Thomas G. Nave
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	113	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	69	61.1
Professional reputation	31	27.4
Other personal contacts	13	11.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	63	91.3
Substantial amount of experience	22	31.9
Moderate amount of experience	23	33.3
Limited amount of experience	24	34.8
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.9
Private, solo	23	20.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	14.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	5.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	17	15.0
Government	31	27.4
Public service agency or organization	1	0.9
Retired	13	11.5
Other	5	4.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.8
5 years or fewer	9	8.0
6 to 10 years	3	2.7
11 to 15 years	10	8.8
16 to 20 years	8	7.1
More than 20 years	81	71.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.9
Prosecution	3	2.7
Mainly criminal	9	8.0
Mixed criminal & civil	38	33.6
Mainly civil	52	46.0
Other	10	8.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.9
First District	66	58.4
Second District	1	0.9
Third District	38	33.6
Fourth District	5	4.4
Outside Alaska	2	1.8
Gender		
No response	1	0.9
Male	71	62.8
Female	41	36.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
Thomas G. Nave
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	113	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	69	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	63	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	22	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	23	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	24	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	31	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Other personal contacts	13	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.2	3.9	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	3.5	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	12	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.7
Government	16	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	11	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.5
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5
6 to 10 years	2	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
16 to 20 years	5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	49	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Criminal	7	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Civil	26	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	44	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	20	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	49	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Female	20	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24
Eric A. Aarseth
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	290	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	259	89.3
Professional reputation	24	8.3
Other personal contacts	7	2.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	240	92.7
Substantial amount of experience	85	32.8
Moderate amount of experience	108	41.7
Limited amount of experience	63	24.3
Type of Practice		
No response	2	0.7
Private, solo	62	21.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	52	17.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	47	16.2
Private, corporate employee	5	1.7
Judge or judicial officer	40	13.8
Government	55	19.0
Public service agency or organization	6	2.1
Retired	12	4.1
Other	9	3.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.3
5 years or fewer	18	6.2
6 to 10 years	25	8.6
11 to 15 years	30	10.3
16 to 20 years	32	11.0
More than 20 years	184	63.4
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	12	4.1
Mainly criminal	15	5.2
Mixed criminal & civil	77	26.6
Mainly civil	169	58.3
Other	17	5.9
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	7	2.4
Second District	2	0.7
Third District	266	91.7
Fourth District	12	4.1
Outside Alaska	3	1.0
Gender		
No response	1	0.3
Male	189	65.2
Female	100	34.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Eric A. Aarseth
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	290	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	259	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	240	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	16	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	85	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	108	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	63	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	24	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Other personal contacts	7	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	58	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	49	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	41	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.2
Private, corporate employee	4	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.3
Judge or judicial officer	36	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.5
Government	45	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Public service agency or organization	6	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Retired	12	4.2	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.4
Other	7	3.3	4.1	4.4	4.9	3.7	3.6
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	16	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
6 to 10 years	23	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.1
11 to 15 years	26	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4
16 to 20 years	28	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.0
More than 20 years	165	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	4.2	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.5
Criminal	14	3.7	3.5	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	70	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Civil	151	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
Other	14	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
Second District	2	3.5	3.5	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
Third District	242	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	10	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.2	3.6	3.8
Outside Alaska	3	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.7
Gender*							
Male	172	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2
Female	86	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Catherine M. Easter
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	247	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	205	83.0
Professional reputation	31	12.6
Other personal contacts	11	4.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	186	90.7
Substantial amount of experience	73	35.6
Moderate amount of experience	78	38.0
Limited amount of experience	53	25.9
Type of Practice		
No response	3	1.2
Private, solo	52	21.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	45	18.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	39	15.8
Private, corporate employee	6	2.4
Judge or judicial officer	34	13.8
Government	50	20.2
Public service agency or organization	7	2.8
Retired	8	3.2
Other	3	1.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	1.6
5 years or fewer	20	8.1
6 to 10 years	20	8.1
11 to 15 years	24	9.7
16 to 20 years	23	9.3
More than 20 years	156	63.2
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.8
Prosecution	6	2.4
Mainly criminal	24	9.7
Mixed criminal & civil	57	23.1
Mainly civil	142	57.5
Other	16	6.5
Location of Practice		
No response	2	0.8
First District	1	0.4
Second District	1	0.4
Third District	234	94.7
Fourth District	6	2.4
Outside Alaska	3	1.2
Gender		
No response	3	1.2
Male	156	63.2
Female	88	35.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Catherine M. Easter
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	247	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	205	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	186	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	73	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	78	3.9	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.0
Limited amount of experience	53	4.0	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.1
Professional reputation	31	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2
Other personal contacts	11	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	45	3.9	3.8	4.2	3.7	3.8	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	42	3.8	3.7	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	35	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9
Private, corporate employee	5	3.8	3.8	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	30	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.4
Government	37	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.4
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7
Retired	5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.0	4.6
Other	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	18	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2
6 to 10 years	17	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.2
11 to 15 years	20	3.8	3.8	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	19	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
More than 20 years	129	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Criminal	16	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	48	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.2
Civil	121	3.9	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.0	3.9
Other	12	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	196	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Outside Alaska	3	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.0	4.3
Gender*							
Male	137	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
Female	66	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.1

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 28
Kari Kristiansen
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	191	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	161	84.3
Professional reputation	21	11.0
Other personal contacts	9	4.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	152	94.4
Substantial amount of experience	60	37.3
Moderate amount of experience	51	31.7
Limited amount of experience	50	31.1
Type of Practice		
No response	3	1.6
Private, solo	33	17.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	37	19.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	20	10.5
Private, corporate employee	2	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	29	15.2
Government	52	27.2
Public service agency or organization	3	1.6
Retired	7	3.7
Other	5	2.6
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	2.1
5 years or fewer	17	8.9
6 to 10 years	19	9.9
11 to 15 years	23	12.0
16 to 20 years	18	9.4
More than 20 years	110	57.6
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	9	4.7
Mainly criminal	21	11.0
Mixed criminal & civil	53	27.7
Mainly civil	94	49.2
Other	12	6.3
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.0
First District	2	1.0
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	172	90.1
Fourth District	12	6.3
Outside Alaska	2	1.0
Gender		
No response	2	1.0
Male	113	59.2
Female	76	39.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Kari Kristiansen
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	191	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	161	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	152	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	8	3.8	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.0	3.9
Substantial amount of experience	60	3.6	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	51	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.9
Limited amount of experience	50	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8
Professional reputation	21	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Other personal contacts	9	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	28	3.4	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	36	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.6	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.2
Government	44	3.6	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.7
Public service agency or organization	3	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.7
Retired	6	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2
Other	3	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	5.0	4.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.9
6 to 10 years	17	3.5	3.3	3.9	3.5	3.6	3.4
11 to 15 years	20	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
16 to 20 years	16	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	89	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	3.9	4.1	4.7	3.9	3.9	4.0
Criminal	20	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.4
Mixed criminal & civil	48	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8
Civil	73	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Other	10	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.6
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Second District	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	146	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Fourth District	9	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	100	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Female	59	3.7	3.6	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Erin B. Marston
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	216	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	178	82.4
Professional reputation	19	8.8
Other personal contacts	19	8.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	164	92.1
Substantial amount of experience	61	34.3
Moderate amount of experience	68	38.2
Limited amount of experience	48	27.0
Type of Practice		
No response	3	1.4
Private, solo	49	22.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	47	21.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	41	19.0
Private, corporate employee	4	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	24	11.1
Government	36	16.7
Public service agency or organization	3	1.4
Retired	7	3.2
Other	2	0.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	1.9
5 years or fewer	16	7.4
6 to 10 years	9	4.2
11 to 15 years	10	4.6
16 to 20 years	15	6.9
More than 20 years	162	75.0
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.9
Prosecution	3	1.4
Mainly criminal	8	3.7
Mixed criminal & civil	42	19.4
Mainly civil	149	69.0
Other	12	5.6
Location of Practice		
No response	2	0.9
First District	3	1.4
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	197	91.2
Fourth District	10	4.6
Outside Alaska	3	1.4
Gender		
No response	3	1.4
Male	150	69.4
Female	63	29.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Erin B. Marston
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	216	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	178	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	164	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	8	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	61	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	68	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Limited amount of experience	48	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	19	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Other personal contacts	19	4.3	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	41	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	41	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	35	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Private, corporate employee	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Judge or judicial officer	19	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Government	27	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.0
Public service agency or organization	3	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0
Retired	6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	3.5	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	3.8	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	10	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.0
16 to 20 years	11	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.2
More than 20 years	136	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Criminal	4	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	39	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Civil	123	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Other	9	4.2	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	167	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	6	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Gender*							
Male	125	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	51	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Anna M. Moran
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	133	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	119	89.5
Professional reputation	10	7.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	92.4
Substantial amount of experience	44	37.0
Moderate amount of experience	43	36.1
Limited amount of experience	32	26.9
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	24	18.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	17.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	11.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	26	19.5
Government	34	25.6
Public service agency or organization	3	2.3
Retired	5	3.8
Other	2	1.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.5
5 years or fewer	7	5.3
6 to 10 years	12	9.0
11 to 15 years	15	11.3
16 to 20 years	10	7.5
More than 20 years	87	65.4
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	10	7.5
Mainly criminal	13	9.8
Mixed criminal & civil	41	30.8
Mainly civil	64	48.1
Other	4	3.0
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.5
First District	-	-
Second District	1	0.8
Third District	121	91.0
Fourth District	8	6.0
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	1	0.8
Male	77	57.9
Female	55	41.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
Anna M. Moran
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	133	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	119	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	110	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	7	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	44	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	43	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Limited amount of experience	32	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	10	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9
Other personal contacts	4	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	22	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	3.4	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1	3.9
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Government	28	3.4	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.4
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.3
Retired	4	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3
Other	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	3.4	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.7
6 to 10 years	11	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.0
11 to 15 years	12	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3
16 to 20 years	10	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.6
More than 20 years	77	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	2.3	2.3	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.4
Criminal	13	3.6	3.8	4.2	3.6	3.8	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	40	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7
Civil	54	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
Other	3	3.7	3.0	3.3	3.0	4.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	107	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7
Fourth District	8	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	71	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.6
Female	47	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
Mark Rindner
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	292	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	261	89.4
Professional reputation	19	6.5
Other personal contacts	12	4.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	227	87.0
Substantial amount of experience	105	40.2
Moderate amount of experience	90	34.5
Limited amount of experience	66	25.3
Type of Practice		
No response	4	1.4
Private, solo	68	23.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	59	20.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	51	17.5
Private, corporate employee	4	1.4
Judge or judicial officer	32	11.0
Government	48	16.4
Public service agency or organization	9	3.1
Retired	14	4.8
Other	3	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	5	1.7
5 years or fewer	13	4.5
6 to 10 years	17	5.8
11 to 15 years	21	7.2
16 to 20 years	26	8.9
More than 20 years	210	71.9
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.7
Prosecution	4	1.4
Mainly criminal	11	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	64	21.9
Mainly civil	194	66.4
Other	17	5.8
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.0
First District	6	2.1
Second District	3	1.0
Third District	266	91.1
Fourth District	11	3.8
Outside Alaska	3	1.0
Gender		
No response	3	1.0
Male	190	65.1
Female	99	33.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Mark Rindner
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	292	4.4	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	261	4.4	4.1	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	227	4.4	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	32	4.3	4.0	4.2	3.7	4.2	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	105	4.4	4.2	4.4	3.7	4.3	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	90	4.4	4.2	4.4	3.9	4.3	4.2
Limited amount of experience	66	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.7	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	19	4.2	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.9	3.5
Other personal contacts	12	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	60	4.4	4.1	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	54	4.4	4.0	4.4	3.8	4.2	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	49	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.0	4.4	4.4
Private, corporate employee	3	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7
Judge or judicial officer	29	4.7	4.4	4.5	3.9	4.6	4.4
Government	44	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.6	4.2	4.0
Public service agency or organization	6	4.5	4.3	4.5	3.7	4.2	4.3
Retired	10	3.9	3.6	4.1	3.3	3.9	3.7
Other	3	4.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	4.3	3.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	4.4	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.3	4.2
6 to 10 years	16	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.4	4.4
11 to 15 years	20	4.7	4.3	4.7	3.8	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	22	4.4	4.3	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	187	4.4	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.2	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.3	4.3	3.7
Criminal	8	4.5	4.0	4.1	3.5	4.0	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	59	4.4	4.0	4.3	3.6	4.3	4.2
Civil	176	4.4	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.2
Other	14	4.6	4.4	4.6	3.8	4.6	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	4.5	4.0	4.5	3.8	4.2	4.3
Second District	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3
Third District	240	4.5	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.2
Fourth District	8	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.6	3.5
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Gender*							
Male	172	4.3	4.1	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.2
Female	87	4.6	4.3	4.3	3.7	4.3	4.2

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Kevin Saxby
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	136	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	114	83.8
Professional reputation	17	12.5
Other personal contacts	5	3.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	96.5
Substantial amount of experience	50	43.9
Moderate amount of experience	34	29.8
Limited amount of experience	30	26.3
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
Private, solo	15	11.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	14.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	4.4
Private, corporate employee	3	2.2
Judge or judicial officer	26	19.1
Government	54	39.7
Public service agency or organization	2	1.5
Retired	4	2.9
Other	5	3.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.7
5 years or fewer	11	8.1
6 to 10 years	20	14.7
11 to 15 years	12	8.8
16 to 20 years	10	7.4
More than 20 years	82	60.3
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	15	11.0
Mainly criminal	23	16.9
Mixed criminal & civil	30	22.1
Mainly civil	57	41.9
Other	10	7.4
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
First District	4	2.9
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	126	92.6
Fourth District	4	2.9
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	91	66.9
Female	44	32.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Kevin Saxby
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	136	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	114	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	110	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	3	3.3	3.7	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	50	3.6	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	34	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	30	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Professional reputation	17	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9
Other personal contacts	5	4.3	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	3.5	3.7	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.4
Private, corporate employee	3	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7
Judge or judicial officer	22	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Government	45	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.0
Public service agency or organization	2	2.0	1.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.0
Retired	2	2.5	2.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	2.5
Other	4	3.5	3.8	3.5	4.3	3.3	3.8
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	3.0	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.3
6 to 10 years	20	3.7	3.9	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.0
11 to 15 years	10	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.1
16 to 20 years	10	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9
More than 20 years	65	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	14	3.9	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.3
Criminal	23	3.0	3.2	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.1
Mixed criminal & civil	28	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.9
Civil	42	3.6	3.7	3.9	4.2	3.8	3.7
Other	7	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	4.5	4.0	5.0	3.5	4.0
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	-	5.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	109	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Fourth District	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	81	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8
Female	33	3.5	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
Jack W. Smith
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	157	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	138	87.9
Professional reputation	16	10.2
Other personal contacts	3	1.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	119	86.2
Substantial amount of experience	65	47.1
Moderate amount of experience	41	29.7
Limited amount of experience	32	23.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
Private, solo	23	14.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	26	16.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	10.2
Private, corporate employee	3	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	27	17.2
Government	52	33.1
Public service agency or organization	3	1.9
Retired	4	2.5
Other	2	1.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.6
5 years or fewer	9	5.7
6 to 10 years	21	13.4
11 to 15 years	17	10.8
16 to 20 years	15	9.6
More than 20 years	94	59.9
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	21	13.4
Mainly criminal	26	16.6
Mixed criminal & civil	44	28.0
Mainly civil	58	36.9
Other	7	4.5
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
First District	1	0.6
Second District	1	0.6
Third District	145	92.4
Fourth District	7	4.5
Outside Alaska	2	1.3
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	109	69.4
Female	47	29.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
Jack W. Smith
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	157	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	138	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	119	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	18	3.6	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	65	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	41	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	32	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	16	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	3	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	26	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	3.9	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.3
Private, corporate employee	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.5	4.5	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	25	4.4	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.8
Government	46	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7
Retired	3	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.0
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	21	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	5.0	4.7
11 to 15 years	16	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	14	4.0	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	79	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	20	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.7	4.5
Criminal	25	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Civil	46	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2
Other	6	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	133	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	3	3.7	3.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	98	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Female	40	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Vanessa H. White
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	193	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	163	84.5
Professional reputation	20	10.4
Other personal contacts	10	5.2
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	150	92.0
Substantial amount of experience	70	42.9
Moderate amount of experience	59	36.2
Limited amount of experience	34	20.9
Type of Practice		
No response	3	1.6
Private, solo	43	22.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	34	17.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	9.8
Private, corporate employee	4	2.1
Judge or judicial officer	33	17.1
Government	45	23.3
Public service agency or organization	2	1.0
Retired	8	4.1
Other	2	1.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	5	2.6
5 years or fewer	18	9.3
6 to 10 years	15	7.8
11 to 15 years	22	11.4
16 to 20 years	17	8.8
More than 20 years	116	60.1
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	8	4.1
Mainly criminal	25	13.0
Mixed criminal & civil	54	28.0
Mainly civil	91	47.2
Other	13	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.6
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	182	94.3
Fourth District	7	3.6
Outside Alaska	1	0.5
Gender		
No response	3	1.6
Male	117	60.6
Female	73	37.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Vanessa H. White
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	193	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	163	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	150	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	10	4.0	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.2	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	70	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	59	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0
Limited amount of experience	34	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	20	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8
Other personal contacts	10	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	35	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	32	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4
Government	38	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.6
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
Retired	8	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.6
6 to 10 years	14	3.5	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.4
11 to 15 years	19	3.7	3.6	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.7
16 to 20 years	15	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.9
More than 20 years	97	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	4.3	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.6	4.5
Criminal	23	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	51	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Civil	71	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Other	9	4.1	4.1	4.7	4.1	4.3	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	154	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	104	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
Female	58	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 42
Leslie N. Dickson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	156	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	108	69.2
Professional reputation	17	10.9
Other personal contacts	31	19.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	102	94.4
Substantial amount of experience	42	38.9
Moderate amount of experience	42	38.9
Limited amount of experience	22	20.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
Private, solo	27	17.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	31	19.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	9.0
Private, corporate employee	3	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	25	16.0
Government	43	27.6
Public service agency or organization	5	3.2
Retired	4	2.6
Other	3	1.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.3
5 years or fewer	19	12.2
6 to 10 years	19	12.2
11 to 15 years	19	12.2
16 to 20 years	21	13.5
More than 20 years	76	48.7
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	17	10.9
Mainly criminal	27	17.3
Mixed criminal & civil	47	30.1
Mainly civil	57	36.5
Other	8	5.1
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
First District	2	1.3
Second District	2	1.3
Third District	136	87.2
Fourth District	12	7.7
Outside Alaska	3	1.9
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	104	66.7
Female	51	32.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Leslie N. Dickson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	156	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	108	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	102	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.4	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	42	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	42	3.7	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.0
Limited amount of experience	22	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	17	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	31	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	3.7	4.1	4.6	4.5	4.0	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	25	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.3	3.8	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.4	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.6
Private, corporate employee	2	3.5	3.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	22	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Government	33	3.7	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.1
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.3
Retired	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	3.6	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.0	3.9
6 to 10 years	16	3.4	4.2	4.6	4.4	3.9	4.1
11 to 15 years	14	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.2
16 to 20 years	12	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3
More than 20 years	54	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	14	3.2	3.9	4.5	4.0	3.9	3.7
Criminal	19	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.4
Civil	32	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.3	3.8	3.9
Other	5	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	2.0	2.0	-	3.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	98	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	76	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.1
Female	32	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 44
J. Patrick Hanley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	183	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	148	80.9
Professional reputation	19	10.4
Other personal contacts	16	8.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	132	89.2
Substantial amount of experience	59	39.9
Moderate amount of experience	55	37.2
Limited amount of experience	34	23.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	30	16.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	33	18.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	9.8
Private, corporate employee	5	2.7
Judge or judicial officer	39	21.3
Government	46	25.1
Public service agency or organization	3	1.6
Retired	5	2.7
Other	4	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	13	7.1
6 to 10 years	22	12.0
11 to 15 years	19	10.4
16 to 20 years	25	13.7
More than 20 years	104	56.8
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	15	8.2
Mainly criminal	32	17.5
Mixed criminal & civil	60	32.8
Mainly civil	65	35.5
Other	11	6.0
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	4	2.2
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	171	93.4
Fourth District	5	2.7
Outside Alaska	2	1.1
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	128	69.9
Female	55	30.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 45
J. Patrick Hanley
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	183	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	148	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	132	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	15	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	59	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	55	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	34	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	19	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	16	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	22	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	32	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Private, corporate employee	3	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.3
Judge or judicial officer	33	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Government	38	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Retired	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	4.7	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.9
6 to 10 years	22	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
11 to 15 years	12	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
16 to 20 years	19	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	84	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	13	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Criminal	29	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	55	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Civil	45	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Other	6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	3.0	-	3.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	139	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	5	4.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	106	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Female	42	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 46
Jennifer Stuart Henderson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	94	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	80	85.1
Professional reputation	9	9.6
Other personal contacts	5	5.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	76	95.0
Substantial amount of experience	31	38.8
Moderate amount of experience	27	33.8
Limited amount of experience	22	27.5
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	10	10.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	20.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	12.8
Private, corporate employee	1	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	17	18.1
Government	30	31.9
Public service agency or organization	2	2.1
Retired	-	-
Other	3	3.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	10	10.6
6 to 10 years	15	16.0
11 to 15 years	14	14.9
16 to 20 years	12	12.8
More than 20 years	43	45.7
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	14	14.9
Mainly criminal	16	17.0
Mixed criminal & civil	26	27.7
Mainly civil	35	37.2
Other	3	3.2
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	92	97.9
Fourth District	2	2.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	66	70.2
Female	28	29.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 47
Jennifer Stuart Henderson
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	94	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	80	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	76	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.7	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	31	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	22	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
Professional reputation	9	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2
Other personal contacts	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.4	4.7
Government	26	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.4	3.9	4.1	4.8	4.9	4.4
6 to 10 years	14	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	11	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4
16 to 20 years	11	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
More than 20 years	35	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	12	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Criminal	15	4.1	3.6	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	22	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Civil	29	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	79	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	58	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	22	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 48
Margaret L. Murphy
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	82	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	73	89.0
Professional reputation	7	8.5
Other personal contacts	2	2.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	70	95.9
Substantial amount of experience	31	42.5
Moderate amount of experience	25	34.2
Limited amount of experience	17	23.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	13	15.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	18.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	8.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	25	30.5
Government	16	19.5
Public service agency or organization	2	2.4
Retired	4	4.9
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	7.3
6 to 10 years	8	9.8
11 to 15 years	9	11.0
16 to 20 years	8	9.8
More than 20 years	51	62.2
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	7	8.5
Mainly criminal	9	11.0
Mixed criminal & civil	41	50.0
Mainly civil	23	28.0
Other	2	2.4
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.2
First District	1	1.2
Second District	1	1.2
Third District	68	82.9
Fourth District	10	12.2
Outside Alaska	1	1.2
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	52	63.4
Female	30	36.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 49
Margaret L. Murphy
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	82	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	73	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	70	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	2	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5
Substantial amount of experience	31	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	25	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.7
Limited amount of experience	17	3.6	3.7	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.6
Professional reputation	7	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.6
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.3	3.9	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.2	3.2	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	22	3.9	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.7	3.7
Government	12	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Retired	4	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	3.3	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.2	3.3
6 to 10 years	7	4.2	4.3	4.9	4.1	4.1	4.3
11 to 15 years	6	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.8
16 to 20 years	8	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.9
More than 20 years	46	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.8
Criminal	9	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.6
Mixed criminal & civil	38	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.8
Civil	20	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.5
Other	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	2.5	4.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	61	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.7
Fourth District	9	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.6	3.8	3.7
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	49	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.8	3.8
Female	24	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 50
Daniel Schally
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	105	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	94	89.5
Professional reputation	7	6.7
Other personal contacts	4	3.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	86	91.5
Substantial amount of experience	32	34.0
Moderate amount of experience	39	41.5
Limited amount of experience	22	23.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	10	9.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	22	21.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	2.9
Private, corporate employee	1	1.0
Judge or judicial officer	31	29.5
Government	30	28.6
Public service agency or organization	2	1.9
Retired	5	4.8
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.0
5 years or fewer	10	9.5
6 to 10 years	10	9.5
11 to 15 years	13	12.4
16 to 20 years	8	7.6
More than 20 years	63	60.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	8	7.6
Mainly criminal	13	12.4
Mixed criminal & civil	54	51.4
Mainly civil	24	22.9
Other	5	4.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
First District	4	3.8
Second District	2	1.9
Third District	87	82.9
Fourth District	10	9.5
Outside Alaska	1	1.0
Gender		
No response	1	1.0
Male	76	72.4
Female	28	26.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 51
Daniel Schally
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	105	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	94	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	86	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	7	3.9	3.5	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	32	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	39	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	22	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Professional reputation	7	3.9	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.6
Other personal contacts	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	22	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4
Government	25	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Public service agency or organization	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0
Retired	5	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.6	3.8	3.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.6
6 to 10 years	10	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7
11 to 15 years	11	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1
16 to 20 years	8	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9
More than 20 years	54	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
Criminal	12	4.3	3.8	4.1	3.8	4.3	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	52	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
Civil	19	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2
Other	4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	79	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	9	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	70	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Female	23	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 52
Alex M. Swiderski
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	165	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	137	83.0
Professional reputation	18	10.9
Other personal contacts	10	6.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	120	87.6
Substantial amount of experience	55	40.1
Moderate amount of experience	47	34.3
Limited amount of experience	34	24.8
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	24	14.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	37	22.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	21	12.7
Private, corporate employee	6	3.6
Judge or judicial officer	34	20.6
Government	31	18.8
Public service agency or organization	3	1.8
Retired	4	2.4
Other	5	3.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	15	9.1
6 to 10 years	20	12.1
11 to 15 years	11	6.7
16 to 20 years	17	10.3
More than 20 years	101	61.2
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	11	6.7
Mainly criminal	21	12.7
Mixed criminal & civil	48	29.1
Mainly civil	74	44.8
Other	11	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	5	3.0
Second District	2	1.2
Third District	153	92.7
Fourth District	3	1.8
Outside Alaska	2	1.2
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	119	72.1
Female	46	27.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 53
Alex M. Swiderski
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	165	4.0	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	137	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	120	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	15	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.2	4.4	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	55	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.6	4.0	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	47	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	34	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	18	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other personal contacts	10	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	32	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.4	3.5	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	20	4.1	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.3	4.2
Private, corporate employee	4	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.8
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.4
Government	26	3.8	3.7	4.3	3.6	4.1	3.8
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	4.0
Retired	2	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Other	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.9	4.4	4.2
6 to 10 years	20	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.6	4.1	4.0
11 to 15 years	8	3.9	3.6	4.0	3.4	4.0	3.6
16 to 20 years	15	4.2	4.1	4.5	3.9	4.3	4.1
More than 20 years	81	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	3.9	3.8	4.4	3.8	4.0	3.8
Criminal	19	3.9	3.8	4.5	3.5	4.3	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	44	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2
Civil	56	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.0	4.0
Other	7	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.4	3.8	3.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	129	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.1	4.0
Fourth District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	104	4.1	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.2	4.1
Female	33	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.7	4.0	3.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 54
David R. Wallace
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	130	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	115	88.5
Professional reputation	10	7.7
Other personal contacts	5	3.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	105	91.3
Substantial amount of experience	50	43.5
Moderate amount of experience	40	34.8
Limited amount of experience	25	21.7
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	16	12.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	30	23.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	14	10.8
Private, corporate employee	2	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	26	20.0
Government	31	23.8
Public service agency or organization	4	3.1
Retired	4	3.1
Other	2	1.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.8
5 years or fewer	13	10.0
6 to 10 years	17	13.1
11 to 15 years	11	8.5
16 to 20 years	15	11.5
More than 20 years	73	56.2
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	12	9.2
Mainly criminal	22	16.9
Mixed criminal & civil	40	30.8
Mainly civil	50	38.5
Other	5	3.8
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
First District	1	0.8
Second District	1	0.8
Third District	124	95.4
Fourth District	2	1.5
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	1	0.8
Male	94	72.3
Female	35	26.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 55
David R. Wallace
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	130	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	115	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	105	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	7	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	50	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	40	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	25	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Professional reputation	10	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.9	4.0
Other personal contacts	5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	27	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	24	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Government	27	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	2.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7
Retired	3	4.0	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.3
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	17	4.5	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.6
11 to 15 years	11	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	14	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6
More than 20 years	61	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	4.2	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
Criminal	21	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	36	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Civil	43	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Other	4	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	-	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	111	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	1	3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	85	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Female	30	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 56
Pamela Scott Washington
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	175	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	147	84.0
Professional reputation	17	9.7
Other personal contacts	11	6.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	140	95.2
Substantial amount of experience	51	34.7
Moderate amount of experience	50	34.0
Limited amount of experience	45	30.6
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.1
Private, solo	25	14.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	42	24.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	10.9
Private, corporate employee	2	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	40	22.9
Government	34	19.4
Public service agency or organization	4	2.3
Retired	3	1.7
Other	4	2.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	3	1.7
5 years or fewer	21	12.0
6 to 10 years	20	11.4
11 to 15 years	20	11.4
16 to 20 years	17	9.7
More than 20 years	94	53.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	12	6.9
Mainly criminal	22	12.6
Mixed criminal & civil	54	30.9
Mainly civil	76	43.4
Other	10	5.7
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.1
First District	2	1.1
Second District	1	0.6
Third District	161	92.0
Fourth District	9	5.1
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	110	62.9
Female	64	36.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 57
Pamela Scott Washington
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	175	3.4	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.9
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	147	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	140	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	51	3.1	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	50	3.4	4.2	4.4	4.2	3.8	3.9
Limited amount of experience	45	3.4	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.8	3.8
Professional reputation	17	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.2
Other personal contacts	11	4.1	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	21	3.5	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	38	3.2	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.6	3.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	3.2	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.6	3.9
Private, corporate employee	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	32	3.8	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.3
Government	29	2.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.2
Public service agency or organization	3	2.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3
Retired	3	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Other	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	-	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	17	2.7	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.5	3.3
6 to 10 years	19	2.9	3.8	4.2	4.1	3.6	3.7
11 to 15 years	15	3.2	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.6
16 to 20 years	14	3.4	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.8	3.9
More than 20 years	79	3.5	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	11	2.5	3.9	4.4	3.9	3.8	3.1
Criminal	16	2.5	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.4
Mixed criminal & civil	47	3.6	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Civil	65	3.4	4.0	4.3	4.2	3.7	3.8
Other	7	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	-	4.0
Second District	1	3.0	5.0	-	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	135	3.3	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.8	3.8
Fourth District	8	3.1	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	96	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.7
Female	50	3.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 58
David Zwink
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	110	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	93	84.5
Professional reputation	11	10.0
Other personal contacts	6	5.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	86	92.5
Substantial amount of experience	39	41.9
Moderate amount of experience	33	35.5
Limited amount of experience	21	22.6
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.9
Private, solo	18	16.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	17.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	1	0.9
Judge or judicial officer	32	29.1
Government	25	22.7
Public service agency or organization	1	0.9
Retired	5	4.5
Other	3	2.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.9
5 years or fewer	11	10.0
6 to 10 years	13	11.8
11 to 15 years	11	10.0
16 to 20 years	14	12.7
More than 20 years	60	54.5
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.9
Prosecution	5	4.5
Mainly criminal	16	14.5
Mixed criminal & civil	52	47.3
Mainly civil	30	27.3
Other	6	5.5
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.9
First District	1	0.9
Second District	1	0.9
Third District	101	91.8
Fourth District	6	5.5
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	0.9
Male	67	60.9
Female	42	38.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 59
David Zwink
Detailed Responses

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	110	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	93	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	86	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	5	3.8	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.5	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	39	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	33	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.4
Limited amount of experience	21	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.3
Professional reputation	11	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1
Other personal contacts	6	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	18	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Government	20	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	4	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.3	4.5
Other	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
6 to 10 years	12	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4
11 to 15 years	10	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
16 to 20 years	11	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7
More than 20 years	50	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.5
Criminal	15	4.4	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	47	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.4
Civil	22	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5
Other	4	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	87	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	5	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	61	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Female	31	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 60
Douglas L. Blankenship
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	134	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	117	87.3
Professional reputation	11	8.2
Other personal contacts	6	4.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	108	92.3
Substantial amount of experience	47	40.2
Moderate amount of experience	35	29.9
Limited amount of experience	34	29.1
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.5
Private, solo	17	12.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	20	14.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	12.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	23	17.2
Government	39	29.1
Public service agency or organization	3	2.2
Retired	9	6.7
Other	3	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	3	2.2
5 years or fewer	20	14.9
6 to 10 years	14	10.4
11 to 15 years	11	8.2
16 to 20 years	9	6.7
More than 20 years	77	57.5
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	6.0
Mainly criminal	14	10.4
Mixed criminal & civil	44	32.8
Mainly civil	59	44.0
Other	9	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.5
First District	5	3.7
Second District	5	3.7
Third District	54	40.3
Fourth District	66	49.3
Outside Alaska	2	1.5
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	86	64.2
Female	47	35.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 61
Douglas L. Blankenship
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	134	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	117	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.5	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	108	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	7	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	47	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.2
Moderate amount of experience	35	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.5
Limited amount of experience	34	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.1
Professional reputation	11	4.1	3.6	4.3	3.7	3.9	3.9
Other personal contacts	6	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.2	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.2	3.1	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.1
Government	37	3.4	3.4	3.6	2.9	3.3	3.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.5
Retired	7	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.9	3.3	3.6
Other	2	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	19	3.4	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.3	3.2
6 to 10 years	13	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
11 to 15 years	9	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.5
16 to 20 years	7	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.0	3.1	3.6
More than 20 years	66	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.6	4.3	4.0
Criminal	13	3.5	3.3	3.6	2.9	3.7	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	43	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.6
Civil	47	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.3	3.5
Other	8	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.7	4.0	3.9
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.3	2.7	3.5
Second District	3	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.3
Third District	47	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.6	3.9	3.9
Fourth District	60	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.4	3.3
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	74	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.7	3.6
Female	42	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 62
Bethany Spalding Harbison
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	113	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	96	85.0
Professional reputation	13	11.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	94	97.9
Substantial amount of experience	38	39.6
Moderate amount of experience	34	35.4
Limited amount of experience	23	24.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	9.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	16.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	8.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	29	25.7
Government	34	30.1
Public service agency or organization	2	1.8
Retired	9	8.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.9
5 years or fewer	11	9.7
6 to 10 years	16	14.2
11 to 15 years	9	8.0
16 to 20 years	8	7.1
More than 20 years	68	60.2
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	5	4.4
Mainly criminal	14	12.4
Mixed criminal & civil	44	38.9
Mainly civil	47	41.6
Other	3	2.7
Location of Practice		
No response	3	2.7
First District	4	3.5
Second District	4	3.5
Third District	47	41.6
Fourth District	55	48.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	67	59.3
Female	46	40.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 63
Bethany Spalding Harbison
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	113	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	96	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	94	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	38	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	34	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	23	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6
Professional reputation	13	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	4	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	23	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.7
Government	30	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Retired	7	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.9	4.9
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.0
6 to 10 years	14	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2
11 to 15 years	8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
16 to 20 years	7	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	56	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	3.7	4.7	3.7
Criminal	12	4.1	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Civil	40	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Other	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Second District	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.0
Third District	37	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Fourth District	50	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	57	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Female	39	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 64
Jane F. Kauvar
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	140	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	122	87.1
Professional reputation	18	12.9
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	110	90.2
Substantial amount of experience	47	38.5
Moderate amount of experience	36	29.5
Limited amount of experience	39	32.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	21	15.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	15.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	10.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	34	24.3
Government	31	22.1
Public service agency or organization	3	2.1
Retired	13	9.3
Other	2	1.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.4
5 years or fewer	12	8.6
6 to 10 years	16	11.4
11 to 15 years	13	9.3
16 to 20 years	10	7.1
More than 20 years	87	62.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	4.3
Mainly criminal	16	11.4
Mixed criminal & civil	57	40.7
Mainly civil	56	40.0
Other	5	3.6
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	6	4.3
Second District	2	1.4
Third District	72	51.4
Fourth District	54	38.6
Outside Alaska	3	2.1
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	86	61.4
Female	54	38.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 65
Jane F. Kauvar
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	140	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	122	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	110	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.8	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	12	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	47	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	36	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.0
Limited amount of experience	39	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	18	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	3.2	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	3.4	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.4	3.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	28	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.4
Government	28	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0
Retired	11	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.1	3.4
Other	2	2.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	2.5	2.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	11	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.9
6 to 10 years	16	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.3	3.8	4.1
11 to 15 years	11	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	10	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2
More than 20 years	73	3.7	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.0	3.2	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.4
Criminal	14	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	51	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.9
Civil	47	3.7	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	5	3.6	3.8	4.4	3.8	3.8	3.6
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	3.8	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.8
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	59	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.1
Fourth District	52	3.6	3.8	4.1	3.7	3.6	3.8
Outside Alaska	3	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Gender*							
Male	77	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.9
Female	45	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 66
Michael A. MacDonald
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	131	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	117	89.3
Professional reputation	11	8.4
Other personal contacts	3	2.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	109	93.2
Substantial amount of experience	50	42.7
Moderate amount of experience	32	27.4
Limited amount of experience	34	29.1
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	19	14.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	16.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	9.2
Private, corporate employee	1	0.8
Judge or judicial officer	35	26.7
Government	30	22.9
Public service agency or organization	3	2.3
Retired	7	5.3
Other	3	2.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.5
5 years or fewer	12	9.2
6 to 10 years	15	11.5
11 to 15 years	10	7.6
16 to 20 years	8	6.1
More than 20 years	84	64.1
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	5	3.8
Mainly criminal	13	9.9
Mixed criminal & civil	50	38.2
Mainly civil	52	39.7
Other	11	8.4
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.5
First District	5	3.8
Second District	2	1.5
Third District	63	48.1
Fourth District	57	43.5
Outside Alaska	2	1.5
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	89	67.9
Female	42	32.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 67
Michael A. MacDonald
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	131	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	117	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	109	4.1	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	7	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	50	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.6	4.1	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	32	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.2
Limited amount of experience	34	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3
Professional reputation	11	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.5
Other personal contacts	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	17	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	-	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	29	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.5
Government	27	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.3	4.0	3.8
Public service agency or organization	3	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Retired	7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.9
Other	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.3	3.9	3.7
6 to 10 years	13	4.2	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.9	3.7
11 to 15 years	8	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	75	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.0	3.8	4.3	3.3	4.0	4.0
Criminal	12	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.2	4.0	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	46	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.2
Civil	47	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other	8	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.1
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Third District	55	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.3
Fourth District	52	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.7	4.2	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	3.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Gender*							
Male	80	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.2
Female	37	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.6	4.1	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 68
Dwayne McConnell
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	93	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	80	86.0
Professional reputation	9	9.7
Other personal contacts	4	4.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	70	87.5
Substantial amount of experience	37	46.3
Moderate amount of experience	19	23.8
Limited amount of experience	24	30.0
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	9	9.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	5.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	7.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	29	31.2
Government	37	39.8
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	6	6.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	14	15.1
6 to 10 years	7	7.5
11 to 15 years	8	8.6
16 to 20 years	3	3.2
More than 20 years	61	65.6
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	9	9.7
Mainly criminal	11	11.8
Mixed criminal & civil	37	39.8
Mainly civil	30	32.3
Other	6	6.5
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.2
First District	5	5.4
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	61	65.6
Fourth District	23	24.7
Outside Alaska	1	1.1
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	62	66.7
Female	31	33.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 69
Dwayne McConnell
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	93	3.8	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	80	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	70	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	9	3.6	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	37	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	19	3.3	3.3	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.4
Limited amount of experience	24	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	9	3.9	3.6	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.7
Other personal contacts	4	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.0	3.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	3.3	2.8	3.5	3.7	2.8	2.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	24	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.4
Government	33	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	5	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	3.4	3.4	3.9	3.4	3.5	3.5
6 to 10 years	6	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.7	3.8
11 to 15 years	6	3.8	3.4	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.6
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	52	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	3.7	3.9	4.7	3.7	4.3	3.9
Criminal	11	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.4
Mixed criminal & civil	33	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.1
Civil	23	3.5	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.5
Other	6	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	5	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Second District	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Third District	50	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.8
Fourth District	21	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	56	3.8	3.8	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.9
Female	24	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 70
Matthew Christian
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	91	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	80	87.9
Professional reputation	7	7.7
Other personal contacts	4	4.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	77	96.3
Substantial amount of experience	36	45.0
Moderate amount of experience	26	32.5
Limited amount of experience	17	21.3
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	4	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	20.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	8.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	30	33.0
Government	23	25.3
Public service agency or organization	2	2.2
Retired	5	5.5
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.1
5 years or fewer	11	12.1
6 to 10 years	12	13.2
11 to 15 years	11	12.1
16 to 20 years	3	3.3
More than 20 years	53	58.2
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	4	4.4
Mainly criminal	14	15.4
Mixed criminal & civil	42	46.2
Mainly civil	27	29.7
Other	4	4.4
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.2
First District	2	2.2
Second District	4	4.4
Third District	40	44.0
Fourth District	43	47.3
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	57	62.6
Female	34	37.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 71
Matthew Christian
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	91	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	80	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	77	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	36	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	26	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Limited amount of experience	17	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
Professional reputation	7	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.9
Other personal contacts	4	3.8	4.0	4.3	3.0	4.3	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Government	21	3.6	3.6	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.8
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.1
6 to 10 years	11	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
11 to 15 years	10	4.0	3.7	4.2	3.7	4.2	4.1
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0
More than 20 years	45	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	2.5	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	2.0
Criminal	14	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	38	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Civil	22	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2
Other	4	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
Second District	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.7	4.7
Third District	34	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	39	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	52	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Female	28	3.8	3.7	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 72
Patrick S. Hammers
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	75	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	66	88.0
Professional reputation	7	9.3
Other personal contacts	2	2.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	61	92.4
Substantial amount of experience	29	43.9
Moderate amount of experience	22	33.3
Limited amount of experience	15	22.7
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	10	13.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	20.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	8.0
Private, corporate employee	1	1.3
Judge or judicial officer	21	28.0
Government	18	24.0
Public service agency or organization	1	1.3
Retired	3	4.0
Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.3
5 years or fewer	7	9.3
6 to 10 years	11	14.7
11 to 15 years	7	9.3
16 to 20 years	4	5.3
More than 20 years	45	60.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	4	5.3
Mainly criminal	8	10.7
Mixed criminal & civil	35	46.7
Mainly civil	25	33.3
Other	3	4.0
Location of Practice		
No response	2	2.7
First District	1	1.3
Second District	2	2.7
Third District	26	34.7
Fourth District	44	58.7
Outside Alaska	73	97.3
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	48	64.0
Female	27	36.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 73
Patrick S. Hammers
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	75	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	66	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	61	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	29	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	22	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1
Limited amount of experience	15	3.5	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.6
Professional reputation	7	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.3
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.9	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	3.7	3.8	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	19	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Government	17	3.3	3.5	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.6
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	3.4	3.6	4.0	4.1	3.7	3.7
6 to 10 years	10	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0
11 to 15 years	7	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9
16 to 20 years	3	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.0
More than 20 years	38	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.0
Criminal	8	3.6	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3
Civil	21	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9
Other	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	2	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0
Third District	21	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	40	3.7	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	43	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2
Female	23	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 74
Nathaniel Peters
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	49	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	39	79.6
Professional reputation	7	14.3
Other personal contacts	3	6.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	38	97.4
Substantial amount of experience	20	51.3
Moderate amount of experience	9	23.1
Limited amount of experience	10	25.6
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	5	10.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	1	2.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	6.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	20	40.8
Government	18	36.7
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	1	2.0
Other	1	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	11	22.4
6 to 10 years	2	4.1
11 to 15 years	8	16.3
16 to 20 years	3	6.1
More than 20 years	25	51.0
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	12.2
Mainly criminal	10	20.4
Mixed criminal & civil	20	40.8
Mainly civil	11	22.4
Other	2	4.1
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	2.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	31	63.3
Fourth District	17	34.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	29	59.2
Female	20	40.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 75
Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	49	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	39	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	38	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	20	4.1	4.2	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	9	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2
Limited amount of experience	10	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	7	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	4	4.0	3.8	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Government	16	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.7	4.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	3.9	4.1	4.6	4.3	3.8	4.1
6 to 10 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	5	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.6
16 to 20 years	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
More than 20 years	21	4.2	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	3.5	4.0
Criminal	9	3.4	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	15	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.6
Civil	9	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	23	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.3
Fourth District	15	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	23	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Female	16	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.2	3.9	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.