Alaska Judicial Council Summary of Performance Evaluation for:

Justice Peter J. Maassen Alaska Supreme Court

The Judicial Council finds Justice Maassen to be **qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "**YES**" to retain him as a supreme court justice.

<u>Summary</u>

The Judicial Council's recommendation to vote "YES" on Justice Maassen is based on his overall performance, including: evaluations by attorneys and other professionals who have direct experience with Justice Maassen; public records; APOC files; and any disciplinary files.

The Council researched other aspects of Justice Maassen's performance, such as whether his pay was withheld for untimely decisions. Based on its review of all this information, the Judicial Council recommends a "YES" vote on Justice Maassen. Performance evaluation information about Justice Maassen is detailed below.

Details

- Biographical Information. Justice Maassen has been a supreme court justice since 2012. This
 is his first retention election. For more biographical information about Justice Maassen, click
 <u>here</u>.
- 2. Survey Ratings. People who had direct experience with the justice took a survey to rate him on qualities such as legal ability, impartiality and fairness, integrity, judicial temperament, diligence, and overall performance. These survey participants used a 1 to 5 scale to evaluate the justice's performance, where 5.0 was "excellent," 4.0 was "good," 3.0 was "acceptable," 2.0 was "deficient," and 1.0 was "poor."

Attorney Survey Results. Attorneys who responded to the Judicial Council's survey on Justice Maassen's performance gave him an average rating of 4.6 overall. For detailed attorney survey results on Justice Maassen, click <u>here</u>.

Court Employee Survey Results. Court employees who responded to the Judicial Council's survey on Justice Maassen gave him an average rating of 4.8 overall. For detailed court employee survey results on Justice Maassen, click <u>here</u>.

3. **Salary Withholdings**. Alaska law requires a justice's pay to be withheld for unfinished work. No salary was withheld for Justice Maassen during this time. For general information about salary withholding, click <u>here</u>.

RECEIVED

NOV 2 5 2015

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

510 L Street, Suite 450, Anchorage, Alaska 99501 http://www.ajc.state.ak.us

alaska judicial council

FAX (907) 276-5046 E-mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

Alaska Judicial Council **Appellate Judge** Questionnaire

2016 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 2015

Peter Maassen

Name

Supreme Court

Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

> 80 % civil cases a)

b) # of trials/year (as trial judge, if any)

15 % criminal cases ⁵ % court administrative work

100 % Total

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

1. I serve as co-chair of the Supreme Court's Access to Justice Committee, which is now part of the Access and Fairness Commission. The committee has approximately 15 members and meets 4-5 times a year to discuss access issues, air ideas for new projects, and decide how to support existing projects. I attend smaller ad hoc meetings throughout the year as well as an annual out-of-state conference for chairs. 2. I also chair the Judicial Conference Planning Committee, which meets monthly and plans educational sessions for the bi-annual (going forward, only annual) judicial conferences. 3. I am the judicial representative on the Alaska Bar Association's pro bono committee, whose mission significantly overlaps that of the Access to Justice Committee. The pro bono committee meets irregularly. (Continued on separate sheet.)



(907) 279-2526

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

The learning curve was steep. Coming into the job I was immediately immersed in substantive areas of the law in which I had little experience: particularly criminal, domestic relations, and child-in-need-of-aid cases. But apparently I'm not too old to learn; I believe that I'm now conversant enough in the areas of substantive law regularly encountered here that I begin my analysis of most issues from a baseline of rough competence. I'm also becoming more comfortable with the daily handling of motions and petitions; with volume and experience, I'm (usually) able to deal with them substantively and efficiently without agonizing too long over each one. I still caution myself that every motion, petition, and appeal, no matter how routine, has great importance to somebody and deserves my whole and impartial attention.

I get great satisfaction from this job and can't imagine a better one for me. I'm very comfortable with the working atmosphere on the court. I love the variety of cases. I enjoy the exchange of views with my colleagues and the constant opportunities to learn from them and from the lawyers who appear before us. I greatly enjoy the writing process and only wish it went more quickly. I still have a lot to learn about time-management; I'm behind where I want to be in terms of getting my draft opinions circulating among the other members of the court.

I believe that my committee activities over the past three years (summarized above) have benefited the court system in important ways, and I hope to continue them.

I try to write opinions that are readable and clear, and I think I'm succeeding for the most part. Essentially, I hope to maintain throughout my career the court's existing high standards of fairness, personal integrity, and the clarity of judicial reasoning.

- 4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
 - a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? □ Yes Ø No
 - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? □ Yes ♥ No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? \Box Yes \checkmark No
 - d) held office in any political party? \Box Yes \checkmark No
 - e) held any other local, state or federal office? \Box Yes \checkmark No
 - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska
 Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska
 Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? □ Yes ♥ No
- 5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2016 retention elections.

I continue to be involved with the Anchorage Youth Court as a member of the Board of Directors. I'm in my third three-year term as a Board member, having served in the past as secretary and as chair. The mission of AYC --- restorative justice for the young offenders and building confidence and skills in the student attorneys and judges --remains among my priorities.

For questions 7 and 8, please do not list any cases that have pending issues in your court.

7. Please list your six most recent opinions including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these appeals. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Nu	imber 1
Case Name: Alaska Commercial Fishermen's	Case Number: No. S-15676
v.City & Borough of Juneau	mma .
Attorneys	Involved:
Name: Bruce Weyrauch	Name: Christopher Orman
Address: 114 S. Franklin St. Ste. 200	Address: 155 S. Seward, 3rd Floor
City, State, Zip: Juneau, Alaska 99801	City, State, Zip: Juneau, Alaska 99801
Name: Larri Irene Spengler	Name: Amy Mead
Address: 4545 Thane Road	Address: 155 S. Seward, 3rd Floor
City, State, Zip: Juneau, Alaska 99801	City, State, Zip: Juneau, Alaska 99801
Case Nu	imber 2
Case Name: Snider	Case Number: No. S-15613
v. Snider	
Attorneys	_ Involved:
Name Terry C. Aglietti	Name: Ian Wheeles
Address: 733 W. 4th, Suite 206	Address: 601 E. 57th Place, Ste. 101
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99518
•	
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Case Nu	umber 3
Case Name: Jennifer L.	Case Number:
v. OCS	
Attorneys	_ Involved:
Name: WIlliam T. Montgomery	Name: Janell Hafner
Address: 460 Ridgecrest Dr. Ste. 219	Address: P.O. Box 110300
City, State, Zip: Bethel, Alaska 99559	City, State, Zip: Juneau, Alaska 99801-2035
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Case	e Number 4
Case Name: Oakley Enterprises, LLC	<i>Case Number:</i> No. S-15159
v. NPI, LLC	
Attor	neys Involved:
Name: David D. Clark	Name: Jonathon Katcher
Address: 805 W. Fireweed Lane	Address: 421 W. 1st Ave. Ste 220
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99503	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Name:	Name: Debra J. Fitzgerald
Address:	Address: 1954 Standford Dr.
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99508
Case Name: Duenas-Rendon	e Number 5 Case Number: No. S-15596
v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	Cuse Humber, Nor 5 20050
	neys Involved:
Name: Swan T. Ching	Name: Michael J. Parise
Address: 1563 E. Tudor Rd.	Address: 301 W. No.Lights Ste. 301
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99507	
Name:	Name: Michael B. Baylous
Address:	Address: 301 W. No.Lights Ste. 301
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99503
Cas	e Number 6
Case Name: Geotek Alaska, Inc.	Case Number: No. S-15449
v. Jacobs Engineering Group,	
	neys Involved:
Name: Michael Jungreis	Name: Robert J. Dickson
Address: 188 W. No.Lights Ste. 11	00 Address: 420 L St. Ste. 500
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99503	
Name: Jason Hartz	Name: Christopher Slottee
Address: 188 W. No.Lights Ste. 11	00 Address: 420 L St. Ste. 500
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99503	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501

8. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these appeals. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case	Number 1
Case Name: State, DHSS	Case Number: No. S-15330
v. <u>Gross</u>	
Attorne	ys Involved:
Name: Kathryn Vogel	Name: Mark Regan
Address: 1031 W. 4th Ave. Ste. 200	Address: 3330 Arctic Blvd. Ste. 103
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99503
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Casa	Number 2
Case Name: Alaska Fish & Wildlife Fund	
v. State	Cuse Humber, No. 6 11516
	rys Involved:
Name: Michael C. Kramer	Name: Michael G. Mitchell
Address: 542 4th Ave. Ste. 207	Address: 1031 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 200
City, State, Zip: Fairbanks, Alaska 99701	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Name:	Name: John M. Starkey
Address:	Address: 701 W. 8th Ave. Ste. 1200
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Case	Number 3
Case Name: Brooks	Case Number: No. S-15341
v. Horner	
Attorne	rys Involved:
Name: James M. Hackett	Name: James D. DeWitt
Address: 401 11th Ave.	Address: 510 L St., Ste. 700
City, State, Zip: Fairbanks, Alaska 99701	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Name:	Name: Gary A. Zipkin
Address:	Address: 510 L St., Ste. 700
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501

ADDENDUM TO PETER MAASSEN'S RETENTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Question 2 (continued):

4. I prepare for and participate in the monthly administrative conferences that we have as a court. These involve discussions and decision-making with regard to budgets, personnel, rule-making, and other administrative tasks.

Question 7 (continued):

In answering this question I included only cases in which both sides had attorney representation. Of my six most recent published opinions, two had self-represented litigants on both sides and one had no appearance for the appellee. They are:

Luker v. Sykes, 357 P.3d 1191 (Oct. 16, 2015) (both sides pro se)

Wells v. Barile, 358 P.3d 585 (Oct. 16, 2015) (both sides pro se)

Hope P. v. Flynn G., 355 P.3d 559 (Sept. 4, 2015) (appellant represented by John Parsi, 420 L St., Ste. 400, Anchorage, Alaska 99501; no appearance by appellee)

		п	%
	All respondents	297	100.0
Experience with Justice			
	Direct professional experience	192	64.6
	Professional reputation	68	22.9
	Other personal contacts	37	12.5
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	174	90.6
	Substantial amount of experience	45	23.4
	Moderate amount of experience	89	46.4
	Limited amount of experience	56	29.2
Type of Practice	L. L		
	No response	4	1.3
	Private, solo	54	18.2
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	38	12.8
	Private, 6+ attorneys	50	16.8
	Private, corporate employee	8	2.7
	Judge or judicial officer	41	13.8
	Government	71	23.9
	Public service agency or organization	13	4.4
	Retired	12	4.0
	Other	6	2.0
Length of Alaska Practice		Ū	2.0
	No response	6	2.0
	5 years or fewer	22	7.4
	6 to 10 years	14	4.7
	11 to 15 years	20	6.7
	16 to 20 years	23	7.7
	More than 20 years	212	71.4
Cases Handled		212	/ 1. 1
	No response	3	1.0
	Prosecution	9	3.0
	Criminal	18	6.1
	Mixed criminal & civil	55	18.5
	Civil	184	62.0
	Other	28	9.4
Location of Practice	other	20	7.4
	No response	3	1.0
	First District	26	8.8
	Second District	20	0.0
	Third District	243	- 81.8
	Fourth District	17	5.7
	Outside Alaska	8	2.7
Condon	Outside Alaska	ð	2.1
Gender	No response	<u></u>	1 2
	No response Mala	4	1.3
	Male	198	66.7
	Female g direct professional experience with the justice	95	32.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

Table 13 Peter J. Maassen Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	297	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation	271	110	,		1,		110
Direct professional experience	192	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Experience within last 5 years	174	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	45	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	89	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	56	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	68	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Other personal contacts	37	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Type of Practice*	51	110	,			,	
Private, solo	32	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	29	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	39	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Private, corporate employee	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.3
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.7
Government	44	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6
Public service agency or organization	4	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Retired	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*	5	5.0	7.7	5.0	7.7	7.7	5.0
5 years or fewer	11	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.7	3.8	4.2
11 to 15 years	10	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.4
16 to 20 years	16	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.6
More than 20 years	144	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Cases Handled*	1 7 7	0	7.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	T , <i>I</i>
Prosecution	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Criminal	13	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	40	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.5
Civil	121	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Other	13	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
Location of Practice*	15	5.0	т.)	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
First District	13	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	159	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	13	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.3
Outside Alaska	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*	т	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Male	131	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Female	57	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Female *Ratings from only those respondents reporting					+./	4.5	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

		п	%
	All respondents	33	100.0
Experience with Justice			
	Direct professional experience	26	78.8
	Professional reputation	3	9.1
	Other personal contacts	4	12.1
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	25	96.2
	Substantial amount of experience	12	46.2
	Moderate amount of experience	9	34.6
	Limited amount of experience	5	19.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the justice.

Table 7 Peter J. Maassen Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/		Judicial		Overall
		Fairness	Integrity	Temperament	Diligence	Evaluation
	n	M	M	M	M	М
All respondents	33	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	26	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	25	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	12	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	9	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.8
Limited amount of experience	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Professional reputation	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0



alaska judicial council

510 L Street, Suite 450, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1295 (907) 279-2526 FAX (907) 276-5046 http://www.ajc.state.ak.us E-mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

Salary Warrant Withholding

Alaska law states: "A salary disbursement may not be issued to a [justice or judge] until the [justice or judge] has filed with the state officer designated to issue salary disbursements an affidavit that no matter referred to the [justice or judge] for opinion or decision has been uncompleted or undecided by the judge for a period of more than six months." As soon as the judge completes or decides the matter and signs the affidavid, the salary warrant may be issued.

No appellate judge had any salary warrants withheld. The appellate judges on the 2016 ballot are Supreme Court Justice Peter Maassen, Supreme Court Justice Joel Bolger, and Court of Appeals Judge Marjorie Allard.

Of the sixteen superior court judges on the ballot in 2016, two had pay withheld during the evaluation period:

Judge Pallenberg had four salary warrants withheld during the evaluation period:

Pay period	<u>Regular warrant date:</u>	Late Pay issued:
2/29/2012	3/9/2012	3/13/2012
1/15/2013	1/25/2013	1/31/2013
2/15/2015	2/24/2015	3/2/2015
3/31/2015	4/9/2015	4/13/2015

Judge White had two salary warrants withheld during the evaluation period:

Pay period	<u>Regular warrant date:</u>	Late Pay issued:
10/31/2010	10/09/2010	11/24/2010
2/15/2011	2/24/2011	3/8/2011

Judges Eric Aarseth, Catherine Easter, David George, Bethany Harbison, Jane Kauvar, Kari Kristiansen, Michael MacDonald, Erin Marston, Dwayne McConnell, Anna Moran, Mark Rindner, Kevin Saxby, Jack Smith, and Trevor Stephens had no salary warrants withheld.

No district court judge appearing on the 2016 ballot had salary warrants withheld. The district court judges on the 2016 ballot are Matthew Christian, Patrick Hammers, J. Patrick Hanley, Jennifer Henderson, Margaret Murphy, Thomas Nave, Nathaniel Peters, Daniel Schally, Alex Swiderski, David Wallace, Pamela Washington, and David Zwink.