

# **Alaska Judicial Council**

## **Judicial Retention Surveys Judges Eligible for Retention in 2012**

**Technical Report**

**June 2012**

# Alaska Judicial Council

## Judicial Retention Surveys Judges Eligible to Stand for Retention in 2012

### Technical Report June 2012

Sylvan Robb, Senior Consultant and Project Manager  
Nancy Lowe, Project Coordinator

*Prepared for*  
Alaska Judicial Council  
1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201  
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969

*Prepared by*  
Information Insights, Inc.  
212 Front Street, Suite 100  
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701  
907.450.2450 phone  
907.450.2470 fax

[iialaska.com](http://iialaska.com)  
[info@iialaska.com](mailto:info@iialaska.com)



# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<i>Table 1: Mean Ratings by Alaska Bar Association Members</i> .....	5
<i>Table 2: Mean Ratings by Peace and Probation Officers</i> .....	6
<i>Table 3: Mean Ratings by Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem</i> .....	7
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Method</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Results</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>Ratings of All Judges on Overall Evaluation, by Demographic Characteristics</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Ratings from Alaska Bar Members</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<i>Table 4: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Cases Handled: Alaska Bar Members</i> .....	14
<i>Table 5: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Location of Practice: Alaska Bar Members</i> .....	15
<i>Table 6: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Type of Practice: Alaska Bar Members</i> .....	16
<i>Table 7: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Respondent Gender: Alaska Bar Members</i> .....	17
<i>Table 8: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Length of Alaska Practice: Alaska Bar Members</i> .....	18
<b>Ratings by Peace and Probation Officers</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<i>Table 9: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Type of Work: Peace and Probation Officers</i> .....	19
<i>Table 10: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Location of Work: Peace and Probation Officers</i> .....	20
<i>Table 11: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Community Population: Peace and Probation Officers</i> .....	21
<i>Table 12: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Respondent Gender: Peace and Probation Officers</i> .....	22
<b>Ratings by Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem</b> .....	<b>24</b>
<i>Table 14: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Type of Work: SW/GALs</i> .....	24
<i>Table 15: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Location of Work: SW/GALs</i> .....	25
<i>Table 16: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Community Population: SW/GALs</i> .....	26
<i>Table 17: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Respondent Gender: SW/GALs</i> .....	27
<i>Table 18: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Length of Experience: SW/GALs</i> .....	28
<b>Summaries and Detailed Survey Scores for Individual Judges</b> .....	<b>29</b>
<b>Appellate Court</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<i>Walter L. Carpeneti - Alaska Supreme Court</i> .....	30
<i>Daniel Winfree - Alaska Supreme Court</i> .....	33
<i>Joel H. Bolger – Court of Appeals</i> .....	36
<b>First Judicial District</b> .....	<b>39</b>
<i>William Barker Carey - Ketchikan Superior Court</i> .....	39
<i>Keith B. Levy – Juneau District Court</i> .....	46
<i>Thomas G. Nave – Juneau District Court</i> .....	53

<b>Second Judicial District.....</b>	<b>60</b>
<i>Michael I. Jeffery – Barrow Superior Court .....</i>	<i>60</i>
<b>Third Judicial District.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<i>Steve Cole - Kodiak Superior Court.....</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>Gregory Louis Heath - Palmer Superior Court.....</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>Charles Huguelet - Kenai Superior Court.....</i>	<i>79</i>
<i>William F. Morse - Anchorage Superior Court .....</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>Frank A. Pfiffner - Anchorage Superior Court.....</i>	<i>93</i>
<i>Eric Smith - Palmer Superior Court.....</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>John Suddock - Anchorage Superior Court .....</i>	<i>107</i>
<i>Sen K. Tan - Anchorage Superior Court .....</i>	<i>114</i>
<i>Philip R. Volland - Anchorage Superior Court.....</i>	<i>121</i>
<i>Michael L. Wolverton – Anchorage Superior Court.....</i>	<i>128</i>
<i>J. Patrick Hanley - Anchorage District Court .....</i>	<i>135</i>
<i>Margaret L. Murphy - Homer District Court.....</i>	<i>140</i>
<i>Daniel Schally - Valdez District Court .....</i>	<i>147</i>
<i>Alex M. Swiderski - Anchorage District Court.....</i>	<i>154</i>
<i>David R. Wallace - Anchorage District Court.....</i>	<i>161</i>
<i>Pamela Scott Washington - Anchorage District Court .....</i>	<i>166</i>
<i>David Zwink - Palmer District Court .....</i>	<i>173</i>
<b>Fourth Judicial District.....</b>	<b>180</b>
<i>Paul Lyle - Fairbanks Superior Court .....</i>	<i>180</i>
<i>Michael P. McConahy - Fairbanks Superior Court.....</i>	<i>187</i>
<i>Patrick S. Hammers - Fairbanks District Court .....</i>	<i>194</i>
<b>Appendix A - Additional Statistical Tables.....</b>	<b>201</b>
<i>Table A1: Survey Return Rates .....</i>	<i>202</i>
<i>Table A2: Respondent Characteristics: Alaska Bar Association .....</i>	<i>203</i>
<i>Table A3: Respondent Characteristics: Peace and Probation Officers .....</i>	<i>204</i>
<i>Table A4: Respondent Characteristics: Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem.....</i>	<i>205</i>
<i>Table A5: Alaska Bar Members’ Level of Experience with Judges.....</i>	<i>206</i>
<i>Table A6: Peace and Probation Officers’ Level of Experience with Judges.....</i>	<i>207</i>
<i>Table A7: Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem’s Level of Experience with Judges .....</i>	<i>208</i>
<i>Table A8: Overall Rating - Distribution and Mean, Median and Std. Dev. by Alaska Bar Members.....</i>	<i>209</i>
<i>Table A9: Overall Rating - Distribution and Mean, Median and Std. Dev. by Peace and Probation Officers.....</i>	<i>210</i>
<i>Table A10: Overall Rating - Distribution and Mean, Median and Std. Dev. by SW/GALs .....</i>	<i>211</i>
<b>Appendix B - Sample Survey Pages .....</b>	<b>212</b>

## Executive Summary

This report presents the results of the judicial retention evaluation surveys for the twenty-seven judges eligible to stand for retention in the 2012 general elections. The Alaska Judicial Council asked Alaska Bar Association members, peace and probation officers, social workers and guardians ad litem to evaluate the judges. Only attorneys evaluated the appellate judges, Justice Carpeneti, Justice Winfree and Judge Bolger. Attorneys evaluated trial judges on *legal ability*. All of the groups evaluated the trial court judges on *impartiality, integrity, judicial temperament, diligence* and *overall evaluation*.

Tables 1 (attorneys), 2 (peace and probation officers), and 3 (social workers and guardians ad litem) show how people with direct professional experience with the judges evaluated them on each of the criteria. The text below gives a brief summary of the results for each judge.

### Appellate

Justice Walter L. Carpeneti was evaluated by 292 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the justice. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.7.

Justice Daniel Winfree was evaluated by 223 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the justice. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.5.

Judge Joel H. Bolger was evaluated by 143 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the justice. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.4.

### First Judicial District

Judge William Barker Carey was evaluated by 65 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.4. Nineteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.7, based on direct professional experience. Three social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.5, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Keith B. Levy was evaluated by 103 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.5. Twenty-one peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.2, based on direct professional experience. One social worker and guardian ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 5.0, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Thomas G. Nave was evaluated by 62 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.5. Nineteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 3.9, based on direct professional experience. No social workers and guardians ad litem evaluated this judge based on direct professional experience.

### Second Judicial District

Judge Michael I. Jeffery was evaluated by 129 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.6. Fifteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 3.6, based on direct professional experience. One social worker and guardian ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 5.0, based on direct professional experience.

### **Third Judicial District**

Judge Steve Cole was evaluated by 61 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.3. Seventeen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.4, based on direct professional experience. No social workers and guardians ad litem evaluated this judge.

Judge Gregory Louis Heath was evaluated by 68 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.8. Twenty-one peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.5, based on direct professional experience. Three social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 3.7, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Charles Huguelet was evaluated by 121 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.9. Thirty peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.4, based on direct professional experience. Eleven social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.9, based on direct professional experience.

Judge William F. Morse was evaluated by 272 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.7. Twelve peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.2, based on direct professional experience. Ten social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.3, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Frank A. Pfiffner was evaluated by 190 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.9. Four peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience. Six social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 3.8, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Eric Smith was evaluated by 199 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.3. Thirty-one peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.6, based on direct professional experience. Five social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 3.8, based on direct professional experience.

Judge John Suddock was evaluated by 242 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.7. Sixteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience. Nine social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 3.9, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Sen K. Tan was evaluated by 309 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.5. Eleven peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience. Ten social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 5.0, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Philip R. Volland was evaluated by 169 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.3. Thirty-one peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.3, based on direct professional experience. No social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation based on direct professional experience.

Judge Michael L. Wolverton was evaluated by 178 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.2. Thirty-seven peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience. One social worker and guardian ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience.

Judge J. Patrick Hanley was evaluated by 148 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.6. Twenty-four peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.8, based on direct professional experience. No social workers and guardians ad litem evaluated this judge.

Judge Margaret L. Murphy was evaluated by 78 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.9. Twenty-seven peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 3.6, based on direct professional experience. Three social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.3, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Daniel Schally was evaluated by 100 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.0. Fourteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.7, based on direct professional experience. Two social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.5, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Alex M. Swiderski was evaluated by 145 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.9. Eighteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.2, based on direct professional experience. Three social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.3, based on direct professional experience.

Judge David R. Wallace was evaluated by 99 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.2. Nineteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.2, based on direct professional experience. No social workers and guardians ad litem evaluated this judge.

Judge Pamela Scott Washington was evaluated by 98 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 3.7. Thirteen peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience. No social workers and guardians ad litem evaluated this judge based on direct professional experience.

Judge David Zwink was evaluated by 95 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.3. Thirty-one peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.4, based on direct professional experience. Two social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 3.5, based on direct professional experience.

#### **Fourth Judicial District**

Judge Paul Lyle was evaluated by 90 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.2. Thirty peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 3.6, based on direct professional experience. Five social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.2, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Michael P. McConahy was evaluated by 92 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.2. Twenty-three peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.3, based on direct professional experience. Two social workers and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 4.0, based on direct professional experience.

Judge Patrick S. Hammers was evaluated by 74 attorneys who reported direct professional experience with the judge. Their mean score on overall evaluation was 4.0. Twenty-five peace and probation officers gave a mean overall evaluation of 4.1, based on direct professional experience. One social worker and guardians ad litem gave an overall evaluation of 5.0, based on direct professional experience.



**Table 1: Mean Ratings by Alaska Bar Association Members**

	N	Legal Ability Mean	Impartiality/ Fairness Mean	Integrity Mean	Temperament Mean	Diligence Mean	Overall Evaluation Mean
<i>Appellate</i>							
Walter L. Carpeneti	292	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Daniel Winfree	223	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Joel H. Bolger	143	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
<i>First District</i>							
William Barker Carey	65	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Keith B. Levy	103	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Thomas G. Nave	62	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
<i>Second District</i>							
Michael I. Jeffery	129	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.6
<i>Third District</i>							
Steve Cole	61	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.3
Gregory Louis Heath	68	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.7	3.8
Charles Huguelet	121	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.9
William F. Morse	272	4.0	3.7	4.1	3.3	3.9	3.7
Frank A. Piffner	190	4.0	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.9
Eric Smith	199	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
John Suddock	242	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.7
Sen K. Tan	309	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
Philip R. Volland	169	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	178	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	148	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Margaret L. Murphy	78	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.9
Daniel Schally	100	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Alex M. Swiderski	145	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.1	3.9
David R. Wallace	99	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	98	3.4	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.7
David Zwink	95	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
<i>Fourth District</i>							
Paul Lyle	90	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	92	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	74	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 2: Mean Ratings by Peace and Probation Officers**

	N	Impartiality/ Fairness Mean	Integrity Mean	Temperament Mean	Diligence Mean	Overall Evaluation Mean
<i>First District</i>						
William Barker Carey	19	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Keith B. Levy	21	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2
Thomas G. Nave	19	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9
<i>Second District</i>						
Michael I. Jeffery	15	3.6	3.7	4.1	3.8	3.6
<i>Third District</i>						
Steve Cole	17	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4
Gregory Louis Heath	21	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5
Charles Huguelet	30	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4
William F. Morse	12	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.2
Frank A. Pfiffner	4	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.0
Eric Smith	31	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6
John Suddock	16	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0
Sen K. Tan	11	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.0
Philip R. Volland	31	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	37	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	24	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
Margaret L. Murphy	27	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.6
Daniel Schally	14	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7
Alex M. Swiderski	18	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2
David R. Wallace	19	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	13	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.0
David Zwink	31	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4
<i>Fourth District</i>						
Paul Lyle	30	3.8	3.9	3.5	3.8	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	23	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3
Patrick S. Hammers	25	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 3: Mean Ratings by Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	N	Impartiality/ Fairness Mean	Integrity Mean	Temperament Mean	Diligence Mean	Overall Evaluation Mean
<i>First District</i>						
William Barker Carey	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.5
Keith B. Levy	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Thomas G. Nave	0	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Second District</i>						
Michael I. Jeffery	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
<i>Third District</i>						
Steve Cole	0	--	--	--	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	3	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.7
Charles Huguelet	11	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
William F. Morse	10	4.3	4.6	3.5	4.3	4.3
Frank A. Pfiffner	6	3.8	4.3	3.7	4.2	3.8
Eric Smith	5	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.8
John Suddock	9	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.9
Sen K. Tan	10	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	--	--	--	--
Michael L. Wolverton	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	--	--	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.3
Daniel Schally	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
David R. Wallace	0	--	--	--	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	--	--	--	--
David Zwink	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
<i>Fourth District</i>						
Paul Lyle	5	4.6	4.6	3.8	4.4	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Patrick S. Hammers	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

# Judicial Performance Evaluation Surveys for Judges Eligible to Stand in the 2012 General Election

Prepared by Information Insights, Inc.  
June 15, 2012

## Introduction

Alaska's constitution and laws mandate that justices and judges be periodically retained in their positions by the voters on a non-partisan ballot in the general elections. By law, the Alaska Judicial Council evaluates the performance of the justices and judges eligible to stand for retention, and reports its findings to the voters. As part of the evaluation, the Council surveys professionals who are familiar with judicial performance: members of the Alaska Bar Association, peace and probation officers, and social workers and guardians ad litem.

This report presents the results of those surveys for twenty-seven judges who are eligible for retention in November, 2012. They include supreme court justices Walter L. Carpeneti and Daniel Winfree, court of appeals judge Joel H. Bolger (all were only evaluated by attorneys), three First Judicial District trial court judges, one from the Second District, seventeen from the Third District, and three from the Fourth District. All of the groups evaluated the trial court judges on *impartiality*, *integrity*, *judicial temperament*, *diligence* and *overall evaluation*. Attorneys also evaluated trial judges on *legal ability*. Although respondents may evaluate the judges based on direct professional experience, professional reputation or other social contacts, this report shows only the results for respondents who had direct professional experience with the judge in the performance of judicial duties *and* who evaluated the judge or justice on at least one characteristic.

To maintain objectivity, the Judicial Council contracted with Information Insights, a public policy and management consulting firm with offices in Anchorage and Fairbanks to administer the surveys. Information Insights was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online surveys. The Judicial Council printed and mailed the paper surveys, which were returned directly to Information Insights for processing, data entry, analysis, and preparation of this report.

## Method

### *Respondents*

The survey was targeted and mailed to three respondent groups, namely, 3,029 active and in-state inactive members of the Alaska Bar Association (ABA); 1,632 Alaska peace and probation officers; and 123 social workers and guardians ad litem. Paper surveys were mailed to 529 ABA members; 279 of whom also received an e-mail invitation. The remaining 2,500 ABA members only received an e-mail invitation to take the online survey. One hundred and seventy-eight peace and probation officers received a paper survey while the remaining 1,454 officers received an e-mail invitation to take the online survey. Social workers and guardians ad litem only received paper surveys. Surveys were mailed on January 4, 2012, with a due date of February 10, 2012; e-mail messages were sent on January 5, 2012, with the same due date for completion of the on-line survey.

A total of 1,090 qualified surveys were returned, with 744 from ABA members; 306 from peace and probation officers; and 40 from social workers and guardians ad litem. There were 20 surveys returned without signatures, with illegible signatures, or without being on the mailing list and, thus, were excluded from data entry and analyses. ABA members initiated 691 web-based surveys. Of these 691, 65 were initiated but not completed; that is, no responses were provided. Additionally, two respondents

provided duplicate on-line surveys and paper surveys. For these individuals, the survey received first was retained and the duplicate discarded. Peace and probation officers initiated 335 on-line surveys. Of the 335, 55 were initiated but not completed; that is, no responses were provided. No duplicate surveys were received from peace and probation officers.

From ABA members, included in the final data analysis were 118 paper surveys and 626 on-line surveys, for a total of 744 surveys and a 24.6% return rate. From peace and probation officers, included in the final data analysis were 26 paper surveys and 280 on-line surveys for a total of 306 surveys and a 18.8% return rate. From social workers and guardians ad litem included in the final data analysis were 40 surveys for a response rate of 32.5%. Table A1 in Appendix A shows the response rate for each type of survey respondent.

## **Demographic Descriptions of Respondents**

Demographic information was collected from each respondent to provide details about the individuals who provided the ratings summarized in this report. Tables A2, A3, and A4 in Appendix A provide a breakdown of these demographic characteristics by each respondent group.

## **Instrumentation**

The evaluation surveys for the judges and justice standing for retention on the 2012 ballot contained questions about demographic information for each respondent, along with six evaluation items for the attorneys and five for the other groups. All surveys encouraged respondents to help the citizens of Alaska by thoughtfully evaluating the performance of judges whom the respondents knew. Attorneys' surveys included a certification by the respondent that they had rated the judges as required by the bar's Professional Rule 8.2.

Respondents evaluated each of the areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from poor (1) to excellent (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each performance area. The instructions for respondents, criteria, and the rating scale are set out below as they appear in the survey booklet.

In addition to providing ratings, respondents were asked to provide comments on each of the judges eligible to stand for retention in 2012. Sample pages from the paper surveys are contained in Appendix B of this report. The survey and survey instructions, shown here for respondents to the paper surveys, were nearly identical for the paper and on-line surveys.

## Survey Booklet, page ii

### Basis for Rating

Your evaluation may be based upon direct professional experience, social contacts, or professional reputation. **Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work in the performance of his or her judicial duties.** If you lack sufficient knowledge to evaluate, check the box "insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge" under Question 1, and go on to the next judge.

---

### Rating Criteria

<b>Legal Ability</b>	Please evaluate the judge's legal and factual analysis including the judge's knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge's writing clarity and precision.
<b>Impartiality &amp; Fairness</b>	Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
<b>Integrity</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
<b>Diligence</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.
<b>Overall evaluation</b>	Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

---

### Rating Scale

All questions relate only to the qualities of the judge in the performance of judicial duties. The rating scale is defined as follows:

- |    |                               |   |
|----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. | <b>Poor</b>                   | Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court.         |
| 2. | <b>Deficient</b>              | Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court. |
| 3. | <b>Acceptable</b>             | Meets minimum standards of performance for this court.                |
| 4. | <b>Good</b>                   | Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court.        |
| 5. | <b>Excellent</b>              | Consistently exceeds minimum standards for this court.                |
| 9. | <b>Insufficient Knowledge</b> | Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge on this criterion.          |

## Confidentiality and Data Safety

The Alaska Judicial Council included a statement with each survey that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at Information Insights and is translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because the data collected through the retention surveys are sensitive, Information Insights protects them rigorously. Paper surveys are locked in a tamper-resistant cabinet except when staff are working with them. The organization's policies and procedures emphasize the confidentiality requirement, and ensure that only project staff have access to the data. All electronic data are maintained on a secure server, from the time that respondents complete their surveys online. No staff ever keep data on their individual desktop or laptop hard drives.

## Assurance of No Duplicate Responses

To ensure that only individual valid surveys were received, the Judicial Council gave clear instructions about how to handle the paper survey booklets:

*Validation of Responses. A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluation. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked "Confidential" and seal the envelope. Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope and sign in the space provided. The return envelope must be signed in order for your survey to be counted. Also, please print your name and address on the return envelope.*

The procedures to assure only one paper survey per individual were:

- The individual's name was identified on the outside of the return envelope, added to a survey log, and marked as received.
- If another envelope had already been received from the same individual, the second envelope would be discarded unopened.
- If the survey was received without a name on the return envelope, it was opened and reviewed to see whether the respondent's signature was anywhere on the form. If yes, it was checked off on the log. If not, the survey was discarded.
- If the signature was illegible, and there was no other identifying information (e.g., printed name, legible signature on a comment page), the survey was discarded.
- If the name on the survey was not on the original mailing list, the survey was discarded.

To assure only one on-line survey per individual, Information Insights:

- Provided each potential respondent with a unique URL that could be used only once, and only from the email address to which it was sent.
- Each survey received was compared against the list of paper surveys received to ensure that only one type of survey was completed – an online survey, or a paper one.
- If duplicate surveys were received the most complete survey was kept and the duplicate was discarded.

**Data Management**

Information Insight’s goal is virtually error-free data handling. To achieve this goal, data from each paper survey were entered twice and then compared to find discrepancies. Next, staff created frequencies for all of the questions, located the outliers, and compared them to the actual survey documents. Online surveys were moved from the survey website to SPSS (the Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for analysis, and the paper survey responses were added to them to create a single database for analysis.

**Data Analyses**

The numbers of respondents who evaluated the judges on using the different bases for experience (direct professional, professional reputation, other social contacts) are shown in Tables A5, A6, and A7 (Appendix A). The ratings from respondents who evaluated based on professional reputation or other social contacts are shown in the detailed information on the individual pages for each judge. The analyses in Tables 1 – 18 and Tables A8 through A10, as well as most of the results reported on the individual detailed pages for each judge are based only on those respondents who had direct professional experience with the judge and who evaluated the judge on at least one variable.

**Results**

The results in these tables are based on the respondents who had direct professional experience with each judge and who rated the judge on at least one variable, except as noted above. Because many of the cross tabulation results are based on only a handful of people with experience they should be regarded with caution, and more weight should be given to the overall results for that judge.

**Respondents’ Levels of Experience with Each Judge**

All respondents were asked to describe their experience with each judge – the basis for their evaluation – in two questions. Tables A5, A6 and A7 in Appendix A show the types of experience that respondents had with judges.

**Basis for Evaluation**

- 1. Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this judge? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge’s work as a judge. (Check one.)
  - Direct professional experience
  - Professional reputation
  - Other personal Contacts
  - Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge (Go to next judge)
  
- 2. If you checked direct professional experience:
  - a. Does your experience with this judge include experience within the last five years?
    - Yes
    - No
  
  - b. Please describe the amount of your experience with this judge.
    - Substantial
    - Moderate
    - Limited



## **Ratings of All Judges on Overall Evaluation, by Demographic Characteristics**

Responses to the retention surveys by the three groups are shown on the following pages in several ways.

- Tables 4 through 8 show the Alaska Bar members' rating on the Overall Evaluation criterion by the respondents' types of caseloads, location of practice, type of practice, gender, and length of practice in Alaska.
- Tables 9 through 13 show the peace and probation officers' rating on the Overall Evaluation criterion by the respondents' type of work, location of work, population of community in which the officer worked, gender, and length of experience.
- Tables 14 through 18 show the social workers and guardians ad litem's rating on the Overall Evaluation criterion by the respondents' type of work, location of work, population of community, gender, and length of experience.
- Tables A8, A9 and A10 in Appendix A show the distribution, mean, median and standard deviations of the Overall Rating for each judge.

## Ratings from Alaska Bar Members

**Table 4: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Cases Handled: Alaska Bar Members**

	Prosecution		Mainly Criminal		Mixed Criminal & Civil		Mainly Civil		Other		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>Appellate</i>											
Walter L. Carpeneti	7	4.9	14	4.8	70	4.6	171	4.6	17	4.8	4.7
Daniel Winfree	4	4.5	11	4.6	52	4.3	135	4.6	12	4.5	4.5
Joel H. Bolger	9	5.0	13	3.7	62	4.3	43	4.5	9	4.7	4.4
<i>First District</i>											
William Barker Carey	3	4.7	4	5.0	33	4.3	20	4.6	3	4.3	4.4
Keith B. Levy	5	3.4	6	4.7	39	4.5	46	4.7	3	4.3	4.5
Thomas G. Nave	2	4.5	3	4.0	29	4.5	24	4.6	1	5.0	4.5
<i>Second District</i>											
Michael I. Jeffery	10	4.5	7	5.0	47	4.6	52	4.7	8	4.8	4.6
<i>Third District</i>											
Steve Cole	3	4.3	4	4.8	25	4.3	22	4.4	4	4.0	4.3
Gregory Louis Heath	1	4.0	10	4.2	28	3.8	25	3.7	1	5.0	3.8
Charles Huguelet	3	2.7	11	3.8	38	4.0	59	3.9	7	4.1	3.9
William F. Morse	7	2.7	19	4.4	51	3.4	178	3.7	10	4.5	3.7
Frank A. Pfiffner	2	3.5	3	4.0	36	3.8	138	4.0	7	3.6	3.9
Eric Smith	7	4.9	15	4.1	66	4.3	97	4.2	6	4.5	4.3
John Suddock	9	2.9	11	2.8	52	3.5	154	3.8	8	4.5	3.7
Sen K. Tan	4	4.3	16	4.6	70	4.6	195	4.4	14	4.4	4.5
Philip R. Volland	20	4.7	18	4.0	54	4.2	72	4.3	2	4.5	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	22	3.8	29	4.5	53	4.4	65	4.1	4	4.3	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	18	4.9	17	4.7	55	4.5	53	4.7	2	5.0	4.6
Margaret L. Murphy	2	5.0	10	4.2	36	3.6	22	4.0	5	3.4	3.9
Daniel Schally	9	4.1	11	4.5	46	3.8	27	4.2	2	4.0	4.0
Alex M. Swiderski	9	3.3	16	3.5	50	4.0	61	3.9	2	5.0	3.9
David R. Wallace	15	4.3	17	4.4	28	4.0	35	4.3	2	3.5	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	12	3.4	15	3.7	33	3.7	35	3.9	1	5.0	3.7
David Zwink	2	3.5	11	4.6	41	4.3	34	4.2	3	4.0	4.3
<i>Fourth District</i>											
Paul Lyle	10	2.8	2	4.0	28	4.1	43	4.5	6	4.7	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	10	4.8	2	4.0	28	3.9	43	4.2	6	3.8	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	9	3.3	4	4.5	32	3.8	23	4.3	4	4.0	4.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 5: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Location of Practice: Alaska Bar Members**

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside of Alaska		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>Appellate</i>											
Walter L. Carpeneti	54	4.9	3	5.0	196	4.6	27	4.5	5	5.0	4.7
Daniel Winfree	21	4.6	2	4.5	158	4.5	30	4.2	2	4.5	4.5
Joel H. Bolger	12	4.4	2	5.0	104	4.4	21	4.0	1	5.0	4.4
<i>First District</i>											
William Barker Carey	30	4.5	0	--	28	4.4	4	4.5	1	5.0	4.4
Keith B. Levy	51	4.5	0	--	39	4.6	9	4.1	2	5.0	4.5
Thomas G. Nave	32	4.7	0	--	20	4.2	8	4.3	1	5.0	4.5
<i>Second District</i>											
Michael I. Jeffery	7	4.9	13	4.8	71	4.5	34	4.8	1	5.0	4.6
<i>Third District</i>											
Steve Cole	1	4.0	0	--	52	4.3	5	4.8	1	5.0	4.3
Gregory Louis Heath	0	--	0	--	63	3.9	3	3.0	0	--	3.8
Charles Huguelet	5	3.6	0	--	109	3.9	5	3.8	0	--	3.9
William F. Morse	7	4.1	1	4.0	251	3.7	4	4.0	1	5.0	3.7
Frank A. Pfiffner	5	4.2	1	3.0	174	3.9	4	3.3	1	4.0	3.9
Eric Smith	9	4.6	1	5.0	171	4.2	10	4.2	1	5.0	4.3
John Suddock	6	3.7	0	--	223	3.6	4	4.0	3	4.3	3.7
Sen K. Tan	9	4.3	1	5.0	275	4.4	12	4.8	3	5.0	4.5
Philip R. Volland	5	5.0	0	--	150	4.3	9	3.8	2	5.0	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	4	4.0	0	--	163	4.2	4	3.8	3	4.7	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	6	4.8	2	5.0	126	4.6	7	4.9	2	5.0	4.6
Margaret L. Murphy	1	5.0	0	--	64	3.8	10	3.8	0	--	3.9
Daniel Schally	6	3.8	0	--	75	4.1	13	3.4	1	5.0	4.0
Alex M. Swiderski	3	3.0	1	4.0	130	3.9	3	5.0	1	5.0	3.9
David R. Wallace	4	4.0	0	--	91	4.2	2	4.0	0	--	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	3	3.3	0	--	90	3.8	2	2.0	0	--	3.7
David Zwink	3	3.7	0	--	83	4.3	5	4.4	0	--	4.3
<i>Fourth District</i>											
Paul Lyle	0	--	1	5.0	28	4.3	59	4.1	1	4.0	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	0	--	2	5.0	29	4.3	57	4.0	1	4.0	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	2	3.5	1	5.0	19	4.3	50	3.8	1	5.0	4.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 6: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Type of Practice: Alaska Bar Members**

	Private, Solo		Private, 2-5 Attorneys		Private, 6+ Attorneys		Private, Corp Emp		Judge or Jud Officer		Government		Public Service Agency/Org		Other		Overall Evaluation Mean
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	M	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	
Walter L. Carpeneti	62	4.5	39	4.5	44	4.5	6	5.0	46	4.9	75	4.7	7	4.6	9	5.0	4.7
Daniel Winfree	40	4.4	35	4.4	37	4.6	3	4.7	34	4.6	58	4.5	5	4.2	4	4.8	4.5
Joel H. Bolger	25	3.8	14	3.6	11	4.7	1	4.0	42	4.8	43	4.4	0	--	4	4.5	4.4
William Barker Carey	12	4.2	7	4.1	4	4.5	2	4.5	24	4.5	13	4.7	1	5.0	0	--	4.4
Keith B. Levy	20	4.6	20	4.2	4	4.8	3	4.7	29	4.9	22	4.2	2	4.0	0	--	4.5
Thomas G. Nave	14	4.5	12	4.3	2	5.0	0	--	19	4.6	13	4.2	1	5.0	0	--	4.5
Michael I. Jeffery	26	4.7	19	4.3	10	4.5	1	5.0	32	4.8	33	4.6	3	5.0	4	4.8	4.6
Steve Cole	9	4.4	10	4.4	2	5.0	3	4.3	19	4.4	14	4.0	0	--	1	5.0	4.3
Gregory Louis Heath	19	4.0	14	3.6	2	4.5	1	4.0	15	4.3	14	3.4	1	3.0	1	5.0	3.8
Charles Huguelet	32	3.9	20	4.2	7	4.6	1	2.0	24	3.8	33	3.9	1	3.0	1	3.0	3.9
William F. Morse	73	3.7	54	3.6	44	3.9	2	3.5	28	4.1	59	3.5	4	3.0	4	2.8	3.7
Frank A. Pfiffner	54	4.1	41	3.8	30	4.4	4	2.8	23	3.7	31	3.7	2	2.5	1	4.0	3.9
Eric Smith	54	4.2	36	3.9	17	4.2	3	4.3	38	4.6	38	4.3	3	4.0	5	4.8	4.3
John Suddock	60	3.5	52	3.4	34	4.2	2	4.5	25	3.9	54	3.7	3	4.0	7	4.0	3.7
Sen K. Tan	84	4.5	59	4.5	47	4.3	5	4.2	36	4.9	60	4.4	5	3.4	7	4.3	4.5
Philip R. Volland	35	4.3	27	3.9	15	4.4	3	4.7	30	4.7	50	4.3	1	4.0	6	5.0	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	44	4.5	29	4.3	10	4.7	2	4.5	31	4.5	55	3.8	0	--	4	4.0	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	39	4.5	27	4.4	9	4.9	2	4.0	32	4.8	34	4.8	0	--	3	5.0	4.6
Margaret L. Murphy	17	4.3	9	4.0	0	--	1	4.0	27	3.6	19	3.7	0	--	2	4.0	3.9
Daniel Schally	17	4.1	20	4.2	6	3.7	0	--	24	4.1	28	4.0	1	2.0	0	--	4.0
Alex M. Swiderski	41	3.8	32	3.8	9	4.2	1	3.0	28	4.5	23	3.6	1	1.0	4	3.8	3.9
David R. Wallace	27	4.2	16	4.3	6	4.8	1	4.0	17	4.2	28	4.1	0	--	2	4.5	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	30	3.8	15	3.3	4	4.0	2	4.0	22	4.3	23	3.4	1	5.0	0	--	3.7
David Zwink	30	4.4	15	4.2	2	4.5	2	3.0	22	4.2	15	4.2	1	4.0	3	4.3	4.3
Paul Lyle	13	4.2	14	4.7	12	4.5	0	--	15	4.3	32	3.8	2	4.0	2	4.5	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	17	4.2	18	3.8	11	4.5	0	--	15	4.5	29	4.1	0	--	0	--	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	15	4.3	17	3.7	7	4.4	0	--	14	4.4	17	3.4	1	4.0	1	5.0	4.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 7: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Respondent Gender: Alaska Bar Members**

	Male		Female		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>Appellate</i>					
Walter L. Carpeneti	207	4.6	80	4.7	4.7
Daniel Winfree	150	4.5	64	4.5	4.5
Joel H. Bolger	98	4.4	42	4.4	4.4
<i>First District</i>					
William Barker Carey	47	4.4	16	4.6	4.4
Keith B. Levy	70	4.5	30	4.6	4.5
Thomas G. Nave	44	4.6	17	4.2	4.5
<i>Second District</i>					
Michael I. Jeffery	85	4.7	42	4.6	4.6
<i>Third District</i>					
Steve Cole	38	4.4	20	4.3	4.3
Gregory Louis Heath	44	3.9	23	3.8	3.8
Charles Huguelet	80	4.0	39	3.7	3.9
William F. Morse	189	3.7	78	3.7	3.7
Frank A. Pfiffner	140	4.1	47	3.5	3.9
Eric Smith	137	4.3	58	4.3	4.3
John Suddock	172	3.7	65	3.7	3.7
Sen K. Tan	218	4.4	85	4.6	4.5
Philip R. Volland	121	4.3	47	4.5	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	123	4.2	52	4.5	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	103	4.7	43	4.6	4.6
Margaret L. Murphy	51	3.9	25	3.8	3.9
Daniel Schally	68	4.1	28	3.9	4.0
Alex M. Swiderski	100	4.0	38	3.7	3.9
David R. Wallace	66	4.2	31	4.3	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	68	3.8	29	3.7	3.7
David Zwink	59	4.2	32	4.3	4.3
<i>Fourth District</i>					
Paul Lyle	59	4.2	29	4.1	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	59	4.3	29	3.9	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	45	4.0	25	3.8	4.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 8: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Length of Alaska Practice: Alaska Bar Members**

	5 Years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>Appellate</i>											
Walter L. Carpeneti	10	4.9	16	4.8	22	4.8	18	4.4	213	4.6	4.7
Daniel Winfree	9	4.6	12	4.6	14	4.4	18	4.3	157	4.5	4.5
Joel H. Bolger	6	4.2	8	3.9	14	4.1	12	4.2	93	4.5	4.4
<i>First District</i>											
William Barker Carey	3	4.7	5	4.0	4	4.3	5	3.8	45	4.6	4.4
Keith B. Levy	5	4.4	6	4.3	6	3.8	8	4.5	75	4.6	4.5
Thomas G. Nave	3	5.0	3	4.0	4	4.5	4	3.8	46	4.5	4.5
<i>Second District</i>											
Michael I. Jeffery	6	4.8	9	4.7	8	4.6	11	4.6	90	4.6	4.6
<i>Third District</i>											
Steve Cole	2	4.0	7	4.7	6	4.2	5	4.0	35	4.3	4.3
Gregory Louis Heath	4	4.3	6	3.7	8	3.6	13	3.7	36	3.9	3.8
Charles Huguelet	5	4.0	8	3.9	10	4.0	8	3.6	86	3.9	3.9
William F. Morse	14	4.6	13	4.0	19	3.6	32	3.6	180	3.6	3.7
Frank A. Pfiffner	5	4.8	12	2.9	9	3.9	20	3.6	134	4.0	3.9
Eric Smith	5	4.4	15	3.9	18	4.2	18	4.1	131	4.3	4.3
John Suddock	7	3.4	22	3.6	13	3.4	29	3.2	158	3.8	3.7
Sen K. Tan	15	4.7	18	4.5	22	4.0	32	4.7	206	4.5	4.5
Philip R. Volland	11	4.6	14	4.3	13	4.5	13	4.2	113	4.2	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	18	4.4	12	4.1	13	4.1	18	3.9	111	4.3	4.2
J. Patrick Hanley	18	4.9	13	4.6	15	4.9	21	4.4	77	4.6	4.6
Margaret L. Murphy	5	3.6	6	4.3	13	4.2	6	3.8	45	3.7	3.9
Daniel Schally	9	4.6	11	3.8	10	4.1	7	4.3	57	3.9	4.0
Alex M. Swiderski	12	3.8	9	2.9	8	3.9	18	3.1	89	4.2	3.9
David R. Wallace	15	4.5	7	4.3	11	4.2	12	4.1	53	4.2	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	10	3.9	9	3.8	8	2.6	15	3.5	54	3.9	3.7
David Zwink	5	5.0	5	4.5	9	4.2	14	4.1	56	4.2	4.3
<i>Fourth District</i>											
Paul Lyle	10	4.2	10	4.1	9	4.2	7	4.3	53	4.2	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	10	4.3	12	4.2	9	3.9	7	3.7	52	4.2	4.2
Patrick S. Hammers	11	3.8	8	3.3	7	3.9	12	4.0	35	4.1	4.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

## Ratings by Peace and Probation Officers

**Table 9: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Type of Work: Peace and Probation Officers**

	State Law Enforcement Officer		Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VSPO)		Probation/Parole Officer		Other		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>First District</i>											
William Barker Carey	3	5.0	5	5.0	0	--	11	4.6	0	--	4.7
Keith B. Levy	5	4.2	13	4.2	0	--	3	4.3	0	--	4.2
Thomas G. Nave	6	3.3	12	4.2	0	--	1	4.0	0	--	3.9
<i>Second District</i>											
Michael I. Jeffery	2	3.5	6	3.2	0	--	7	4.0	0	--	3.6
<i>Third District</i>											
Steve Cole	5	3.8	9	4.4	0	--	3	5.0	0	--	4.4
Gregory Louis Heath	8	4.6	7	4.6	0	--	6	4.2	0	--	4.5
Charles Huguelet	18	4.4	9	4.2	0	--	2	5.0	0	--	4.4
William F. Morse	7	4.1	1	2.0	0	--	4	4.8	0	--	4.2
Frank A. Pfiffner	2	5.0	1	1.0	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	4.0
Eric Smith	11	4.7	6	4.7	0	--	14	4.5	0	--	4.6
John Suddock	7	4.1	3	4.0	0	--	6	3.8	0	--	4.0
Sen K. Tan	5	3.8	4	4.0	0	--	2	4.5	0	--	4.0
Philip R. Volland	9	4.3	10	4.4	0	--	11	4.2	0	--	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	15	4.5	9	3.3	0	--	13	3.7	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	11	4.8	13	4.7	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.8
Margaret L. Murphy	17	3.8	8	3.0	0	--	1	4.0	0	--	3.6
Daniel Schally	7	4.7	4	4.5	0	--	3	5.0	0	--	4.7
Alex M. Swiderski	9	3.9	6	4.3	0	--	2	5.0	0	--	4.2
David R. Wallace	8	4.0	10	4.3	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	7	3.9	6	4.2	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.0
David Zwink	16	4.3	12	4.5	0	--	3	4.3	0	--	4.4
<i>Fourth District</i>											
Paul Lyle	8	4.0	9	3.9	1	1.0	12	3.4	0	--	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	6	4.7	5	4.0	0	--	12	4.2	0	--	4.3
Patrick S. Hammers	11	3.3	11	4.8	0	--	2	4.5	0	--	4.1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 10: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Location of Work: Peace and Probation Officers**

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
William Barker Carey	16	4.7	0	--	3	5.0	0	--	4.7
Keith B. Levy	21	4.2	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.2
Thomas G. Nave	19	3.9	0	--	0	--	0	--	3.9
Michael I. Jeffery	1	5.0	10	3.2	2	3.5	2	5.0	3.6
Steve Cole	0	--	0	--	17	4.4	0	--	4.4
Gregory Louis Heath	0	--	0	--	21	4.5	0	--	4.5
Charles Huguelet	0	--	1	5.0	27	4.4	1	3.0	4.4
William F. Morse	0	--	0	--	12	4.2	0	--	4.2
Frank A. Pfiffner	0	--	0	--	4	4.0	0	--	4.0
Eric Smith	0	--	0	--	31	4.6	0	--	4.6
John Suddock	0	--	0	--	16	4.0	0	--	4.0
Sen K. Tan	0	--	0	--	11	4.0	0	--	4.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	0	--	30	4.3	0	--	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	0	--	0	--	37	4.0	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	24	4.8	0	--	4.8
Margaret L. Murphy	1	5.0	0	--	23	3.4	2	4.5	3.6
Daniel Schally	0	--	0	--	14	4.7	0	--	4.7
Alex M. Swiderski	0	--	0	--	17	4.2	0	--	4.2
David R. Wallace	1	4.0	0	--	17	4.2	0	--	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	13	4.0	0	--	4.0
David Zwink	1	4.0	0	--	30	4.4	0	--	4.4
Paul Lyle	0	--	0	--	0	--	30	3.6	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	0	--	0	--	1	4.0	22	4.3	4.3
Patrick S. Hammers	0	--	0	--	0	--	24	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.



**Table 11: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Community Population: Peace and Probation Officers**

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall Evaluation Mean
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	
<i>First District</i>							
William Barker Carey	1	5.0	16	4.7	2	5.0	4.7
Keith B. Levy	3	4.0	17	4.2	1	4.0	4.2
Thomas G. Nave	2	3.5	16	3.9	1	4.0	3.9
<i>Second District</i>							
Michael I. Jeffery	3	3.0	8	3.3	4	4.8	3.6
<i>Third District</i>							
Steve Cole	1	5.0	14	4.3	2	4.5	4.4
Gregory Louis Heath	1	5.0	13	4.4	7	4.6	4.5
Charles Huguelet	2	4.5	24	4.4	3	4.7	4.4
William F. Morse	0	--	2	3.5	10	4.3	4.2
Frank A. Pfiffner	0	--	0	--	4	4.0	4.0
Eric Smith	1	5.0	13	4.5	17	4.7	4.6
John Suddock	0	--	3	5.0	13	3.8	4.0
Sen K. Tan	0	--	2	4.5	9	3.9	4.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	2	4.5	28	4.3	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	0	--	4	4.3	33	3.9	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	24	4.8	4.8
Margaret L. Murphy	2	4.5	22	3.4	2	4.5	3.6
Daniel Schally	2	4.0	9	4.8	3	5.0	4.7
Alex M. Swiderski	0	--	2	5.0	15	4.1	4.2
David R. Wallace	0	--	0	--	18	4.2	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	13	4.0	4.0
David Zwink	2	4.0	17	4.4	12	4.4	4.4
<i>Fourth District</i>							
Paul Lyle	2	3.0	9	3.9	18	3.5	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	0	--	5	4.0	18	4.3	4.3
Patrick S. Hammers	3	3.3	5	4.8	16	4.0	4.1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 12: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Respondent Gender: Peace and Probation Officers**

	Male		Female		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<b><i>First District</i></b>					
William Barker Carey	9	4.9	9	4.6	4.7
Keith B. Levy	17	4.1	4	4.5	4.2
Thomas G. Nave	15	3.9	4	4.0	3.9
<b><i>Second District</i></b>					
Michael I. Jeffery	10	3.5	5	3.8	3.6
<b><i>Third District</i></b>					
Steve Cole	16	4.3	1	5.0	4.4
Gregory Louis Heath	17	4.5	4	4.3	4.5
Charles Huguelet	28	4.4	1	5.0	4.4
William F. Morse	7	4.0	5	4.4	4.2
Frank A. Pfiffner	4	4.0	0	--	4.0
Eric Smith	20	4.7	11	4.5	4.6
John Suddock	7	4.0	9	4.0	4.0
Sen K. Tan	6	3.8	5	4.2	4.0
Philip R. Volland	16	4.4	14	4.2	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	22	4.1	15	3.7	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	19	4.7	5	4.8	4.8
Margaret L. Murphy	26	3.6	0	--	3.6
Daniel Schally	13	4.7	1	5.0	4.7
Alex M. Swiderski	10	4.2	7	4.1	4.2
David R. Wallace	14	4.1	4	4.5	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	11	4.1	2	3.5	4.0
David Zwink	27	4.4	4	4.5	4.4
<b><i>Fourth District</i></b>					
Paul Lyle	20	3.8	10	3.4	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	12	4.3	11	4.2	4.3
Patrick S. Hammers	20	4.1	4	4.3	4.1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 13: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Length of Experience: Peace and Probation Officers**

	5 Years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>First District</i>											
William Barker Carey	5	4.4	2	5.0	6	4.8	5	4.8	1	5.0	4.7
Keith B. Levy	3	3.7	5	4.8	7	4.3	6	3.8	0	--	4.2
Thomas G. Nave	4	4.0	4	3.8	6	3.8	5	4.0	0	--	3.9
<i>Second District</i>											
Michael I. Jeffery	6	3.5	3	3.0	3	4.0	2	3.5	1	5.0	3.6
<i>Third District</i>											
Steve Cole	4	4.8	4	4.5	3	3.7	2	5.0	4	4.0	4.4
Gregory Louis Heath	4	4.0	6	4.8	6	4.3	1	5.0	4	4.5	4.5
Charles Huguelet	6	4.5	9	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.4	3	4.0	4.4
William F. Morse	4	4.8	4	4.5	1	3.0	2	3.5	1	3.0	4.2
Frank A. Pfiffner	2	5.0	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	1	1.0	4.0
Eric Smith	4	4.3	9	4.9	9	4.6	4	4.5	5	4.6	4.6
John Suddock	1	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	3.5	2	4.5	4.0
Sen K. Tan	1	4.0	5	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	1	4.0	4.0
Philip R. Volland	6	4.2	7	4.9	4	4.5	7	3.9	5	4.4	4.3
Michael L. Wolverton	8	4.3	9	4.4	8	3.5	6	3.5	5	4.0	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	1	5.0	8	4.9	7	4.6	6	4.8	2	4.5	4.8
Margaret L. Murphy	6	3.3	8	3.9	4	3.5	4	3.3	4	3.8	3.6
Daniel Schally	3	4.3	5	4.8	5	4.8	0	--	1	5.0	4.7
Alex M. Swiderski	2	3.5	8	4.3	4	4.3	2	4.0	1	5.0	4.2
David R. Wallace	1	5.0	7	4.3	5	3.4	3	4.7	2	4.5	4.2
Pamela Scott Washington	1	4.0	7	4.1	2	3.5	2	4.5	1	3.0	4.0
David Zwink	3	4.7	9	4.6	10	4.1	2	5.0	7	4.3	4.4
<i>Fourth District</i>											
Paul Lyle	10	3.8	8	3.9	8	3.0	4	4.0	0	--	3.6
Michael P. McConahy	10	4.5	6	4.2	6	4.0	1	4.0	0	--	4.3
Patrick S. Hammers	3	4.0	8	4.1	7	4.1	5	4.6	1	1.0	4.1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

## Ratings by Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem

**Table 14: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Type of Work: SW/GALs**

	Social Worker		Guardian ad Litem		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
William Barker Carey	0	--	2	4.5	4.5
Keith B. Levy	0	--	1	5.0	5.0
Thomas G. Nave	0	--	0	--	--
Michael I. Jeffery	0	--	1	5.0	5.0
Steve Cole	0	--	0	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	1	3.0	1	4.0	3.7
Charles Huguelet	6	5.0	5	4.8	4.9
William F. Morse	2	4.0	7	4.4	4.3
Frank A. Pfiffner	1	2.0	5	4.2	3.8
Eric Smith	1	3.0	3	3.7	3.8
John Suddock	2	5.0	7	3.6	3.9
Sen K. Tan	3	5.0	7	5.0	5.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	0	--	--
Michael L. Wolverton	0	--	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	2	4.5	1	4.0	4.3
Daniel Schally	0	--	2	4.5	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	0	--	3	4.3	4.3
David R. Wallace	0	--	0	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	--
David Zwink	0	--	1	3.0	3.5
Paul Lyle	4	4.0	0	--	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	2	4.0	0	--	4.0
Patrick S. Hammers	1	5.0	0	--	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 15: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Location of Work: SW/GALs**

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
William Barker Carey	1	5.0	0	--	1	4.0	0	--	4.5
Keith B. Levy	1	5.0	0	--	0	--	0	--	5.0
Thomas G. Nave	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Michael I. Jeffery	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	0	--	5.0
Steve Cole	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	0	--	0	--	2	3.5	0	--	3.7
Charles Huguélet	0	--	0	--	11	4.9	0	--	4.9
William F. Morse	0	--	0	--	9	4.3	0	--	4.3
Frank A. Pfiffner	0	--	0	--	6	3.8	0	--	3.8
Eric Smith	0	--	0	--	4	3.5	0	--	3.8
John Suddock	0	--	0	--	9	3.9	0	--	3.9
Sen K. Tan	0	--	0	--	10	5.0	0	--	5.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Michael L. Wolverson	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	0	--	0	--	3	4.3	0	--	4.3
Daniel Schally	0	--	0	--	2	4.5	0	--	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	0	--	0	--	3	4.3	0	--	4.3
David R. Wallace	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
David Zwink	0	--	0	--	1	3.0	0	--	3.5
Paul Lyle	0	--	0	--	0	--	3	4.3	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	0	--	0	--	0	--	2	4.0	4.0
Patrick S. Hammers	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 16: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Community Population: SW/GALs**

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall Evaluation Mean
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	
<i>First District</i>							
William Barker Carey	0	--	2	4.5	0	--	4.5
Keith B. Levy	0	--	1	5.0	0	--	5.0
Thomas G. Nave	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
<i>Second District</i>							
Michael I. Jeffery	0	--	1	5.0	0	--	5.0
<i>Third District</i>							
Steve Cole	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	0	--	0	--	2	3.5	3.7
Charles Huguelet	0	--	8	5.0	3	4.7	4.9
William F. Morse	0	--	1	4.0	8	4.4	4.3
Frank A. Pfiffner	0	--	0	--	6	3.8	3.8
Eric Smith	0	--	0	--	4	3.5	3.8
John Suddock	0	--	1	5.0	8	3.8	3.9
Sen K. Tan	0	--	0	--	10	5.0	5.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Michael L. Wolverton	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	0	--	3	4.3	0	--	4.3
Daniel Schally	0	--	0	--	2	4.5	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	0	--	0	--	3	4.3	4.3
David R. Wallace	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
David Zwink	0	--	0	--	1	3.0	3.5
<i>Fourth District</i>							
Paul Lyle	0	--	0	--	4	4.0	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	0	--	0	--	2	4.0	4.0
Patrick S. Hammers	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 17: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Respondent Gender: SW/GALs**

	Male		Female		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>First District</i>					
William Barker Carey	1	5.0	1	4.0	4.5
Keith B. Levy	0	--	0	--	5.0
Thomas G. Nave	0	--	0	--	--
<i>Second District</i>					
Michael I. Jeffery	0	--	1	5.0	5.0
<i>Third District</i>					
Steve Cole	0	--	0	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	0	--	2	3.5	3.7
Charles Huguelet	2	4.5	9	5.0	4.9
William F. Morse	3	4.3	6	4.3	4.3
Frank A. Pfiffner	2	4.0	4	3.8	3.8
Eric Smith	1	4.0	3	3.3	3.8
John Suddock	2	4.0	7	3.9	3.9
Sen K. Tan	2	5.0	8	5.0	5.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	0	--	--
Michael L. Wolverton	0	--	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	1	4.0	2	4.5	4.3
Daniel Schally	0	--	2	4.5	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	2	4.0	1	5.0	4.3
David R. Wallace	0	--	0	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	--
David Zwink	0	--	1	3.0	3.5
<i>Fourth District</i>					
Paul Lyle	1	5.0	3	3.7	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	0	--	2	4.0	4.0
Patrick S. Hammers	1	5.0	0	--	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.

**Table 18: Mean Scores on Overall Evaluation by Length of Experience: SW/GALs**

	5 Years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall Evaluation
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	Mean
<i>First District</i>											
William Barker Carey	1	5.0	0	--	1	4.0	0	--	0	--	4.5
Keith B. Levy	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	0	--	5.0
Thomas G. Nave	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
<i>Second District</i>											
Michael I. Jeffery	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	0	--	0	--	5.0
<i>Third District</i>											
Steve Cole	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	2	3.5	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	3.7
Charles Huguelet	3	4.7	2	5.0	4	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	4.9
William F. Morse	1	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.7	1	4.0	1	4.0	4.3
Frank A. Pfiffner	1	3.0	3	3.7	1	5.0	0	--	1	4.0	3.8
Eric Smith	3	3.7	0	--	1	3.0	0	--	0	--	3.8
John Suddock	2	2.5	2	4.0	4	4.5	0	--	1	4.0	3.9
Sen K. Tan	1	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	0	--	1	5.0	5.0
Philip R. Volland	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Michael L. Wolverton	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	0	--	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	0	--	4.3
Daniel Schally	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	0	--	1	4.0	4.5
Alex M. Swiderski	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	0	--	0	--	4.3
David R. Wallace	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--
David Zwink	1	3.0	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	3.5
<i>Fourth District</i>											
Paul Lyle	2	4.0	1	3.0	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	4.2
Michael P. McConahy	1	5.0	1	3.0	0	--	0	--	0	--	4.0
Patrick S. Hammers	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	5.0	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge.



## Summaries and Detailed Survey Scores for Individual Judges

Each judge has a summary page, followed by pages reporting the detailed demographic analysis for the attorneys, peace and probation officers, and social workers and guardians ad litem. As noted earlier in this report, the results presented in these tables are based on those respondents who had direct professional experience with each judge, and who rated the judge on at least one variable. Many of the cross tabulation results are based on very few respondents. Because they are based on only a handful of people with experience they should be regarded with caution, and more weight should be given to the overall results for that judge.

**Appellate Court**  
**Walter L. Carpeneti - Alaska Supreme Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Justice Carpeneti's detailed survey scores from attorneys follow. Attorneys rated him 4.7 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers and social workers and guardians ad litem do not rate justices or appellate judges.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by court employees and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, and public disciplinary files. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

**Supreme Court Justice Walter L. Carpeneti**

**A. Alaska Bar Association**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	3	0.8%
	Private, Solo	85	22.3%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	55	14.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	51	13.4%
	Private, Corporate Employee	9	2.4%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	53	13.9%
	Government	102	26.7%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	9	2.4%
	Other	15	3.9%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	12	3.1%
	5 Years or fewer	15	3.9%
	6 to 10 years	27	7.1%
	11 to 15 years	31	8.1%
	16 to 20 years	29	7.6%
	21 years or more	268	70.2%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	4	1.0%
	Male	258	67.5%
	Female	120	31.4%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	12	3.1%
	Prosecution	16	4.2%
	Mainly Criminal	19	5.0%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	92	24.1%
	Mainly Civil	222	58.1%
	Other	21	5.5%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	6	1.6%
	First District	69	18.1%
	Second District	3	0.8%
	Third District	259	67.8%
	Fourth District	38	9.9%
	Outside of Alaska	7	1.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	283	74.1%
	Experience in last 5 years	257	67.3%
	Experience not in last 5 years	26	6.8%

## Justice Walter L. Carpeneti: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		4		4		6		8		41		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>290</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.6	256	4.7	257	4.8	254	4.7	254	4.5	233	4.7	254
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.6	26	4.6	26	4.7	26	4.6	25	4.6	24	4.7	26
Professional Reputation	4.6	75	4.7	75	4.7	74	4.7	73	4.7	67	4.7	76
Other Personal Contacts	4.9	11	4.8	11	4.8	12	4.8	13	4.9	10	4.8	13
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		1		3		5		29		3
Private, Solo	4.5	62	4.5	61	4.7	61	4.6	60	4.5	54	4.5	62
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.5	41	4.5	41	4.7	40	4.6	41	4.4	38	4.5	39
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.6	45	4.5	45	4.7	45	4.8	44	4.4	41	4.5	44
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.8	46	4.9	46	4.9	45	4.9	46	4.7	41	4.9	46
Government	4.7	74	4.7	75	4.8	75	4.8	73	4.5	68	4.7	75
Public Service Agency/Org	4.7	7	4.6	7	4.6	7	4.9	7	4.6	5	4.6	7
Other	5.0	9	5.0	9	5.0	9	4.8	9	4.9	9	5.0	9
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		1		1		2		5		27		3
5 Years or fewer	4.9	9	4.9	10	4.9	10	4.8	10	4.7	9	4.9	10
6 to 10 years	4.8	17	4.7	17	4.8	17	4.8	16	4.7	15	4.8	16
11 to 15 years	4.8	22	4.9	22	5.0	22	5.0	22	4.7	20	4.8	22
16 to 20 years	4.4	19	4.4	19	4.5	19	4.4	17	4.3	16	4.4	18
21 years or more	4.6	214	4.6	213	4.8	212	4.7	212	4.5	195	4.6	213
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		1		1		3		4		28		3
Male	4.6	209	4.7	208	4.7	207	4.7	205	4.5	188	4.6	207
Female	4.7	80	4.6	81	4.8	80	4.7	81	4.5	74	4.7	80
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		1		1		3		5		29		3
Prosecution	4.9	7	5.0	7	5.0	7	5.0	7	4.4	7	4.9	7
Mainly Criminal	4.7	14	4.7	15	4.9	15	4.8	15	4.8	13	4.8	14
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.6	71	4.6	70	4.7	69	4.7	69	4.5	63	4.6	70
Mainly Civil	4.6	172	4.6	172	4.8	171	4.7	170	4.5	157	4.6	171
Other	4.7	17	4.8	17	4.8	17	4.8	16	4.7	13	4.8	17
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		1		3		5		28		3
First District	4.9	54	4.8	54	4.9	53	4.8	54	4.8	51	4.9	54
Second District	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Third District	4.6	197	4.6	198	4.7	196	4.7	195	4.5	177	4.6	196
Fourth District	4.5	28	4.4	27	4.5	28	4.6	26	4.2	24	4.5	27
Outside of Alaska	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	5.0	5

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Appellate Court**  
**Daniel Winfree - Alaska Supreme Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Justice Winfree's detailed survey scores from attorneys follow. Attorneys rated him 4.4 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers and social workers and guardians ad litem do not rate justices or appellate judges.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by court employees and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, and public disciplinary files. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Alaska Supreme Court Justice Daniel Winfree

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	4	1.3%
	Private, Solo	63	20.2%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	53	17.0%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	46	14.7%
	Private, Corporate Employee	6	1.9%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	43	13.8%
	Government	84	26.9%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	7	2.2%
	Other	6	1.9%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	10	3.2%
	5 Years or fewer	13	4.2%
	6 to 10 years	24	7.7%
	11 to 15 years	25	8.0%
	16 to 20 years	25	8.0%
	21 years or more	215	68.9%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	6	1.9%
	Male	215	68.9%
	Female	91	29.2%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	9	2.9%
	Prosecution	11	3.5%
	Mainly Criminal	13	4.2%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	76	24.4%
	Mainly Civil	185	59.3%
	Other	18	5.8%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	8	2.6%
	First District	36	11.5%
	Second District	3	1.0%
	Third District	212	67.9%
	Fourth District	51	16.3%
	Outside of Alaska	2	0.6%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	223	71.5%
	Experience in last 5 years	212	67.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	11	3.5%

## Justice Daniel Winfree: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>220</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	208	4.5	210	4.6	205	4.5	207	4.4	189	4.5	207
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	11	4.6	11	4.6	11	4.5	11	4.5	11	4.5	11
Professional Reputation	4.3	61	4.4	61	4.5	59	4.4	58	4.4	52	4.4	61
Other Personal Contacts	4.4	17	4.5	17	4.4	19	4.3	16	4.3	10	4.3	18
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		2		7		5		23		5
Private, Solo	4.4	39	4.4	40	4.5	37	4.4	40	4.4	36	4.4	40
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.4	35	4.4	36	4.5	36	4.4	35	4.3	31	4.4	35
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	38	4.7	38	4.8	38	4.7	36	4.7	37	4.6	37
Private, Corporate Employee	4.3	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.6	34	4.7	34	4.7	34	4.7	34	4.6	32	4.6	34
Government	4.4	59	4.4	59	4.5	58	4.4	59	4.3	53	4.5	58
Public Service Agency/Org	4.0	5	4.2	5	4.5	4	4.2	5	4.5	2	4.2	5
Other	4.5	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		4		2		7		5		22		5
5 Years or fewer	4.4	9	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.7	9	4.5	8	4.6	9
6 to 10 years	4.4	13	4.5	13	4.6	12	4.3	12	4.7	10	4.6	12
11 to 15 years	4.7	12	4.4	14	4.5	13	4.4	14	4.5	13	4.4	14
16 to 20 years	4.3	18	4.3	18	4.4	18	4.4	17	4.5	15	4.3	18
21 years or more	4.4	159	4.5	159	4.6	156	4.5	158	4.4	147	4.5	157
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		4		2		7		4		22		5
Male	4.4	151	4.5	152	4.6	149	4.5	150	4.4	140	4.5	150
Female	4.4	64	4.5	65	4.6	63	4.5	65	4.5	57	4.5	64
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		4		2		7		5		23		5
Prosecution	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	3.8	4	4.5	4
Mainly Criminal	4.1	12	4.3	12	4.6	11	4.6	12	4.7	10	4.6	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	51	4.3	52	4.3	52	4.3	51	4.3	48	4.3	52
Mainly Civil	4.5	137	4.6	137	4.7	134	4.6	136	4.6	126	4.6	135
Other	4.5	11	4.6	12	4.6	11	4.4	11	4.4	8	4.5	12
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		2		7		5		23		5
First District	4.6	21	4.6	21	4.7	21	4.6	21	4.7	18	4.6	21
Second District	4.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Third District	4.4	160	4.5	161	4.7	156	4.5	159	4.5	144	4.5	158
Fourth District	4.3	29	4.2	30	4.2	30	4.1	29	4.2	29	4.2	30
Outside of Alaska	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Appellate Court  
**Joel H. Bolger – Court of Appeals**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Bolger's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.4 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers and social workers and guardians ad litem do not rate justices or appellate judges.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by court employees and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, and public disciplinary files. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).



## Court of Appeals Judge Joel H. Bolger

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	3	1.5%
	Private, Solo	35	17.3%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	23	11.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	16	7.9%
	Private, Corporate Employee	1	0.5%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	49	24.3%
	Government	68	33.7%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	0.5%
	Other	6	3.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	12	5.9%
	5 Years or fewer	12	5.9%
	6 to 10 years	17	8.4%
	11 to 15 years	19	9.4%
	16 to 20 years	17	8.4%
	21 years or more	125	61.9%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	3	1.5%
	Male	139	68.8%
	Female	60	29.7%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	7	3.5%
	Prosecution	22	10.9%
	Mainly Criminal	17	8.4%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	76	37.6%
	Mainly Civil	67	33.2%
	Other	13	6.4%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	4	2.0%
	First District	16	7.9%
	Second District	3	1.5%
	Third District	145	71.8%
	Fourth District	31	15.3%
	Outside of Alaska	3	1.5%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	142	70.3%
	Experience in last 5 years	122	60.4%
	Experience not in last 5 years	20	9.9%

## Judge Joel H. Bolger: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>143</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	119	4.3	120	4.5	119	4.4	118	4.5	110	4.3	121
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.2	19	4.4	19	4.4	19	4.5	19	4.5	18	4.3	19
Professional Reputation	4.3	44	4.3	43	4.4	41	4.4	42	4.3	38	4.4	45
Other Personal Contacts	4.7	9	4.6	10	4.6	10	4.8	10	4.7	10	4.7	10
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		4		5		6		15		2
Private, Solo	3.8	23	3.7	23	4.3	23	4.1	24	4.2	22	3.8	25
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.6	13	3.6	14	3.7	14	3.6	14	3.8	14	3.6	14
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.7	11	4.8	11	4.9	11	4.9	9	5.0	9	4.7	11
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	42	4.7	42	4.8	42	4.7	41	4.8	37	4.8	42
Government	4.5	43	4.4	43	4.6	42	4.5	43	4.6	40	4.4	43
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		15		4		5		5		14		2
5 Years or fewer	4.2	6	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.2	6
6 to 10 years	4.0	8	3.7	9	4.3	9	3.9	8	4.3	8	3.9	8
11 to 15 years	4.1	4	4.1	14	4.4	14	4.1	14	4.5	12	4.1	14
16 to 20 years	4.3	12	4.1	12	4.5	12	4.6	11	4.4	11	4.2	12
21 years or more	4.5	90	4.5	91	4.6	90	4.5	91	4.6	84	4.5	93
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		5		4		5		5		14		2
Male	4.4	94	4.4	96	4.5	95	4.4	95	4.5	89	4.4	98
Female	4.4	43	4.3	42	4.6	42	4.5	42	4.5	39	4.4	42
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		5		4		5		6		14		2
Prosecution	5.0	9	4.9	9	5.0	8	4.9	9	4.9	8	5.0	9
Mainly Criminal	4.0	14	3.7	13	4.0	13	3.9	14	4.5	14	3.7	13
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	61	4.3	61	4.4	61	4.3	59	4.4	53	4.3	62
Mainly Civil	4.5	40	4.6	42	4.7	42	4.7	42	4.6	41	4.5	43
Other	4.6	9	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.8	8	4.8	8	4.7	9
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		4		5		6		15		2
First District	4.5	12	4.5	12	4.7	11	4.6	11	4.6	8	4.4	12
Second District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Third District	4.4	102	4.3	103	4.5	103	4.5	102	4.5	97	4.4	104
Fourth District	4.2	20	4.1	20	4.3	20	4.0	20	4.3	19	4.0	21
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**First Judicial District**  
**William Barker Carey - Ketchikan Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Carey's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.4 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.7 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.5 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge William Barker Carey

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.1%
Private, Solo	20	20.8%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	13	13.5%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	5	5.2%
Private, Corporate Employee	2	2.1%
Judge or Judicial Officer	25	26.0%
Government	27	28.1%
Public Service Agency or Organization	1	1.0%
Other	1	1.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	3	3.1%
5 Years or fewer	4	4.2%
6 to 10 years	10	10.4%
11 to 15 years	7	7.3%
16 to 20 years	6	6.3%
21 years or more	66	68.8%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	2.1%
Male	65	67.7%
Female	29	30.2%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	4	4.2%
Prosecution	5	5.2%
Mainly Criminal	8	8.3%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	44	45.8%
Mainly Civil	31	32.3%
Other	4	4.2%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.1%
First District	35	36.5%
Second District	--	0.0%
Third District	50	52.1%
Fourth District	7	7.3%
Outside of Alaska	2	2.1%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	142	70.3%
Experience in last 5 years	122	60.4%
Experience not in last 5 years	20	9.9%

## Judge William Barker Carey: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>65</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	59	4.6	59	4.7	60	4.5	60	4.4	58	4.5	60
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.3	3	3.7	3
Professional Reputation	4.1	20	4.4	20	4.3	20	4.3	21	4.2	19	4.2	19
Other Personal Contacts	3.5	4	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	3.7	3	4.0	5
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		1		0		0		2		0
Private, Solo	4.0	12	4.2	11	4.4	12	4.4	12	4.2	12	4.2	12
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.1	7	4.4	7	4.4	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	4.1	7
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.5	4
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.4	23	4.7	24	4.7	24	4.6	24	4.5	22	4.5	24
Government	4.4	13	4.8	13	4.8	13	4.7	13	4.5	13	4.7	13
Public Service Agency/Org	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		1		1		0		0		2		0
5 Years or fewer	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3
6 to 10 years	4.0	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.0	5	3.8	5	4.0	5
11 to 15 years	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.0	3	4.3	4
16 to 20 years	3.4	5	3.8	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.0	5	3.8	5
21 years or more	4.4	44	4.7	44	4.7	45	4.6	45	4.5	44	4.6	45
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		1		1		0		0		2		0
Male	4.3	46	4.5	46	4.6	47	4.5	47	4.3	45	4.4	47
Female	4.4	16	4.7	16	4.7	16	4.7	16	4.6	16	4.6	16
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		1		1		0		0		2		0
Prosecution	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3
Mainly Criminal	4.5	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	4.7	3	5.0	4
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	32	4.5	32	4.5	33	4.4	33	4.2	32	4.3	33
Mainly Civil	4.3	20	4.6	20	4.8	20	4.7	20	4.6	20	4.6	20
Other	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		1		0		0		2		0
First District	4.3	30	4.6	29	4.7	30	4.6	30	4.4	30	4.5	30
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	27	4.5	28	4.5	28	4.5	28	4.3	26	4.4	28
Fourth District	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge William Barker Carey**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	4	16.7%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	8	33.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	12	50.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	20.8%
	6 to 10 years	2	8.3%
	11 to 15 years	8	33.3%
	16 to 20 years	7	29.2%
	21 years or more	2	8.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	4.2%
	Male	13	54.2%
	Female	10	41.7%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	21	87.5%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	3	12.5%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	4.2%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	21	87.5%
	Over 35,000	2	8.3%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	19	79.2%
	Experience in last 5 years	18	75.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	4.2%

**Judge William Barker Carey  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
	<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>									
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>19</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.7	18	4.7	18	4.8	18	4.7	17	4.7	18
Experience not in last 5 yrs	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	4.0	5	3.8	5	3.8	5	3.8	5	3.8	5
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.8	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	5.0	4	5.0	5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.6	11	4.6	11	4.7	11	4.6	11	4.6	11
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.4	5
6 to 10 years	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
11 to 15 years	5.0	6	5.0	6	4.8	6	4.7	6	4.8	6
16 to 20 years	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	--	0	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Male	4.8	9	4.8	9	4.8	9	4.9	8	4.9	9
Female	4.7	9	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.6	9	4.6	9
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District	4.7	16	4.7	16	4.8	16	4.7	15	4.7	16
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Under 2,000	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.8	16	4.7	16	4.8	16	4.7	15	4.7	16
Over 35,000	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court William Barker Carey**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	25.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	3	75.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	25.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	25.0%
	16 to 20 years	1	25.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	25.0%
	Male	2	50.0%
	Female	1	25.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	3	75.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	1	25.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	100.0%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	3	75.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	3	75.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%



**Judge William Barker Carey  
Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		2		0		1		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.7	3	5.0	2	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.5	2
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	5.0	1	--	0	5.0	1	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		0		1
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	4.7	3	5.0	2	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.5	2
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		0		1
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	--	0
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	--	0	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		0		1
Male	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	1
Female	5.0	1	--	0	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		0		1
First District	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	5.0	1	--	0	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		0		1
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.7	3	5.0	2	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.5	2
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**First Judicial District  
Keith B. Levy – Juneau District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Levy's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.5 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.2 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 5.0 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge Keith B. Levy

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	4	2.7%
Private, Solo	32	21.6%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	24	16.2%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	10	6.8%
Private, Corporate Employee	4	2.7%
Judge or Judicial Officer	30	20.3%
Government	39	26.4%
Public Service Agency or Organization	3	2.0%
Other	2	1.4%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	5	3.4%
5 Years or fewer	8	5.4%
6 to 10 years	11	7.4%
11 to 15 years	11	7.4%
16 to 20 years	11	7.4%
21 years or more	102	68.9%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	3	2.0%
Male	95	64.2%
Female	50	33.8%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	4	2.7%
Prosecution	8	5.4%
Mainly Criminal	7	4.7%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	46	31.1%
Mainly Civil	79	53.4%
Other	4	2.7%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	2.0%
First District	69	46.6%
Second District	1	0.7%
Third District	64	41.2%
Fourth District	12	8.1%
Outside of Alaska	2	1.4%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	101	68.2%
Experience in last 5 years	96	64.9%
Experience not in last 5 years	5	3.4%

## Judge Keith B. Levy: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		9		9		8		7		17		7
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>103</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.5	94	4.5	95	4.6	94	4.5	96	4.6	88	4.5	96
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.2	5
Professional Reputation	4.3	29	4.3	28	4.5	29	4.4	29	4.2	29	4.3	28
Other Personal Contacts	4.4	9	4.4	9	4.6	10	4.5	10	4.5	8	4.5	10
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		1		2		1		8		0
Private, Solo	4.6	19	4.6	19	4.7	19	4.5	20	4.5	19	4.6	20
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.1	20	4.3	20	4.5	19	4.3	19	4.4	18	4.2	20
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.9	29	4.9	29	4.9	29	4.8	29	4.9	25	4.9	29
Government	4.2	22	4.1	22	4.3	22	4.2	22	4.1	21	4.2	22
Public Service Agency/Org	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		1		1		2		1		7		0
5 Years or fewer	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.4	5
6 to 10 years	4.5	6	4.3	6	4.7	6	4.8	6	4.4	5	4.3	6
11 to 15 years	3.7	6	3.8	6	3.8	6	3.7	6	3.6	5	3.8	6
16 to 20 years	4.5	8	4.6	8	5.0	7	4.4	8	5.0	7	4.5	8
21 years or more	4.5	74	4.5	74	4.7	74	4.5	74	4.6	71	4.6	75
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		1		1		2		1		8		0
Male	4.4	70	4.5	69	4.6	70	4.4	69	4.5	65	4.5	70
Female	4.7	29	4.6	30	4.8	28	4.6	30	4.7	27	4.6	30
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		1		1		2		1		8		0
Prosecution	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.8	5	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.4	5
Mainly Criminal	4.5	6	4.5	6	4.7	6	4.5	6	4.6	5	4.7	6
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.4	39	4.5	38	4.5	38	4.3	39	4.5	34	4.5	39
Mainly Civil	4.6	45	4.6	46	4.8	45	4.7	45	4.7	44	4.7	46
Other	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		1		2		1		9		0
First District	4.5	49	4.5	50	4.6	49	4.4	51	4.6	47	4.5	51
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.5	39	4.5	39	4.7	39	4.6	38	4.6	34	4.6	39
Fourth District	3.9	9	3.9	9	4.2	9	3.9	9	4.0	9	4.1	9
Outside of Alaska	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Keith B. Levy****B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	6	23.1%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	15	57.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	5	19.2%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	19.2%
	6 to 10 years	5	19.2%
	11 to 15 years	8	30.8%
	16 to 20 years	7	26.9%
	21 years or more	1	3.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	21	80.8%
	Female	5	19.2%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	26	100.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	3	11.5%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	22	84.6%
	Over 35,000	1	3.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	22	84.6%
	Experience in last 5 years	21	80.8%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	3.8%

**Judge Keith B. Levy  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>21</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.1	21	4.2	21	4.4	21	4.2	20	4.2	21
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.2	5
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.9	13	4.2	13	4.4	13	4.1	12	4.2	13
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
5 Years or fewer	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
6 to 10 years	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.8	5
11 to 15 years	4.0	7	4.4	7	4.7	7	4.7	6	4.3	7
16 to 20 years	3.8	6	3.8	6	4.0	6	3.8	6	3.8	6
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
Male	4.0	17	4.2	17	4.4	7	4.2	17	4.1	17
Female	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.5	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
First District	4.1	21	4.2	21	4.4	21	4.2	20	4.2	21
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
Under 2,000	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.0	3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.1	17	4.3	17	4.5	17	4.3	16	4.2	17
Over 35,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Keith B. Levy**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	50.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	50.0%
	16 to 20 years	1	50.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	1	50.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	2	100.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	100.0%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	--	0.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	--	0.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Keith B. Levy**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	2.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



**First Judicial District**  
**Thomas G. Nave – Juneau District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Nave's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.5 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.9 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem did not rate this judge based on direct professional experience.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge Thomas G. Nave

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	1	0.9%
	Private, Solo	22	19.8%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	16	14.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	10	9.0%
	Private, Corporate Employee	1	0.9%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	23	20.7%
	Government	33	29.7%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	0.9%
	Other	4	3.6%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	4	3.6%
	5 Years or fewer	6	5.4%
	6 to 10 years	8	7.2%
	11 to 15 years	9	8.1%
	16 to 20 years	8	7.2%
	21 years or more	76	68.5%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	0.9%
	Male	69	62.2%
	Female	41	36.9%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	5	4.5%
	Prosecution	5	4.5%
	Mainly Criminal	7	6.3%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	37	33.3%
	Mainly Civil	53	47.7%
	Other	4	3.6%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	1.8%
	First District	55	49.5%
	Second District	1	0.9%
	Third District	40	36.0%
	Fourth District	11	9.9%
	Outside of Alaska	2	1.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	61	55.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	52	46.8%
	Experience not in last 5 years	9	8.1%

## Judge Thomas G. Nave: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		7		8		8		9		17		8
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>62</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	52	4.5	51	4.5	52	4.6	51	4.4	47	4.5	52
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.2	9	4.1	9	4.3	9	4.0	9	4.4	8	4.2	9
Professional Reputation	4.3	36	4.4	36	4.5	36	4.5	36	4.3	34	4.4	36
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	6	4.8	6	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.3	4	4.2	5
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		0		1		0		1		6		0
Private, Solo	4.4	14	4.6	14	4.5	14	4.4	14	4.5	12	4.5	14
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.3	12	4.4	12	4.5	12	4.4	12	4.3	12	4.3	12
Private, 6+ Attorneys	5.0	2	4.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Private, Corporate Employee	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.5	19	4.7	19	4.6	19	4.7	18	4.5	16	4.6	19
Government	4.2	13	3.9	12	4.1	13	4.2	13	4.2	12	4.2	13
Public Service Agency/Org	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		0		1		0		1		5		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	3	5.0	2	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
6 to 10 years	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.5	2	4.0	3
11 to 15 years	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.7	3	4.5	4
16 to 20 years	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4
21 years or more	4.4	46	4.5	46	4.5	46	4.5	45	4.4	43	4.5	46
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		0		1		0		1		6		0
Male	4.4	44	4.6	44	4.6	44	4.5	43	4.4	40	4.6	44
Female	4.4	17	4.1	16	4.2	17	4.4	17	4.3	15	4.2	17
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		0		1		0		1		5		0
Prosecution	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Mainly Criminal	4.3	3	3.0	2	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	29	4.5	29	4.4	29	4.4	28	4.3	26	4.5	29
Mainly Civil	4.5	24	4.6	24	4.7	24	4.6	24	4.5	22	4.6	24
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		0		1		0		1		6		0
First District	4.5	32	4.7	31	4.7	32	4.7	31	4.5	30	4.7	32
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	20	4.1	20	4.2	20	4.2	20	4.3	16	4.2	20
Fourth District	4.1	8	4.1	8	4.4	8	4.1	8	4.1	8	4.3	8
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## District Court Judge Thomas G. Nave

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	26.9%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	15	57.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	15.4%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	6	23.1%
	6 to 10 years	5	19.2%
	11 to 15 years	9	34.6%
	16 to 20 years	5	19.2%
	21 years or more	1	3.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	20	76.9%
	Female	6	23.1%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	26	100.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	2	7.7%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	23	88.5%
	Over 35,000	1	3.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	26	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	20	76.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Thomas G. Nave  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		2		4		2		4		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>19</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.8	19	3.9	17	4.1	19	4.0	17	3.9	19
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.6	5
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		3		1		3		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.3	6	3.4	5	3.7	6	3.7	6	3.3	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.1	12	4.2	11	4.3	12	4.2	10	4.2	12
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		3		1		3		1
5 Years or fewer	4.0	4	4.0	3	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
6 to 10 years	3.8	4	3.8	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	3.8	4
11 to 15 years	3.8	6	3.8	5	4.0	6	3.8	5	3.8	6
16 to 20 years	3.8	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.0	5	4.0	5
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		3		1		3		1
Male	3.8	15	3.9	14	4.1	15	3.9	14	3.9	15
Female	4.0	4	4.3	3	4.0	4	4.7	3	4.0	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		3		1		3		1
First District	3.8	19	3.9	17	4.1	19	4.0	17	3.9	19
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		3		1		3		1
Under 2,000	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	3.5	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.9	16	4.0	14	4.1	16	3.9	14	3.9	16
Over 35,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Thomas G. Nave**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	100.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	100.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	1	100.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	100.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	100.0%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	1	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	1	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Thomas G. Nave**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	2.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Second Judicial District**  
**Michael I. Jeffery – Barrow Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Jeffery's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.6 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.6 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 5.0 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).



## Superior Court Judge Michael I. Jeffery

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	0	0.0%
Private, Solo	38	22.1%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	25	14.5%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	16	9.3%
Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.2%
Judge or Judicial Officer	40	23.3%
Government	44	25.6%
Public Service Agency or Organization	3	1.7%
Other	4	2.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	5	2.9%
5 Years or fewer	7	4.1%
6 to 10 years	13	7.6%
11 to 15 years	11	6.4%
16 to 20 years	12	7.0%
21 years or more	124	72.1%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	1	0.6%
Male	112	65.1%
Female	59	34.3%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	5	2.9%
Prosecution	12	7.0%
Mainly Criminal	10	5.8%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	59	34.3%
Mainly Civil	76	44.2%
Other	10	5.8%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	1.7%
First District	11	6.3%
Second District	13	7.6%
Third District	106	61.6%
Fourth District	38	22.1%
Outside of Alaska	1	0.6%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	128	74.4%
Experience in last 5 years	97	56.4%
Experience not in last 5 years	31	18.0%

## Judge Michael I. Jeffery: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		8		8		8		5		20		7
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>128</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.6	97	4.8	96	4.8	96	4.8	97	4.4	92	4.7	96
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.2	31	4.2	30	4.4	30	4.4	31	4.2	30	4.4	30
Professional Reputation	4.6	26	4.6	27	4.8	27	4.8	28	4.5	23	4.6	27
Other Personal Contacts	4.7	9	4.9	10	4.9	10	4.9	10	4.7	6	4.7	10
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		3		2		1		7		2
Private, Solo	4.6	25	4.7	25	4.7	25	4.8	25	4.5	24	4.7	26
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.3	19	4.4	19	4.4	19	4.4	19	3.9	18	4.3	19
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	10	4.2	9	4.7	10	4.6	10	4.3	10	4.5	10
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.6	33	4.8	32	4.8	32	4.8	33	4.5	29	4.8	32
Government	4.4	34	4.6	34	4.7	34	4.6	34	4.2	34	4.6	33
Public Service Agency/Org	4.7	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.3	3	5.0	3
Other	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.8	4
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		1		7		2
5 Years or fewer	4.7	6	4.3	6	4.8	6	4.7	6	4.0	6	4.8	6
6 to 10 years	4.3	10	4.6	10	4.8	9	4.6	10	4.3	9	4.7	9
11 to 15 years	4.6	8	4.8	8	4.9	8	4.6	8	4.4	8	4.6	8
16 to 20 years	4.5	11	4.6	11	4.5	11	4.6	11	4.5	11	4.6	11
21 years or more	4.5	90	4.7	88	4.7	89	4.7	90	4.4	85	4.6	90
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		1		7		2
Male	4.5	85	4.7	83	4.8	83	4.7	85	4.3	80	4.7	85
Female	4.5	43	4.6	43	4.6	43	4.6	43	4.3	42	4.6	42
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		2		7		2
Prosecution	4.2	10	4.5	10	4.6	10	4.4	10	4.1	10	4.5	10
Mainly Criminal	4.4	8	4.8	8	4.9	8	4.8	8	4.5	8	5.0	7
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.5	48	4.6	47	4.6	47	4.6	48	4.2	44	4.6	47
Mainly Civil	4.5	51	4.6	50	4.8	50	4.8	50	4.4	49	4.7	52
Other	4.8	8	4.8	8	4.6	8	4.8	8	4.9	8	4.8	8
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		1		7		2
First District	4.7	7	4.9	7	4.9	7	5.0	7	4.4	5	4.9	7
Second District	4.7	13	4.6	13	4.9	13	4.8	13	4.2	13	4.8	13
Third District	4.4	72	4.5	70	4.6	70	4.6	72	4.3	69	4.5	71
Fourth District	4.7	34	4.7	34	4.7	34	4.8	34	4.4	33	4.8	34
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Michael I. Jeffery**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	2	11.8%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	7	41.2%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	8	47.1%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	6	35.3%
	6 to 10 years	4	23.5%
	11 to 15 years	4	23.5%
	16 to 20 years	2	11.8%
	21 years or more	1	5.9%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	11	64.7%
	Female	6	35.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	5.9%
	Second District	12	70.6%
	Third District	2	11.8%
	Fourth District	2	11.8%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	4	23.5%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	47.1%
	Over 35,000	5	29.4%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	15	88.2%
	Experience in last 5 years	14	82.4%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	5.9%

**Judge Michael I. Jeffery  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>15</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.6	14	3.7	14	4.1	14	3.9	14	3.6	14
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Professional Reputation	1.5	2	1.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	1.5	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.2	6	3.3	6	4.2	6	3.7	6	3.2	6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.0	7	4.0	7	4.0	7	3.9	7	4.0	7
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.5	6	3.3	6	4.0	6	3.8	6	3.5	6
6 to 10 years	3.0	3	3.3	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	3.0	3
11 to 15 years	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
16 to 20 years	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	3.5	10	3.4	10	4.0	10	3.6	10	3.5	10
Female	3.8	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	3.8	5
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
Second District	3.2	10	3.4	10	3.9	10	3.6	10	3.2	10
Third District	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Fourth District	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	3.0	3	2.7	3	4.3	3	3.7	3	3.0	3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.3	8	3.6	8	3.6	8	3.4	8	3.3	8
Over 35,000	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Michael I. Jeffery**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	--	0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	100.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	100.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	1	100.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	1	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	100.0%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	1	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	1	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Michael I. Jeffery**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District  
Steve Cole - Kodiak Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Cole's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.3 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.4 overall. No social workers and guardians ad litem rated this judge.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Steve Cole

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.2%
Private, Solo	13	14.3%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	14	15.4%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	7	7.7%
Private, Corporate Employee	3	3.3%
Judge or Judicial Officer	23	25.3%
Government	27	29.7%
Public Service Agency or Organization	--	0.0%
Other	2	2.2%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	6	6.6%
5 Years or fewer	5	5.5%
6 to 10 years	9	9.9%
11 to 15 years	10	11.0%
16 to 20 years	7	7.7%
21 years or more	54	59.3%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	2.2%
Male	57	62.6%
Female	32	35.2%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	3	3.3%
Prosecution	7	7.7%
Mainly Criminal	8	8.8%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	35	38.5%
Mainly Civil	33	36.3%
Other	5	5.5%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.2%
First District	2	2.2%
Second District	1	1.1%
Third District	75	82.4%
Fourth District	10	11.0%
Outside of Alaska	1	1.1%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	60	65.9%
Experience in last 5 years	55	60.4%
Experience not in last 5 years	5	5.5%



## Judge Steve Cole: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		11		10		9		8		13		9
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>60</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.1	52	4.5	52	4.6	53	4.5	54	4.3	50	4.3	53
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.3	4	4.0	5
Professional Reputation	4.1	14	4.2	15	4.3	15	4.3	15	4.1	14	4.2	15
Other Personal Contacts	4.6	7	4.7	7	4.6	7	4.7	7	4.6	7	4.6	7
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		4		3		2		6		3
Private, Solo	4.3	9	4.8	9	4.9	9	4.6	9	4.5	8	4.4	9
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.9	9	4.2	9	4.4	10	4.0	9	4.4	10	4.4	10
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.0	3	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.7	3	3.7	3	5.0	2
Private, Corporate Employee	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.1	19	4.5	19	4.6	19	4.6	19	4.3	15	4.4	19
Government	3.9	13	4.4	14	4.6	14	4.3	15	4.1	15	4.0	14
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		4		4		3		2		6		3
5 Years or fewer	4.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	3	3.5	2	4.0	2
6 to 10 years	4.4	7	4.6	7	4.9	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7
11 to 15 years	4.0	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.2	6	4.0	4	4.2	6
16 to 20 years	3.6	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.0	5	3.8	6	4.0	5
21 years or more	4.1	34	4.6	34	4.6	35	4.5	35	4.3	33	4.3	35
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		4		4		3		2		6		3
Male	4.1	37	4.7	37	4.7	38	4.5	38	4.2	34	4.4	38
Female	4.1	20	4.3	20	4.5	20	4.3	21	4.3	21	4.3	20
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		4		4		3		2		6		3
Prosecution	4.3	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3
Mainly Criminal	4.3	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	5	5.0	4	4.8	4
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.1	24	4.4	25	4.5	25	4.3	25	4.1	21	4.3	25
Mainly Civil	4.1	22	4.6	21	4.7	22	4.5	22	4.3	23	4.4	22
Other	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.0	4
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		4		3		2		6		3
First District	--	0	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	52	4.5	51	4.6	52	4.4	53	4.2	50	4.3	52
Fourth District	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	5.0	4	4.8	5
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Steve Cole**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	6	31.6%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	9	47.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	21.1%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	21.1%
	6 to 10 years	4	21.1%
	11 to 15 years	4	21.1%
	16 to 20 years	2	10.5%
	21 years or more	5	26.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	17	89.5%
	Female	2	10.5%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	19	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	5.3%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	78.9%
	Over 35,000	3	15.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	19	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	17	89.5%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Steve Cole  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>17</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	17	4.2	17	4.6	16	4.3	17	4.4	17
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.8	5	3.8	5	4.2	5	4.0	5	3.8	5
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.4	9	4.2	9	4.8	8	4.4	9	4.4	9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.3	3	5.0	3
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.8	4	4.5	4	5.0	4	4.5	4	4.8	4
6 to 10 years	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.5	4
11 to 15 years	3.3	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3
16 to 20 years	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2
21 years or more	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	3	4.3	4	4.0	4
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Male	4.4	16	4.2	16	4.6	15	4.3	16	4.3	16
Female	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.4	17	4.2	17	4.6	16	4.3	17	4.4	17
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Under 2,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.3	14	4.1	14	4.5	13	4.3	14	4.3	14
Over 35,000	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Gregory Louis Heath - Palmer Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Heath's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.8 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.5 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 3.7 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Gregory Louis Heath

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	1	1.2%
Private, Solo	22	26.5%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	18	21.7%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4	4.8%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.2%
Judge or Judicial Officer	18	21.7%
Government	17	20.5%
Public Service Agency or Organization	1	1.2%
Other	1	1.2%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.4%
5 Years or fewer	4	4.8%
6 to 10 years	6	7.2%
11 to 15 years	10	12.0%
16 to 20 years	15	18.1%
21 years or more	46	55.4%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	1	1.2%
Male	52	62.7%
Female	30	36.1%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	3	3.3%
Prosecution	3	3.6%
Mainly Criminal	11	13.3%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	33	39.8%
Mainly Civil	32	38.6%
Other	1	1.2%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.4%
First District	1	1.2%
Second District	--	0.0%
Third District	76	91.6%
Fourth District	4	4.8%
Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	67	80.7%
Experience in last 5 years	66	79.5%
Experience not in last 5 years	1	1.2%

## Judge Gregory Louis Heath: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		9		7		8		8		10		6
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>68</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.7	63	4.0	65	4.1	64	4.2	65	3.7	63	3.8	66
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	2.9	7	2.7	7	2.9	7	2.9	7	2.7	7	2.9	7
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.5	2
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		1		2		1		3		0
Private, Solo	3.8	18	4.3	19	4.3	18	4.5	18	3.8	17	4.0	19
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.4	13	3.6	14	3.9	13	3.8	14	3.5	14	3.6	14
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.1	14	4.5	15	4.5	15	4.5	15	4.4	14	4.3	15
Government	3.3	14	3.5	13	3.6	14	3.8	14	3.1	14	3.4	14
Public Service Agency/Org	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		1		2		1		3		0
5 Years or fewer	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.7	3	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.3	4
6 to 10 years	3.7	6	4.0	6	4.0	6	4.2	6	3.2	6	3.7	6
11 to 15 years	3.5	8	3.9	8	3.8	8	4.0	8	3.4	7	3.6	8
16 to 20 years	3.3	12	3.9	13	4.1	13	4.2	12	3.5	13	3.7	13
21 years or more	3.8	34	4.1	35	4.2	35	4.2	36	3.9	34	3.9	36
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		3		1		2		1		3		0
Male	3.7	42	4.0	43	4.2	42	4.1	43	3.8	42	3.9	44
Female	3.6	22	4.1	23	4.0	23	4.4	23	3.6	22	3.8	23
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		0		2		1		2		0
Prosecution	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Mainly Criminal	4.0	10	3.9	10	4.2	10	4.3	10	4.1	10	4.2	10
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.5	25	4.0	28	4.1	26	4.0	28	3.8	26	3.8	28
Mainly Civil	3.7	25	4.1	25	4.2	25	4.3	24	3.4	24	3.7	25
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		1		2		1		2		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.7	60	4.1	62	4.2	61	4.2	62	3.8	61	3.9	63
Fourth District	3.0	3	3.7	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.0	3	3.0	3
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Gregory Louis Heath**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	10	41.7%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	8	33.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	6	25.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	16.7%
	6 to 10 years	6	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	8	33.3%
	16 to 20 years	2	8.3%
	21 years or more	4	16.7%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	20	83.3%
	Female	4	16.7%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	24	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	4.2%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	14	58.3%
	Over 35,000	9	37.5%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	24	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	21	87.5%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Gregory Louis Heath  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		1		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>21</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.5	20	4.4	19	4.6	20	4.4	21	4.5	21
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	5.0	2	3.7	3
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.6	7	4.4	7	4.6	7	4.4	8	4.6	8
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.4	7	4.7	6	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.6	7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.3	6	4.2	6	4.3	6	4.0	6	4.2	6
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
6 to 10 years	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	6	4.8	6
11 to 15 years	4.2	6	4.3	6	4.5	6	4.3	6	4.3	6
16 to 20 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
21 years or more	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.5	4
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		0		0
Male	4.5	17	4.5	15	4.7	17	4.4	17	4.5	17
Female	4.0	3	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.3	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.5	20	4.4	19	4.6	20	4.4	21	4.5	21
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		0		0
Under 2,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.3	12	4.3	13	4.5	12	4.2	13	4.4	13
Over 35,000	4.7	7	4.6	5	4.7	7	4.6	7	4.6	7

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



## Superior Court Judge Gregory Louis Heath

### C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>	No Response	1	33.3%
	Social Worker	1	33.3%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	33.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>	No Response	1	33.3%
	5 Years or fewer	2	66.7%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>	No Response	1	33.3%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	2	66.7%
<b>Location of Work</b>	No Response	1	33.3%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	2	66.7%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>	No Response	1	33.3%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	2	66.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>	Direct professional experience	3	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	3	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Gregory Louis Heath**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Charles Huguelet - Kenai Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Huguelet's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.9 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.4 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.9 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Charles Huguelet

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	1.4%
	Private, Solo	35	23.6%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	26	17.6%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	11	7.4%
	Private, Corporate Employee	1	0.7%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	25	16.9%
	Government	46	31.1%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	0.7%
	Other	1	0.7%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	6	4.1%
	5 Years or fewer	7	4.7%
	6 to 10 years	10	6.8%
	11 to 15 years	13	8.8%
	16 to 20 years	9	6.1%
	21 years or more	103	69.6%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	2	1.4%
	Male	94	63.5%
	Female	52	35.1%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	3	2.0%
	Prosecution	6	4.1%
	Mainly Criminal	11	7.4%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	50	33.8%
	Mainly Civil	71	48.0%
	Other	7	4.7%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	1.4%
	First District	7	4.7%
	Second District	1	0.7%
	Third District	126	85.1%
	Fourth District	12	8.1%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	118	79.7%
	Experience in last 5 years	103	69.6%
	Experience not in last 5 years	15	10.1%

## Judge Charles Huguelet: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		5		8		11		6		11		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>121</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.8	102	3.9	100	4.2	97	3.9	102	3.9	99	3.9	102
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.1	15	4.1	15	4.1	15	4.2	15	4.2	14	4.0	15
Professional Reputation	3.3	19	3.4	19	3.5	18	3.4	17	3.4	16	3.1	19
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	4	4.7	3	4.8	4	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.3	6
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		4		6		2		6		1
Private, Solo	3.7	31	3.9	29	4.2	29	4.0	31	4.1	31	3.9	32
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.3	20	4.2	20	4.4	19	4.2	20	4.1	19	4.2	20
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.3	7	4.6	7	5.0	7	4.7	7	4.6	7	4.6	7
Private, Corporate Employee	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	1.0	1	2.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	3.9	24	3.9	24	4.0	24	3.8	24	3.5	22	3.8	24
Government	3.8	33	3.7	33	4.2	32	3.9	33	4.0	33	3.9	33
Public Service Agency/Org	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	--	0	3.0	1
Other	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		2		4		7		2		6		1
5 Years or fewer	3.8	5	3.6	5	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.0	5
6 to 10 years	4.0	8	3.6	8	4.3	8	3.8	8	4.1	8	3.9	8
11 to 15 years	4.0	10	3.9	10	4.1	10	3.9	10	4.3	9	4.0	10
16 to 20 years	3.5	8	3.6	8	3.9	8	3.6	8	4.0	8	3.6	8
21 years or more	3.9	85	4.0	83	4.2	80	4.0	85	3.8	82	3.9	86
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		2		4		6		2		6		1
Male	4.0	79	4.1	77	4.4	75	4.1	79	4.1	76	4.0	80
Female	3.6	39	3.6	39	3.9	39	3.7	39	3.7	38	3.7	39
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		2		4		7		2		5		1
Prosecution	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	3.3	3	3.0	3	2.7	3
Mainly Criminal	3.6	11	3.6	11	4.5	10	3.9	11	4.2	11	3.8	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.9	38	3.9	38	4.0	38	4.0	38	3.9	37	4.0	38
Mainly Civil	3.9	58	4.0	56	4.4	54	4.1	58	3.9	56	3.9	59
Other	4.1	7	4.0	7	4.4	7	3.7	7	4.0	7	4.1	7
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		4		7		2		5		1
First District	3.8	5	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.6	5
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.9	108	3.9	106	4.2	103	4.0	108	4.0	105	3.9	109
Fourth District	3.8	5	3.8	5	4.0	5	3.6	5	3.8	5	3.8	5
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge Charles Huguelet

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	2.9%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	18	52.9%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	13	38.2%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	2	5.9%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	2	5.9%
	5 Years or fewer	8	23.5%
	6 to 10 years	9	26.5%
	11 to 15 years	5	14.7%
	16 to 20 years	7	20.6%
	21 years or more	3	8.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	2.9%
	Male	31	91.2%
	Female	2	5.9%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	2.9%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	1	2.9%
	Third District	31	91.2%
	Fourth District	1	2.9%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	2.9%
	Under 2,000	2	5.9%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	27	79.4%
	Over 35,000	4	11.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	32	94.1%
	Experience in last 5 years	31	91.2%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	2.9%

**Judge Charles Huguelet  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		3		4		3		4		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>30</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	30	4.5	30	4.4	30	4.6	29	4.4	30
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	1	--	0	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	18	4.5	18	4.4	18	4.7	17	4.4	18
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	9	4.3	9	4.2	9	4.3	9	4.2	9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
5 Years or fewer	4.2	6	4.7	6	4.5	6	4.8	6	4.5	6
6 to 10 years	4.4	9	4.4	9	4.7	9	4.7	9	4.6	9
11 to 15 years	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.0	5	4.4	5	4.2	5
16 to 20 years	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.4	5
21 years or more	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	2	4.0	3
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
Male	4.3	28	4.5	28	4.4	28	4.6	27	4.4	28
Female	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.4	27	4.5	27	4.4	27	4.6	26	4.4	27
Fourth District	2.0	1	4.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
Under 2,000	4.0	2	4.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.3	24	4.5	24	4.3	24	4.5	24	4.4	24
Over 35,000	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	5.0	2	4.7	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Charles Huguelet**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	6	54.5%
	Guardian ad Litem	5	45.5%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	3	27.3%
	6 to 10 years	2	18.2%
	11 to 15 years	4	36.4%
	16 to 20 years	1	9.1%
	21 years or more	1	9.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	2	18.2%
	Female	9	81.8%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	11	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	72.7%
	Over 35,000	3	27.3%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	11	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	11	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%



**Judge Charles Huguelet  
Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>11</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.9	11	4.9	11	4.8	11	4.9	11	4.9	11
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6
Guardian ad Litem	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	5
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
6 to 10 years	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
11 to 15 years	5.0	4	5.0	4	4.8	4	5.0	4	5.0	4
16 to 20 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Female	5.0	9	5.0	9	4.9	9	5.0	9	5.0	9
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.9	11	4.9	11	4.8	11	4.9	11	4.9	11
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	8	5.0	8	4.9	8	5.0	8	5.0	8
Over 35,000	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**William F. Morse - Anchorage Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Morse's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.7 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.2 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.3 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge William F. Morse

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	4	1.2%
Private, Solo	84	25.5%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	67	20.3%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	51	15.5%
Private, Corporate Employee	3	0.9%
Judge or Judicial Officer	32	9.7%
Government	78	23.6%
Public Service Agency or Organization	5	1.5%
Other	6	1.8%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	16	4.8%
5 Years or fewer	16	4.8%
6 to 10 years	18	5.5%
11 to 15 years	23	7.0%
16 to 20 years	33	10.0%
21 years or more	224	67.9%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	5	1.5%
Male	229	69.4%
Female	96	29.1%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	8	2.4%
Prosecution	11	3.3%
Mainly Criminal	20	6.1%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	65	19.7%
Mainly Civil	214	64.8%
Other	12	3.6%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	8	2.4%
First District	11	3.3%
Second District	1	0.3%
Third District	296	89.7%
Fourth District	9	2.7%
Outside of Alaska	5	1.5%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	270	81.8%
Experience in last 5 years	251	76.1%
Experience not in last 5 years	19	5.8%

## Judge William F. Morse: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		8		8		18		9		26		7
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>272</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	248	3.7	250	4.1	244	3.3	248	3.9	237	3.7	249
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.1	19	3.8	19	4.3	16	3.4	19	4.1	19	3.8	19
Professional Reputation	3.6	44	3.6	43	4.0	42	3.4	43	3.9	39	3.6	44
Other Personal Contacts	4.8	8	4.9	7	5.0	7	4.1	8	4.5	6	4.7	7
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		2		10		4		14		2
Private, Solo	4.1	73	3.7	73	4.1	69	3.3	73	3.9	71	3.7	73
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.8	54	3.6	54	4.1	53	3.3	52	3.8	49	5.6	54
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.1	44	4.1	44	4.4	43	3.8	44	4.1	42	3.9	44
Private, Corporate Employee	3.5	2	3.0	2	4.0	2	3.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.4	28	4.0	28	4.3	28	3.4	28	4.3	27	4.1	28
Government	4.0	57	3.4	59	3.9	57	3.1	59	3.9	57	3.5	59
Public Service Agency/Org	3.8	4	2.8	4	3.5	4	2.3	4	3.5	4	3.0	4
Other	3.0	4	2.5	4	3.0	4	2.8	4	3.5	4	2.8	4
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		2		10		4		11		2
5 Years or fewer	4.6	13	4.6	14	4.9	13	4.2	14	4.6	13	4.6	14
6 to 10 years	4.4	13	4.0	13	4.4	13	3.5	13	4.1	12	4.0	13
11 to 15 years	3.9	19	3.6	19	4.0	19	3.1	19	4.0	16	3.6	19
16 to 20 years	4.0	32	3.6	32	3.9	31	3.3	31	3.8	32	3.6	32
21 years or more	3.9	180	3.6	180	4.1	174	3.3	179	3.9	176	3.6	180
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		4		2		10		4		14		2
Male	3.9	188	3.7	189	4.1	183	3.4	187	3.9	180	3.7	189
Female	4.2	77	3.7	78	4.0	76	3.2	78	4.0	75	3.7	78
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		1		9		3		13		1
Prosecution	3.5	6	2.7	7	3.1	7	2.9	7	3.4	7	2.7	7
Mainly Criminal	4.4	18	4.3	19	4.5	19	4.0	19	4.4	18	4.4	19
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.9	51	3.4	51	3.8	50	3.0	51	3.8	48	3.4	51
Mainly Civil	4.0	178	3.7	178	4.2	171	3.3	176	3.9	171	3.7	178
Other	4.7	10	4.4	10	4.6	10	4.1	10	4.7	9	4.5	10
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		2		10		4		14		2
First District	4.4	7	4.0	7	4.3	7	3.6	7	4.3	7	4.1	7
Second District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Third District	4.0	249	3.7	251	4.1	245	3.3	249	3.9	239	3.7	251
Fourth District	4.0	4	3.8	4	4.3	3	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	--	0	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge William F. Morse

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	58.3%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	1	8.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	33.3%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	33.3%
	6 to 10 years	4	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	1	8.3%
	16 to 20 years	2	6.7%
	21 years or more	1	8.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	7	58.3%
	Female	5	41.7%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	12	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	16.7%
	Over 35,000	10	83.3%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	12	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	11	91.7%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	8.3%

**Judge William F. Morse  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>12</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	11	4.6	11	4.1	11	4.6	9	4.4	11
Experience not in last 5 yrs	2.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	2.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.0	2
Other Personal Contacts	2.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		2		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.1	7	4.4	7	4.0	7	4.4	5	4.1	7
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	2.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	2.0	1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		2		0
5 Years or fewer	4.5	4	5.0	4	4.3	4	5.0	4	4.8	4
6 to 10 years	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
11 to 15 years	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
16 to 20 years	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	1	3.5	2
21 years or more	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	--	0	3.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		2		0
Male	3.9	7	4.0	7	4.1	7	4.4	5	4.0	7
Female	4.6	5	4.8	5	3.8	5	4.6	5	4.4	5
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		2		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	12	4.3	12	4.0	12	4.5	10	4.2	12
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		2		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.5	2	3.5	2
Over 35,000	4.3	10	4.5	10	4.1	10	4.5	8	4.3	10

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge William F. Morse**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	3	30.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	7	70.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	10.0%
	6 to 10 years	3	30.0%
	11 to 15 years	4	40.0%
	16 to 20 years	1	10.0%
	21 years or more	1	10.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	3	30.0%
	Female	7	70.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	10	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	10.0%
	Over 35,000	9	90.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	11	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	11	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge William F. Morse**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>9</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	10	4.6	10	3.5	10	4.3	10	4.3	9
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		1
Social Worker	4.3	3	4.3	3	3.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	2
Guardian ad Litem	4.3	7	4.7	7	3.6	7	4.3	7	4.4	7
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		1
5 Years or fewer	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.7	3	5.0	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
11 to 15 years	4.3	4	4.5	4	3.8	4	4.3	4	4.7	3
16 to 20 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
21 years or more	4.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		1
Male	4.3	3	4.3	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.3	3
Female	4.3	7	4.7	7	3.4	7	4.4	7	4.3	6
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	10	4.6	10	3.5	10	4.3	10	4.3	9
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		1
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Over 35,000	4.3	9	4.7	9	3.6	9	4.3	9	4.4	8

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



**Third Judicial District**  
**Frank A. Pfiffner - Anchorage Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Pfiffner's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.9 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.0 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 3.8 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Frank A. Pfiffner

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	6	2.4%
	Private, Solo	70	28.0%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	51	20.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	38	15.2%
	Private, Corporate Employee	4	1.6%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	27	10.8%
	Government	50	20.0%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	2	0.8%
	Other	2	0.8%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	15	6.0%
	5 Years or fewer	7	2.8%
	6 to 10 years	16	6.4%
	11 to 15 years	15	6.0%
	16 to 20 years	25	10.0%
	21 years or more	172	68.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	5	2.0%
	Male	176	70.4%
	Female	69	27.6%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	5	2.0%
	Prosecution	6	2.4%
	Mainly Criminal	6	2.4%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	50	20.0%
	Mainly Civil	174	69.6%
	Other	9	3.6%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	8	3.2%
	First District	9	3.6%
	Second District	3	1.2%
	Third District	220	88.0%
	Fourth District	8	3.2%
	Outside of Alaska	2	0.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	188	75.2%
	Experience in last 5 years	180	72.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	8	3.2%

## Judge Frank A. Pfiffner: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		11		13		18		17		23		10
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>190</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	177	3.9	176	4.2	171	3.9	172	4.2	170	3.9	177
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.6	8	3.3	8	3.1	8	3.3	8	3.5	8	3.3	8
Professional Reputation	3.8	40	3.7	41	3.9	41	3.8	40	3.9	38	3.8	41
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	10	4.9	8	5.0	8	4.6	9	4.7	7	4.6	9
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		5		10		9		11		3
Private, Solo	4.2	53	4.0	53	4.1	52	4.1	52	4.3	53	4.1	54
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.9	40	3.7	40	4.1	40	3.9	40	4.2	38	3.8	41
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	30	4.3	30	4.4	30	4.2	30	4.5	30	4.4	30
Private, Corporate Employee	2.8	4	3.0	4	3.7	3	3.0	4	3.0	4	2.8	4
Judge or Judicial Officer	3.8	24	3.8	22	4.0	23	3.6	22	3.9	21	3.7	23
Government	3.6	31	3.7	32	4.2	29	3.5	30	4.1	30	3.7	31
Public Service Agency/Org	2.5	2	2.5	2	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.5	2
Other	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		4		5		10		9		11		3
5 Years or fewer	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.5	4	5.0	3	4.8	5
6 to 10 years	3.6	10	3.2	10	3.6	9	2.9	10	3.6	10	2.9	12
11 to 15 years	3.9	9	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.9	9	4.2	9	3.9	9
16 to 20 years	3.5	20	3.5	20	3.7	20	3.7	19	3.7	19	3.6	20
21 years or more	4.1	135	4.0	134	4.3	130	4.0	132	4.3	131	4.0	134
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		4		5		10		9		11		3
Male	4.2	139	4.0	139	4.3	134	4.0	136	4.4	133	4.1	140
Female	3.5	47	3.4	46	3.7	46	3.5	45	3.8	46	3.5	47
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		4		5		10		9		11		3
Prosecution	5.0	1	4.0	2	5.0	1	3.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
Mainly Criminal	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	2	3.0	2	4.0	3
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.8	37	3.7	35	3.9	36	3.8	36	4.0	34	3.8	36
Mainly Civil	4.0	137	3.9	137	4.2	133	3.9	134	4.3	133	4.0	138
Other	3.9	7	3.6	7	4.0	6	3.8	6	4.3	7	3.6	7
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		5		10		9		11		3
First District	4.2	5	3.8	5	4.0	5	4.0	4	4.2	5	4.2	5
Second District	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Third District	4.0	173	3.9	172	4.2	168	3.9	169	4.2	166	3.9	174
Fourth District	3.3	4	3.3	4	3.0	3	3.3	4	3.3	4	3.3	4
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Frank A. Pfiffner**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	5	62.5%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	1	12.5%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	1	12.5%
	Other	1	12.5%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	3	37.5%
	6 to 10 years	1	12.5%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	1	12.5%
	21 years or more	3	37.5%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	5	62.5%
	Female	3	37.5%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	8	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	12.5%
	Over 35,000	7	87.5%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	8	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	5	62.5%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Frank A. Pfiffner  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.0	4
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		1		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	1.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	1.0	1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
5 Years or fewer	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	--	0	5.0	1
21 years or more	1.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	1.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
Male	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.0	4
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.0	4
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		1
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.0	4

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Frank A. Pfiffner**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	2	25.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	6	75.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	12.5%
	6 to 10 years	3	37.5%
	11 to 15 years	3	37.5%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	12.5%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	2	25.0%
	Female	6	75.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	8	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	8	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	8	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	6	75.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Frank A. Pfiffner**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>6</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.8	6	4.3	6	3.7	6	4.2	6	3.8	6
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	2.0	1	3.0	1	1.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.2	5
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1
6 to 10 years	3.7	3	4.3	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	4.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
Female	3.8	4	4.5	4	3.3	4	4.0	4	3.8	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	6	4.3	6	3.7	6	4.2	6	3.8	6
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.8	6	4.3	6	3.7	6	4.2	6	3.8	6

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District  
Eric Smith - Palmer Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Smith's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.3 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.6 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 3.8 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).



## Superior Court Judge Eric Smith

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>	No Response	5	2.0%
	Private, Solo	65	25.4%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	45	17.6%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	26	10.2%
	Private, Corporate Employee	4	1.6%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	41	16.0%
	Government	61	23.8%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	3	1.2%
	Other	6	2.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>	No Response	14	5.5%
	5 Years or fewer	6	2.3%
	6 to 10 years	21	8.2%
	11 to 15 years	20	7.8%
	16 to 20 years	24	9.4%
	21 years or more	171	66.8%
<b>Gender</b>	No Response	4	1.6%
	Male	174	68.0%
	Female	78	30.5%
<b>Cases Handled</b>	No Response	8	3.1%
	Prosecution	11	4.3%
	Mainly Criminal	17	6.6%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	76	29.7%
	Mainly Civil	136	53.1%
	Other	8	3.1%
<b>Location of Practice</b>	No Response	7	2.7%
	First District	13	5.1%
	Second District	3	1.2%
	Third District	217	84.8%
	Fourth District	14	5.5%
	Outside of Alaska	2	0.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>	Direct professional experience	199	77.7%
	Experience in last 5 years	179	69.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	20	7.8%

## Judge Eric Smith Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		5		6		10		9		17		5
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>199</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	177	4.2	177	4.5	175	4.3	176	4.3	172	4.3	177
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	20	4.0	20	4.4	18	4.3	19	4.2	17	4.3	19
Professional Reputation	4.2	44	3.9	44	4.2	43	4.0	43	4.1	41	4.1	44
Other Personal Contacts	4.8	8	4.7	7	4.8	8	4.6	7	4.7	7	4.6	8
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		3		7		5		10		3
Private, Solo	4.4	53	4.1	53	4.4	50	4.2	53	4.3	51	4.2	54
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.0	37	4.0	37	4.2	36	4.1	37	3.7	37	3.9	36
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.4	17	4.3	17	4.5	17	4.2	17	4.1	17	4.2	17
Private, Corporate Employee	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	38	4.5	37	4.7	38	4.4	38	4.7	34	4.6	38
Government	4.3	38	4.1	39	4.4	39	4.3	37	4.3	38	4.3	38
Public Service Agency/Org	4.3	3	3.7	3	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	3
Other	4.8	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.8	5
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		3		7		5		11		3
5 Years or fewer	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.3	4	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.4	5
6 to 10 years	4.1	15	3.8	16	4.1	16	4.1	15	4.0	16	3.9	15
11 to 15 years	4.3	18	4.2	17	4.4	18	4.3	18	4.2	16	4.2	18
16 to 20 years	4.2	18	3.9	18	4.3	18	4.4	18	4.3	18	4.1	18
21 years or more	4.4	131	4.3	131	4.5	127	4.3	129	4.2	124	4.3	131
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		3		3		7		5		10		3
Male	4.4	137	4.2	136	4.5	133	4.3	135	4.3	130	4.3	137
Female	4.4	58	4.3	59	4.4	58	4.4	58	4.2	58	4.3	58
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		3		7		5		11		3
Prosecution	4.7	6	4.4	7	4.9	7	4.7	7	4.9	7	4.9	7
Mainly Criminal	4.2	16	3.9	16	4.1	16	4.0	15	4.0	14	4.1	15
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.5	66	4.3	65	4.5	64	4.3	66	4.3	61	4.3	66
Mainly Civil	4.3	97	4.1	97	4.5	94	4.3	95	4.1	95	4.2	97
Other	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.5	6	4.7	6	4.8	6	4.5	6
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		3		7		5		11		3
First District	4.7	9	4.6	9	4.7	9	4.6	9	4.7	9	4.6	9
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.3	171	4.1	171	4.4	168	4.2	169	4.2	163	4.2	171
Fourth District	4.2	10	4.3	10	4.4	10	4.2	10	4.1	10	4.2	10
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	--	0	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge Eric Smith

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	15	41.7%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	7	19.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	14	38.9%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	13.9%
	6 to 10 years	9	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	11	30.6%
	16 to 20 years	5	13.9%
	21 years or more	6	16.7%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	24	66.7%
	Female	12	33.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	35	97.2%
	Fourth District	1	2.8%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	2.8%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	14	38.9%
	Over 35,000	21	58.3%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	36	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	31	86.1%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Eric Smith  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>31</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.7	31	4.7	31	4.5	30	4.7	31	4.6	31
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.9	11	4.8	11	4.4	11	4.8	11	4.7	11
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.5	6	4.7	6	4.8	6	4.8	6	4.7	6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.5	14	4.5	14	4.5	13	4.5	14	4.5	14
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.3	4	4.3	4	3.7	3	4.3	4	4.3	4
6 to 10 years	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.8	9	4.9	9	4.9	9
11 to 15 years	4.6	9	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.7	9	4.6	9
16 to 20 years	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.5	4
21 years or more	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.6	5
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Male	4.7	20	4.7	20	4.6	19	4.8	20	4.7	20
Female	4.6	11	4.6	11	4.4	11	4.5	11	4.5	11
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.7	31	4.7	31	4.5	30	4.7	31	4.6	31
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Under 2,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.6	13	4.5	13
Over 35,000	4.7	17	4.8	17	4.5	16	4.7	17	4.7	17

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Eric Smith**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	Social Worker	1	20.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	3	60.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	5 Years or fewer	3	60.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	20.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	Male	1	20.0%
	Female	3	60.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	4	80.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	4	80.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	5	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	5	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Eric Smith**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	5	4.0	5	3.6	5	3.8	5	3.8	5
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Female	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.0	3	3.3	3	3.3	3
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.3	4	3.5	4	3.5	4
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.3	4	3.5	4	3.5	4

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**John Suddock - Anchorage Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Suddock's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.7 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.0 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 3.9 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge John Suddock

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	5	1.7%
	Private, Solo	78	26.1%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	63	21.1%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	41	13.7%
	Private, Corporate Employee	4	1.3%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	29	9.7%
	Government	66	22.1%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	3	1.0%
	Other	10	3.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	15	5.0%
	5 Years or fewer	11	3.7%
	6 to 10 years	24	8.0%
	11 to 15 years	17	5.7%
	16 to 20 years	31	10.4%
	21 years or more	201	67.2%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	5	1.7%
	Male	206	68.9%
	Female	88	29.4%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	9	3.0%
	Prosecution	12	4.0%
	Mainly Criminal	15	5.0%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	64	21.4%
	Mainly Civil	187	62.5%
	Other	12	4.0%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	8	2.7%
	First District	8	2.7%
	Second District	1	0.3%
	Third District	273	91.3%
	Fourth District	6	2.0%
	Outside of Alaska	3	1.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	236	78.9%
	Experience in last 5 years	220	73.6%
	Experience not in last 5 years	16	5.4%



## Judge John Suddock: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		9		5		11		6		18		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>242</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.8	214	3.7	217	4.0	212	3.6	216	3.7	212	3.6	218
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.6	16	4.1	16	4.6	16	3.9	16	4.4	16	4.3	16
Professional Reputation	4.1	43	4.0	43	4.3	42	3.9	43	4.2	39	3.9	43
Other Personal Contacts	4.4	10	4.4	11	4.6	11	4.5	11	4.5	8	4.5	11
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		7		3		9		5		10		2
Private, Solo	3.8	57	3.5	59	4.0	58	3.5	58	3.6	59	3.5	60
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.6	52	3.4	52	3.9	50	3.4	52	3.5	50	3.4	52
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.4	34	4.1	34	4.3	34	4.0	34	4.0	33	4.2	34
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.1	24	3.8	25	4.1	24	3.5	24	3.9	22	3.9	25
Government	3.7	53	3.7	54	4.1	52	3.6	54	3.9	53	3.7	54
Public Service Agency/Org	3.7	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.0	3
Other	3.9	7	4.4	7	4.3	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	4.0	7
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		5		2		8		4		9		1
5 Years or fewer	3.6	7	3.4	7	3.9	7	3.3	7	3.6	7	3.4	7
6 to 10 years	3.6	22	3.6	22	4.1	20	3.5	21	3.9	21	3.6	22
11 to 15 years	3.3	12	3.5	13	3.6	13	3.4	13	3.6	12	3.4	13
16 to 20 years	3.5	29	3.3	29	3.8	28	3.4	29	3.3	28	3.2	29
21 years or more	4.0	155	3.8	157	4.1	154	3.7	156	3.8	153	3.8	158
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		7		4		9		5		10		2
Male	3.9	168	3.9	170	4.1	167	3.6	170	3.8	166	3.7	172
Female	3.8	64	3.7	65	4.0	63	3.7	64	3.8	63	3.7	65
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		7		4		8		5		10		2
Prosecution	3.0	8	3.3	9	4.0	9	2.7	9	3.8	8	2.9	9
Mainly Criminal	2.9	10	2.6	11	3.4	11	2.5	11	3.4	11	2.8	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.7	52	3.4	52	3.8	50	3.5	51	3.6	48	3.5	52
Mainly Civil	4.0	152	3.8	152	4.2	150	3.8	152	3.8	151	3.8	154
Other	4.4	7	4.4	8	4.5	8	4.0	8	4.4	8	4.5	8
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		4		9		5		9		2
First District	3.7	8	3.3	6	4.2	5	3.3	6	4.0	5	3.7	6
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	218	3.7	221	4.0	217	3.6	220	3.7	217	3.6	223
Fourth District	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	3.8	4	4.0	4
Outside of Alaska	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.3	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge John Suddock

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	12	50.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3	12.5%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	9	37.5%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	4.2%
	5 Years or fewer	6	25.0%
	6 to 10 years	5	20.8%
	11 to 15 years	5	20.8%
	16 to 20 years	4	16.7%
	21 years or more	3	12.5%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	12	50.0%
	Female	12	50.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	23	95.8%
	Fourth District	1	4.2%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	20.8%
	Over 35,000	19	79.2%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	18	75.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	17	70.8%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	4.2%

**Judge John Suddock  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		3		2		3		4		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>16</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.9	15	4.0	15	4.2	15	3.9	14	4.1	15
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Professional Reputation	4.0	6	4.0	6	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.8	6
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		3		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	7	4.1	7	4.1	7	3.8	6	4.1	7
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	2	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	3.8	6	3.8	6	4.2	6	3.8	6	3.8	6
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		3		2
5 Years or fewer	4.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
6 to 10 years	3.5	4	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
11 to 15 years	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
16 to 20 years	3.7	3	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.3	3	3.5	4
21 years or more	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		1		2
Male	4.2	6	4.1	7	4.1	7	3.8	6	4.0	7
Female	3.8	9	3.8	9	4.1	9	3.9	1	4.0	9
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		3		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.9	15	3.9	16	4.1	16	3.9	15	4.0	16
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3
Over 35,000	3.7	13	3.7	13	3.9	13	3.7	12	3.8	13

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge John Suddock**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	2	22.2%
	Guardian ad Litem	7	77.8%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	22.2%
	6 to 10 years	2	22.2%
	11 to 15 years	4	44.4%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	11.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	2	22.2%
	Female	7	77.8%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	9	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	11.1%
	Over 35,000	8	88.9%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	9	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	9	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge John Suddock**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>9</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	9	4.1	9	3.9	9	3.7	9	3.9	9
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Guardian ad Litem	3.7	7	3.9	7	3.6	7	3.3	7	3.6	7
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
6 to 10 years	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	3.0	2	4.0	2
11 to 15 years	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
Female	4.0	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	3.7	7	3.9	7
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	9	4.1	9	3.9	9	3.7	9	3.9	9
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Over 35,000	3.9	8	4.0	8	3.8	8	3.5	8	3.8	8

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Sen K. Tan - Anchorage Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Tan's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.5 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.0 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 5.0 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Sen K. Tan

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	7	1.8%
Private, Solo	103	26.3%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	71	18.2%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	57	14.6%
Private, Corporate Employee	8	2.0%
Judge or Judicial Officer	40	10.2%
Government	86	22.0%
Public Service Agency or Organization	6	1.5%
Other	13	3.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	19	4.9%
5 Years or fewer	21	5.4%
6 to 10 years	26	6.6%
11 to 15 years	32	8.2%
16 to 20 years	37	9.5%
21 years or more	256	65.5%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	6	1.5%
Male	262	67.0%
Female	123	31.5%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	11	2.8%
Prosecution	13	3.3%
Mainly Criminal	23	5.9%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	85	21.7%
Mainly Civil	237	60.6%
Other	22	5.6%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	9	2.3%
First District	16	4.1%
Second District	2	0.5%
Third District	342	87.5%
Fourth District	18	4.6%
Outside of Alaska	4	1.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	305	78.0%
Experience in last 5 years	266	68.0%
Experience not in last 5 years	39	10.0%

## Judge Sen K. Tan: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		10		6		11		12		21		4
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>309</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.5	262	4.5	264	4.6	262	4.5	264	4.3	258	4.5	264
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	39	4.4	39	4.6	39	4.5	38	4.4	37	4.4	39
Professional Reputation	4.4	63	4.3	65	4.4	62	4.3	60	4.2	59	4.3	66
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	12	4.5	12	4.7	12	4.6	12	4.5	11	4.6	12
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		3		5		4		11		2
Private, Solo	4.5	81	4.5	82	4.7	81	4.5	82	4.4	79	4.5	84
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.5	59	4.5	59	4.7	58	4.6	59	4.5	58	4.5	59
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.4	47	4.6	47	4.7	47	4.4	47	3.9	46	4.3	47
Private, Corporate Employee	4.4	5	4.0	5	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.2	5	4.2	5
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.9	36	4.8	36	4.9	36	4.8	36	4.9	35	4.9	36
Government	4.3	60	4.3	61	4.5	61	4.4	60	4.1	60	4.4	60
Public Service Agency/Org	3.4	5	3.0	5	3.4	5	3.8	5	3.8	4	3.4	5
Other	4.6	7	4.3	7	4.7	7	4.1	7	4.4	7	4.3	7
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		4		2		4		3		10		1
5 Years or fewer	4.6	14	4.7	15	4.9	14	4.8	16	4.7	14	4.7	15
6 to 10 years	4.6	18	4.6	18	4.7	18	4.5	18	4.2	17	4.5	18
11 to 15 years	4.1	22	4.1	22	4.2	22	4.2	22	3.9	20	4.0	22
16 to 20 years	4.7	32	4.7	32	4.8	32	4.7	32	4.4	32	4.7	32
21 years or more	4.5	204	4.5	205	4.6	204	4.5	203	4.4	201	4.5	206
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		5		3		5		4		11		2
Male	4.4	216	4.4	217	4.6	216	4.5	215	4.3	212	4.4	218
Female	4.7	84	4.6	85	4.8	84	4.6	86	4.5	82	4.6	85
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		5		3		5		4		11		2
Prosecution	4.3	4	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.3	4
Mainly Criminal	4.6	16	4.6	17	4.7	17	4.5	17	4.6	16	4.6	16
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.6	70	4.6	70	4.8	69	4.6	70	4.6	69	4.6	70
Mainly Civil	4.4	193	4.4	194	4.6	193	4.4	192	4.2	189	4.4	195
Other	4.3	13	4.4	13	4.4	13	4.6	14	4.3	12	4.4	14
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		3		5		4		11		2
First District	4.1	9	4.2	9	4.4	9	4.6	9	4.1	9	4.3	9
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.5	272	4.5	274	4.6	272	4.5	273	4.3	266	4.4	275
Fourth District	4.7	12	4.8	12	4.9	12	4.9	12	4.7	12	4.8	12
Outside of Alaska	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



## Superior Court Judge Sen K. Tan

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	10	52.6%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	5	26.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	21.1%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	21.1%
	6 to 10 years	6	31.6%
	11 to 15 years	3	15.8%
	16 to 20 years	4	21.1%
	21 years or more	2	10.5%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	9	47.4%
	Female	10	52.6%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	18	94.7%
	Fourth District	1	5.3%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	21.1%
	Over 35,000	15	78.9%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	13	68.4%
	Experience in last 5 years	11	57.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	2	10.5%

**Judge Sen K. Tan**  
**Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		4		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>11</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.1	9	4.1	9	4.2	9	4.0	8	4.1	9
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Professional Reputation	3.3	6	3.5	6	3.7	6	3.6	5	3.3	6
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.8	5	3.8	5	4.0	5	3.8	4	3.8	5
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.2	5	4.0	5
11 to 15 years	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
16 to 20 years	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	3.0	1	4.0	2
21 years or more	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
Male	3.7	6	3.8	6	3.8	6	3.8	5	3.8	6
Female	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.0	5	4.2	5
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	11	4.0	11	4.2	11	3.9	10	4.0	11
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Over 35,000	3.9	9	3.9	9	4.1	9	3.8	8	3.9	9

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge Sen K. Tan

### C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	3	30.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	7	70.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	10.0%
	6 to 10 years	4	40.0%
	11 to 15 years	4	40.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	10.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	2	20.0%
	Female	8	80.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	10	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	10	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	10	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	9	90.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Sen K. Tan**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>10</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	5.0	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	5.0	9	5.0	9
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Guardian ad Litem	5.0	7	5.0	7	4.9	7	5.0	7	5.0	7
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4
11 to 15 years	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Female	5.0	8	5.0	8	5.0	8	5.0	8	5.0	8
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	5.0	10	5.0	10	4.9	10	5.0	10	5.0	10
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	5.0	10	5.0	10	4.9	10	5.0	10	5.0	10

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Philip R. Volland - Anchorage Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Volland's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.3 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.3 overall, and no social workers and guardians ad litem rated this judge based on direct professional experience.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Philip R. Volland

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	1.2%
Private, Solo	56	22.4%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	41	16.4%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	26	10.4%
Private, Corporate Employee	4	1.6%
Judge or Judicial Officer	33	13.2%
Government	75	30.0%
Public Service Agency or Organization	2	0.8%
Other	10	4.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	8	3.2%
5 Years or fewer	14	5.6%
6 to 10 years	17	6.8%
11 to 15 years	17	6.8%
16 to 20 years	21	8.4%
21 years or more	173	69.2%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	0.8%
Male	175	70.0%
Female	73	29.2%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	6	2.4%
Prosecution	23	9.2%
Mainly Criminal	23	9.2%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	69	27.6%
Mainly Civil	124	49.6%
Other	5	2.0%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	5	2.0%
First District	10	4.0%
Second District	1	0.4%
Third District	215	86.0%
Fourth District	15	6.0%
Outside of Alaska	4	1.6%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	167	66.8%
Experience in last 5 years	147	58.8%
Experience not in last 5 years	20	8.0%

## Judge Philip R. Volland: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		7		6		11		6		24		4
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>169</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	145	4.2	145	4.4	143	4.3	146	4.4	143	4.3	145
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.6	20	4.5	20	4.7	20	4.6	20	4.4	18	4.5	20
Professional Reputation	4.5	64	4.3	66	4.5	64	4.3	64	4.5	53	4.4	66
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	11	4.5	10	4.7	9	4.3	11	4.3	9	4.5	11
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		7		2
Private, Solo	4.3	33	4.2	34	4.5	32	4.4	34	4.5	33	4.3	35
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.9	27	3.9	27	3.9	27	3.9	27	3.9	26	3.9	27
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	15	4.1	14	4.5	14	4.3	14	4.6	14	4.4	15
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	30	4.6	30	4.7	30	4.6	30	4.7	29	4.7	30
Government	4.3	51	4.3	51	4.3	51	4.3	52	4.4	50	4.3	50
Public Service Agency/Org	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other	4.8	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		3		9		1
5 Years or fewer	4.7	11	4.8	11	4.8	11	4.8	12	4.7	11	4.6	11
6 to 10 years	4.1	15	3.9	14	4.0	15	4.3	15	4.5	15	4.3	14
11 to 15 years	4.7	13	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.5	12	4.5	13
16 to 20 years	4.2	13	4.0	13	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.4	13	4.2	13
21 years or more	4.3	111	4.2	112	4.4	109	4.2	111	4.3	108	4.2	113
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		8		1
Male	4.3	120	4.2	119	4.4	118	4.3	120	4.4	117	4.3	121
Female	4.5	47	4.4	48	4.5	47	4.3	48	4.5	46	4.5	47
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		6		2
Prosecution	4.7	20	4.9	20	4.8	20	4.8	20	4.8	20	4.7	20
Mainly Criminal	3.9	19	3.8	18	3.8	19	4.3	20	4.4	19	4.0	18
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	54	4.1	54	4.4	53	4.2	53	4.3	52	4.2	54
Mainly Civil	4.4	70	4.4	71	4.4	69	4.3	71	4.4	69	4.3	72
Other	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		3		5		2		7		2
First District	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	5.0	5	5.0	5
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	150	4.3	149	4.4	149	4.3	150	4.4	145	4.3	150
Fourth District	3.6	9	3.6	9	3.9	7	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9
Outside of Alaska	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge Philip R. Volland

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	34.1%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	14	34.1%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	13	31.7%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	2.4%
	5 Years or fewer	8	19.5%
	6 to 10 years	7	17.1%
	11 to 15 years	9	22.0%
	16 to 20 years	9	22.0%
	21 years or more	7	17.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	23	56.1%
	Female	18	43.9%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	1	2.4%
	Third District	40	97.6%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	9.8%
	Over 35,000	37	90.2%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	31	75.6%
	Experience in last 5 years	30	73.2%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	2.4%



**Judge Philip R. Volland  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		3		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>30</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	30	4.4	30	4.6	29	4.3	28	4.3	29
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.6	9	3.9	9	3.8	9	4.0	9	3.8	9
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		2		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.4	10	4.3	10	4.8	9	4.3	9	4.3	9
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	10	4.6	10	4.6	10	4.3	9	4.4	10
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.4	11	4.5	11	4.4	11	4.2	11	4.2	11
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		2		1
5 Years or fewer	4.4	7	4.1	7	4.4	7	4.3	7	4.2	6
6 to 10 years	4.9	7	4.9	7	4.9	7	4.9	7	4.9	7
11 to 15 years	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
16 to 20 years	3.9	7	4.1	7	4.5	6	3.7	6	3.9	7
21 years or more	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.5	4	4.4	5
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		2		1
Male	4.3	17	4.5	17	4.8	16	4.4	15	4.4	16
Female	4.4	14	4.4	14	4.3	14	4.1	14	4.2	14
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		2		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.4	31	4.5	31	4.6	30	4.3	29	4.3	30
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		1		2		1
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Over 35,000	4.4	29	4.4	29	4.5	28	4.3	27	4.3	28

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Philip R. Volland**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	100.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	100.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	1	100.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	1	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	1	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	1	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	1	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Philip R. Volland**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Michael L. Wolverton – Anchorage Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Wolverton's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.2 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.0 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.0 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Michael L. Wolverton

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	1.3%
Private, Solo	63	26.7%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	39	16.5%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	19	8.1%
Private, Corporate Employee	4	1.7%
Judge or Judicial Officer	33	14.0%
Government	66	28.0%
Public Service Agency or Organization	2	0.8%
Other	7	3.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	9	3.8%
5 Years or fewer	18	7.6%
6 to 10 years	15	6.4%
11 to 15 years	20	8.5%
16 to 20 years	19	8.1%
21 years or more	155	65.7%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	3	1.3%
Male	162	68.6%
Female	71	30.1%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	6	2.5%
Prosecution	25	10.6%
Mainly Criminal	29	12.3%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	66	28.0%
Mainly Civil	105	44.5%
Other	5	2.1%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	5	2.1%
First District	5	2.1%
Second District	1	0.4%
Third District	213	90.3%
Fourth District	6	2.5%
Outside of Alaska	6	2.5%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	178	75.4%
Experience in last 5 years	136	57.6%
Experience not in last 5 years	42	17.8%

## Judge Michael L. Wolverton: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		5		5		9		5		15		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>178</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.2	135	4.3	136	4.4	134	4.3	136	3.9	133	4.2	136
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.1	40	4.4	39	4.5	38	4.5	39	4.1	36	4.3	41
Professional Reputation	4.2	48	4.3	48	4.5	47	4.4	48	4.1	45	4.2	48
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	7	4.3	7	4.4	7	4.3	7	4.5	6	4.4	7
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		8		1
Private, Solo	4.4	43	4.5	43	4.6	43	4.5	43	4.3	42	4.5	44
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.2	29	4.3	29	4.4	28	4.4	29	4.2	28	4.3	29
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.3	10	4.8	10	4.8	10	4.7	10	4.6	10	4.7	10
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.5	31	4.7	31	4.8	31	4.6	31	4.2	29	4.5	31
Government	3.7	54	3.9	54	4.0	53	4.1	55	3.4	53	3.8	55
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	4.3	4	3.8	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		9		1
5 Years or fewer	4.3	16	4.4	17	4.6	16	4.7	17	4.1	18	4.4	18
6 to 10 years	4.1	12	4.1	12	4.4	12	4.4	12	3.7	12	4.1	12
11 to 15 years	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.3	12	4.2	13	3.9	12	4.1	13
16 to 20 years	3.7	18	4.1	17	4.1	17	4.0	18	3.6	17	3.9	18
21 years or more	4.2	111	4.4	111	4.5	111	4.4	110	4.1	105	4.3	111
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		8		1
Male	4.1	123	4.2	123	4.4	123	4.4	123	3.9	118	4.2	123
Female	4.3	50	4.6	50	4.5	48	4.4	51	4.3	50	4.5	52
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		8		1
Prosecution	3.9	22	3.8	22	4.3	22	4.2	22	3.2	22	3.8	22
Mainly Criminal	4.4	28	4.6	29	4.6	29	4.6	29	4.2	28	4.5	29
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	53	4.4	53	4.5	52	4.5	53	4.3	52	4.4	53
Mainly Civil	4.0	64	4.3	63	4.4	62	4.3	63	3.9	60	4.1	65
Other	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.0	4	4.3	4
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		3		5		2		8		1
First District	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	3.8	4	4.0	4
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	162	4.3	162	4.4	160	4.4	162	4.0	156	4.2	163
Fourth District	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	3.5	4	3.3	4	3.8	4
Outside of Alaska	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.3	3	4.7	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## Superior Court Judge Michael L. Wolverton

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	17	37.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	13	28.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	16	34.8%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	2.2%
	5 Years or fewer	9	19.6%
	6 to 10 years	10	21.7%
	11 to 15 years	12	26.1%
	16 to 20 years	9	19.6%
	21 years or more	5	10.9%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	27	58.7%
	Female	19	41.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	1	2.2%
	Third District	45	97.8%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	13.0%
	Over 35,000	40	87.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	37	80.4%
	Experience in last 5 years	34	73.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	3	6.5%

**Judge Michael L. Wolverton  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		3		3		2		4		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>37</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	32	4.1	32	3.9	33	4.0	31	3.9	34
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
Professional Reputation	3.5	8	3.8	8	3.8	8	3.8	8	3.8	8
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		2		2		1		3		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.5	13	4.6	13	4.4	14	4.7	12	4.5	15
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.3	9	3.6	9	3.6	9	3.4	9	3.3	9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	3.9	13	3.9	13	3.8	13	3.8	13	3.7	13
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		2		2		1		3		0
5 Years or fewer	4.4	7	4.3	7	4.0	7	4.1	8	4.3	8
6 to 10 years	4.5	8	4.6	8	4.4	9	4.4	8	4.4	9
11 to 15 years	3.5	8	3.5	8	3.6	8	4.0	8	3.5	8
16 to 20 years	3.7	6	3.7	6	3.8	6	3.5	6	3.5	6
21 years or more	3.8	5	4.2	5	3.8	5	4.3	3	4.0	5
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		2		2		1		3		0
Male	4.2	20	4.2	20	4.1	21	4.3	20	4.1	22
Female	3.8	15	4.0	15	3.8	15	3.6	14	3.7	15
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		2		2		1		3		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	35	4.1	35	3.9	36	4.0	34	4.0	37
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		2		2		1		3		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.3	4
Over 35,000	4.0	31	4.0	31	3.9	32	3.9	30	3.9	33

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



**Superior Court Judge Michael L. Wolverton**  
**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**  
**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	100.0%
	Social Worker	--	0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	100.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	100.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	--	0.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	100.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	100.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	1	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	1	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Michael L. Wolverton**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**J. Patrick Hanley - Anchorage District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Hanley's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.6 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.8 overall. He was not rated by any social workers and guardians ad litem.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge J. Patrick Hanley

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	1	0.6%
Private, Solo	45	25.4%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	33	18.6%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	12	6.8%
Private, Corporate Employee	3	1.7%
Judge or Judicial Officer	33	18.6%
Government	47	26.6%
Public Service Agency or Organization	--	0.0%
Other	3	1.7%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	5	2.8%
5 Years or fewer	20	11.3%
6 to 10 years	16	9.0%
11 to 15 years	19	10.7%
16 to 20 years	22	12.4%
21 years or more	95	53.7%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	1	0.6%
Male	118	66.7%
Female	58	32.8%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	2	1.1%
Prosecution	20	11.3%
Mainly Criminal	19	10.7%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	60	33.9%
Mainly Civil	73	41.2%
Other	3	1.7%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	4	2.3%
First District	7	4.0%
Second District	2	1.1%
Third District	153	86.4%
Fourth District	8	4.5%
Outside of Alaska	3	1.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	147	83.1%
Experience in last 5 years	139	78.5%
Experience not in last 5 years	8	4.5%

## Judge J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		11		9		9		8		18		9
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>147</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.6	137	4.6	138	4.7	137	4.7	138	4.6	132	4.7	137
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	7	4.3	7	4.1	8	4.3	8	4.6	7	4.1	8
Professional Reputation	4.1	16	4.2	16	4.3	16	4.1	17	4.2	14	4.1	17
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	4	4.5	5	4.8	5	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
Private, Solo	4.5	38	4.5	39	4.6	39	4.7	39	4.5	37	4.5	39
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.4	26	4.4	26	4.5	26	4.5	27	4.4	25	4.4	27
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.7	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.8	9	4.9	9
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	32	4.8	32	4.8	32	4.8	32	4.7	30	4.8	32
Government	4.6	35	4.6	35	4.8	35	4.7	35	4.7	34	4.8	34
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
5 Years or fewer	4.7	19	4.7	19	4.9	19	4.8	20	4.7	18	4.9	18
6 to 10 years	4.4	12	4.4	13	4.7	13	4.6	12	4.6	12	4.6	13
11 to 15 years	4.8	15	4.9	15	4.9	15	4.7	15	4.9	13	4.9	15
16 to 20 years	4.4	20	4.6	20	4.5	21	4.5	21	4.6	20	4.4	21
21 years or more	4.5	77	4.6	77	4.6	76	4.7	77	4.6	75	4.6	77
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
Male	4.5	102	4.6	103	4.8	102	4.7	102	4.6	98	4.7	103
Female	4.6	43	4.6	43	4.6	44	4.6	45	4.6	42	4.6	43
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
Prosecution	4.7	18	4.6	18	4.9	18	4.9	18	4.8	18	4.9	18
Mainly Criminal	4.5	18	4.7	18	4.7	18	4.6	18	4.5	16	4.7	17
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.4	55	4.5	55	4.6	55	4.6	55	4.6	53	4.5	55
Mainly Civil	4.6	51	4.7	52	4.7	52	4.7	53	4.6	50	4.7	53
Other	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
First District	4.7	6	4.8	6	4.8	6	4.8	6	4.8	5	4.8	6
Second District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Third District	4.5	125	4.6	126	4.7	126	4.6	127	4.6	121	4.6	126
Fourth District	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.9	7	4.9	7
Outside of Alaska	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

.Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge J. Patrick Hanley**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	16	44.4%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	18	44.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	11.1%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	3	8.3%
	6 to 10 years	10	27.8%
	11 to 15 years	10	27.8%
	16 to 20 years	9	25.0%
	21 years or more	4	11.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	24	66.7%
	Female	12	33.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	36	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	5.6%
	Over 35,000	34	94.4%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	26	72.2%
	Experience in last 5 years	24	66.7%
	Experience not in last 5 years	2	5.6%

**Judge J. Patrick Hanley  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		4		4		3		8		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>24</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.8	22	4.8	22	4.7	23	4.7	18	4.7	23
Experience not in last 5 yrs	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.8	11	4.8	11	4.7	11	4.9	9	4.8	11
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.8	12	4.7	12	4.8	13	4.6	10	4.7	13
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	5.0	7	5.0	7	4.8	8	4.9	7	4.9	8
11 to 15 years	4.6	7	4.6	7	4.6	7	4.5	6	4.6	7
16 to 20 years	4.8	6	4.7	6	5.0	6	4.8	4	4.8	6
21 years or more	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
Male	4.8	18	4.7	18	4.7	19	4.8	14	4.7	19
Female	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.8	5
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.8	23	4.7	23	4.8	24	4.7	19	4.8	24
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.8	23	4.7	23	4.8	24	4.7	19	4.8	24

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Margaret L. Murphy - Homer District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Murphy's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.9 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 3.6 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated her 4.3 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).



## District Court Judge Margaret L. Murphy

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	3.1%
Private, Solo	20	20.4%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	16	16.3%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	2	2.0%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.0%
Judge or Judicial Officer	30	30.6%
Government	24	24.5%
Public Service Agency or Organization	--	0.0%
Other	2	2.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	5	5.1%
5 Years or fewer	6	6.1%
6 to 10 years	7	7.1%
11 to 15 years	14	14.3%
16 to 20 years	7	7.1%
21 years or more	59	60.2%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	2.0%
Male	63	64.3%
Female	33	33.7%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	3	3.1%
Prosecution	3	3.1%
Mainly Criminal	10	10.2%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	43	43.9%
Mainly Civil	34	34.7%
Other	5	5.1%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	3.1%
First District	1	1.0%
Second District	1	1.0%
Third District	82	83.7%
Fourth District	11	11.2%
Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	78	79.6%
Experience in last 5 years	73	74.5%
Experience not in last 5 years	5	5.1%

## Judge Margaret L. Murphy: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		9		10		10		9		16		9
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>78</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.7	72	3.8	71	4.0	71	3.7	72	4.0	66	3.8	72
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.5	4	4.5	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4
Professional Reputation	3.5	11	3.5	11	3.6	11	3.6	11	3.6	10	3.5	11
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		3		3		2		8		2
Private, Solo	3.9	17	4.4	17	4.7	17	4.5	17	4.4	16	4.3	17
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.9	9	4.1	9	4.0	9	4.2	9	4.0	9	4.0	9
Private, 6+ Attorneys	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	3.7	27	3.5	26	3.7	26	3.3	27	3.7	22	3.6	27
Government	3.6	19	3.7	19	4.0	19	3.7	19	4.1	19	3.7	19
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		2		3		3		2		8		2
5 Years or fewer	3.4	5	3.8	5	3.8	5	3.6	5	4.2	5	3.6	5
6 to 10 years	4.0	6	4.2	6	4.5	6	4.2	6	4.8	6	4.3	6
11 to 15 years	3.9	13	4.1	13	4.4	13	4.2	13	4.2	11	4.2	13
16 to 20 years	3.5	6	3.8	6	3.7	6	3.5	6	3.8	6	3.8	6
21 years or more	3.7	45	3.8	44	4.0	44	3.7	45	3.9	41	3.7	45
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		2		3		3		2		8		2
Male	3.8	51	3.9	50	4.2	50	3.9	51	4.0	45	3.9	51
Female	3.6	25	3.7	25	3.8	25	3.6	25	4.0	25	3.8	25
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		2		3		3		2		6		2
Prosecution	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Mainly Criminal	4.1	10	4.2	10	4.3	9	4.4	10	4.6	10	4.2	10
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.6	36	3.6	35	3.9	36	3.5	36	3.8	32	3.6	36
Mainly Civil	3.8	22	3.9	22	4.1	22	4.0	22	4.0	22	4.0	22
Other	2.8	5	3.8	5	3.6	5	3.4	5	4.0	5	3.4	5
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		3		3		2		7		2
First District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.7	64	3.9	63	4.1	63	3.8	64	4.1	60	3.8	64
Fourth District	3.7	10	3.5	10	3.9	10	3.5	10	3.7	9	3.8	10
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## District Court Judge Margaret L. Murphy

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	19	61.3%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	11	35.5%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	1	3.2%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	3.2%
	5 Years or fewer	6	19.4%
	6 to 10 years	10	32.3%
	11 to 15 years	4	12.9%
	16 to 20 years	5	16.1%
	21 years or more	5	16.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	29	93.5%
	Female	2	6.5%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	3.2%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	28	90.3%
	Fourth District	2	6.5%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	2	6.5%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	24	77.4%
	Over 35,000	5	16.1%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	28	90.3%
	Experience in last 5 years	26	83.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	2	6.5%

**Judge Margaret L. Murphy  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		3		3		3		4		4
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>26</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.5	26	3.8	26	3.9	26	3.8	25	3.5	25
Experience not in last 5 yrs	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.7	17	4.0	17	3.9	17	4.2	17	3.8	17
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.1	9	3.4	9	3.8	9	3.1	8	3.0	8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		2
5 Years or fewer	3.7	6	4.0	6	3.7	6	3.8	6	3.3	6
6 to 10 years	3.8	8	4.4	8	4.6	8	4.1	8	3.9	7
11 to 15 years	3.3	4	3.5	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	3.5	4
16 to 20 years	2.8	4	3.0	4	3.3	4	3.3	4	3.3	4
21 years or more	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	4.0	3	3.8	4
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		2
Male	3.5	27	3.9	27	3.9	27	3.9	26	3.6	26
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		2
First District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.4	24	3.8	24	3.8	24	3.8	23	3.4	23
Fourth District	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		1		1		2		2
Under 2,000	4.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.4	23	3.7	23	3.7	23	3.7	22	3.4	22
Over 35,000	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Margaret L. Murphy**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	2	66.7%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	33.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	1	33.3%
	16 to 20 years	1	33.3%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	33.3%
	Female	2	66.7%
<b>Location of Work</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	3	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	100.0%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>	Direct professional experience	3	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	3	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Margaret L. Murphy**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Female	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District  
Daniel Schally - Valdez District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Schally's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.0 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.7 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.5 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge Daniel Schally

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	1.6%
	Private, Solo	23	18.9%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	25	20.5%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	7	5.7%
	Private, Corporate Employee	--	0.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	29	23.8%
	Government	35	28.7%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	0.8%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	7	5.7%
	5 Years or fewer	11	9.0%
	6 to 10 years	14	11.5%
	11 to 15 years	13	10.7%
	16 to 20 years	11	9.0%
	21 years or more	66	54.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	2	1.6%
	Male	80	65.6%
	Female	40	32.8%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	3	2.5%
	Prosecution	12	9.8%
	Mainly Criminal	13	10.7%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	54	44.3%
	Mainly Civil	36	29.5%
	Other	4	3.3%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	3	2.5%
	First District	10	8.2%
	Second District	1	0.8%
	Third District	91	74.6%
	Fourth District	16	13.1%
	Outside of Alaska	1	0.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	96	78.7%
	Experience in last 5 years	93	76.2%
	Experience not in last 5 years	3	2.5%



## Judge Daniel Schally: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		12		11		14		10		17		12
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>98</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	90	4.0	91	4.2	87	4.0	92	4.1	86	4.0	90
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Professional Reputation	4.2	10	4.2	10	4.2	10	4.2	10	3.9	9	4.1	10
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	3	4.5	2	4.7	3	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		2		6		1		7		3
Private, Solo	3.9	15	3.8	17	4.2	15	4.0	17	4.2	16	4.1	17
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.1	20	4.2	20	4.3	18	4.3	20	4.3	19	4.2	20
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.0	6	3.7	6	4.3	6	4.0	6	4.0	6	3.7	6
Private, Corporate Employee	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.2	25	4.2	24	4.2	25	3.9	25	4.1	21	4.1	24
Government	3.8	28	4.1	29	4.1	28	4.0	29	4.1	29	4.0	28
Public Service Agency/Org	2.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		4		1		6		1		7		2
5 Years or fewer	4.4	9	4.6	10	4.8	9	4.4	10	4.5	10	4.6	9
6 to 10 years	3.6	11	3.6	11	3.8	11	4.1	11	4.0	11	3.8	11
11 to 15 years	4.0	9	4.0	10	4.1	9	3.9	10	4.0	8	4.1	10
16 to 20 years	4.0	7	4.3	7	4.5	6	4.1	7	4.4	5	4.3	7
21 years or more	3.9	56	4.0	57	4.1	55	3.9	57	4.0	55	3.9	57
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		4		2		6		1		7		3
Male	4.0	69	4.1	68	4.3	66	4.1	69	4.2	64	4.1	68
Female	3.8	26	3.9	29	4.0	27	3.8	29	3.9	28	3.9	28
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		4		2		6		1		7		3
Prosecution	4.0	10	4.3	10	4.2	10	4.3	10	4.3	10	4.1	9
Mainly Criminal	4.1	10	4.3	11	4.5	10	4.4	11	4.3	10	4.5	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.9	47	3.8	46	4.0	45	3.8	47	3.9	43	3.8	46
Mainly Civil	4.2	26	4.3	27	4.4	25	4.3	27	4.4	26	4.2	27
Other	4.0	1	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		2		6		1		7		3
First District	3.8	6	3.8	6	3.8	6	3.7	6	4.0	5	3.8	6
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	74	4.1	76	4.3	73	4.1	77	4.2	72	4.1	75
Fourth District	3.5	13	3.4	13	3.8	12	3.6	13	3.5	13	3.4	13
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Daniel Schally****B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	11	52.4%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	6	28.6%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	19.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	4.8%
	5 Years or fewer	4	19.0%
	6 to 10 years	7	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	5	23.8%
	16 to 20 years	1	4.8%
	21 years or more	3	14.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	17	81.0%
	Female	4	19.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	21	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	2	9.5%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	61.9%
	Over 35,000	6	28.6%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	15	71.4%
	Experience in last 5 years	13	61.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	2	9.5%

**Judge Daniel Schally  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		3		3		4		4		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>14</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.5	13	4.8	13	4.9	13	4.8	13	4.7	13
Experience not in last 5 yrs	5.0	1	5.0	1	--	0	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	1	4.0	2
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.6	7	4.9	7	4.8	6	4.7	7	4.7	7
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.5	4	4.5	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	4.5	4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
5 Years or fewer	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
6 to 10 years	4.8	5	4.8	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.8	5
11 to 15 years	4.6	5	4.8	5	5.0	4	4.8	5	4.8	5
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
Male	4.5	13	4.8	13	4.9	12	4.8	13	4.7	13
Female	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.6	14	4.8	14	4.9	13	4.8	14	4.7	14
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		1		2		1		1
Under 2,000	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.6	9	4.8	9	5.0	8	4.9	9	4.8	9
Over 35,000	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## District Court Judge Daniel Schally

### C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	--	0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	2	100.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	50.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	50.0%
<b>Gender</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	2	100.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	2	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	2	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>	Direct professional experience	2	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	2	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Daniel Schally**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	--	0	4.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**Alex M. Swiderski - Anchorage District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Swiderski's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.9 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.2 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.3 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge Alex M. Swiderski

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	3	1.6%
	Private, Solo	48	25.7%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	38	20.3%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	15	8.0%
	Private, Corporate Employee	4	2.1%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	29	15.5%
	Government	42	22.5%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	.05%
	Other	7	3.7%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	7	3.7%
	5 Years or fewer	16	8.6%
	6 to 10 years	11	5.9%
	11 to 15 years	15	8.0%
	16 to 20 years	20	10.7%
	21 years or more	118	63.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	4	2.1%
	Male	125	66.8%
	Female	58	31.0%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	6	3.2%
	Prosecution	14	7.5%
	Mainly Criminal	19	10.2%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	57	30.5%
	Mainly Civil	88	47.1%
	Other	3	1.6%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	6	3.2%
	First District	6	3.2%
	Second District	1	0.5%
	Third District	167	89.3%
	Fourth District	6	3.2%
	Outside of Alaska	1	0.5%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	145	77.5%
	Experience in last 5 years	140	74.9%
	Experience not in last 5 years	5	2.7%

## Judge Alex M. Swiderski: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		8		9		8		7		22		11
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>141</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.9	135	3.9	137	4.2	137	3.8	138	4.1	128	3.8	134
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.8	4	4.4	5
Professional Reputation	4.1	21	4.1	19	4.3	20	4.1	21	4.2	20	4.1	21
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	16	4.5	15	4.9	15	4.8	14	4.5	11	4.4	14
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		3		3		2		13		5
Private, Solo	3.9	41	3.9	41	4.2	40	3.8	41	4.0	38	3.8	41
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.7	31	3.9	32	4.1	32	3.8	32	3.9	28	3.8	32
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	9	4.2	9	4.4	9	4.0	9	4.3	9	4.2	9
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.4	28	4.6	28	4.7	28	4.4	28	4.5	28	4.5	28
Government	3.6	24	3.8	25	4.0	26	3.6	26	4.0	23	3.6	23
Public Service Agency/Org	2.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	2.0	1	--	0	1.0	1
Other	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	3.8	4	4.0	4	3.8	4
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		5		3		3		2		12		5
5 Years or fewer	3.9	14	3.9	14	4.2	15	3.5	15	4.1	14	3.8	12
6 to 10 years	3.0	9	3.0	9	3.3	9	2.9	9	3.8	8	2.9	9
11 to 15 years	4.0	8	4.1	8	4.5	8	4.0	8	4.1	7	3.9	8
16 to 20 years	3.1	17	3.0	18	3.6	18	3.1	18	3.3	17	3.1	18
21 years or more	4.1	88	4.2	89	4.3	88	4.2	89	4.2	83	4.2	89
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		5		3		3		2		13		5
Male	4.0	100	4.1	102	4.3	101	4.0	101	4.2	93	4.0	100
Female	3.7	38	3.7	38	4.0	39	3.5	40	3.9	37	3.7	38
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		5		3		3		2		13		5
Prosecution	3.6	11	3.5	11	3.6	11	3.1	10	3.7	10	3.3	9
Mainly Criminal	3.6	16	3.5	16	4.0	16	3.4	17	3.9	15	3.5	16
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.1	49	4.1	50	4.2	50	3.9	50	4.1	47	4.0	50
Mainly Civil	3.8	60	4.0	61	4.3	61	4.1	62	4.1	56	3.9	61
Other	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		3		3		2		12		5
First District	3.0	3	3.3	3	3.0	3	3.3	3	3.0	3	3.0	3
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
Third District	3.9	130	4.0	132	4.2	132	3.9	133	4.1	123	3.9	130
Fourth District	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Outside of Alaska	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



**District Court Judge Alex M. Swiderski**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	12	57.1%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	7	33.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	2	9.5%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	9.5%
	6 to 10 years	8	38.1%
	11 to 15 years	5	23.8%
	16 to 20 years	3	14.3%
	21 years or more	3	14.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	13	61.9%
	Female	8	38.1%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	21	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	14.3%
	Over 35,000	18	85.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	21	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	18	85.7%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Alex M. Swiderski  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		4		2		4		3		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>17</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	16	4.5	17	4.3	15	4.3	17	4.2	17
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		3		1		3		2		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	10	4.4	10	4.1	9	4.1	10	3.9	9
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.5	4	4.5	6	4.6	5	4.4	5	4.3	6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		3		1		3		2		2
5 Years or fewer	3.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
6 to 10 years	4.5	6	4.4	7	4.3	6	4.3	7	4.3	8
11 to 15 years	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.3	4	4.4	5	4.3	4
16 to 20 years	4.0	2	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	2	4.0	2
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		3		1		3		2		2
Male	4.5	10	4.6	11	4.5	11	4.2	10	4.2	10
Female	3.8	6	4.4	7	4.2	5	4.4	7	4.1	7
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		3		1		3		2		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	16	4.5	18	4.4	16	4.3	17	4.2	17
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		3		1		3		2		2
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Over 35,000	4.1	14	4.4	16	4.3	14	4.2	15	4.1	15

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Alex M. Swiderski**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	--	0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	4	100.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	25.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	2	50.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	2	50.0%
	Female	2	50.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	4	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	4	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	4	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	3	75.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Alex M. Swiderski**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Female	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District**  
**David R. Wallace - Anchorage District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Wallace's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.2 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.2 overall, and no social workers and guardians ad litem rated this judge.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge David R. Wallace

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	1	0.8%
Private, Solo	32	26.2%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	21	17.2%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	9	7.4%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	0.8%
Judge or Judicial Officer	19	15.6%
Government	36	29.5%
Public Service Agency or Organization	--	0.0%
Other	3	2.5%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.6%
5 Years or fewer	15	12.3%
6 to 10 years	9	7.4%
11 to 15 years	12	9.8%
16 to 20 years	12	9.8%
21 years or more	72	59.0%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	1	0.8%
Male	83	68.0%
Female	38	31.1%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	2	1.6%
Prosecution	16	13.1%
Mainly Criminal	20	16.4%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	36	29.5%
Mainly Civil	45	36.9%
Other	3	2.5%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	1	0.8%
First District	5	4.1%
Second District	--	0.0%
Third District	113	92.6%
Fourth District	3	2.5%
Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	99	81.1%
Experience in last 5 years	94	77.0%
Experience not in last 5 years	5	4.1%

## Judge David R. Wallace: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		7		8		7		5		10		6
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>98</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.2	91	4.2	90	4.3	91	4.3	93	4.2	88	4.2	92
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.2	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	5
Professional Reputation	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.3	13	4.3	13	4.2	13
Other Personal Contacts	4.6	5	4.4	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		4		3		1		6		2
Private, Solo	4.1	27	4.2	26	4.2	27	4.2	27	4.2	25	4.2	27
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.2	17	4.1	16	4.5	15	4.4	17	4.3	16	4.3	16
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.8	6	4.8	6	5.0	6	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.8	6
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.3	17	4.3	16	4.3	17	4.4	17	4.3	15	4.2	17
Government	4.1	26	4.1	28	4.2	28	4.3	28	4.1	28	4.1	28
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		3		4		3		1		6		2
5 Years or fewer	4.5	15	4.4	15	4.6	14	4.5	15	4.3	15	4.5	15
6 to 10 years	4.3	6	4.4	7	4.7	7	4.4	7	4.3	6	4.3	7
11 to 15 years	4.4	11	3.9	11	4.1	11	4.3	11	4.4	10	4.2	11
16 to 20 years	4.2	12	4.2	11	4.1	12	4.2	12	4.0	11	4.1	12
21 years or more	4.1	53	4.2	52	4.3	53	4.3	54	4.2	52	4.2	53
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		3		4		3		1		6		2
Male	4.2	66	4.1	64	4.3	65	4.2	67	4.2	62	4.2	66
Female	4.3	30	4.4	31	4.5	31	4.5	31	4.2	31	4.3	31
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		3		4		3		1		6		2
Prosecution	4.2	14	4.3	15	4.4	15	4.4	15	4.2	15	4.3	15
Mainly Criminal	4.4	17	4.3	16	4.4	17	4.3	17	4.3	16	4.4	17
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.0	28	4.0	27	4.1	27	4.1	28	4.1	26	4.0	28
Mainly Civil	4.3	36	4.4	35	4.4	35	4.4	36	4.2	34	4.3	35
Other	4.0	1	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		3		4		3		1		6		2
First District	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	90	4.2	89	4.3	90	4.3	92	4.2	87	4.2	91
Fourth District	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## District Court Judge David R. Wallace

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	58.3%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	10	41.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	--	0.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	8.3%
	6 to 10 years	8	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	6	25.0%
	16 to 20 years	3	12.5%
	21 years or more	5	20.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	18	75.0%
	Female	6	25.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	4.2%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	23	95.8%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	8.3%
	Over 35,000	22	91.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	20	83.3%
	Experience in last 5 years	19	79.2%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	4.2%



**Judge David R. Wallace  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		4		3		3		5		4
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>18</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.3	17	4.3	18	4.4	18	4.4	16	4.2	17
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		2		1		1		3		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	9	4.2	9	4.2	9	4.3	8	4.0	8
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	9	4.4	10	4.6	10	4.4	9	4.3	10
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		2		1		1		3		2
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.4	7	4.4	7	4.4	7	4.6	7	4.3	7
11 to 15 years	3.7	6	3.8	6	4.0	6	3.8	6	3.4	5
16 to 20 years	5.0	2	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	1	4.7	3
21 years or more	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		2		1		1		3		2
Male	4.2	14	4.3	15	4.4	15	4.3	13	4.1	14
Female	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		2		1		1		3		2
First District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	17	4.3	18	4.4	18	4.4	16	4.2	17
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		2		1		1		3		2
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.3	18	4.3	19	4.4	19	4.4	17	4.2	18

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Third Judicial District  
Pamela Scott Washington - Anchorage District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Washington's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.7 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 4.0 overall, and no social workers and guardians ad litem rated her based on direct professional experience.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge Pamela Scott Washington

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.4%
Private, Solo	37	26.8%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	23	16.7%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	8	5.8%
Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.4%
Judge or Judicial Officer	23	16.7%
Government	41	29.7%
Public Service Agency or Organization	1	0.7%
Other	1	0.7%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	4	2.9%
5 Years or fewer	14	10.1%
6 to 10 years	14	10.1%
11 to 15 years	14	10.1%
16 to 20 years	20	14.5%
21 years or more	72	52.2%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	1.4%
Male	87	63.0%
Female	49	35.5%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	4	2.9%
Prosecution	17	12.3%
Mainly Criminal	16	11.6%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	42	30.4%
Mainly Civil	56	40.6%
Other	3	2.2%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	6	4.3%
First District	6	4.3%
Second District	--	0.0%
Third District	121	87.7%
Fourth District	4	2.9%
Outside of Alaska	1	0.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	99	71.7%
Experience in last 5 years	94	68.1%
Experience not in last 5 years	5	3.9%

## Judge Pamela Scott Washington: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		22		8		11		9		22		11
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>98</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.4	84	3.9	93	4.0	92	4.0	92	3.9	82	3.8	91
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.3	4	3.8	4	3.5	4	3.5	4	3.5	4	3.2	5
Professional Reputation	3.4	16	4.0	19	4.0	18	3.8	19	3.7	17	3.6	18
Other Personal Contacts	3.8	11	4.1	13	4.2	12	4.3	13	3.8	11	3.8	11
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		12		3		4		4		13		3
Private, Solo	3.6	28	3.8	31	3.9	30	4.0	31	3.9	27	3.8	30
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.1	13	3.5	13	3.5	13	3.5	13	3.3	14	3.3	15
Private, 6+ Attorneys	2.7	3	4.0	4	4.3	4	3.7	3	4.3	4	4.0	4
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	3.8	21	4.5	22	4.7	22	4.5	22	3.9	18	4.3	22
Government	3.0	21	3.7	24	3.8	24	3.8	24	3.8	21	3.4	23
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		12		3		4		4		13		3
5 Years or fewer	3.6	9	4.1	10	4.2	10	4.0	10	4.4	9	3.9	10
6 to 10 years	2.8	6	3.8	9	3.9	9	4.1	9	3.9	8	3.8	9
11 to 15 years	2.5	8	3.1	8	3.4	8	3.4	8	2.7	6	2.6	8
16 to 20 years	3.3	14	3.7	15	3.6	15	3.6	15	3.6	14	3.5	15
21 years or more	3.6	50	4.1	54	4.2	53	4.2	53	3.9	49	3.9	54
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		12		3		4		4		13		3
Male	3.4	62	3.9	68	4.1	67	4.1	67	3.9	60	3.8	68
Female	3.3	26	3.9	29	3.9	29	3.8	29	3.7	27	3.7	29
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		12		3		4		4		13		3
Prosecution	2.9	11	3.7	12	3.8	12	3.8	12	3.8	11	3.4	12
Mainly Criminal	3.6	14	3.7	16	4.1	16	4.1	16	4.3	12	3.7	15
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.4	33	3.9	33	4.0	33	3.9	33	3.6	31	3.7	33
Mainly Civil	3.5	28	4.0	33	4.1	32	4.0	32	3.8	31	3.9	35
Other	4.0	1	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		12		3		4		4		13		3
First District	2.5	2	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.3	3	3.0	2	3.3	3
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.4	82	4.0	90	4.1	89	4.0	89	3.9	81	3.8	90
Fourth District	1.5	2	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.0	2
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Pamela Scott Washington**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	10	62.5%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	6	37.5%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	--	0.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	12.5%
	6 to 10 years	7	43.8%
	11 to 15 years	2	12.5%
	16 to 20 years	2	12.5%
	21 years or more	3	18.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	12	75.0%
	Female	4	25.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	16	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	6.3%
	Over 35,000	15	93.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	16	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	14	87.5%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Pamela Scott Washington  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		4		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>13</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	13	4.0	13	4.3	13	3.8	10	4.0	13
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		4		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.9	7	3.8	6	4.3	7	3.3	6	3.9	7
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	6	4.2	6	4.3	6	4.5	4	4.2	6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		4		1
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.0	7	4.0	6	4.6	7	3.7	7	4.1	7
11 to 15 years	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
16 to 20 years	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	--	0	4.5	2
21 years or more	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	--	0	3.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		4		1
Male	4.1	11	4.1	10	4.5	11	3.9	8	4.1	11
Female	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		4		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	13	4.0	12	4.3	13	3.8	10	4.0	13
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		1		2		1		4		1
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.0	13	4.0	12	4.3	13	3.8	10	4.0	13

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Pamela Scott Washington**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	50.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	50.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	50.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	50.0%
	Female	1	50.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	2	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	2	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>	Direct professional experience	2	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	--	0.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Pamela Scott Washington**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>	--	<b>0</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



**Third Judicial District  
David Zwink - Palmer District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Zwink's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.3 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.4 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 3.5 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge David Zwink

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	3	2.6%
Private, Solo	34	29.8%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	20	17.5%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3	2.6%
Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.8%
Judge or Judicial Officer	27	23.7%
Government	20	17.5%
Public Service Agency or Organization	1	0.9%
Other	4	3.5%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	6	5.3%
5 Years or fewer	5	4.4%
6 to 10 years	8	7.0%
11 to 15 years	10	8.8%
16 to 20 years	15	13.2%
21 years or more	70	61.1%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
Male	70	61.4%
Female	42	36.8%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	3	2.6%
Prosecution	4	3.5%
Mainly Criminal	12	10.5%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	47	41.2%
Mainly Civil	44	38.6%
Other	4	3.5%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
First District	3	2.6%
Second District	--	0.0%
Third District	101	88.6%
Fourth District	8	7.0%
Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	95	83.3%
Experience in last 5 years	87	76.3%
Experience not in last 5 years	8	7.0%

## Judge David Zwink: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		5		4		5		3		12		6
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>93</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.2	87	4.3	86	4.4	84	4.4	86	4.3	79	4.3	85
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	6	4.4	8	4.5	8	4.4	8	4.1	7	4.1	7
Professional Reputation	3.3	10	3.3	10	3.4	10	3.3	10	3.4	10	3.3	10
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	5	4.2	5	4.3	6	4.5	6	4.0	5	4.2	5
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		1		3		1		8		3
Private, Solo	4.3	29	4.4	31	4.6	30	4.6	31	4.4	28	4.4	30
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.8	16	4.2	16	4.4	15	4.3	16	4.1	14	4.2	15
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Private, Corporate Employee	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.3	23	4.2	22	4.4	22	4.2	22	4.3	20	4.2	22
Government	4.1	15	4.3	15	4.2	15	4.3	15	4.4	15	4.2	15
Public Service Agency/Org	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	3.3	3	4.3	3
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		2		1		3		1		7		3
5 Years or fewer	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	5.0	5
6 to 10 years	3.8	6	4.4	5	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.5	4	4.5	4
11 to 15 years	4.1	9	4.2	9	4.3	9	4.2	9	4.4	8	4.2	9
16 to 20 years	4.0	13	3.9	14	4.3	14	4.4	14	4.0	13	4.1	14
21 years or more	4.1	56	4.3	57	4.4	55	4.3	57	4.2	54	4.2	56
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		2		1		3		1		9		3
Male	4.2	60	4.3	61	4.4	58	4.3	61	4.2	54	4.2	59
Female	4.2	32	4.3	32	4.5	33	4.4	32	4.3	31	4.3	32
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		2		1		3		1		9		3
Prosecution	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
Mainly Criminal	4.6	11	4.6	11	4.6	11	4.6	11	4.3	10	4.6	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.2	43	4.2	42	4.4	42	4.3	42	4.3	38	4.3	41
Mainly Civil	4.0	33	4.3	35	4.4	33	4.3	35	4.2	32	4.2	34
Other	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		1		3		1		9		3
First District	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	83	4.3	84	4.4	83	4.4	84	4.3	77	4.3	83
Fourth District	3.5	6	4.2	6	4.4	5	4.2	6	4.4	5	4.4	5
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

## District Court Judge David Zwink

### B. Peace and Probation Officers

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	16	51.6%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	12	38.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	3	9.7%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	3	9.7%
	6 to 10 years	9	29.0%
	11 to 15 years	10	32.3%
	16 to 20 years	2	6.5%
	21 years or more	7	22.6%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	27	87.1%
	Female	4	12.9%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	3.2%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	30	96.8%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	2	6.5%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	17	54.8%
	Over 35,000	12	38.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	31	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	29	93.5%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge David Zwink  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>31</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.4	29	4.6	29	4.5	29	4.6	29	4.5	29
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.0	2	4.0	1	3.0	2	5.0	1	3.0	2
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	16	4.6	15	4.3	16	4.5	15	4.3	16
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	12	4.5	12	4.5	12	4.8	12	4.5	12
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
6 to 10 years	4.6	9	4.4	9	4.6	9	4.8	9	4.6	9
11 to 15 years	3.8	10	4.2	10	4.1	10	4.3	10	4.1	10
16 to 20 years	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2
21 years or more	4.3	7	4.8	6	4.3	7	4.7	6	4.3	7
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
Male	4.3	27	4.5	26	4.4	27	4.6	26	4.4	27
Female	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
First District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	30	4.6	29	4.4	30	4.6	29	4.4	30
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
Under 2,000	3.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.3	17	4.4	17	4.5	17	4.5	17	4.4	17
Over 35,000	4.4	12	4.7	11	4.3	12	4.7	11	4.4	12

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge David Zwink**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	Social Worker	--	0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	50.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	50.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	1	50.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	1	50.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	1	50.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	2	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	2	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge David Zwink**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Fourth Judicial District**  
**Paul Lyle - Fairbanks Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Lyle's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.2 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.6 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.2 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).



## Superior Court Judge Paul Lyle

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
Private, Solo	18	15.8%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	15	13.2%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	14	12.3%
Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.8%
Judge or Judicial Officer	18	15.8%
Government	43	37.7%
Public Service Agency or Organization	2	1.8%
Other	2	1.8%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
5 Years or fewer	12	10.5%
6 to 10 years	11	9.6%
11 to 15 years	14	12.3%
16 to 20 years	8	7.0%
21 years or more	67	58.8%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
Male	74	64.9%
Female	38	33.3%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
Prosecution	13	11.4%
Mainly Criminal	3	2.6%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	33	28.9%
Mainly Civil	57	50.0%
Other	6	5.3%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.8%
First District	2	1.8%
Second District	2	1.8%
Third District	44	38.6%
Fourth District	62	54.4%
Outside of Alaska	2	1.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	88	77.2%
Experience in last 5 years	84	73.7%
Experience not in last 5 years	4	3.5%

## Judge Paul Lyle: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		6		6		3		7		8		4
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>90</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.2	82	4.3	83	4.5	83	4.0	84	4.4	83	4.2	84
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
Professional Reputation	3.9	14	3.9	13	4.2	15	3.6	11	4.0	12	4.0	14
Other Personal Contacts	4.8	6	4.5	6	4.7	7	4.7	6	4.8	5	4.7	6
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		1		1		0		1		0
Private, Solo	4.4	13	4.3	13	4.6	12	4.3	13	4.5	13	4.2	13
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.7	14	4.7	14	4.8	14	4.3	14	4.5	14	4.7	14
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.6	12	4.8	12	4.8	12	4.7	12	4.3	12	4.5	12
Private, Corporate Employee	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.3	14	4.4	14	4.5	15	4.1	15	4.4	14	4.3	15
Government	3.8	31	3.8	32	4.1	32	3.5	32	4.2	32	3.8	32
Public Service Agency/Org	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Other	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	3.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		2		1		1		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.1	10	4.3	10	4.5	10	4.0	10	4.3	10	4.2	10
6 to 10 years	4.2	10	4.2	10	4.3	10	3.8	10	4.1	10	4.1	10
11 to 15 years	4.4	9	4.2	9	4.4	9	3.8	9	4.4	9	4.2	9
16 to 20 years	4.1	7	4.3	7	4.7	7	4.0	7	4.3	7	4.3	7
21 years or more	4.2	51	4.3	52	4.4	52	4.1	53	4.4	52	4.2	53
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		2		1		1		0		1		0
Male	4.1	58	4.2	58	4.5	58	4.1	59	4.4	58	4.2	59
Female	4.3	28	4.2	29	4.3	29	3.9	29	4.2	29	4.1	29
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		2		1		1		0		1		0
Prosecution	2.6	10	2.8	10	3.5	10	2.3	10	3.6	10	2.8	10
Mainly Criminal	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.2	27	4.2	27	4.4	28	3.9	28	4.3	27	4.1	28
Mainly Civil	4.5	43	4.6	43	4.6	42	4.4	43	4.5	43	4.5	43
Other	4.8	5	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.5	6	4.5	6	4.7	6
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		2		1		1		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.2	27	4.1	28	4.3	28	4.3	28	4.3	28	4.3	28
Fourth District	4.2	58	4.3	58	4.5	58	3.9	59	4.3	58	4.1	59
Outside of Alaska	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Paul Lyle**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	11	32.4%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	10	29.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.9%
	Probation/Parole Officer	12	35.3%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	11	32.4%
	6 to 10 years	10	29.4%
	11 to 15 years	9	26.5%
	16 to 20 years	4	11.8%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	23	67.6%
	Female	11	32.4%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	1	2.9%
	Fourth District	33	97.1%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	2.9%
	Under 2,000	2	5.9%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	29.4%
	Over 35,000	21	61.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	30	88.2%
	Experience in last 5 years	29	85.3%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	2.9%

**Judge Paul Lyle  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>30</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.9	29	4.0	29	3.6	29	3.8	28	3.7	29
Experience not in last 5 yrs	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.3	3	3.5	2	3.3	3	4.3	3	3.3	3
Other Personal Contacts	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.4	8	4.6	8	3.6	8	4.0	7	4.0	8
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.9	9	3.9	9	3.8	9	4.2	9	3.9	9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0	1
Probation/Parole Officer	3.7	12	3.8	12	3.4	12	3.6	12	3.4	12
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.1	10	4.2	10	3.4	10	3.9	10	3.8	10
6 to 10 years	4.1	8	4.1	8	3.9	8	3.8	8	3.9	8
11 to 15 years	3.3	8	3.4	8	3.1	8	3.5	8	3.0	8
16 to 20 years	3.8	4	4.0	4	3.8	4	4.3	3	4.0	4
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Male	3.9	20	4.1	20	3.6	20	3.9	19	3.8	20
Female	3.7	10	3.7	10	3.3	10	3.6	10	3.4	10
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	3.8	30	3.9	30	3.5	30	3.8	29	3.6	30
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Under 2,000	3.0	2	2.5	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.1	9	4.1	9	3.9	9	4.2	9	3.9	9
Over 35,000	3.7	18	3.9	18	3.3	18	3.6	17	3.5	18

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Paul Lyle**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	Social Worker	4	80.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	40.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	20.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	20.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	Male	1	20.0%
	Female	3	60.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	2	40.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	3	60.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	20.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	4	80.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	5	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	5	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Paul Lyle  
Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>5</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.6	5	4.6	5	3.8	5	4.4	5	4.2	5
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.5	4	4.5	4	3.8	4	4.3	4	4.0	4
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.0	2	5.0	2	4.0	2
6 to 10 years	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Female	4.3	3	4.3	3	3.3	3	4.0	3	3.7	3
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	4.3	3	4.3	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.3	3
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.5	4	4.5	4	3.8	4	4.3	4	4.0	4

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Fourth Judicial District**  
**Michael P. McConahy - Fairbanks Superior Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge McConahy's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.2 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.3 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 4.0 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## Superior Court Judge Michael P. McConahy

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	1	0.8%
Private, Solo	23	18.9%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	23	18.9%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	14	11.5%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	0.8%
Judge or Judicial Officer	19	15.6%
Government	40	32.8%
Public Service Agency or Organization	--	0.0%
Other	1	0.8%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.6%
5 Years or fewer	13	10.7%
6 to 10 years	13	10.7%
11 to 15 years	14	11.5%
16 to 20 years	7	5.7%
21 years or more	73	59.8%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	3	2.5%
Male	82	67.2%
Female	37	30.3%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	3	2.5%
Prosecution	13	10.7%
Mainly Criminal	2	1.6%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	37	30.3%
Mainly Civil	57	46.7%
Other	10	8.2%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	1.6%
First District	1	0.8%
Second District	3	2.5%
Third District	49	40.2%
Fourth District	65	53.3%
Outside of Alaska	2	1.6%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>		
Direct professional experience	89	73.0%
Experience in last 5 years	86	70.5%
Experience not in last 5 years	3	2.5%



## Judge Michael P. McConahy: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		10		6		7		8		15		9
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>90</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.2	83	4.2	86	4.3	85	4.3	86	4.3	81	4.1	85
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	3	4.3	3	3.3	3	4.5	2	4.3	3	4.5	2
Professional Reputation	4.1	19	4.3	18	4.3	18	4.3	18	4.3	18	4.3	18
Other Personal Contacts	4.8	5	4.7	6	5.0	7	4.8	6	5.0	3	4.8	5
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		0		2		2		6		2
Private, Solo	4.3	16	4.1	18	4.3	16	4.3	16	4.4	16	4.2	17
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.9	19	3.9	19	4.1	19	4.1	19	4.1	18	3.8	18
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.5	10	4.5	11	4.5	11	4.6	11	4.6	10	4.5	11
Private, Corporate Employee	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.5	15	4.6	15	4.6	15	4.6	15	4.5	14	4.5	15
Government	4.1	28	4.1	29	4.1	29	4.1	29	4.2	28	4.1	29
Public Service Agency/Org	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		4		0		2		2		6		2
5 Years or fewer	4.4	10	4.2	10	4.5	10	4.5	10	4.5	10	4.3	10
6 to 10 years	4.2	11	4.3	12	4.3	12	4.4	12	4.5	12	4.2	12
11 to 15 years	4.1	9	3.8	9	4.0	9	4.0	9	3.9	9	3.9	9
16 to 20 years	3.6	7	3.9	7	3.6	7	3.6	7	3.9	7	3.7	7
21 years or more	4.3	51	4.3	54	4.3	52	4.4	52	4.4	48	4.2	52
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		4		0		2		2		6		2
Male	4.3	59	4.3	61	4.4	59	4.4	59	4.4	58	4.3	59
Female	3.9	27	3.9	29	4.0	29	4.0	29	4.0	26	3.9	29
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		4		0		2		2		5		2
Prosecution	4.8	10	4.8	10	4.9	10	4.9	10	4.9	10	4.8	10
Mainly Criminal	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.0	28	3.9	29	4.1	28	4.1	29	4.2	28	3.9	28
Mainly Civil	4.3	41	4.3	43	4.3	42	4.4	42	4.3	40	4.2	43
Other	3.8	6	3.7	7	3.7	7	3.7	6	4.0	6	3.8	6
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		4		0		2		2		5		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Third District	4.3	29	4.4	31	4.2	30	4.4	29	4.3	29	4.3	29
Fourth District	4.1	55	4.0	57	4.2	56	4.2	57	4.3	54	4.0	57
Outside of Alaska	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Michael P. McConahy**

**B. Peace and Probation Officers**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	42.4%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	6	18.2%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	12	36.4%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	12	36.4%
	6 to 10 years	9	27.3%
	11 to 15 years	7	21.2%
	16 to 20 years	2	6.1%
	21 years or more	3	9.1%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	22	66.7%
	Female	11	33.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	1	3.0%
	Fourth District	32	97.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	3.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	21.2%
	Over 35,000	25	75.8%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	33	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	23	69.7%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Michael P. McConahy  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		2		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>23</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.2	23	4.3	23	4.2	23	4.2	23	4.3	23
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	4.0	8	4.1	8	4.1	8	4.1	8	4.1	8
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.7	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.0	5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.0	12	4.2	12	4.1	12	4.0	12	4.2	12
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.3	10	4.5	10	4.5	10	4.4	10	4.5	10
6 to 10 years	4.2	6	4.2	6	4.2	6	4.2	6	4.2	6
11 to 15 years	4.0	6	4.0	6	3.8	6	3.8	6	4.0	6
16 to 20 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.3	12	4.3	12	4.3	12	4.3	12	4.3	12
Female	4.1	11	4.2	11	4.2	11	4.0	11	4.2	11
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Fourth District	4.2	22	4.3	22	4.2	22	4.2	22	4.3	22
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.2	5	4.0	5	4.0	5
Over 35,000	4.2	18	4.3	18	4.2	18	4.2	18	4.3	18

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Michael P. McConahy**  
**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**  
**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	3	100.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	33.3%
	6 to 10 years	1	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	33.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	33.3%
	Female	2	66.7%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	3	100.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	3	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	3	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	2	66.7%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Michael P. McConahy**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>2</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Fourth Judicial District**  
**Patrick S. Hammers - Fairbanks District Court**

**Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

***Summary of survey information***

Judge Hammers detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.0 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.1 overall, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him 5.0 overall.

***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, and public comments. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

## District Court Judge Patrick S. Hammers

### A. Alaska Bar Association

#### Demographic Description

		N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	2.1%
	Private, Solo	20	20.6%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	20	20.6%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	7	7.2%
	Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	20	20.6%
	Government	25	25.8%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	1.0%
	Other	1	1.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	2.1%
	5 Years or fewer	11	11.3%
	6 to 10 years	9	9.3%
	11 to 15 years	13	13.4%
	16 to 20 years	13	13.4%
	21 years or more	49	50.5%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	4	4.1%
	Male	59	60.8%
	Female	34	35.1%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	2	2.1%
	Prosecution	12	12.4%
	Mainly Criminal	5	5.2%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	41	42.3%
	Mainly Civil	31	32.0%
	Other	6	6.2%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	1	1.0%
	First District	3	3.1%
	Second District	1	1.0%
	Third District	37	38.1%
	Fourth District	54	55.7%
	Outside of Alaska	1	1.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	74	76.3%
	Experience in last 5 years	71	73.2%
	Experience not in last 5 years	3	3.1%

## Judge Patrick S. Hammers: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		7		8		7		7		17		5
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>74</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.8	70	4.1	68	4.2	68	4.2	67	4.1	62	3.9	70
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Professional Reputation	4.0	10	4.2	12	4.1	11	4.1	12	3.9	9	4.1	12
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	6	4.6	5	4.6	7	4.4	7	4.8	5	4.3	6
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		4		9		1
Private, Solo	3.9	15	4.3	14	4.5	14	4.6	14	4.5	12	4.3	15
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.8	17	4.0	17	4.0	16	4.5	16	4.0	16	3.7	17
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.3	7	4.3	7	4.4	7	4.4	7	4.3	6	4.4	7
Private, Corporate Employee	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.1	14	4.4	14	4.6	14	4.4	14	4.5	13	4.4	14
Government	3.2	17	3.8	16	3.8	17	3.6	16	3.5	15	3.4	17
Public Service Agency/Org	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		4		9		1
5 Years or fewer	3.8	11	4.0	11	4.0	11	4.3	11	4.2	11	3.8	11
6 to 10 years	2.8	8	3.6	7	3.6	8	3.8	8	3.6	7	3.3	8
11 to 15 years	3.7	7	3.9	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	3.8	6	3.9	7
16 to 20 years	3.8	12	3.9	12	4.5	11	4.6	11	4.4	11	4.0	12
21 years or more	4.0	35	4.4	34	4.4	34	4.4	33	4.1	30	4.1	35
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		4		9		1
Male	3.9	45	4.3	43	4.3	44	4.3	43	4.1	39	4.0	45
Female	3.6	25	3.9	25	4.1	24	4.2	24	4.0	23	3.8	25
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		4		9		1
Prosecution	3.1	9	3.8	9	3.7	9	3.7	9	3.7	9	3.3	9
Mainly Criminal	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	5.0	4	4.5	4
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.6	32	3.9	31	4.1	31	4.3	31	4.0	27	3.8	32
Mainly Civil	4.1	23	4.5	22	4.5	22	4.4	22	4.2	21	4.3	23
Other	4.0	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	3.7	3	3.7	3	4.0	4
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		3		3		4		9		1
First District	3.5	2	4.0	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	3.5	2
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.1	19	4.3	19	4.4	19	4.4	19	4.4	18	4.3	19
Fourth District	3.7	50	4.0	48	4.1	49	4.2	48	3.9	44	3.8	50
Outside of Alaska	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



**District Court Judge Patrick S. Hammers****B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	15	48.4%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	12	38.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.2%
	Probation/Parole Officer	3	9.7%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	16.1%
	6 to 10 years	9	29.0%
	11 to 15 years	8	25.8%
	16 to 20 years	6	19.4%
	21 years or more	3	9.7%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	25	80.6%
	Female	6	19.4%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	2	6.5%
	Fourth District	29	93.5%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	4	12.9%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	19.4%
	Over 35,000	21	67.7%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	25	80.6%
	Experience in last 5 years	24	77.4%
	Experience not in last 5 years	1	3.2%

**Judge Patrick S. Hammers**  
**Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		1		2		2		3		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>24</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.1	24	4.2	23	4.0	23	4.4	22	4.1	23
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Professional Reputation	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.6	5
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		1		2		1
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.5	12	3.6	12	3.3	11	4.0	10	3.3	11
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.7	11	4.8	11	4.7	11	4.7	11	4.8	11
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		1		1		2		1
5 Years or fewer	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.5	2	4.0	3
6 to 10 years	4.2	9	4.3	9	3.8	8	4.6	8	4.1	8
11 to 15 years	4.3	7	4.3	6	4.6	7	4.3	7	4.1	7
16 to 20 years	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.6	5
21 years or more	1.0	1	1.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	1.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		1		1		2		1
Male	4.1	21	4.2	21	4.1	20	4.4	20	4.1	20
Female	4.3	4	4.3	3	4.3	4	4.7	3	4.3	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		1		2		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	1	4.0	1	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	4.1	24	4.2	23	4.1	24	4.4	23	4.1	24
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		1		1		2		1
Under 2,000	3.7	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	3.3	3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	6	4.7	6	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.8	5
Over 35,000	4.1	16	4.1	15	3.9	16	4.5	15	4.0	16

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**District Court Judge Patrick S. Hammers**

**C. Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

**Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	100.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	--	0.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	100.0%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	100.0%
	Female	--	0.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	--	0.0%
	Fourth District	1	100.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	1	100.0%
<b>Experience with the judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	1	100.0%
	Experience in last 5 years	1	100.0%
	Experience not in last 5 years	--	0.0%

**Judge Patrick S. Hammers**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>1</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

# Appendix A - Additional Statistical Tables

**Table A1: Survey Return Rates**

<b>Return Rate for Alaska Bar Association Members</b>	
Total potential respondents	3029
Total responding	744
Response rate	24.6%
<b>Return Rate for Peace and Probation Officers</b>	
Total potential respondents	1632
Total responding	306
Response rate	18.8%
<b>Return Rate for Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem</b>	
Total potential respondents	123
Total responding	40
Response rate	32.5%

**Table A2: Respondent Characteristics: Alaska Bar Association**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	11	1.5%
	Private, Solo	170	22.8%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	122	16.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	95	12.8%
	Private, Corporate Employee	22	3.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	74	9.9%
	Government	195	26.2%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	19	2.6%
	Other	36	4.8%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	33	4.4%
	5 Years or fewer	73	9.8%
	6 to 10 years	75	10.1%
	11 to 15 years	70	9.4%
	16 to 20 years	72	9.7%
	21 years or more	421	56.6%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	14	1.9%
	Male	487	65.5%
	Female	243	32.7%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	21	2.8%
	Prosecution	47	6.3%
	Mainly Criminal	52	7.0%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	152	20.4%
	Mainly Civil	414	55.6%
	Other	58	7.8%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	12	1.6%
	First District	96	12.9%
	Second District	15	2.0%
	Third District	501	67.3%
	Fourth District	95	12.8%
	Outside of Alaska	25	3.4%

**Table A3: Respondent Characteristics: Peace and Probation Officers**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	5	1.6%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	115	37.6%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	118	38.6%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VSPO)	5	1.6%
	Probation/Parole Officer	62	20.3%
	Other	1	0.3%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	6	2.0%
	5 Years or fewer	75	24.5%
	6 to 10 years	72	23.5%
	11 to 15 years	73	23.9%
	16 to 20 years	50	16.3%
	21 years or more	30	9.8%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No response	5	1.6%
	Male	240	78.4%
	Female	61	19.9%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	5	1.6%
	First District	46	15.0%
	Second District	18	5.9%
	Third District	176	57.5%
	Fourth District	61	19.9%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	5	1.6%
	Under 2,000	27	8.8%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	154	50.3%
	Over 35,000	120	39.2%



**Table A4: Respondent Characteristics: Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>		
No Response	3	7.5%
Social Worker	21	52.5%
Guardian ad Litem	16	40.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>		
No Response	3	7.5%
5 Years or fewer	8	20.0%
6 to 10 years	9	22.5%
11 to 15 years	10	25.0%
16 to 20 years	5	12.5%
21 years or more	5	12.5%
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	5	12.5%
Male	7	17.5%
Female	28	70.0%
<b>Location of Work</b>		
No Response	4	10.0%
First District	7	17.5%
Second District	--	0.0%
Third District	21	52.5%
Fourth District	8	20.0%
<b>Community Population</b>		
No Response	3	7.5%
Under 2,000	--	0.0%
Between 2,000 and 35,000	17	42.5%
Over 35,000	20	50.0%

**Table A5: Alaska Bar Members' Level of Experience with Judges**

	N	No Response N	Direct Professional Experience N	Professional Reputation N	Other Personal Contacts N
<i>Appellate</i>					
Walter L. Carpeneti	382	362	293	76	13
Daniel Winfree	312	432	225	66	21
Joel H. Bolger	202	542	145	46	11
<i>First District</i>					
William Barker Carey	99	645	65	26	8
Keith B. Levy	148	596	103	33	12
Thomas G. Nave	111	633	62	41	8
<i>Second District</i>					
Michael I. Jeffery	172	572	130	32	10
<i>Third District</i>					
Steve Cole	91	653	63	20	8
Gregory Louis Heath	83	661	68	12	3
Charles Huguelet	150	594	122	21	7
William F. Morse	330	414	274	46	10
Frank A. Pfiffner	250	494	193	46	11
Eric Smith	256	488	202	46	8
John Suddock	299	445	244	43	12
Sen K. Tan	391	353	311	66	14
Philip R. Volland	250	494	171	67	12
Michael L. Wolverton	236	508	179	49	8
J. Patrick Hanley	177	567	149	20	8
Margaret L. Murphy	98	646	80	17	1
Daniel Schally	122	622	101	16	5
Alex M. Swiderski	187	557	147	23	17
David R. Wallace	122	622	100	16	6
Pamela Scott Washington	138	606	101	21	16
David Zwink	114	630	96	11	7
<i>Fourth District</i>					
Paul Lyle	114	630	90	17	7
Michael P. McConahy	122	622	92	23	7
Patrick S. Hammers	97	647	75	15	7

**Table A6: Peace and Probation Officers' Level of Experience with Judges**

	<b>No Response</b>		<b>Direct Professional Experience</b>	<b>Professional Reputation</b>	<b>Other Personal Contacts</b>
	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>
<i><b>First District</b></i>					
William Barker Carey	24	282	19	5	0
Keith B. Levy	26	280	22	4	0
Thomas G. Nave	26	280	20	6	0
<i><b>Second District</b></i>					
Michael I. Jeffery	17	289	15	2	0
<i><b>Third District</b></i>					
Steve Cole	19	287	17	1	1
Gregory Louis Heath	24	282	21	3	0
Charles Huguelet	34	272	32	1	1
William F. Morse	15	291	12	2	1
Frank A. Pfiffner	8	298	5	3	0
Eric Smith	36	270	31	5	0
John Suddock	24	282	18	6	0
Sen K. Tan	19	287	13	6	0
Philip R. Volland	41	265	31	10	0
Michael L. Wolverton	46	260	37	9	0
J. Patrick Hanley	36	270	26	10	0
Margaret L. Murphy	31	275	28	3	0
Daniel Schally	21	285	15	4	2
Alex M. Swiderski	21	285	19	2	0
David R. Wallace	24	282	20	3	1
Pamela Scott Washington	16	290	14	2	0
David Zwink	31	275	31	0	0
<i><b>Fourth District</b></i>					
Paul Lyle	34	272	30	3	1
Michael P. McConahy	33	273	23	10	0
Patrick S. Hammers	31	275	25	6	0

**Table A7: Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem’s Level of Experience with Judges**

		No Response	Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
	N	N	N	N	N
<i>First District</i>					
William Barker Carey	4	36	3	1	0
Keith B. Levy	2	38	1	1	0
Thomas G. Nave	1	39	0	1	0
<i>Second District</i>					
Michael I. Jeffery	3	37	3	0	0
<i>Third District</i>					
Steve Cole	0	40	0	0	0
Gregory Louis Heath	3	37	3	0	0
Charles Huguelet	11	29	11	0	0
William F. Morse	10	30	10	0	0
Frank A. Pfiffner	8	32	6	2	0
Eric Smith	5	35	5	0	0
John Suddock	9	31	9	0	0
Sen K. Tan	10	30	10	0	0
Philip R. Volland	1	39	0	1	0
Michael L. Wolverton	1	39	1	0	0
J. Patrick Hanley	0	40	0	0	0
Margaret L. Murphy	3	37	3	0	0
Daniel Schally	2	38	2	0	0
Alex M. Swiderski	4	36	3	1	0
David R. Wallace	0	40	0	0	0
Pamela Scott Washington	2	38	0	1	1
David Zwink	2	38	2	0	0
<i>Fourth District</i>					
Paul Lyle	5	35	5	0	0
Michael P. McConahy	3	37	2	1	0
Patrick S. Hammers	1	39	1	0	0

**Table A8: Overall Rating - Distribution and Mean, Median and Std. Dev. by Alaska Bar Members**

	N	Poor	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Mean	Median	Std
<i>Appellate</i>									
Walter L. Carpeneti	379	3	5	24	54	293	4.7	5.0	0.73
Daniel Winfree	299	4	8	21	82	184	4.5	5.0	0.84
Joel H. Bolger	198	3	14	14	41	126	4.4	5.0	0.99
<i>First District</i>									
William Barker Carey	89	2	2	5	36	44	4.3	4.0	0.86
Keith B. Levy	141	4	2	12	30	93	4.5	5.0	0.92
Thomas G. Nave	103	1	3	7	31	63	4.4	5.0	0.83
<i>Second District</i>									
Michael I. Jeffery	165	2	0	6	40	117	4.6	5.0	0.67
<i>Third District</i>									
Steve Cole	82	1	3	5	35	38	4.3	4.0	0.84
Gregory Louis Heath	77	4	5	20	24	24	3.8	4.0	1.12
Charles Huguelet	146	4	15	31	48	48	3.8	4.0	1.09
William F. Morse	323	14	39	77	95	98	3.7	4.0	1.15
Frank A. Pfiffner	240	5	23	51	72	89	3.9	4.0	1.07
Eric Smith	251	2	13	24	95	117	4.2	4.0	0.89
John Suddock	296	17	35	47	106	91	3.7	4.0	1.18
Sen K. Tan	387	4	14	37	90	242	4.4	5.0	0.88
Philip R. Volland	246	3	9	25	72	137	4.4	5.0	0.89
Michael L. Wolverton	233	5	7	31	78	112	4.2	4.0	0.94
J. Patrick Hanley	168	1	1	10	44	112	4.6	5.0	0.69
Margaret L. Murphy	89	2	8	21	33	25	3.8	4.0	1.02
Daniel Schally	110	2	5	24	32	47	4.1	4.0	1.00
Alex M. Swiderski	176	6	15	36	45	74	3.9	4.0	1.13
David R. Wallace	116	1	2	15	48	50	4.2	4.0	0.81
Pamela Scott Washington	127	7	13	26	42	39	3.7	4.0	1.17
David Zwink	108	0	6	17	38	47	4.2	4.0	0.89
<i>Fourth District</i>									
Paul Lyle	110	1	9	11	35	54	4.2	4.0	0.98
Michael P. McConahy	113	4	4	12	37	56	4.2	4.0	1.01
Patrick S. Hammers	92	1	7	16	35	33	4.0	4.0	0.97

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge and who evaluated the judge on at least one quality.

**Table A9: Overall Rating - Distribution and Mean, Median and Std. Dev. by Peace and Probation Officers**

	N	Poor N	Deficient N	Acceptable N	Good N	Excellent N	Mean	Median	Std
<b><i>First District</i></b>									
William Barker Carey	24	0	0	3	5	16	4.5	5.0	0.72
Keith B. Levy	25	0	0	4	10	11	4.5	5.0	0.72
Thomas G. Nave	24	0	1	6	8	9	4.0	4.0	0.91
<b><i>Second District</i></b>									
Michael I. Jeffery	17	2	3	4	3	5	3.4	3.0	1.41
<b><i>Third District</i></b>									
Steve Cole	18	0	0	3	6	9	4.3	4.5	0.77
Gregory Louis Heath	24	0	0	4	7	13	4.4	5.0	0.77
Charles Huguelet	31	0	0	4	10	17	4.4	5.0	0.72
William F. Morse	15	1	1	4	3	6	3.8	4.0	1.27
Frank A. Pfiffner	6	1	0	1	1	3	3.8	4.5	1.60
Eric Smith	35	0	0	4	9	22	4.5	5.0	0.70
John Suddock	22	0	1	6	8	7	4.0	4.0	0.90
Sen K. Tan	17	0	2	4	7	4	3.8	4.0	0.97
Philip R. Volland	39	0	2	6	14	17	4.2	4.0	0.89
Michael L. Wolverson	45	2	4	8	13	18	3.9	4.0	1.16
J. Patrick Hanley	33	0	0	4	9	20	4.5	5.0	0.71
Margaret L. Murphy	27	1	4	4	14	4	3.6	4.0	1.05
Daniel Schally	18	0	0	2	3	13	4.6	5.0	0.70
Alex M. Swiderski	18	0	0	3	9	6	4.2	4.0	0.71
David R. Wallace	20	1	1	1	7	10	4.2	4.5	1.11
Pamela Scott Washington	15	0	0	5	6	4	3.9	4.0	0.80
David Zwink	31	0	1	3	10	17	4.4	5.0	0.80
<b><i>Fourth District</i></b>									
Paul Lyle	33	1	4	12	6	10	3.6	3.0	1.14
Michael P. McConahy	31	0	0	5	14	12	4.2	4.0	0.72
Patrick S. Hammers	29	2	2	1	8	16	4.2	5.0	1.23

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge and who evaluated the judge on at least one quality.

**Table A10: Overall Rating - Distribution and Mean, Median and Std. Dev. by SW/GALs**

	N	Poor N	Deficient N	Acceptable N	Good N	Excellent N	Mean	Median	Std
<b><i>First District</i></b>									
William Barker Carey	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.5	4.5	0.71
Keith B. Levy	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.0	4.0	1.41
Thomas G. Nave	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.0	2.0	--
<b><i>Second District</i></b>									
Michael I. Jeffery	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	5.0	--
<b><i>Third District</i></b>									
Steve Cole	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Gregory Louis Heath	3	0	0	1	1	0	3.7	4.0	0.58
Charles Huguelet	11	0	0	0	1	10	4.9	5.0	0.30
William F. Morse	9	0	0	0	6	3	4.3	4.0	0.50
Frank A. Pfiffner	8	1	1	2	2	2	3.4	3.5	1.41
Eric Smith	5	0	0	2	2	1	3.8	4.0	0.84
John Suddock	9	1	0	1	4	3	3.9	4.0	1.27
Sen K. Tan	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.0	5.0	0.00
Philip R. Volland	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.0	4.0	--
Michael L. Wolverton	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.0	4.0	--
J. Patrick Hanley	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Margaret L. Murphy	3	0	0	0	2	1	4.3	4.0	0.58
Daniel Schally	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.5	4.5	0.71
Alex M. Swiderski	4	0	0	0	3	1	4.3	4.0	0.50
David R. Wallace	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Pamela Scott Washington	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	5.0	0.00
David Zwink	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.5	3.5	0.71
<b><i>Fourth District</i></b>									
Paul Lyle	5	0	0	2	0	3	4.2	5.0	1.10
Michael P. McConahy	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.3	5.0	1.16
Patrick S. Hammers	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.0	5.0	--

Note: Ratings for only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judge and who evaluated the judge on at least one quality.

# **Appendix B - Sample Survey Pages**

*(from paper survey only)*





# alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969 (907) 279-2526 FAX (907) 276-5046  
http://www.ajc.state.ak.us E-Mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
Larry Cohn

NON-ATTORNEY MEMBERS  
William F. Clarke  
Kenneth Kreitzer  
Kathleen Tompkins-Miller

ATTORNEY MEMBERS  
James H. Cannon  
Kevin Fitzgerald  
Julie Willoughby

CHAIR, EX OFFICIO  
Walter L. Carpeneti  
Chief Justice  
Supreme Court

January 4, 2012

Dear Member of the Alaska Bar Association:

The Alaska Judicial Council is required by law to evaluate judges eligible to stand for retention and to make its evaluations and recommendations public prior to the elections. The caliber of our retention system depends upon giving the public comprehensive information about each judge's performance. In turn, the quality of the Council's evaluation depends upon the willingness of attorneys to share their experiences with the Council.

The present survey includes evaluations of the judges who are eligible to stand for retention in 2012. Returning this survey helps to assure that a broad range of Alaskans contribute to the judicial evaluation process. Failing to return this survey may be interpreted as indifference on the part of the legal profession and may weaken the high standard of justice that Alaskans expect. We appreciate your substantial contributions to the judicial evaluation process.

**We ask that you return the survey form no later than February 10, 2012 to Information Insights, Inc., P. O. Box 70280, Fairbanks, AK 99707-9990.** Alternatively, you may respond to the survey electronically. If you respond to the electronic survey, please do not respond to this paper survey.

**Important instructions for completing and returning your survey appear on the next page.**

Sincerely,

Larry Cohn  
Executive Director

## Introduction

**Validation of Responses.** A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluation. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked "Confidential" and seal the envelope. Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope and sign in the space provided. **The return envelope must be signed in order for your survey to be counted. Also, please print your name and address on the return envelope.**

**Comments.** The Judicial Council encourages you to add any comments about judges that may aid it in its evaluations. The Council is particularly interested in a judge's legal ability, including legal and factual analysis, writing clarity and precision, and knowledge of law and procedure; impartiality; integrity, including conduct free from impropriety and the appearance of impropriety and the ability to make decisions without regard to possible public criticism; temperament, including courtesy and compassion; and diligence, including preparation and timeliness of decisions. You may want to address a judge's abilities in particular proceedings such as settlement conferences, sentencings, CINA and family law cases, and so forth. If you wish, you may include sufficient information for Council staff to obtain tapes of court proceedings that support your comments. If you need more space, please attach additional pages. Write the judge's name on each additional page.

**Anonymity.** All responses will be aggregated solely for statistical analysis. Information Insights will conduct the analysis. The identity of individual respondents will remain strictly confidential. Responses to the demographic questions also are confidential. Demographic data are critical to our analysis; strict guidelines are followed to protect the identities of all respondents.

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Information Insights provides the Council with a separate comment section on each judge. Thus, you will have to write your name on each comment page for which you wish to identify yourself to the Council. Survey comments are not released publicly.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR RETURNING THIS SURVEY

- Place the survey in the envelope marked "Confidential."
- Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope.
- Be sure to **sign the return envelope** so that your survey will be counted.
- Please also **print your name and address** on the return envelope.
- Return the survey no later than **February 10, 2012.**

**Basis for Rating**

Your evaluation may be based upon direct professional experience, social contacts, or professional reputation. Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work in the performance of his or her judicial duties. If you lack sufficient knowledge to evaluate, check the box "insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge" under Question 1, and go on to the next judge.

Rating Criteria	
<b>Legal Ability</b>	Please evaluate the judge's legal and factual analysis including the judge's knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge's writing clarity and precision.
<b>Impartiality &amp; Fairness</b>	Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
<b>Integrity</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
<b>Diligence</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

**Rating Scale**

All questions relate only to the qualities of the judge in the performance of judicial duties. The rating scale is defined as follows:

1	<b>Poor</b>	Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court.
2	<b>Deficient</b>	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court.
3	<b>Acceptable</b>	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court.
4	<b>Good</b>	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court.
5	<b>Excellent</b>	Consistently exceeds minimum standards for this court.
9	<b>Insufficient Knowledge</b>	Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge on this criterion.

**Index**

**Judges Eligible to Stand for Retention Election in 2012**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Supreme Court</b>	
Justice Walter L. Carpeneti .....	1
Justice Morgan Christen .....	2
Justice Daniel Winfree .....	3
<b>Court of Appeals</b>	
Judge Joel H. Bolger .....	4
<b>First Judicial District</b>	
<i>Superior Court</i> Judge William Barker Carey Ketchikan .....	5
<i>District Court</i> Judge Keith B. Levy Juneau .....	6
Judge Thomas G. Nave Juneau .....	7
<b>Second Judicial District</b>	
<i>Superior Court</i> Judge Michael I. Jeffery Barrow .....	8
<b>Third Judicial District</b>	
<i>Superior Court</i> Judge Steve Cole Kodiak .....	9
Judge Gregory Louis Heath Palmer .....	10
Judge Charles Huguelet Kenai .....	11
Judge William F. Morse Anchorage .....	12
Judge Frank A. Pfiffner Anchorage .....	13
Judge Eric Smith Palmer .....	14
Judge John Suddock Anchorage .....	15
Judge Sen K. Tan Anchorage .....	16
Judge Philip R. Volland Anchorage .....	17
Judge Michael L. Wolverton Anchorage .....	18

Third Judicial District - continued

<i>District Court</i>	Judge J. Patrick Hanley	Anchorage	19
	Judge Margaret L. Murphy	Homer	20
	Judge Paul E. Olson	Anchorage	21
	Judge Daniel Schally	Valdez	22
	Judge Alex M. Swiderski	Anchorage	23
	Judge David R. Wallace	Anchorage	24
	Judge Pamela Scott Washington	Anchorage	25
	Judge David Zwink	Palmer	26

Fourth Judicial District

<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge Leonard R. Devaney, III	Bethel	27
	Judge Paul Lyle	Fairbanks	28
	Judge Michael P. McConahy	Fairbanks	29
<i>District Court</i>	Judge Dennis P. Cummings	Bethel	30
	Judge Patrick S. Hammers	Fairbanks	31

Demographic Questions

Alaska Bar Association

1. **Type of Practice.** Which of the following best describes your practice? (*CIRCLE ONE*)
  1. Private, solo
  2. Private, office of 2-5 attorneys
  3. Private, office of 6 or more attorneys
  4. Private corporate employee
  5. Judge or judicial officer
  6. Government
  7. Public service agency or organization (not government)
  8. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
  
2. **Length of Alaska Practice.** How many years have you practiced law in Alaska? \_\_\_\_\_ years
  
3. **Gender.** \_\_\_\_\_ Male \_\_\_\_\_ Female
  
4. **Cases Handled.** The majority of your practice consists of (*CIRCLE ONE*)
  1. Prosecution
  2. Mainly criminal
  3. Mixed criminal and civil
  4. Mainly civil
  5. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
  
5. **Location of Practice.** In which judicial district is *most* of your work conducted? (*CIRCLE ONE*)
  1. First District
  2. Second District
  3. Third District
  4. Fourth District
  5. Outside Alaska

**CERTIFICATION**

I certify that I will answer this survey truthfully in accordance with Professional Conduct Rule 8.2.

Yes                       No

If you check "No" or leave this question blank, your survey will not be included in the analysis.

Basis for Evaluation

1. Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this justice? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the justice's work as a justice. (Check one.)

- Direct professional experience Professional reputation Other personal contacts Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this justice (Go to next justice.)

2. If you checked direct professional experience:

- a. Does your experience with this justice include experience within the last five years? Yes No
b. Please describe the amount of your experience with this justice. Substantial Moderate Limited

To rate this justice, circle one number for each criterion. If you lack sufficient knowledge to rate the justice for any one of the criteria, circle 9. (See Page ii for definitions of the rating criteria and rating scale.)

Table with 8 columns: Criterion, Poor, Deficient, Acceptable, Good, Excellent, Insufficient Knowledge. Rows include Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, Overall evaluation of justice.

Comments: See Introduction, page i, about the types of comments sought.

Horizontal lines for writing comments.

Please use the pages at the end or another sheet of paper for additional comments.

Print Name (Optional)

Anonymity

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members.

Basis for Evaluation

1. Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this justice? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the justice's work as a justice. (Check one.)

- Direct professional experience Professional reputation Other personal contacts Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this justice (Go to next justice.)

2. If you checked direct professional experience:

- a. Does your experience with this justice include experience within the last five years? Yes No
b. Please describe the amount of your experience with this justice. Substantial Moderate Limited

To rate this justice, circle one number for each criterion. If you lack sufficient knowledge to rate the justice for any one of the criteria, circle 9. (See Page ii for definitions of the rating criteria and rating scale.)

Table with 8 columns: Criterion, Poor, Deficient, Acceptable, Good, Excellent, Insufficient Knowledge. Rows include Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, Overall evaluation of justice.

Comments: See Introduction, page i, about the types of comments sought.

Horizontal lines for writing comments.

Please use the pages at the end or another sheet of paper for additional comments.

Print Name (Optional)

Anonymity

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members.



# alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969 (907) 279-2526 FAX (907) 276-5046  
http://www.ajc.state.ak.us E-Mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
Larry Cohn

NON-ATTORNEY MEMBERS  
William F. Clarke  
Kenneth Kreitzer  
Kathleen Tompkins-Miller

ATTORNEY MEMBERS  
James H. Cannon  
Kevin Fitzgerald  
Julie Willoughby

CHAIR, EX OFFICIO  
Walter L. Carpeneti  
Chief Justice  
Supreme Court

January 4, 2012

Dear Peace and Probation Officers,

The Alaska Judicial Council is required by law to evaluate judges eligible to stand for retention and to make its evaluations and recommendations public prior to the elections. The caliber of our retention system depends upon giving the public comprehensive information about each judge's performance. In turn, the quality of the Council's evaluation depends upon your willingness to share your experiences with the Council.

The present survey includes evaluations of the trial judges who are eligible to stand for retention in 2012. Returning this survey helps to assure that a broad range of Alaskans contribute to the judicial evaluation process. A low rate of return of this survey may be interpreted as indifference on the part of those surveyed and may weaken the high standard of justice that Alaskans expect. We appreciate your substantial contributions to the judicial evaluation process.

**We ask that you complete and return the survey form no later than February 10, 2012, to Alaska Judicial Council, 1029 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave., Ste. 201, Anchorage, AK 99501-9990.**

**Important instructions for completing and returning your survey appear on the next page.**

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Larry Cohn in black ink.

Larry Cohn  
Executive Director

## Introduction

**Validation of Responses.** A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluation. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked "Confidential" and seal the envelope. Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope and sign in the space provided. **The return envelope must be signed in order for your survey to be counted. Also, please print your name and address on the return envelope.**

**Comments.** The Judicial Council encourages you to add any comments about judges that may aid it in its evaluations. The Council is particularly interested in a judge's impartiality; integrity, including conduct free from impropriety and the appearance of impropriety and the ability to make decisions without regard to possible public criticism; temperament, including courtesy and compassion; and diligence, including preparation and timeliness of decisions. You may want to address a judge's abilities in particular proceedings such as sentencings, bail hearings, search warrant proceedings, and so forth. If you wish, you may include sufficient information for Council staff to obtain tapes of court proceedings that support your comments. If you need more space, please attach additional pages. Write the judge's name on each additional page.

**Anonymity.** All responses will be aggregated solely for statistical analysis. Information Insights will conduct the analysis. The identity of individual respondents will remain strictly confidential. Responses to the demographic questions also are confidential. Demographic data are critical to our analysis; strict guidelines are followed to protect the identities of all respondents. To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Information Insights provides the Council with a separate comment section on each judge. Thus, you will have to write your name on each comment page for which you wish to identify yourself to the Council. Survey comments are not released publicly.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR RETURNING THIS SURVEY

- Place the survey in the envelope marked "Confidential."
- Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope.
- Be sure to **sign the return envelope** so that your survey will be counted.
- Please also **print your name and address** on the return envelope.
- Return the survey no later than **February 10, 2012.**

## Index

### Basis for Rating

Your evaluation may be based upon direct professional experience, social contacts, or professional reputation. **Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work in the performance of his or her judicial duties.** If you lack sufficient knowledge to evaluate, check the box ("insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge") under Question 1, and go on to the next judge.

Rating Criteria	
<b>Impartiality &amp; Fairness</b>	Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
<b>Integrity</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
<b>Diligence</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

### Rating Scale

All questions relate only to the qualities of the judge in the performance of judicial duties. The rating scale is defined as follows:

1	<b>Poor</b>	Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court.
2	<b>Deficient</b>	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court.
3	<b>Acceptable</b>	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court.
4	<b>Good</b>	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court.
5	<b>Excellent</b>	Consistently exceeds minimum standards for this court.
9	<b>Insufficient Knowledge</b>	Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge on this criterion.

### Judges Eligible to Stand for Retention Election in 2012

First Judicial District			Page
<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge William Barker Carey	Ketchikan	1
<i>District Court</i>	Judge Keith B. Levy	Juneau	2
	Judge Thomas G. Nave	Juneau	3
Second Judicial District			
<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge Michael I. Jeffery	Barrow	4
Third Judicial District			
<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge Steve Cole	Kodiak	5
	Judge Gregory Louis Heath	Palmer	6
	Judge Charles Huguelet	Kenai	7
	Judge William F. Morse	Anchorage	8
	Judge Frank A. Piffner	Anchorage	9
	Judge Eric Smith	Palmer	10
	Judge John Suddock	Anchorage	11
	Judge Sen K. Tan	Anchorage	12
	Judge Philip R. Volland	Anchorage	13
	Judge Michael L. Wolverton	Anchorage	14
<i>District Court</i>	Judge J. Patrick Hanley	Anchorage	15
	Judge Margaret L. Murphy	Homer	16
	Judge Paul E. Olson	Anchorage	17
	Judge Daniel Schally	Valdez	18
	Judge Alex M. Swiderski	Anchorage	19
	Judge David R. Wallace	Anchorage	20
	Judge Pamela Scott Washington	Anchorage	21

Third Judicial District - continued

*District Court* Judge David Zwink Palmer ..... 22

Fourth Judicial District

*Superior Court* Judge Leonard R. Devaney, III Bethel ..... 23

Judge Paul Lyle Fairbanks ..... 24

Judge Michael P. McConahy Fairbanks ..... 25

*District Court* Judge Dennis P. Cummings Bethel ..... 26

Judge Patrick S. Hammers Fairbanks ..... 27

Demographic Questions

Peace and Probation Officers

1. **Type of Work.** My current position is: (CIRCLE ONE)

1. State law enforcement officer
2. Municipal / Borough law enforcement officer
3. Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)
4. Probation/parole officer
5. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

2. **Length of Time as Alaskan Officer.** How many years have you been a peace or probation officer in Alaska?

\_\_\_\_\_ years

3. **Gender.** \_\_\_\_\_ Male \_\_\_\_\_ Female

4. **Location of Work.** In which judicial district has most of your work been conducted during the past four (4) years? (CIRCLE ONE)

1. First District
2. Second District
3. Third District
4. Fourth District
5. Outside Alaska

5. **Community Population.** What is the population of the community in which you work? (CIRCLE ONE)

1. Under 2,000
2. Between 2,000 and 35,000
3. Over 35,000

Basis for Evaluation

1. Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this judge? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work as a judge. (Check one.)

- Direct professional experience, Professional reputation, Other personal contacts, Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge (Go to next judge.)

2. If you checked direct professional experience:

- a. Does your experience with this judge include experience within the last five years? Yes/No
b. Please describe the amount of your experience with this judge. Substantial/Moderate/Limited

To rate this judge, circle one number for each criterion. If you lack sufficient knowledge to rate the judge for any one of the criteria, circle 9. (See Page ii for definitions of the rating criteria and rating scale.)

Table with 7 columns: Criterion, Poor, Deficient, Acceptable, Good, Excellent, Insufficient Knowledge. Rows include Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, Overall evaluation of judge.

Comments: See Introduction, page i, about the types of comments sought.

Horizontal lines for writing comments.

Please use the pages at the end or another sheet of paper for additional comments.

Print Name (Optional)

Anonymity

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. The Council does not consider unsigned comments unless they are corroborated, independently substantiated, or acknowledged by the applicant. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Survey comments are not released publicly.

Basis for Evaluation

1. Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this judge? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work as a judge. (Check one.)

- Direct professional experience, Professional reputation, Other personal contacts, Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge (Go to next judge.)

2. If you checked direct professional experience:

- a. Does your experience with this judge include experience within the last five years? Yes/No
b. Please describe the amount of your experience with this judge. Substantial/Moderate/Limited

To rate this judge, circle one number for each criterion. If you lack sufficient knowledge to rate the judge for any one of the criteria, circle 9. (See Page ii for definitions of the rating criteria and rating scale.)

Table with 7 columns: Criterion, Poor, Deficient, Acceptable, Good, Excellent, Insufficient Knowledge. Rows include Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, Overall evaluation of judge.

Comments: See Introduction, page i, about the types of comments sought.

Horizontal lines for writing comments.

Please use the pages at the end or another sheet of paper for additional comments.

Print Name (Optional)

Anonymity

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. The Council does not consider unsigned comments unless they are corroborated, independently substantiated, or acknowledged by the applicant. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Survey comments are not released publicly.





# alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969 (907) 279-2526 FAX (907) 276-5046  
http://www.ajc.state.ak.us E-Mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
Larry Cohn

NON-ATTORNEY MEMBERS  
William F. Clarke  
Kenneth Kreitzer  
Kathleen Tompkins-Miller

ATTORNEY MEMBERS  
James H. Cannon  
Kevin Fitzgerald  
Julie Willoughby

CHAIR, EX OFFICIO  
Walter L. Carpeneti  
Chief Justice  
Supreme Court

January 4, 2012

Dear Social Worker / Guardian *Ad Litem* / CASA Volunteer,

The Alaska Judicial Council is required by law to evaluate judges eligible to stand for retention and to make its evaluations and recommendations public prior to the elections. The caliber of our retention system depends upon giving the public comprehensive information about each judge's performance. In turn, the quality of the Council's evaluation depends upon your willingness to share your experiences with the Council.

The present survey includes evaluations of the trial judges who are eligible to stand for retention in 2012. Returning this survey helps to assure that a broad range of Alaskans contribute to the judicial evaluation process. A low rate of return of this survey may be interpreted as indifference on the part of those surveyed and may weaken the high standard of justice that Alaskans expect. We appreciate your substantial contributions to the judicial evaluation process.

**We ask that you return the survey form no later than February 10, 2012 to Information Insights, Inc., P.O. Box 70280, Fairbanks, AK 99707-9990.**

**Important instructions for completing and returning your survey appear on the next page.**

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Larry Cohn".

Larry Cohn  
Executive Director

## Introduction

**Validation of Responses.** A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluation. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked "Confidential" and seal the envelope. Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope and sign in the space provided. **The return envelope must be signed in order for your survey to be counted. Also, please print your name and address on the return envelope.**

**Comments.** The Judicial Council encourages you to add any comments about judges that may aid it in its evaluations. The Council is particularly interested in a judge's impartiality; integrity, including conduct free from impropriety and the appearance of impropriety and the ability to make decisions without regard to possible public criticism; temperament, including courtesy and compassion; and diligence, including preparation and timeliness of decisions. You may want to address a judge's abilities in particular family matters. If you wish, you may include sufficient information for Council staff to obtain tapes of court proceedings that support your comments. If you need more space, please attach additional pages. Write the judge's name on each additional page.

**Anonymity.** All responses will be aggregated solely for statistical analysis. Information Insights will conduct the analysis. The identity of individual respondents will remain strictly confidential. Responses to the demographic questions also are confidential. Demographic data are critical to our analysis; strict guidelines are followed to protect the identities of all respondents. To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Information Insights provides the Council with a separate comment section on each judge. Thus, you will have to write your name on each comment page for which you wish to identify yourself to the Council. Survey comments are not released publicly.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR RETURNING THIS SURVEY

- Place the survey in the envelope marked "Confidential."
- Place the "Confidential" envelope in the return envelope.
- Be sure to **sign the return envelope** so that your survey will be counted.
- Please also **print your name and address** on the return envelope.
- Return the survey no later than **February 10, 2012.**

## Index

### Basis for Rating

Your evaluation may be based upon direct professional experience, social contacts, or professional reputation. **Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work in the performance of his or her judicial duties.** If you lack sufficient knowledge to evaluate, check the box ("insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge") under Question 1, and go on to the next judge.

Rating Criteria	
<b>Impartiality &amp; Fairness</b>	Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
<b>Integrity</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
<b>Diligence</b>	Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

### Rating Scale

All questions relate only to the qualities of the judge in the performance of judicial duties. The rating scale is defined as follows:

1	<b>Poor</b>	Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court.
2	<b>Deficient</b>	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court.
3	<b>Acceptable</b>	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court.
4	<b>Good</b>	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court.
5	<b>Excellent</b>	Consistently exceeds minimum standards for this court.
9	<b>Insufficient Knowledge</b>	Insufficient knowledge to rate this judge on this criterion.

### Judges Eligible to Stand for Retention Election in 2012

			Page
<b>First Judicial District</b>			
<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge William Barker Carey	Ketchikan . . . . .	1
<i>District Court</i>	Judge Keith B. Levy	Juneau . . . . .	2
	Judge Thomas G. Nave	Juneau . . . . .	3
<b>Second Judicial District</b>			
<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge Michael I. Jeffery	Barrow . . . . .	4
<b>Third Judicial District</b>			
<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge Steve Cole	Kodiak . . . . .	5
	Judge Gregory Louis Heath	Palmer . . . . .	6
	Judge Charles Huguélet	Kenai . . . . .	7
	Judge William F. Morse	Anchorage . . . . .	8
	Judge Frank A. Pfiffner	Anchorage . . . . .	9
	Judge Eric Smith	Palmer . . . . .	10
	Judge John Suddock	Anchorage . . . . .	11
	Judge Sen K. Tan	Anchorage . . . . .	12
	Judge Philip R. Volland	Anchorage . . . . .	13
	Judge Michael L. Wolverton	Anchorage . . . . .	14
<i>District Court</i>	Judge J. Patrick Hanley	Anchorage . . . . .	15
	Judge Margaret L. Murphy	Homer . . . . .	16
	Judge Paul E. Olson	Anchorage . . . . .	17
	Judge Daniel Schally	Valdez . . . . .	18
	Judge Alex M. Swiderski	Anchorage . . . . .	19
	Judge David R. Wallace	Anchorage . . . . .	20

Third Judicial District - continued

<i>District Court</i>	Judge Pamela Scott Washington	Anchorage	.....	21
	Judge David Zwink	Palmer	.....	22

Fourth Judicial District

<i>Superior Court</i>	Judge Leonard R. Devaney, III	Bethel	.....	23
	Judge Paul Lyle	Fairbanks	.....	24
	Judge Michael P. McConahy	Fairbanks	.....	25
<i>District Court</i>	Judge Dennis P. Cummings	Bethel	.....	26
	Judge Patrick S. Hammers	Fairbanks	.....	27

Demographic Questions

Social Workers, Guardians *Ad Litem*, CASA Volunteers

1. **Type of Work.** My current position is: (CIRCLE ONE)
  1. Social Worker
  2. Guardian Ad Litem (GAL)
  3. CASA Volunteer
  4. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
  
2. **Length of Experience.** How many years have you been a social worker, GAL, or CASA Volunteer in Alaska?  
 \_\_\_\_\_ years
  
3. **Gender.** \_\_\_\_\_ Male \_\_\_\_\_ Female
  
4. **Location of Work.** In which judicial district has most of your work been conducted during the past four (4) years?  
 (CIRCLE ONE)
  1. First District
  2. Second District
  3. Third District
  4. Fourth District
  5. Outside Alaska
  
5. **Community Population.** What is the population of the community in which you work? (CIRCLE ONE)
  1. Under 2,000
  2. Between 2,000 and 35,000
  3. Over 35,000

Basis for Evaluation

- Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this judge? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work as a judge. (Check one.)
  - Direct professional experience
  - Professional reputation
  - Other personal contacts
  - Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge (Go to next judge.)
- If you checked direct professional experience:
  - Does your experience with this judge include experience within the last five years?
    - Yes
    - No
  - Please describe the amount of your experience with this judge.
    - Substantial
    - Moderate
    - Limited

To rate this judge, circle one number for each criterion. If you lack sufficient knowledge to rate the judge for any one of the criteria, circle 9. (See Page ii for definitions of the rating criteria and rating scale.)

	Poor	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Insufficient Knowledge
1 Impartiality/Fairness	1	2	3	4	5	9
2 Integrity	1	2	3	4	5	9
3 Judicial Temperament	1	2	3	4	5	9
4 Diligence	1	2	3	4	5	9
5 Overall evaluation of judge	1	2	3	4	5	9

Comments: See Introduction, page i, about the types of comments sought.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Please use the pages at the end or another sheet of paper for additional comments.

Print Name (Optional)

Anonymity

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. The Council does not consider unsigned comments unless they are corroborated, independently substantiated, or acknowledged by the applicant. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Survey comments are not released publicly.

Basis for Evaluation

- Which of the following best describes the basis for your evaluation of this judge? Direct professional experience is limited to direct contact with the judge's work as a judge. (Check one.)
  - Direct professional experience
  - Professional reputation
  - Other personal contacts
  - Insufficient knowledge to evaluate this judge (Go to next judge.)
- If you checked direct professional experience:
  - Does your experience with this judge include experience within the last five years?
    - Yes
    - No
  - Please describe the amount of your experience with this judge.
    - Substantial
    - Moderate
    - Limited

To rate this judge, circle one number for each criterion. If you lack sufficient knowledge to rate the judge for any one of the criteria, circle 9. (See Page ii for definitions of the rating criteria and rating scale.)

	Poor	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Insufficient Knowledge
1 Impartiality/Fairness	1	2	3	4	5	9
2 Integrity	1	2	3	4	5	9
3 Judicial Temperament	1	2	3	4	5	9
4 Diligence	1	2	3	4	5	9
5 Overall evaluation of judge	1	2	3	4	5	9

Comments: See Introduction, page i, about the types of comments sought.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Please use the pages at the end or another sheet of paper for additional comments.

Print Name (Optional)

Anonymity

To promote a candid response, your comments remain anonymous to the judge whether or not you sign your name. Providing your name is optional but does give your comments added credibility with Council members. The Council does not consider unsigned comments unless they are corroborated, independently substantiated, or acknowledged by the applicant. Your name will not be given to the judge. Survey comments will be shared with a judge only after the comments have been edited to remove information that might identify the respondent. Survey comments are not released publicly.