



# alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969  
http://www.ajc.state.ak.us

(907)279-2526 FAX (907)276-5046  
E-mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

RECEIVED

DEC 05 2011

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

## Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire

### 2012 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 2011

John Suddock

Anchorage Superior

Name

Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) $\frac{85}{100}$ % civil cases	b) $\frac{4}{30}$ # of jury trials/year
$\frac{10}{100}$ % criminal cases	$\frac{30}{100}$ # of non-jury trials/year
$\frac{5}{100}$ % court administrative work	$\frac{10}{100}$ # of administrative appeals/year
100 % Total	

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

I served on the Civil Pattern Jury Instruction Committee; it is difficult to continue because the group tends to move its meeting times ad hoc. I am a new appointee to the Civil Rules Committee. In conjunction with the Executive Director of the Alaska Judicial Council and the Court System Administrative Director I conducted a brief audit of the criminal calendaring system to identify bottlenecks. I represented the court system on a working committee to design and create the PACE probation system, and now serve as one of the two PACE judges streamlining petitions to revoke probation. During 2011 I have served on a masters and magistrates hiring committee.

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

I continue to be grateful for the opportunity to serve as a Superior Court Judge. It is a very stimulating and satisfying position. During my past term I have been an advocate for improvement of aspects of the court system. When I served for several years as a criminal judge I concluded the public was entitled to see accused persons brought to trial more quickly and predictably, with fewer unnecessary continuances of trial. I advocated for that position, and the court system responded with significant systemic change. I have also been an advocate of probation reform: our system has been way too cumbersome and mechanistic. I played a supporting role in the creation of an entirely new approach to probation called PACE (Probation Accountability with Certain Enforcement), which instantly sanctions probationer no-shows and drug usage revealed by random weekly testing. The systemic emphasis is on extreme consistency rather than unfocused or unduly harsh sanctions, and it appears so far to be efficient, cost-effective, and fair.

I have attended seminars sponsored by ASTAR-- the Advanced Science and Technology Adjudication Resource Center, a Department of Justice sponsored organization dedicated to increasing the technical proficiency of selected superior court judges nationwide. I also serve on the Rasmuson Foundation's committee dedicated to finding new approaches to Alaska's substance abuse problems. This involvement aids me in my understanding of such issues as they arise in child custody, child in need of aid, and criminal cases.

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:

- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities?  Yes  No
- b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise?  Yes  No
- c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)?  Yes  No
- d) held office in any political party?  Yes  No
- e) held any other local, state or federal office?  Yes  No
- f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions?  Yes  No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2012 retention elections.

For questions 7 through 10 please do not list any cases that have pending issues in your court.

- 7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

Case Name: Cook Case Number: 3AN-09-12425 CI  
 v. State Farm

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Michaela Canterbury-Kelly</u>	Name: <u>Kim Colbo</u>
Address: <u>821 N ST., Suite 205</u>	Address: <u>3900 C St, Suite 1001</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99503</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

Case Name: Psenak Case Number: 3AN-09-10978  
 v. Brady

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Thomas Gingras</u>	Name: <u>Kevin Brady</u>
Address: <u>425 G ST, Suite 930</u>	Address: <u>4001 E. Turnagain Blvd.</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99517</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

Case Name: Maness Case Number: 3AN-30-8513 CI  
 v. State

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Ruth Botstein</u>	Name: <u>Robert Owens</u>
Address: <u>500 L St., Suite 400</u>	Address: <u>632 W. 6th Ave, Suite 730</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

Case Name: ITMO D E Case Number: 3AN-11-93 CN  
 v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Diane Foster</u>	Name: <u>Kelly Gillilan-Gibson</u>
Address: <u>1031 W. 4th Ave, Suite 200</u>	Address: <u>310 K St, Suite 308</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

Name: <u>Kristen Stohler</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>320 E. Dahlia Ave.</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

Case Name: ITMO M S Case Number: 3AN 9-7 CN  
 v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>David Bookman</u>	Name: <u>Michael McDonough</u>
Address: <u>1031 W. 4th Ave, Suite 200</u>	Address: <u>12110 Business Blvd.</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

Name: <u>Martin Engel</u>	Name: <u>Zachary Manzella</u>
Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave, Suite 702</u>	Address: <u>821 N. St, Suite 103</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

**Case Number 3**

Case Name: Cruz Case Number: 3AN 08-9597  
 v. Johnson

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Darryl Thompson</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>3211 Providence Dr.</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99508</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

Case Name: Palembas Case Number: 3AN 11-5905

v. Palembas

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: Martha Shaddy

Name: John Pharr

Address: 1101 W. 7th Ave.

Address: 733 W. 4th Ave, Suite 308

City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

**Case Number 2**

Case Name: Advance Blasting Case Number: 3AN 11-9455 CI

v. Mallars

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: Michael Stephenson

Name: Jerome Juday

Address: 5000 A St., Suite 300

Address: 420 L St., Suite 500

City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99503

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

**Case Number 3**

Case Name: Williams Case Number: 3AN 08-08998 CI

v. Conoco

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: Paul Davis

Name: Spencer Sneed

Address: 420 L St, Suite 400

Address: 1031 W. 4th Ave, Suite 600

City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

Case Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

**Case Number 2**

Case Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

**Case Number 3**

Case Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

# Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

---

## Judge John Suddock, Superior Court, Anchorage

### Judicial Council Recommendation 2012

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Suddock to be **Qualified** and recommends with a 5-1 vote that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a superior court judge.

### Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers and guardians ad litem about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem
Legal Ability	3.8	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	3.7	3.9	4.8	3.6	4.0
Integrity	4.0	3.9	---	3.8	4.1
Temperament	3.6	4.1	4.9	3.5	3.9
Diligence	3.8	3.9	---	3.8	3.7
Overall	3.7	4.0	4.8	3.6	3.9

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

**Rating Scale**  
5.0 = Excellent  
4.0 = Good  
3.0 = Acceptable  
2.0 = Deficient  
1.0 = Poor

### Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Suddock on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Suddock on overall performance was 3.7. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Suddock a rating of 4.0. Jurors rated him 4.8 overall, court employees gave him 3.6, and social workers and guardians ad litem rated him at 3.9. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.48.

**Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge John Suddock**

For more information go to  
[www.knowyouralaskajudges.com](http://www.knowyouralaskajudges.com)



## Judge John Suddock: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		9		5		11		6		18		3
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>242</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.8	214	3.7	217	4.0	212	3.6	216	3.7	212	3.6	218
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.6	16	4.1	16	4.6	16	3.9	16	4.4	16	4.3	16
Professional Reputation	4.1	43	4.0	43	4.3	42	3.9	43	4.2	39	3.9	43
Other Personal Contacts	4.4	10	4.4	11	4.6	11	4.5	11	4.5	8	4.5	11
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		7		3		9		5		10		2
Private, Solo	3.8	57	3.5	59	4.0	58	3.5	58	3.6	59	3.5	60
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.6	52	3.4	52	3.9	50	3.4	52	3.5	50	3.4	52
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.4	34	4.1	34	4.3	34	4.0	34	4.0	33	4.2	34
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.1	24	3.8	25	4.1	24	3.5	24	3.9	22	3.9	25
Government	3.7	53	3.7	54	4.1	52	3.6	54	3.9	53	3.7	54
Public Service Agency/Org	3.7	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.0	3
Other	3.9	7	4.4	7	4.3	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	4.0	7
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		5		2		8		4		9		1
5 Years or fewer	3.6	7	3.4	7	3.9	7	3.3	7	3.6	7	3.4	7
6 to 10 years	3.6	22	3.6	22	4.1	20	3.5	21	3.9	21	3.6	22
11 to 15 years	3.3	12	3.5	13	3.6	13	3.4	13	3.6	12	3.4	13
16 to 20 years	3.5	29	3.3	29	3.8	28	3.4	29	3.3	28	3.2	29
21 years or more	4.0	155	3.8	157	4.1	154	3.7	156	3.8	153	3.8	158
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		7		4		9		5		10		2
Male	3.9	168	3.9	170	4.1	167	3.6	170	3.8	166	3.7	172
Female	3.8	64	3.7	65	4.0	63	3.7	64	3.8	63	3.7	65
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		7		4		8		5		10		2
Prosecution	3.0	8	3.3	9	4.0	9	2.7	9	3.8	8	2.9	9
Mainly Criminal	2.9	10	2.6	11	3.4	11	2.5	11	3.4	11	2.8	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.7	52	3.4	52	3.8	50	3.5	51	3.6	48	3.5	52
Mainly Civil	4.0	152	3.8	152	4.2	150	3.8	152	3.8	151	3.8	154
Other	4.4	7	4.4	8	4.5	8	4.0	8	4.4	8	4.5	8
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		5		4		9		5		9		2
First District	3.7	8	3.3	6	4.2	5	3.3	6	4.0	5	3.7	6
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	218	3.7	221	4.0	217	3.6	220	3.7	217	3.6	223
Fourth District	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	3.8	4	4.0	4
Outside of Alaska	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.3	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Judge John Suddock  
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		3		2		3		4		2
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>16</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	3.9	15	4.0	15	4.2	15	3.9	14	4.1	15
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Professional Reputation	4.0	6	4.0	6	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.8	6
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		3		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	7	4.1	7	4.1	7	3.8	6	4.1	7
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	2	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	3.8	6	3.8	6	4.2	6	3.8	6	3.8	6
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		3		2
5 Years or fewer	4.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
6 to 10 years	3.5	4	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
11 to 15 years	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
16 to 20 years	3.7	3	3.8	4	3.8	4	3.3	3	3.5	4
21 years or more	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		1		2
Male	4.2	6	4.1	7	4.1	7	3.8	6	4.0	7
Female	3.8	9	3.8	9	4.1	9	3.9	1	4.0	9
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		3		2		2		3		2
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.9	15	3.9	16	4.1	16	3.9	15	4.0	16
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		2		2		2		3		2
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3
Over 35,000	3.7	13	3.7	13	3.9	13	3.7	12	3.8	13

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Judge John Suddock**  
**Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>9</b>
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.0	9	4.1	9	3.9	9	3.7	9	3.9	9
Experience not in last 5 yrs	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Guardian ad Litem	3.7	7	3.9	7	3.6	7	3.3	7	3.6	7
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
6 to 10 years	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	3.0	2	4.0	2
11 to 15 years	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
Female	4.0	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	3.7	7	3.9	7
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	9	4.1	9	3.9	9	3.7	9	3.9	9
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Over 35,000	3.9	8	4.0	8	3.8	8	3.5	8	3.8	8

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

<b>Distribution of Court Employee Ratings*</b> <b>2012 Retention Evaluation</b> <b>John Suddock</b>							
<b>Survey Category</b>	<b>Number of Responses</b>						
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>Deficient</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Mean</b>
<b>Impartiality/Fairness</b>	41	10	12	14	3	2	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Integrity</b>	41	10	16	12	1	2	<b>3.8</b>
<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	41	8	13	15	3	2	<b>3.5</b>
<b>Diligence</b>	40	10	15	12	1	2	<b>3.8</b>
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	41	8	16	12	3	2	<b>3.6</b>

\* Ratings are based on direct professional experience.

**Juror Survey Results  
2012 Retention Evaluation  
John Suddock**

<b>Survey Category</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>Deficient</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Total Responses</b>
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	23	3	1	0	0	27
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	24	2	1	0	0	27
Attentive during Proceedings	4.7	22	3	2	0	0	27
Control over Proceedings	4.9	25	0	2	0	0	27
Intelligence/ Skill as a Judge	4.8	23	3	1	0	0	27
Overall Evaluation	4.8	23	3	1	0	0	27

# Current and Prior Retention Scores

## John E. Suddock

Appointed	Name of Court
11/14/2002	Anchorage Superior Ct

### Retention Attorney Bar Scores

Year	Legal Ability	Impartiality	Integrity	Temperament	Dilligence	Special Skills	Overall Performance
2006	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.9	4		3.9
2012	3.8	3.7	4	3.6	3.8		3.7

### Peace and Probation Officer Survey Scores

Year	Impartiality	Integrity	Temperament	Diligence	Special Skills	Overall Performance
2006	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.9		3.6
2012	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9		4