Alaska Judicial Council 2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials Judge John W. Wolfe Palmer District Court

The Judicial Council finds Judge Wolfe to be Qualified and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a district court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1.	Voter par This pa	mphlet page	2
2.		Jestionnairedge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	3
3.		cores in 2010 w survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)	
	a.	Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	10
	b.	Juror survey scores	17
	c.	Court employee survey scores	18
4.	Survey s	cores in previous retention evaluations	19

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges

Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

3. Appellate Affirmance RatesAnalysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

4. Salary Warrant Withholdings

Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation Judge John W. Wolfe, District Court, Palmer

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Wolfe to be *Qualified* and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.7				
Impartiality	3.8	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.0
Integrity	4.1	4.4		4.5	5.0
Temperament	4.1	4.3	4.9	4.4	4.0
Diligence	4.0	4.3		4.4	3.0
Overall	3.9	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent

4.0 = Good

3.0 = Acceptable

2.0 = Deficient

1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Wolfe on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Wolfe on overall performance was 3.9. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Wolfe a rating of 4.4. Jurors rated him 4.8 overall, court employees gave him 4.5, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 4.0.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge John W. Wolfe

RECEIVED

NOV 17 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

John William Wolfe	Palmer District Court						
Name	Court						
Please estimate your workload during your	present term.						
a) 40 % civil cases 60 % criminal cases 0 % court administrative work 100 % Total	b)# of jury trials/year# of non-jury trials/year# of administrative appeals/year						
In counting my non-jury trials, I have in traffic trials.	cluded small claims trials but excluded						
2. Please describe your participation on court/l during your current term of office.	bar committees or other administrative activities						
I participated in a court committee to r landlord/tenant actions.	evise the litigant's handbook for						

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire Retention

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

I am honored to be able to serve the state as a district court judge. I feel that in this position, particularly in criminal matters, I am often able to make a positive difference for litigants who appear before me and therefore, hopefully, for families and the community as a whole. I find the work fast paced and intellectually stimulating. Every day is a learning experience for me, both in terms of legal knowledge and in my ability to recognize each court case and each litigant as unique. I strive to treat all who appear in court with courtesy and respect and to leave with them a positive impression of the judicial system.

In addition to my attendance at the biannual judicial conferences*, during this past term I have attended several judicial training seminars to further my judicial education. Most recently I attended a Logic and Opinion Writing seminar at the National Judicial College. I took this course because I believe that a fundamental job of a judge is to write clear and logical decisions which can be understood by attorneys and the parties. I also took a course on the dynamics of domestic violence and the role of the justice system involving civil protective orders. I also attended two courses on therapeutic courts involving substance abuse; one of them was specific to DUI therapeutic courts. Here in Palmer, I am working in conjunction with a superior court judge to establish the first DUI therapeutic court for the valley. These courts have been found to be very effective both nationally and also in several other Alaska court locations in, not only holding offenders accountable for their actions, but also reducing the rate of recidivism and therefore making our communities safer.

Ι	missed	the	fall	09	conference	due	to	а	death	in	the	family.

4.	Duri	ng your most recent term as a judge, have you:
	a)	had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	b)	been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? ☐ Yes ✓ No
	c)	engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? □ Yes 🎜 No
	d)	held office in any political party? □ Yes 🌠 No
	e)	held any other local state or federal office? □ Yes ② No
	f)	had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? ☐ Yes ✓ No
5.	=	ur answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, case numbers and outcomes.
5.		se provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in ucting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2008 retention ions.
1		

City, State, Zip:

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1 Case Name: Janice Perry Case Number: 3PA-07-2015 CI v. John Richard Carr Corp. Attorneys Involved: Name: John Pharr Name: Andrew Robinson Address: 733 West 4th Ave., Ste. 308 Address: 348 East Elmwood Ave. City, State, Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99501 City, State, Zip: Palmer, Alaska 99645 Name: Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: Case Number 2 Case Case Number: 3PA-08-3653 CR Name: State of Alaska Orville Newhall Attorneys Involved: Name: Jerom Bangerter, Asst. D.A. Name: Jeffrey Bradley, Asst. P.D. Address: 11921 Palmer-Wa Hwy, Ste. 100 Address: 268 E. Fireweed City, State, Zip: Palmer, Ak. 99645 City, State, Zip: Palmer, Ak. 99645 Name: Address: Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: Case Number 3 Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3PA-08-3401 v. Love A. Rydes Attorneys Involved: Name: Jeffrey Bradley, Asst. P.D. Name: Jerom Bangerter, Asst. D.A. Address: 268 E. Fireweed Address: 11921 Palmer-Wa Hwy, Ste. 100 City, State, Zip: Palmer, Ak. 99645 City, State, Zip: Palmer, Ak. 99645 Name: Address: Address:

City, State, Zip:

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case		272 00 0120 07
Name: Mary Weir	Case Number:	3PA-08-2133 CI
v. Daniel Ra		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name: N/A- self represented	Name:	N/A- self represented
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:		
		
Name:	Name:	
Address:		
City, State, Zip:		
	Case Number 2	
Case Name: State of Alaska	Case Number:	3PA-08-1727 CR
v. William Thomas Butler		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name: Jarom Bangerter, Asst	E. D.A. Name:	N/A- self represented
Address: 11921 Palmer-Wa Hwy,	Ste. 100 Address:	
City, State, Zip: Palmer, Ak. 99645		
-		
Name:	Name:	
Address:		
City, State, Zip:		
	Case Number 3	
Case Name: State of Alaska	Case Number:	3PA-08-1383 CR
v. Melvin Watkins II	Cuse Ivamoer.	
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name: Jarom Bangerter	•	N/A- self represented
Address: 11921 Palmer-Wa Hwy,		
City, State, Zip: Palmer, Ak. 99645	City, State, Zip:	
	City, State, Zip.	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
	Си, вис, ир.	

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case			2DN 00 1600 GT
-	Michael Lafay dba Meadow Lake	Case Number:	3PA-08-1628 CI
v	AA-1 Sheet Metal Fabrication Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Richard Payne		Kenneth D. Albertsen
	344 North Main Street	•	P.O. Box 4368
	Wasilla, Ak. 99654	•	Palmer, Ak. 99645
		• • •	
Name:		Name:	
Address			
City, State, Zip:			
	Case No	ımber 2	
Case			
Name: _	Alfred N. Doner	Case Number:	3PA-09-801 CI
v	Jody L. Lofgren		
	Attorneys		
Name:	N/A- self represented	Name:	Blake Call
Address:		Address:	250 H. Street
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, Ak. 99501
N.			
Name:			
Address:			
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
	Case Nu	ımber 3	
Case	Deberah Figgher	Cara Nama kana	2DN 05 1201 CT
-		Case Number:	3PA-05-1301 CI
· -	Alaska Comp. Health Ins. Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Eric Jensen		Susan Orlansky
	167 Park Ave.	•	500 L. St., Ste. 400
	Wasilla, Ak. 99654	•	Anchorage, Ak. 99501
,	,		
Name:		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire Retention

10. Optional: If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name:	Case Number:	
ν		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	***************************************
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 2	
Case Name:	Case Number:	
ν	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 3	
Case Name:	Case Number:	
ν.		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City State Zin	

Third Judicial District John W. Wolfe - Palmer District Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Wolfe's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.9 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.4 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 4.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=74	Peace Officer Survey N=28	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=1
Legal Ability	3.7		
Impartiality	3.8	4.2	4.0
Integrity	4.1	4.4	5.0
Temperament	4.1	4.3	4.0
Diligence	4.0	4.3	3.0
Overall	3.9	4.4	4.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

178 Retention 2010 Information Insights, Inc.

District Court Judge John W. Wolfe

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	2	2.4%
	Private, Solo	18	21.4%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	17	20.2%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	4	4.8%
	Private, Corporate Employee	2	2.4%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	18	21.4%
	Government	22	26.2%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	1	1.2%
	(Not Govt)		
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	1	1.2%
	5 Years or fewer	13	15.5%
	6 to 10 years	14	16.7%
	11 to 15 years	12	14.3%
	16 to 20 years	6	7.1%
	21 years or more	38	45.2%
Gender			
	No Response	2	2.4%
	Male	48	57.1%
	Female	34	40.5%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	1	1.2%
	Prosecution	7	8.3%
	Mainly Criminal	13	15.5%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	34	40.5%
	Mainly Civil	27	32.1%
	Other	2	2.4%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	1	1.2%
	First District	1	1.2%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	80	95.2%
	Fourth District	2	2.4%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%

Judge John W. Wolfe: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Leg		Imparti				Judio				Over	
	Abil Mean	ity N	Fairn Mean	ess N	Integ Mean	rity N	Temper Mean	ament N	Dilige Mean	ence N	Evalua Mean	ation N
Basis for Evaluation	Wican	11	Wican	11	Mean	11	Mean	11	Mican	11	Wican	
No Response		0		0		1		0		1		1
Direct Professional	3.7	74	3.8	74	4.1	73	4.1	74	4.0	73	3.9	73
Professional Reputation	3.7	6	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.8	4	4.0	6
Other Personal Contacts	3.7	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3
Type of Practice	5.7	3	3.7	3	1.5	3	1.0	3	1.0	3	5.7	3
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
Private, Solo	4.1	16	4.0	16	4.6	16	4.4	16	4.1	16	4.2	16
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.3	17	3.7	17	4.1	17	4.1	17	3.9	17	3.7	17
Private, 6+ Attorneys	2.8	4	2.5	4	2.8	4	2.5	4	2.8	4	2.8	4
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.0	17	4.1	17	4.3	16	4.1	17	4.3	16	4.2	17
Government	3.5	17	3.4	17	3.8	17	3.9	17	3.7	17	3.6	16
Public Service Agency or	3.3	17	3.4	17	3.0	17	3.7	1,	3.7	17	3.0	10
Organization (Not Govt)	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other		0		0		0		0		0		0
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		0		0		1		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	3.9	13	3.6	13	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.0	13	3.9	13
6 to 10 years	3.3	11	3.3	11	3.7	11	3.6	11	3.7	11	3.4	10
11 to 15 years	3.5	12	3.8	12	4.4	12	4.2	12	4.1	12	4.0	12
16 to 20 years	4.4	5	4.2	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.4	5	4.6	5
21 years or more	3.8	33	3.9	33	4.0	32	4.0	33	3.9	32	3.9	33
Gender												
No Response		0		0		1		0		0		0
Male	3.7	43	3.8	43	4.1	42	4.1	43	3.9	43	3.9	43
Female	3.8	30	3.7	30	4.2	30	4.0	30	4.1	29	3.9	29
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		0		0		1		1		0
Prosecution	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Mainly Criminal	3.1	12	3.1	12	3.6	12	3.9	12	3.4	12	3.3	12
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.7	30	3.7	30	4.2	29	4.2	30	3.9	30	3.9	30
Mainly Civil	3.8	27	3.9	27	4.2	27	3.9	27	4.1	26	4.1	26
Other	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Location of Practice												
No Response		0		0		1		0		1		1
First District	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Second District		0		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.7	71	3.8	71	4.2	70	4.1	71	4.0	70	3.9	70
Fourth District	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge John W. Wolfe

B. Peace and Probation Officers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	22	46.8%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	13	27.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.1%
	Probation/Parole Officer	11	23.4%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience	•		
	No Response	1	2.1%
	5 Years or fewer	8	17.0%
	6 to 10 years	18	38.3%
	11 to 15 years	8	17.0%
	16 to 20 years	10	21.3%
	21 years or more	2	4.3%
Gender			
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	36	76.6%
	Female	11	23.4%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District	1	2.1%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	46	97.9%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	2.1%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	17	36.2%
	Over 35,000	29	61.7%

Judge John W. Wolfe Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integ	rity	Judic Tempera		Dilige	ence	Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Direct Professional	4.2	28	4.4	28	4.3	28	4.3	27	4.4	28
Professional Reputation	4.2	11	4.2	11	4.4	10	4.2	11	4.5	11
Other Personal Contacts	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.8	4
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.9	15	4.2	15	4.1	15	4.1	15	4.1	15
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	5.0	7	5.0	7	5.0	7	5.0	6	5.0	7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Probation/Parole Officer	3.8	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.2	5
Other		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3
6 to 10 years	3.9	15	4.3	15	4.3	15	4.1	14	4.2	15
11 to 15 years	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4
16 to 20 years	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.4	5
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Male	4.2	23	4.5	23	4.4	23	4.3	22	4.4	23
Female	4.0	5	4.0	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.2	5
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	4.2	27	4.4	27	4.4	27	4.4	26	4.4	27
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.6	11	4.7	11	4.8	11	4.8	11	4.8	11
Over 35,000	3.8	16	4.1	16	4.0	16	3.9	15	4.0	16

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge John W. Wolfe

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	Social Worker	1	100%
	Guardian ad Litem		0.0%
	CASA Volunteer		0.0%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experien	ice		
	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer		0.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	100%
	11 to 15 years		0.0%
	16 to 20 years		0.0%
	21 years or more		0.0%
Gender	•		
	No Response	1	100%
	Male		0.0%
	Female		0.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	1	100%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
• •	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	100%
	Over 35,000		0.0%

Judge John W. Wolfe Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartia	Impartiality/				Overall				
	Fairne		Integr	ity	Tempera	ment	Diliger	ıce	Evaluat	ion
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
Professional Reputation		0		0		0		0		0
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
Guardian ad Litem		0		0		0		0		0
CASA Volunteer		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer		0		0		0		0		0
6 to 10 years	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
11 to 15 years		0		0		0		0		0
16 to 20 years		0		0		0		0		0
21 years or more		0		0		0		0		0
Gender										
No Response			0	0		0 0		0	0	0
Male		0		0		0		0		0
Female		0		0		0		0		0
Location of Work										
No Response										
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
Over 35,000		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

(

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation John W. Wolfe

				Distribution of Ratings									
Survey Category	Mean	Exce %	ellent (n)	Go %	od (n)	Acce %	ptable (n)	Defice %	cient (n)	P0 %	oor (n)	Total Responses	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.7	79%	23	14%	4	7%	2	0%	0	0%	0	29	
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	90%	26	10%	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	29	
Attentive during Proceedings	4.7	76%	22	17%	5	3%	1	3%	1	0%	0	29	
Control over Proceedings	4.8	79%	23	21%	6	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	29	
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.8	79%	23	17%	5	3%	1	0%	0	0%	0	29	
Overall Evaluation	4.8	83%	24	10%	3	7%	2	0%	0	0%	0	29	

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation John Wolfe

	1		T		1						1	1
Question	Exce %	llent (n)	Go %	od (n)	Acce _l	ptable (n)	Defic	cient (n)	P0 %	oor (n)	Mean	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	54%	14	35%	9	12%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	26
Integrity	63%	17	30%	8	4%	1	4%	1	0%	0	4.5	27
Judicial Temperament	56%	15	30%	8	11%	3	4%	1	0%	0	4.4	27
Diligence	56%	15	37%	10	4%	1	0%	0	4%	1	4.4	27
Overall Evaluation	56%	15	41%	11	0%	0	4%	1	0%	0	4.5	27

Prior Retention Scores

Judge John W. Wolfe

Palmer District Court: Retention 2010

Appointed to Palmer District Court 2004

Bar Survey										
2010 Retention	3.7	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9				
2006 Retention	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1				
	Legal Ability	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance				
		Peace & F	Probation	n Officer S	urvey					
2010 Retention	4.2	4.4		4.3	4.3	4.4				
2006 Retention	4.1	4.3		4.2	4.3	4.1				
	Impartiality	Integrity		Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance				