Alaska Judicial Council 2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials Judge Kevin G. Miller Ketchikan District Court

The Judicial Council finds Judge Miller to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a district court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1.	Voter par This pa	mphlet page	2
2.		uestionnairedge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	3
3.		cores in 2010 w survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)	
	a.	Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	10
	b.	Juror survey scores	17
	c.	Court employee survey scores	18
4.	Survey s	cores in previous retention evaluations	19

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges

Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

2. Recusals

Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

3. Appellate Affirmance Rates

Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

4. Salary Warrant Withholdings

Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation Judge Kevin G. Miller, District Court, Ketchikan

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Miller to be *Qualified* and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.4				
Impartiality	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.8	5.0
Integrity	4.7	4.4		4.8	5.0
Temperament	4.7	4.5	4.9	4.8	5.0
Diligence	4.6	4.5		4.8	5.0
Overall	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.8	5.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent

4.0 = Good

3.0 = Acceptable

2.0 = Deficient 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Miller on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Miller on overall performance was 4.6. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Miller a rating of 4.5. Jurors rated him 4.8 overall, court employees gave him 4.8, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 5.0.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Kevin G. Miller

RECEIVED

NOV 2 7 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 23, 2010

Keun Miller Name	Ketchikan District Court				
. Please estimate your workload during y	our present term.				
a) 20% civil cases 70% criminal cases 100% court administrative work 100% Total	b) 18 # of jury trials/year 40 # of non-jury trials/year # of administrative appeals/year				
Please describe your participation on couduring your current term of office.	urt/bar committees or other administrative activities				
	r First District service For Mediatrate				

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

Daning my correct term, I have

with the help of many others established
a Felony Dui therapeutic Court in Ketchiko
This court explies our team to Creatively
enage defendants in a problem sowing
preventative prices as opposed to the
trootica of reactive model that does not
seem to work well for defendants

seem to work well for defendants

I have also been appointed as one of
Errat Datrict's training indies. I have
enabled working with our magistrates
larally a statewick and howe learned
much from them.

I am thankful for the appoint in viry to
correct and court way to
a District Court ways e learned
work and continue to lack terming
to the variety of coses and challenges

Dı	uring your most recent term as a judge, have you:
a)	had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
b)	been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
c)	engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
d)	held office in any political party? Yes No
e)	held any other local state or federal office? Yes No
f)	had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No
•	your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, ets, case numbers and outcomes.
cor	ase provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in inducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention ctions. Tanking Judge Since 2008 Take for Ketchikan Therapeutic Court (Felany Dui Court)

City, State, Zip:

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

	Case Number 1	
Case Name: _	State Case Number:	IKE-09-29 CR
ν	Charles	
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:		Joan Ozan
Address:	415 Man St. Rm 304 Address:	415 man 54, Pm 208
City, State, Zip:	Ketchikon AK 9990 City, State, Zip: 225-6128	Ketalkon, AK 99901 228-8950
Name:	Name:	
Address:		
City, State, Zip:		
	Case Number 2	
Case	- 1 - 1 - Com North on	WC -00-8416 8 270E
Name: _	Case Number:	1KE-09-814 = 827 CF
ν	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	_	Paul Clark
		415 man St. Rm 208
		Ketchikan AK 9990
City, State, Esp.	Ketchikan RK 99901 City, State, Zip: 225-6128	228-8950
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 3	
Case Name: _	State Case Number:	IKE-09-573 CR
v	Apport-	
	Attorneys Involved:	- · · · · · ·
Name:	Name:	
Address:		0.000
City, State, Zip:	Ketchikon AKSTO City, State, Zip: 225-6128	Ketchikan AK 9461
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	

City, State, Zip: ___

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case		
Name: \	Touch of Cold, Inc. Case Number	" IKE-06-590 CT
ν	Pussian Village, Inc.	
	\ Attorneys Involved:	
Name	Doniel Bruce Name	Keun Anderson
Address	P.O. Box 32819 Address	PMB 570 645 G. St.
City, State, Zip	TUDECU PK 99803 City, State, Zip 789-3166	129-3100 PK 99501
Name	Name Name	:
Address	: Address	St
City, State, Zip		
	Case Number 2	
Case		
_		: 1KE-09-172 SC
ν	Peck	
	Attorneys Involved:	
		Thomas E. Schulz
Address	1981 & Polmer Limilla Hay Fre 220 ddress	715 Miller Ridge Rd.
City, State, Zip	376-5955 City, State, Zip	Cofficial Contered Dout-
Name	Name	Catterna ce e Hereo post-
Address	Address	:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip	-
	Case Number 3	
Case	1 cornot locate a 3rd cas	- with lawyers.
Name:	Manci Dra-se Case Number:	•
v	but have with oppos	ing attorneys.
_	Attorneys Involved:	,
Name:	Name	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City. State. Zip:	City State Zin:	

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case	
Name: Holcomb	Case Number: 1KE-08-244 C1
" Alaska Amphib. Tous,	LC (Settlement Cont.)
	vs Involved:
Name: Michael Hoiser	Name: Leslie Longenbouch
Address: 300 mill 54, 5tc 20	Address: 624 Man 54
City, State, Zip: Ketchikan, RK 99901	City, State, Zip: Tuneau, AK 9980
(907)225-1910	(907) 321-3402
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Case N	lumber 2
Case	
	Case Number: 1KE-07-569 CR
" Uayo Heltan	- (Therespectic Court)
	s Involved:
Name: James Scott	Name: Druk Reservin
Address: 415 Main St. Rm 304	Address: 1289 Torque Ave
City, State, Zip: Ketchikan, RK 99901	City, State, Zip: Ketchikan, AK 9990
(907)225-6128	(907) 225-18989
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Case N	lumber 3
Case	a * ' ' '' - aa ' aa al
	Case Number: 1KE-08-600 C.
" Bousley	(settlement Conf.)
	s Involved:
Name: Michael Heiser	Name: Archica Schulz
Address: 300 mill 54, 5te 20	Address: 307 Barbon St.
City, State, Zip: Ketchikon, AK 9990	(907) 225-9401
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

10. Optional: If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name:	Case Number:
ν	
	Attorneys Involved:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 2
Case Name:	Case Number:
ν	
	Attorneys Involved:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 3
Case Name:	Case Number:
ν	
	Attorneys Involved:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

First Judicial District Kevin G. Miller - Ketchikan District Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Miller's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.6 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.5 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 5.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=64	Peace Officer Survey N=13	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=1
Legal Ability	4.4		
Impartiality	4.6	4.4	5.0
Integrity	4.7	4.4	5.0
Temperament	4.7	4.5	5.0
Diligence	4.6	4.5	5.0
Overall	4.6	4.5	5.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

District Court Judge Kevin G. Miller

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	3	3.9%
	Private, Solo	11	14.5%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	15	19.7%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	4	5.3%
	Private, Corporate Employee		0.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	30	39.5%
	Government	12	15.8%
	Public Service Agency or Organization		0.0%
	(Not Govt)		
	Other	1	1.3%
Length of Alaska Practice			
_	No Response	4	5.3%
	5 Years or fewer	3	3.9%
	6 to 10 years	8	10.5%
	11 to 15 years	6	7.9%
	16 to 20 years	4	5.3%
	21 years or more	51	67.1%
Gender			
	No Response	5	6.6%
	Male	55	72.4%
	Female	16	21.1%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	2	2.6%
	Prosecution	5	6.6%
	Mainly Criminal	4	5.3%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	39	51.3%
	Mainly Civil	24	31.6%
	Other	2	2.6%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	2	2.6%
	First District	39	51.3%
	Second District	1	1.3%
	Third District	25	32.9%
	Fourth District	9	11.8%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%

Judge Kevin G. Miller: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal		Impartiality/				Judic				Over	
	Abili Mean	ity N	Fairn Mean	ess N	Integr Mean	rity N	Tempera Mean	ament N	Dilige Mean	nce N	Evalua Mean	ation N
Basis for Evaluation	Mean	11	Mean	11	Mean	11	Mean	11	Mean	11	Mean	
No Response		1		1		0		1		1		0
Direct Professional	4.4	63	4.6	63	4.7	64	4.7	63	4.6	63	4.6	64
Professional Reputation	4.3	6	4.0 4.7	6	4. 7	6	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.7	6
Other Personal Contacts	4.8	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	4.7	5
Type of Practice	4.0	5	5.0	5	4.0	3	3.0	5	4.0	3	4.0	3
No Response		1		1		0		0		1		0
Private, Solo	4.3	7	4.7	7	5.0	7	5.0	7	4.4	7	4.7	7
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.4	14	4.4	14	4.6	14	4.5	13	4.6	14	4.5	14
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
Private, Corporate Employee		0		0		0		0		0		0
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.6	26	4.7	26	4.7	27	4.7	27	4.7	26	4.8	27
Government	4.0	10	4.7	10	4.7	10	4.7	10	4.3	10	4.4	10
Public Service Agency or	4.0	10	4.7	10	4.7	10	4.7	10	4.3	10	4.4	10
Organization (Not Govt)		0		0		0		0		0		0
Other	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		1		0		0		1		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	2	5.0	1	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
6 to 10 years	4.0	7	4.0	7	4.1	7	4.1	7	4.0	7	4.1	7
11 to 15 years	4.2	6	4.5	6	4.7	6	4.5	6	4.3	6	4.5	6
16 to 20 years	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
21 years or more	4.6	42	4.8	43	4.8	43	4.8	42	4.7	42	4.7	43
Gender												
No Response		1		1		0		1		1		0
Male	4.4	46	4.6	46	4.7	47	4.7	46	4.5	46	4.6	47
Female	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.7	13	4.8	13	4.5	13	4.6	13
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		0		0		1		0		0
Prosecution	3.5	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.0	4
Mainly Criminal	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.5	2	5.0	3
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.6	33	4.7	33	4.8	34	4.8	34	4.7	34	4.8	34
Mainly Civil	4.3	20	4.5	20	4.6	20	4.5	19	4.5	20	4.4	20
Other	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Location of Practice												
No Response		1		1		0		0		1		0
First District	4.4	34	4.5	34	4.7	34	4.7	33	4.6	34	4.6	34
Second District	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.5	18	4.6	18	4.7	19	4.7	19	4.6	18	4.6	19
Fourth District	4.4	9	4.8	9	4.8	9	4.6	9	4.4	9	4.7	9
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Kevin G. Miller

B. Peace and Probation Officers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	3	12.5%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	13	54.2%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	7	29.2%
	Other	1	4.2%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	20.8%
	6 to 10 years	4	16.7%
	11 to 15 years	8	33.3%
	16 to 20 years	5	20.8%
	21 years or more	2	8.3%
Gender			
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	15	62.5%
	Female	9	37.5%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District	21	87.5%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	2	8.3%
	Fourth District	1	4.2%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000	2	8.3%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	21	87.5%
	Over 35,000	1	4.2%

Judge Kevin G. Miller Peace and Probation Officers

	Imparti Fairn		Intox		Judic		Dilia		Over	
	Mean	ess N	Integ Mean	rity N	Tempera Mean	ment N	Dilige Mean	nce N	Evalua Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	4.4	13	4.4	13	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.5	13
Professional Reputation	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	2	4.0	3	4.3	3
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.3	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.8	4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0		0		0		0		0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.3	3	4.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Other		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
11 to 15 years	4.2	6	4.0	6	4.5	6	4.3	6	4.2	6
16 to 20 years	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.4	10	4.4	10	4.4	10	4.4	10	4.5	10
Female	4.3	3	4.3	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	4.5	12	4.5	12	4.7	12	4.6	12	4.6	12
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District		0		0		0		0		0
Fourth District	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	12	4.5	12	4.7	12	4.6	12	4.6	12
Over 35,000		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Kevin G. Miller

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	Social Worker	1	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	50.0%
	CASA Volunteer		0.0%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience	ce		
	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer		0.0%
	6 to 10 years		0.0%
	11 to 15 years	2	100%
	16 to 20 years		0.0%
	21 years or more		0.0%
Gender	·		
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	1	50.0%
	Female	1	50.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response	2	100%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District		0.0%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	100%
	Over 35,000		0.0%

Judge Kevin G. Miller Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartia	Impartiality/				Overall				
	Fairne		Integr		Tempera		Diliger		Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Guardian ad Litem		0		0		0		0		0
CASA Volunteer		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer		0		0		0		0		0
6 to 10 years		0		0		0		0		0
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years		0		0		0		0		0
21 years or more		0		0		0		0		0
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Female		0		0		0		0		0
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District		0		0		0		0		0
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Over 35,000		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Kevin G. Miller

		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Exce %	ellent (n)	Go %	ood (n)	Acce %	ptable (n)	Defice %	cient (n)	Ро %	oor (n)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.7	73%	52	27%	19	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	71
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	86%	61	13%	9	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	71
Attentive during Proceedings	4.8	80%	57	18%	13	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	71
Control over Proceedings	4.8	78%	55	23%	16	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	71
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.7	75%	53	23%	16	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	70
Overall Evaluation	4.8	76%	54	24%	17	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	71

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Kevin G. Miller

Question	Exce %	llent (n)	Go %	od (n)	Acce %	ptable (n)	Defi	cient (n)	P:	oor (n)	Mean	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	81%	29	17%	6	3%	1	0%		0%	0	4.8	36
Integrity	81%	29	17%	6	3%	1	0%		0%	0	4.8	36
Judicial Temperament	86%	31	11%	4	3%	1	0%		0%	0	4.8	36
Diligence	81%	29	17%	6	3%	1	0%		0%	0	4.8	36
Overall Evaluation	83%	30	17%	6	0%	0	0%		0%	0	4.8	36

Prior Retention Scores

Judge Kevin G. Miller Ketchikan District Court: Retention 2010 Appointed to Ketchikan District Court 1999

Bar Survey										
2010 Retention	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6				
2006 Retention	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4				
2002 Retention	4.1 Legal Ability	4.3 Impartiality	4.4 Integrity	4.4 Judicial Temperament	4.3 Diligence	4.3 Overall Performance				
		Peace & F	Probatio	n Officer S	Survey					
2010 Retention	4.4	4.4		4.5	4.5	4.5				
2006 Retention	4.6	4.7		4.7	4.7	4.8				
2002 Retention	4.8	4.8		4.8	4.6	4.8				
	Impartiality	Integrity		Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance				