Alaska Judicial Council 2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials Judge Sharon A.S. Illsley Kenai District Court

The Judicial Council finds Judge Illsley to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a district court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1.	Voter pa This pa	mphlet pageage will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.	2
2.		Jestionnaire dge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	3
3.		cores in 2010 w survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)	
	a.	Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	0
	b.	Juror survey scores	7
	c.	Court employee survey scores	8
4.		cores in previous retention evaluations	

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges

Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

2. Recusals

Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

3. Appellate Affirmance Rates

Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

4. Salary Warrant Withholdings

Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation Judge Sharon A.S. Illsley, District Court, Kenai

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Illsley to be *Qualified* and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain her as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.7				
Impartiality	3.3	3.7	4.5	4.4	3.5
Integrity	3.8	3.8		4.5	5.0
Temperament	3.5	3.8	4.7	4.6	5.0
Diligence	3.9	3.8		4.4	5.0
Overall	3.6	3.7	4.7	4.5	4.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent

4.0 = Good

3.0 = Acceptable

2.0 = Deficient 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Illsley on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Illsley on overall performance was 3.6. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Illsley a rating of 3.7. Jurors rated her 4.7 overall, court employees gave her 4.5, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.0.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Sharon A.S. Illsley

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

		Sharon A.S. Illsley		Kenai District Court
		Name		Court
1.	Please	estimate your workload during your	preser	nt term.
	a)	45 % civil cases 55 % criminal cases 5 % court administrative work 100 % Total	b)	7 # of jury trials/year 50+ # of non-jury trials/year 0 # of administrative appeals/year

The majority of the cases I have included in "non-jury trials" are traffic/minor offense cases. Most of these trials involved only a law enforcement officer and the person who was cited. My "non-jury" trial workload has also included a few small claims cases and a very few civil and criminal cases. Since information on "Attorneys Involved" was being requested in the "non-jury" trial section of the questionnaire, I listed only cases that involved at least one attorney. The 5% "court administrative work" involved activities such as pulling files, copying search warrants and filling out court documents when required to do so.

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

Believing that interaction outside the courtroom between the bar and the bench is important, I have worked to set up quarterly District Court Bar/Bench meetings. While some of these meetings have been better attended than others, overall the meetings have provided a good forum to discuss better ways of doing business and to gain perspective on issues that are of concern to local attorneys. I have also worked with most of the other Peninsula District Court judicial officers to have weekly telephone conferences. Even though the "weekly" does not always happen, all the telephone conferences that we have managed to coordinate have been very educational. While I am not certain that educational activities qualify as "administrative activities," I have attended the General Jurisdiction training at the Judicial College in Reno and all Judicial and "Newer Judges" conferences that were made available during my current term.

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

Before I joined the judiciary. I spent a lot of time in court as a litigant. The many hours that I worked primarily in Superior Court gave me a valuable opportunity to observe different judges at work. While I applied for both Superior and District court positions in Kenai, since being given the opportunity to serve as a District Court Judge, I have come to appreciate that there are many benefits to working in District Court. The importance of the issues to the litigants does not depend on the level of the court that is handling their case. For many people, District Court will be their only contact with the judicial system. This gives a District Court judge the ability to put a positive face on the judiciary for perhaps more citizens than any other judicial officer. While my past experience in Superior Court made me very comfortable in all Superior Court criminal matters, the same statutes and Rules of Evidence apply in District Court and, because I enjoy communicating with people, I appreciate the volume of District Court work. Having a law clerk and a judicial assistant would be wonderful but there are resources available for all judicial officers and the challenge of learning to work with what is available is one that I hope has made me better at my job. Certainly the hours I spent observing Superior Court judges when I worked as an advocate provided me with a variety of positive role models to emulate in my own judicial career. Mastering the statutes that apply primarily to District Court, including not only misdemeanor but also fish and game offenses as well as the traffic laws and small claims, has proven to be an exciting challenge. I regularly learn more about the civil statutes and rules. While I still have a lot to learn, I have learned a great deal since my appointment. For many years I have wanted to move to the judiciary and, had I not been appointed to my current position. I would now be working in the Kenai magistrate position that I accepted in approximately June of 2007. While I enjoyed my career as an advocate, I am finding that I enjoy the judicial role even more. I often find myself searching legal resources to resolve the issues presented. I cannot imagine a better job than one which not only allows but requires a constant expansion of knowledge. I hope that I have the opportunity to stay in my current position for many years.

4.	During	g your most recent term as a judge, have you:
	a)	had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	b)	been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? ☐ Yes No
	c)	engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? □ Yes No
	d)	held office in any political party? ☐ Yes 🌠 No
	e)	held any other local state or federal office? ☐ Yes 🎜 No
	f)	had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? ☐ Yes ✓ No
5.		answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, ase numbers and outcomes.
6.		provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in ting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention as.
from the profes a bit o comm	licial care ne seriou sionals v f time in ents on t	eer got off to a rocky start when I crashed my motorcycle on July 21, 2007. I have recovered is injuries that I sustained and I have been fortunate enough to have been assisted by medical who helped me with improving my overall health. Nonetheless, I was away from work for quite late 2007 and it took a while after I returned in 2008 to get fully back on track. Some of the the interim judicial survey may have been reflective of my performance shortly after I returned efully the comments on the retention survey will be based on my more recent performance.

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Name: Wilda Hartman	Case Number:	· 3SW-08-16CI
v. Tim McDonald		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name: Steven Smith	Name:	Sonya Redmond
Address: 1029 W. 3rd Avenue	e, Ste. 250 Address:	35865 Sunset Park Street
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 995	City, State, Zip:	Soldotna, AK 99669
Name:	Name:	·
Address:		-
City, State, Zip:		
	Case Number 2	
Case Name: State of Alaska	Case Number:	3KN-09-404CR
v. William T. Nelson		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name: Gary Poorman	······································	Adam Parkes
Address: 120 Trading Bay, St	e. 200 Address:	130 Trading Bay, Ste. 390
City, State, Zip: Kenai, AK 99611	City, State, Zip:	Kenai, AK 99611
Name:	Name	
Address:		
0'. 0 7'.		
	Case Number 3	
Case Name: Nancy Henning	Case Number:	3KN-07-888CI
v. Byron Moore and Cha		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name: Peter R. Ehrhardt	Name:	Mark D. Osterman
Address: 215 Fidalgo Ave., S	te. 201 Address:	215 Fidalgo, Ste. 106
City, State, Zip: Kenai, AK 99611	City, State, Zip:	Kenai, AK 99611
Name:	Name:	
Address:		
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Name: F	Randy Gilliam Sr.	Case Number:	3KN-08-739CI
v. F	Ruth Stowers	-	
	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Kevin D. Koch	_ Name:	
Address:	35477 Spur Highway, Ste. 207		
City, State, Zip:	Soldotna, AK 99669		
Name:		Name	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			Marie Control of the
	Case N	umber 2	
Case			
_	Glen Mathew d/b/a Glen's Drywall	_Case Number:	3KN-07-272CI
v. <u> </u>	Matthew Fischer and Amber Fischer	-	
Nome	Mark D. Osterman	Involved:	
	105 Trading Bay, Ste. 105		
	Kanai AK 00611		
enty, state, zip.	Kenai, AK 99011	City, State, Zip:	
Name:		Name:	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			
	Case N	umber 3	
Case Name: S	state of Alaska	Case Number:	3KN-06-937CR
v. F	Rudy Zaborowski	_	
	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Devoron Hill	Name:	Joseph Raymond Skhra
Address:	120 Trading Bay, Ste. 200	Address:	150 N. Willow, Ste. 2
City, State, Zip:	Kenai, AK 99611	City, State, Zip:	Kenai, AK 99611
Name:		Name:	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			
		-	

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case	District and an Address Management		01/11 07 00701
_	Richard and Arlene Musgrove	_ Case Number:	3KN-07-327CI
v. <u>-</u>	Elizabeth Weissman	— us Innalnadı	
Name:	Sheryl Musgrove	s Involved:	Allan Beiswenger
	P.O. Box 1894		1101 W. 7th Avenue
	Roise ID 83701		Anchorage, AK 99501
,,,,	Doise, 1D 00701	City, State, Zip.	, menerage, necession
Name:	Michael Hough	Name:	
Address:	3733 Ben Walters Lane, Ste. 2		
City, State, Zip:	Homer, AK 99603		
	Case N	lumber 2	
Case	_eonid Moshkovich	C N N	3KN 07-52CI
-	Denis Douglas d/b/a The Log Wizard	_ Case Number:	3/(1-07-320)
·. <u>-</u>		_ s Involved:	
Name:	Mark D. Osterman		Kristine A. Schmidt
Address:	215 Fidalgo, Ste. 106		110 S. Willow St., Ste. 101
City, State, Zip:	Kenai, AK 99611		Kenai, AK 99611
Name:		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
	Case N	lumber 3	
Case	Kenai Peninsula Bourough	Case Number:	3KN-07-100CI
_	David Rohner, Et. Al.	_ Case Number.	
		– s Involved:	
Name:	Scott Bloom		**************************************
Address:	144 N. Binkley Street		
	Soldotna, AK 99669		
•			
Name:		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City State Zin:	

10. Optional: If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Name:	Case Number:	
v		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:		
	Case Number 2	
Case Name:	Case Number:	
ν,		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
***************************************	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 3	
Case Name:	Case Number:	
v		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	·
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	

Third Judicial District Sharon A.S. IIIsley - Kenai District Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Illsley's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.6 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 3.7 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=48	Peace Officer Survey N=22	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=2
Legal Ability	3.7		
Impartiality	3.3	3.7	3.5
Integrity	3.8	3.8	5.0
Temperament	3.5	3.8	5.0
Diligence	3.9	3.8	5.0
Overall	3.6	3.7	4.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

152 Retention 2010 Information Insights, Inc.

District Court Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	2	3.2%
	Private, Solo	8	12.7%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	10	15.9%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	3	4.8%
	Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.6%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	18	28.6%
	Government	19	30.2%
	Public Service Agency or Organization		0.0%
	(Not Govt)		
	Other	2	3.2%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	3	4.8%
	5 Years or fewer	5	7.9%
	6 to 10 years	7	11.1%
	11 to 15 years	5	7.9%
	16 to 20 years	8	12.7%
	21 years or more	35	55.6%
Gender			
	No Response	3	4.8%
	Male	42	66.7%
	Female	18	28.6%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	2	3.2%
	Prosecution	8	12.7%
	Mainly Criminal	10	15.9%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	22	34.9%
	Mainly Civil	20	31.7%
	Other	1	1.6%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	2	3.2%
	First District	1	1.6%
	Second District	1	1.6%
	Third District	56	88.9%
	Fourth District	3	4.8%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%

Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Leg		Imparti				Judio				Over	
	Abil Mean	ity N	Fairn Mean	ess N	Integ Mean	rity N	Temper Mean	ament N	Dilige Mean	ence N	Evalua Mean	ation N
Basis for Evaluation	Mican	11	Mcan	11	Mican	11	Wican	11	Mican	11	Mican	11
No Response		0		1		1		2		1		1
Direct Professional	3.7	48	3.3	47	3.8	47	3.5	46	3.9	47	3.6	47
Professional Reputation	3.6	11	3.2	11	3.4	11	3.2	11	3.1	11	3.3	11
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
Type of Practice	4.0	_	4.0	2	7.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	_
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
Private, Solo	3.7	7	3.6	7	4.0	7	4.0	7	4.1	7	4.0	7
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.0	9	3.8	9	4.0	9	4.1	8	4.3	8	3.9	9
Private, 6+ Attorneys	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	3.7	15	3.2	15	3.8	15	3.3	15	3.8	15	3.5	15
Government	3.6	13	3.3	12	3.6	12	3.3	12	3.7	13	3.5	12
Public Service Agency or	5.0	13	3.3	12	3.0	12	5.5	12	3.7	13	3.3	12
Organization (Not Govt)		0		0		0		0		0		0
Other	3.0	1	2.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		0		0		0		1		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	5	3.3	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.4	5	3.8	4
6 to 10 years	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.4	5
11 to 15 years	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
16 to 20 years	3.8	6	3.5	6	3.8	6	3.7	6	4.0	6	3.8	6
21 years or more	3.4	29	3.0	29	3.5	29	3.2	28	3.5	28	3.3	29
Gender												
No Response		0		1		1		2		1		1
Male	3.7	32	3.6	31	3.8	31	3.7	30	3.9	31	3.7	31
Female	3.6	15	2.9	15	3.5	15	3.1	15	3.6	15	3.3	15
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		0		0		1		1		0
Prosecution	4.4	5	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.4	5	4.5	4
Mainly Criminal	3.4	8	2.8	8	3.4	8	3.5	8	3.6	8	3.3	8
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.6	18	3.4	18	3.8	18	3.5	18	3.8	18	3.5	18
Mainly Civil	3.8	16	3.3	16	3.7	16	3.5	15	3.8	15	3.6	16
Other	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Location of Practice												
No Response		0		1		1		1		2		1
First District		0		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.7	46	3.4	45	3.8	45	3.6	44	3.9	45	3.6	45
Fourth District	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley

B. Peace and Probation Officers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	50.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	10	35.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	2	7.1%
	Other	2	7.1%
Length of Alaska Experience	e		
	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	14.3%
	6 to 10 years	7	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	6	21.4%
	16 to 20 years	6	21.4%
	21 years or more	5	17.9%
Gender			
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	26	92.9%
	Female	2	7.1%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	27	96.4%
	Fourth District	1	3.6%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	24	85.7%
	Over 35,000	4	14.3%

Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integ	nitu	Judic Tempera		Dilige	noo	Over Evalua	
	Mean	N	Mean	nty N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Direct Professional	3.7	22	3.8	22	3.8	22	3.8	21	3.7	22
Professional Reputation	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.5	4	2.8	4	2.8	4
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.6	13	3.8	13	3.8	13	3.8	13	3.5	13
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.7	7	3.7	7	3.7	7	3.8	6	3.9	7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0		0		0		0		0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
Other	3.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	4	3.8	4	4.3	4	3.8	4	4.0	4
6 to 10 years	4.0	6	4.2	6	3.8	6	4.0	6	3.8	6
11 to 15 years	3.3	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.3	3
16 to 20 years	3.5	6	3.5	6	3.8	6	4.0	5	3.8	6
21 years or more	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Male	3.7	22	3.8	22	3.8	22	3.8	21	3.7	22
Female		0		0		0		0		0
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.7	21	3.9	21	3.8	21	3.9	20	3.8	21
Fourth District	4.0	1	2.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.7	20	3.8	20	3.8	20	3.8	19	3.7	20
Over 35,000	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	Social Worker	1	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	50.0%
	CASA Volunteer		0.0%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experien	ce		
_	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer		0.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	50.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	50.0%
	16 to 20 years		0.0%
	21 years or more		0.0%
Gender	·		
	No Response		0.0%
	Male		0.0%
	Female	2	100%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	2	100%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		
Community Population			
• •	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	100%
	Over 35,000		0.0%

Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartia			Overall						
	Fairness		Integr		Tempera		Diliger		Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Direct Professional	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Professional Reputation		0		0		0		0		0
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Social Worker	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	2.0	1		0		0		0	3.0	1
CASA Volunteer		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
5 Years or fewer		0		0		0		0		0
6 to 10 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
11 to 15 years	2.0	1		0		0		0	3.0	1
16 to 20 years		0		0		0		0		0
21 years or more		0		0		0		0		0
Gender										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Male		0		0		0		0		0
Female	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Location of Work										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Over 35,000		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Sharon A.S. Illsley

		Distribution of Ratings											
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)		Good % (n)		Acceptable % (n)		Deficient % (n)		Poor % (n)		Total Responses	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.5	60%	33	33%	18	7%	4	0%	0	0%	0	55	
Respectful/Courteous	4.7	76%	42	20%	11	4%	2	0%	0	0%	0	55	
Attentive during Proceedings	4.7	71%	39	27%	15	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	55	
Control over Proceedings	4.6	64%	35	33%	18	4%	2	0%	0	0%	0	55	
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.7	69%	38	31%	17	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	55	
Overall Evaluation	4.7	67%	37	31%	17	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	55	

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Sharon A.S. Illsley

Question	Exce %	llent (n)	Go %	od (n)	Acce	ptable (n)	Defic	cient (n)	P (oor (n)	Mean	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	53%	8	33%	5	13%	2	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	15
Integrity	67%	10	20%	3	13%	2	0%	0	0%	0	4.5	15
Judicial Temperament	77%	13	18%	3	0%	0	0%	0	6%	1	4.6	17
Diligence	75%	12	13%	2	0%	0	6%	1	6%	1	4.4	16
Overall Evaluation	69%	11	19%	3	6%	1	6%	1	0%	0	4.5	16