

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge David S. Landry, District Court, Kenai

Judicial Council Recommendation

The Alaska Judicial Council, a non-partisan citizens commission established by the Alaska constitution, evaluates judges on a number of criteria, including their legal ability, their demeanor, their diligence, their ability to manage their caseloads, and their fairness and integrity. After receiving a number of reports and comments from those who work with and appear before Judge Landry, the Judicial Council undertook an additional investigation including a review of court records and interviews with over forty people. The Judicial Council's vote relied only on evidence that was corroborated. Based on this investigation, the Judicial Council had considerable concerns about Judge Landry's pattern of poor judgment in a number of areas including: inappropriately delegating judicial authority by handing out blank pre-signed orders to prosecutors, which allowed them to set bail and schedule hearings without further court order; while administering the criminal court calendar, failing to monitor and ensure the timely trial of criminal cases, resulting in dismissal of at least fourteen criminal cases within a year for failure to provide a speedy trial under applicable court rules; making inappropriate sexual comments both in and out of the courtroom, which continued after receipt of warnings; and creating the appearance of favoritism and partiality in handling court cases. The Judicial Council finds Judge Landry to be **Unqualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "No" on his retention as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed 3,036 attorneys, 1,492 peace and probation officers, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates, jurors, and court employees about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed court system records concerning peremptory challenges, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, court staff, and others. The Council held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.7	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	3.9	4.1	4.8	3.5	5.0
Integrity	4.1	4.3	---	3.6	5.0
Temperament	4.1	4.4	4.9	3.8	5.0
Diligence	3.8	4.1	---	3.5	5.0
Overall	3.8	4.2	4.8	3.7	5.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale
 5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Attorneys in Alaska rated Judge Landry on the six categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Landry on overall performance was 3.8. Peace and probation officers rated Judge Landry on five categories, using the 5-point scale above. They gave Judge Landry a rating of 4.2. Three other groups also evaluated Judge Landry's performance, using the same 5-point scale with 5 as the highest rating. Jurors rated him 4.8, court employees gave him 3.7, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 5.0.

Recommendation: Vote "NO" on the retention of Judge David S. Landry

Contact the Judicial Council at 1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, AK 99501 (telephone: (907) 279-2526) for more detailed information, or review the information on our Internet site at: www.ajc.state.ak.us

November 2006



RECEIVED
JAN 5 2006
ALASKA
JUDICIAL COUNCIL

alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969 (907) 279-2526 FAX (907) 276-5046
http://www.ajc.state.ak.us E-mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Larry Cohn

NON-ATTORNEY MEMBERS
Eleanor Andrews
Bill Gordon
Christena Williams

ATTORNEY MEMBERS
Douglas Baily
Robert B. Groseclose
Susan Orlansky

CHAIR, EX OFFICIO
Alexander O. Bryner
Chief Justice
Supreme Court

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2006 Candidates for Judicial Retention

November 21, 2005

Judge David S. Landry

Kenai District Court

Name

Court

1. Describe your workload during your present term.

a)	$\frac{5}{95}$ % Civil Cases	b)	$\frac{50}{}$ # of trials/year
	% Criminal Cases		# Administrative Appeals
	% Court Administrative		
	100 % Total		

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

Am currently part of a committee working to revise the District Court Standing Pre-trial Order for the Kenai District Court

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

I was appointed as the Kenai District Court Judge on November 1st, 2004 after having served as a Magistrate for the previous five (5) years. This was a new position for the Kenai Court where previously all District Court matters were handled by two Magistrates. The creation of the new position required a complete re-organization of the District Court calendar. (This re-organization has included input from local private and agency attorneys, the Kenai Clerk of Court as well as representatives from the Court system in Anchorage). This process continues to be a work in progress and will hopefully lead to a more user friendly environment for anyone having business with the District Court in Kenai.

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
 - b) been involved in a nonjudicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes No
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

n/a

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2006 retention elections.

n/a

7. Please list the names and case numbers of the three most recent jury cases tried before you, identify the attorneys involved, and show their current addresses. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case
Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-05-53 CR
v. Turman

Attorneys Involved:

Name	<u>Joseph R. Skrha for Defense</u>	Name	<u>Lesa Thomas, ADA for State</u>
Address	<u>150 N. Willow St. Suite 2</u>	Address	<u>120 Trading Bay Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>	City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>

Name _____
Address _____
City, State, Zip _____

Name _____
Address _____
City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 2

Case
Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-05-263 CR
v. Fitzpatrick

Attorneys Involved:

Name	<u>Steve Labahn, PD's Office</u>	Name	<u>Lesa Thomas, ADA for State</u>
Address	<u>130 Trading Bay Suite 390</u>	Address	<u>120 Trading Bay Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>	City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>

Name _____
Address _____
City, State, Zip _____

Name _____
Address _____
City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 3

Case
Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-05-507 CR
v. Quimby

Attorneys Involved:

Name	<u>Guy Kerner OPA for Defense</u>	Name	<u>Ms. Jamieson ADA for State</u>
Address	<u>321 W. Manor Avenue</u>	Address	<u>120 Trading Bay Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip	<u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>

Name _____
Address _____
City, State, Zip _____

Name _____
Address _____
City, State, Zip _____

8. Please list the names and case numbers of the three most recent non-jury cases tried before you, identify the attorneys involved, and show their current addresses. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Cannava _____ **Case Number:** 3KN-04-116 CI _____
v. Geisler _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name	Peter Mysing for Plaintiff	Name	Dorne Hawxhurst
Address	608 Petersen Way	Address	545 W 15th Avenue
City, State, Zip	Kenai, Alaska 99611	City, State, Zip	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name	_____	Name	_____
Address	_____	Address	_____
City, State, Zip	_____	City, State, Zip	_____

Case Number 2

Case Name: n/a _____ **Case Number:** _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name	_____	Name	_____
Address	_____	Address	_____
City, State, Zip	_____	City, State, Zip	_____
Name	_____	Name	_____
Address	_____	Address	_____
City, State, Zip	_____	City, State, Zip	_____

Case Number 3

Case Name: n/a _____ **Case Number:** _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name	_____	Name	_____
Address	_____	Address	_____
City, State, Zip	_____	City, State, Zip	_____
Name	_____	Name	_____
Address	_____	Address	_____
City, State, Zip	_____	City, State, Zip	_____

9. Please list the names and case numbers of the three most recent cases which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.), identify the attorneys involved, and show their current addresses.
 (Attach additional pages if necessary)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-03-1547 CR
 v. Sorto

Attorneys Involved:

Name	<u>Ms. Browning PD for Defense</u>	Name	<u>Ms. Thomas/Ms. Jamieson</u>
Address	<u>130 Trading Bay Suite 390</u>	Address	<u>120 Trading Bay Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>	City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>

Name	<u></u>	Name	<u></u>
Address	<u></u>	Address	<u></u>
City, State, Zip	<u></u>	City, State, Zip	<u></u>

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-05-508 CR
 v. Whitney

Attorneys Involved:

Name	<u>Eric Derleth for Defense</u>	Name	<u>Ms. Thomas/Ms. Jamieson</u>
Address	<u>35401 Kenai Spur Hwy</u>	Address	<u>120 Trading Bay Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip	<u>Soldtna, AK 99669</u>	City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>

Name	<u></u>	Name	<u></u>
Address	<u></u>	Address	<u></u>
City, State, Zip	<u></u>	City, State, Zip	<u></u>

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-03-2074 CR
 v. Hagen

Attorneys Involved:

Name	<u>Joseph R. Skrha</u>	Name	<u>Ms. Thomas/Ms. Jamieson</u>
Address	<u>150 N. Willow Suite 2</u>	Address	<u>120 Trading Bay Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>	City, State, Zip	<u>Kenai, Alaska 99611</u>

Name	<u></u>	Name	<u></u>
Address	<u></u>	Address	<u></u>
City, State, Zip	<u></u>	City, State, Zip	<u></u>

10. If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list the name, case number and attorneys' names and current addresses of any other cases during your judicial career in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

Case Number 1

Case Name: n/a _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____
Attorneys Involved:
Name _____ Name _____
Address _____ Address _____
City, State, Zip _____ City, State, Zip _____
Name _____ Name _____
Address _____ Address _____
City, State, Zip _____ City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: n/a _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____
Attorneys Involved:
Name _____ Name _____
Address _____ Address _____
City, State, Zip _____ City, State, Zip _____
Name _____ Name _____
Address _____ Address _____
City, State, Zip _____ City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: n/a _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____
Attorneys Involved:
Name _____ Name _____
Address _____ Address _____
City, State, Zip _____ City, State, Zip _____
Name _____ Name _____
Address _____ Address _____
City, State, Zip _____ City, State, Zip _____

52. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DAVID S. LANDRY

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description (N=92)

	N	%
Type of Practice		
No Response	1	1.0%
Private, Solo	24	26.0%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	16	17.3%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	6	6.5%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.0%
State Judge or Judicial Officer	16	17.3%
Government	26	28.2%
Public Service Agency or Organization (not govt)	1	1.0%
Other	1	1.0%
Length of Alaska Practice		
No Response	2	2.1%
5 Years or fewer	14	15.2%
6 to 10 years	10	10.8%
11 to 15 years	12	13.0%
16 to 20 years	15	16.3%
21 years or more	39	42.3%
Gender		
No Response	1	1.0%
Male	58	63.0%
Female	33	35.8%
Cases Handled		
No Response	1	1.0%
Prosecution	11	11.9%
Mainly Criminal	10	10.8%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	36	39.1%
Mainly Civil	32	34.7%
Other	2	2.1%
Location of Practice		
No Response	1	1.0%
First District	5	5.4%
Second District	4	4.3%
Third District	76	82.6%
Fourth District	4	4.3%
Outside of Alaska	2	2.1%

**Evaluation of Judge David S. Landry:
Alaska Bar Association Members**

Summary of Findings

Judge David S. Landry was evaluated by 81 Alaska Bar Association members who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 3.8. The highest mean scores were obtained on *integrity* (4.1) and *judicial temperament* (4.1) and the lowest score was obtained on *legal ability* (3.7). Details are present in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		Mean
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Legal Ability	3	3.7%	8	9.8%	20	24.6%	31	38.2%	19	23.4%	3.7
Impartiality/Fairness	4	4.9%	3	3.7%	20	24.6%	24	29.6%	30	37.0%	3.9
Integrity	1	1.2%	4	5.0%	14	17.5%	31	38.7%	30	37.5%	4.1
Judicial Temperament	3	3.7%	2	2.4%	15	18.5%	22	27.1%	39	48.1%	4.1
Diligence	4	5.1%	7	9.0%	18	23.3%	24	31.1%	24	31.1%	3.8
Overall Rating	2	2.4%	6	7.4%	19	23.4%	30	37.0%	24	29.6%	3.8

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Judge David S. Landry: Detailed Information Responses
Alaska Bar Association Members**

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Rating	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
	Basis for Evaluation of Judge											
No Response	5.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	2.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
Direct Professional	3.7	81	3.9	81	4.1	80	4.1	81	3.8	77	3.8	81
Professional Reputation	3.4	7	3.3	7	3.6	7	3.3	6	3.2	6	3.3	7
Other Personal Contacts	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	3.7	3	3.8	4
Type of Practice												
No Response	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Private, Solo	3.3	23	3.7	23	4.0	22	3.9	23	3.2	22	3.5	23
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.9	14	4.2	14	4.4	14	4.4	14	3.9	14	4.0	14
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.3	6
Private, Corporate Employee	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
State Judge or Judicial Officer	3.8	13	4.1	13	4.2	13	4.1	13	4.2	11	4.1	13
Government	3.8	22	3.9	22	4.0	22	4.4	22	4.0	21	4.0	22
Public Service Agency or Organization (not govt)	2.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	1.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1
Other	2.0	1	1.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1
Years Experience												
No Response	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
5 Years or fewer	3.5	14	3.8	14	4.1	14	4.4	14	3.8	14	3.8	14
6 to 10 years	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.9	8	4.6	9	3.9	7	3.9	9
11 to 15 years	4.0	11	4.5	11	4.5	11	4.6	11	3.9	11	4.1	11
16 to 20 years	3.8	13	3.6	13	3.8	13	3.8	13	3.8	12	3.8	13
21 years or more	3.5	32	3.9	32	4.0	32	3.9	32	3.6	31	3.8	32
Gender												
No Response	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Male	3.7	51	4.0	51	4.2	50	4.2	51	3.7	47	3.9	51
Female	3.6	29	3.7	29	3.9	29	4.0	29	3.8	29	3.8	29
Majority of Practice Consists of												
No Response	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Prosecution	3.6	10	3.6	10	3.9	10	4.2	10	3.9	10	3.9	10
Mainly Criminal	3.3	8	3.5	8	3.8	8	4.3	8	3.6	8	3.5	8
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.7	34	4.1	34	4.3	33	4.2	34	3.8	32	3.9	34
Mainly Civil	3.9	28	3.9	28	4.0	28	4.0	28	3.7	26	3.8	28
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Location of Practice												
No Response	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
First District	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Second District	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	1	4.0	2
Third District	3.6	69	3.9	69	4.1	68	4.1	69	3.7	66	3.8	69
Fourth District	3.8	4	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
Outside of Alaska	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

52. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DAVID S. LANDRY

B. Police and Probation Officers

Demographic Description (N=33)

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	42.4%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	15	45.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0
	Probation/Parole Officer	3	9.0%
	Other	1	3.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0
	5 Years or fewer	12	36.3%
	6 to 10 years	4	12.1%
	11 to 15 years	10	30.3%
	16 to 20 years	5	15.1%
	21 years or more	2	6.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0
	Male	28	84.8%
	Female	5	15.1%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	--	0
	First District	--	0
	Second District	--	0
	Third District	32	96.9%
	Fourth District	1	3.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0
	Under 2,000	1	3.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	28	84.8%
	Over 35,000	4	12.1%

**Evaluation of Judge David S. Landry
Peace and Probation Officers**

Summary of Findings

Judge David S. Landry was evaluated by 28 Peace and Probation Officers who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 4.2. The highest mean score was obtained on *judicial temperament* (4.4) and lowest scores were obtained on *impartiality/fairness* (4.1) and *diligence* (4.1). Details are present in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		Mean
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Impartiality/Fairness	--	0	2	7.1%	4	14.2%	10	35.7%	12	42.8%	4.1
Integrity	--	0	--	0	5	17.8%	10	35.7%	13	46.4%	4.3
Judicial Temperament	--	0	--	0	5	17.8%	8	28.5%	15	53.5%	4.4
Diligence	--	0	2	7.1%	4	14.2%	10	35.7%	12	42.8%	4.1
Overall Rating	--	0	2	7.1%	4	14.2%	9	32.1%	13	46.4%	4.2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Judge David S. Landry: Detailed Information on Responses Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Rating	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation of Judge										
No Response	2.8	4	3.0	4	3.3	4	3.0	4	3.0	4
Direct Professional	4.1	28	4.3	28	4.4	28	4.1	28	4.2	28
Professional Reputation	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.6	5	3.6	5
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	12	4.3	12	4.2	12	3.9	12	4.1	12
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.5	13	4.3	13	4.2	13
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Other	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Years Experience										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
5 Years or fewer	4.1	11	4.3	11	4.4	11	4.2	11	4.3	11
6 to 10 years	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
11 to 15 years	3.8	8	4.0	8	4.1	8	3.9	8	3.8	8
16 to 20 years	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.0	5	4.2	5
21 years or more	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Gender										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Male	4.2	24	4.3	24	4.4	24	4.2	24	4.2	24
Female	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
Location of Practice										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.1	27	4.3	27	4.3	27	4.1	27	4.1	27
Fourth District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Under 2,000	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.2	25	4.3	25	4.4	25	4.2	25	4.2	25
Over 35,000	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

52. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DAVID S. LANDRY

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Demographic Description (N=6)

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0
	Social Worker	3	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	3	50.0%
	CASA Volunteer	--	0
	Other	--	0
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0
	5 Years or fewer	2	33.3%
	6 to 10 years	2	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	1	16.6%
	16 to 20 years	1	16.6%
	21 years or more	--	0
Gender			
	No Response	--	0
	Male	1	16.6%
	Female	5	83.3%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	--	0
	First District	--	0
	Second District	--	0
	Third District	6	100.0%
	Fourth District	--	0
	Outside of Alaska	--	0
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0
	Under 2,000	--	0
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	66.6%
	Over 35,000	2	33.3%

**Evaluation of Judge David S. Landry
Social Workers, Guardians Ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers**

Summary of Findings

Judge David S. Landry was evaluated by six Social Workers and Guardians ad Litem who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 5.0. All areas obtained a score of 5.0. Details are present in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		Mean
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Impartiality/Fairness	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	6	100.0%	5.0
Integrity	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	6	100.0%	5.0
Judicial Temperament	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	6	100.0%	5.0
Diligence	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	6	100.0%	5.0
Overall Rating	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	6	100.0%	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.


**Judge David S. Landry: Detail Information on Responses
Social Workers, Guardians Ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Rating	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation of Judge										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Direct Professional	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Social Worker	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Guardian ad Litem	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
CASA Volunteer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Years Experience										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
6 to 10 years	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Gender										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Male	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Female	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5
Location of Practice										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4	5.0	4
Over 35,000	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge David S. Landry

Average Ratings from All Groups Surveyed



■ Alaska Bar Association	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.8
▨ Peace and Probation Officers		4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2
□ Social Workers/ GALS/CASA Volunteers		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

*Legal Ability items are only completed by Alaska Bar Association members.

<p style="text-align: center;">Juror Survey Results 2006 Retention Evaluation David S. Landry</p>											
		Distribution of Ratings									
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Total Returned = 149				
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	83% 123	16% 24	1% 2	0% 0	0% 0					
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	89% 132	11% 16	1% 1	0% 0	0% 0					
Attentive during Proceedings	4.8	77% 115	22% 33	1% 1	0% 0	0% 0					
Control over Proceedings	4.8	85% 126	15% 23	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0					
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.8	84% 125	16% 24	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0					
Overall Evaluation	4.8	82% 122	17% 25	1% 1	0% 0	0% 0					

<p align="center">Court Employee Survey Results 2006 Retention Evaluation David S. Landry</p>											
		<p align="center">Distribution of Ratings</p>									
<p align="center">Survey Category</p>	<p align="center">Mean</p>	<p align="center">Excellent % (n)</p>	<p align="center">Good % (n)</p>	<p align="center">Acceptable % (n)</p>	<p align="center">Deficient % (n)</p>	<p align="center">Poor % (n)</p>	<p align="center">Total Returned = 19</p>				
<p>Impartiality/Fairness</p>	<p>3.5</p>	<p>26% 5</p>	<p>37% 7</p>	<p>5% 1</p>	<p>26% 5</p>	<p>5% 1</p>	<p align="center">19</p>				
<p>Integrity</p>	<p>3.6</p>	<p>26% 5</p>	<p>32% 6</p>	<p>16% 3</p>	<p>16% 3</p>	<p>5% 1</p>	<p align="center">18</p>				
<p>Judicial Temperament</p>	<p>3.8</p>	<p>26% 5</p>	<p>42% 8</p>	<p>16% 3</p>	<p>5% 1</p>	<p>5% 1</p>	<p align="center">18</p>				
<p>Diligence</p>	<p>3.5</p>	<p>32% 6</p>	<p>26% 5</p>	<p>16% 3</p>	<p>16% 3</p>	<p>11% 2</p>	<p align="center">19</p>				
<p>Overall Evaluation</p>	<p>3.7</p>	<p>32% 6</p>	<p>32% 6</p>	<p>21% 4</p>	<p>11% 2</p>	<p>5% 1</p>	<p align="center">19</p>				