

2019 Mean Ratings of Pro Tem Judges

Attorneys were asked to rate pro tem judges on the following scale.

1. Poor
2. Deficient
3. Acceptable
4. Good
5. Excellent

Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
Elaine Andrews	218	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Linn Asper	12	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.5
Robert Coats	54	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Dale Curda	22	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.4
Leonard Devaney	39	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Robert Eastaugh	100	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
Dana Fabe	185	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5
Natalie Finn	92	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.5
Raymond Funk	81	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Donald Hopwood	149	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5
Michael Jeffery	74	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4
Stephanie Joannides	141	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Douglas Kossler	72	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.3
Keith Levy	44	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
John Lohff	72	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.0
Warren Matthews	107	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Patrick McKay	125	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Nancy Nolan	95	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Randy Olsen	57	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0
Eric Smith	83	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.0
Jack Smith	78	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Michael Spaan	94	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
Niesje Steinkruger	65	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4
Alex Swiderski	71	3.9	3.8	4.2	3.9	4.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.