

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Sharon A.S. Illsley
Kenai District Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Illsley to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a district court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page	2
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.	
2. Judge questionnaire	3
The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	
3. Survey scores in 2010	
<i>(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)</i>	
a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	10
b. Juror survey scores	17
c. Court employee survey scores	18
4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations	
<i>(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)</i>	

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges	
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.	
2. Recusals	
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."	
3. Appellate Affirmance Rates	
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.	
4. Salary Warrant Withholdings	
Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.	

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Sharon A.S. Illsley, District Court, Kenai

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Illsley to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain her as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.7	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	3.3	3.7	4.5	4.4	3.5
Integrity	3.8	3.8	---	4.5	5.0
Temperament	3.5	3.8	4.7	4.6	5.0
Diligence	3.9	3.8	---	4.4	5.0
Overall	3.6	3.7	4.7	4.5	4.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Illsley on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Illsley on overall performance was 3.6. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Illsley a rating of 3.7. Jurors rated her 4.7 overall, court employees gave her 4.5, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.0.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Sharon A.S. Illsley

**Alaska Judicial Council
Questionnaire**

**Trial Judge
2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention**

October 22, 2009

Sharon A.S. Illsley	Kenai District Court
Name	Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) <u>45</u> % civil cases <u>55</u> % criminal cases <u>5</u> % court administrative work 100 % Total	b) <u>7</u> # of jury trials/year <u>50+</u> # of non-jury trials/year <u>0</u> # of administrative appeals/year
---	--

The majority of the cases I have included in "non-jury trials" are traffic/minor offense cases. Most of these trials involved only a law enforcement officer and the person who was cited. My "non-jury" trial workload has also included a few small claims cases and a very few civil and criminal cases. Since information on "Attorneys Involved" was being requested in the "non-jury" trial section of the questionnaire, I listed only cases that involved at least one attorney. The 5% "court administrative work" involved activities such as pulling files, copying search warrants and filling out court documents when required to do so.

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

Believing that interaction outside the courtroom between the bar and the bench is important, I have worked to set up quarterly District Court Bar/Bench meetings. While some of these meetings have been better attended than others, overall the meetings have provided a good forum to discuss better ways of doing business and to gain perspective on issues that are of concern to local attorneys. I have also worked with most of the other Peninsula District Court judicial officers to have weekly telephone conferences. Even though the "weekly" does not always happen, all the telephone conferences that we have managed to coordinate have been very educational. While I am not certain that educational activities qualify as "administrative activities," I have attended the General Jurisdiction training at the Judicial College in Reno and all Judicial and "Newer Judges" conferences that were made available during my current term.

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

Before I joined the judiciary, I spent a lot of time in court as a litigant. The many hours that I worked primarily in Superior Court gave me a valuable opportunity to observe different judges at work. While I applied for both Superior and District court positions in Kenai, since being given the opportunity to serve as a District Court Judge, I have come to appreciate that there are many benefits to working in District Court. The importance of the issues to the litigants does not depend on the level of the court that is handling their case. For many people, District Court will be their only contact with the judicial system. This gives a District Court judge the ability to put a positive face on the judiciary for perhaps more citizens than any other judicial officer. While my past experience in Superior Court made me very comfortable in all Superior Court criminal matters, the same statutes and Rules of Evidence apply in District Court and, because I enjoy communicating with people, I appreciate the volume of District Court work. Having a law clerk and a judicial assistant would be wonderful but there are resources available for all judicial officers and the challenge of learning to work with what is available is one that I hope has made me better at my job. Certainly the hours I spent observing Superior Court judges when I worked as an advocate provided me with a variety of positive role models to emulate in my own judicial career. Mastering the statutes that apply primarily to District Court, including not only misdemeanor but also fish and game offenses as well as the traffic laws and small claims, has proven to be an exciting challenge. I regularly learn more about the civil statutes and rules. While I still have a lot to learn, I have learned a great deal since my appointment. For many years I have wanted to move to the judiciary and, had I not been appointed to my current position, I would now be working in the Kenai magistrate position that I accepted in approximately June of 2007. While I enjoyed my career as an advocate, I am finding that I enjoy the judicial role even more. I often find myself searching legal resources to resolve the issues presented. I cannot imagine a better job than one which not only allows but requires a constant expansion of knowledge. I hope that I have the opportunity to stay in my current position for many years.

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
 - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes No
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes No
 - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

My judicial career got off to a rocky start when I crashed my motorcycle on July 21, 2007. I have recovered from the serious injuries that I sustained and I have been fortunate enough to have been assisted by medical professionals who helped me with improving my overall health. Nonetheless, I was away from work for quite a bit of time in late 2007 and it took a while after I returned in 2008 to get fully back on track. Some of the comments on the interim judicial survey may have been reflective of my performance shortly after I returned to work. Hopefully the comments on the retention survey will be based on my more recent performance.

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Wilda Hartman *Case Number:* 3SW-08-16CI
v. Tim McDonald

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Steven Smith</u>	Name: <u>Sonya Redmond</u>
Address: <u>1029 W. 3rd Avenue, Ste. 250</u>	Address: <u>35865 Sunset Park Street</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Soldotna, AK 99669</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-09-404CR
v. William T. Nelson

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gary Poorman</u>	Name: <u>Adam Parkes</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay, Ste. 200</u>	Address: <u>130 Trading Bay, Ste. 390</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Nancy Henning *Case Number:* 3KN-07-888CI
v. Byron Moore and Charlene Moore

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Peter R. Ehrhardt</u>	Name: <u>Mark D. Osterman</u>
Address: <u>215 Fidalgo Ave., Ste. 201</u>	Address: <u>215 Fidalgo, Ste. 106</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case
Name: Randy Gilliam Sr. *Case Number:* 3KN-08-739CI
v. Ruth Stowers

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Kevin D. Koch</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>35477 Spur Highway, Ste. 207</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Soldotna, AK 99669</u>	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case
Name: Glen Mathew d/b/a Glen's Drywall *Case Number:* 3KN-07-272CI
v. Matthew Fischer and Amber Fischer

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Mark D. Osterman</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>105 Trading Bay, Ste. 105</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case
Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-06-937CR
v. Rudy Zaborowski

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Devoron Hill</u>	Name: <u>Joseph Raymond Skhra</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay, Ste. 200</u>	Address: <u>150 N. Willow, Ste. 2</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Richard and Arlene Musgrove **Case Number:** 3KN-07-327CI
v. Elizabeth Weissman

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Sheryl Musgrove	Name: Allan Beiswenger
Address: P.O. Box 1894	Address: 1101 W. 7th Avenue
City, State, Zip: Boise, ID 83701	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501
Name: Michael Hough	Name:
Address: 3733 Ben Walters Lane, Ste. 2	Address:
City, State, Zip: Homer, AK 99603	City, State, Zip:

Case Number 2

Case Name: Leonid Moshkovich **Case Number:** 3KN-07-52CI
v. Denis Douglas d/b/a The Log Wizard

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Mark D. Osterman	Name: Kristine A. Schmidt
Address: 215 Fidalgo, Ste. 106	Address: 110 S. Willow St., Ste. 101
City, State, Zip: Kenai, AK 99611	City, State, Zip: Kenai, AK 99611
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Case Number 3

Case Name: Kenai Peninsula Bourough **Case Number:** 3KN-07-100CI
v. David Rohner, Et. Al.

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Scott Bloom	Name:
Address: 144 N. Binkley Street	Address:
City, State, Zip: Soldotna, AK 99669	City, State, Zip:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: _____ **Case Number:** _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: _____ **Case Number:** _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: _____ **Case Number:** _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Sharon A.S. Illsley - Kenai District Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Illsley's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.6 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 3.7 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=48	Peace Officer Survey N=22	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=2
Legal Ability	3.7	--	--
Impartiality	3.3	3.7	3.5
Integrity	3.8	3.8	5.0
Temperament	3.5	3.8	5.0
Diligence	3.9	3.8	5.0
Overall	3.6	3.7	4.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

District Court Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

	N	%
Type of Practice		
No Response	2	3.2%
Private, Solo	8	12.7%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	10	15.9%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3	4.8%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.6%
Judge or Judicial Officer	18	28.6%
Government	19	30.2%
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	--	0.0%
Other	2	3.2%
Length of Alaska Practice		
No Response	3	4.8%
5 Years or fewer	5	7.9%
6 to 10 years	7	11.1%
11 to 15 years	5	7.9%
16 to 20 years	8	12.7%
21 years or more	35	55.6%
Gender		
No Response	3	4.8%
Male	42	66.7%
Female	18	28.6%
Cases Handled		
No Response	2	3.2%
Prosecution	8	12.7%
Mainly Criminal	10	15.9%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	22	34.9%
Mainly Civil	20	31.7%
Other	1	1.6%
Location of Practice		
No Response	2	3.2%
First District	1	1.6%
Second District	1	1.6%
Third District	56	88.9%
Fourth District	3	4.8%
Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%

Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		0		1		1		2		1		1
Direct Professional	3.7	48	3.3	47	3.8	47	3.5	46	3.9	47	3.6	47
Professional Reputation	3.6	11	3.2	11	3.4	11	3.2	11	3.1	11	3.3	11
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		0		0		0		0
Private, Solo	3.7	7	3.6	7	4.0	7	4.0	7	4.1	7	4.0	7
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.0	9	3.8	9	4.0	9	4.1	8	4.3	8	3.9	9
Private, 6+ Attorneys	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	3.7	15	3.2	15	3.8	15	3.3	15	3.8	15	3.5	15
Government	3.6	13	3.3	12	3.6	12	3.3	12	3.7	13	3.5	12
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	3.0	1	2.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		0		0		0		1		1		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	5	3.3	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.4	5	3.8	4
6 to 10 years	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.6	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.4	5
11 to 15 years	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3
16 to 20 years	3.8	6	3.5	6	3.8	6	3.7	6	4.0	6	3.8	6
21 years or more	3.4	29	3.0	29	3.5	29	3.2	28	3.5	28	3.3	29
Gender												
No Response		0		1		1		2		1		1
Male	3.7	32	3.6	31	3.8	31	3.7	30	3.9	31	3.7	31
Female	3.6	15	2.9	15	3.5	15	3.1	15	3.6	15	3.3	15
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		0		0		1		1		0
Prosecution	4.4	5	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.4	5	4.5	4
Mainly Criminal	3.4	8	2.8	8	3.4	8	3.5	8	3.6	8	3.3	8
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.6	18	3.4	18	3.8	18	3.5	18	3.8	18	3.5	18
Mainly Civil	3.8	16	3.3	16	3.7	16	3.5	15	3.8	15	3.6	16
Other	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Location of Practice												
No Response		0		1		1		1		2		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.7	46	3.4	45	3.8	45	3.6	44	3.9	45	3.6	45
Fourth District	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	50.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	10	35.7%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	2	7.1%
	Other	2	7.1%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	14.3%
	6 to 10 years	7	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	6	21.4%
	16 to 20 years	6	21.4%
	21 years or more	5	17.9%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	26	92.9%
	Female	2	7.1%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	27	96.4%
	Fourth District	1	3.6%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	24	85.7%
	Over 35,000	4	14.3%

Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley
Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Direct Professional	3.7	22	3.8	22	3.8	22	3.8	21	3.7	22
Professional Reputation	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.5	4	2.8	4	2.8	4
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.6	13	3.8	13	3.8	13	3.8	13	3.5	13
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.7	7	3.7	7	3.7	7	3.8	6	3.9	7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1
Other	3.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.0	4	3.8	4	4.3	4	3.8	4	4.0	4
6 to 10 years	4.0	6	4.2	6	3.8	6	4.0	6	3.8	6
11 to 15 years	3.3	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	3.7	3	3.3	3
16 to 20 years	3.5	6	3.5	6	3.8	6	4.0	5	3.8	6
21 years or more	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Male	3.7	22	3.8	22	3.8	22	3.8	21	3.7	22
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.7	21	3.9	21	3.8	21	3.9	20	3.8	21
Fourth District	4.0	1	2.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.7	20	3.8	20	3.8	20	3.8	19	3.7	20
Over 35,000	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	50.0%
	CASA Volunteer	--	0.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	50.0%
	11 to 15 years	1	50.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	2	100%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	2	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	100%
	Over 35,000	--	0.0%

Judge Sharon A. S. Illsley
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Direct Professional	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Social Worker	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	2.0	1	--	0	--	0	--	0	3.0	1
CASA Volunteer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
11 to 15 years	2.0	1	--	0	--	0	--	0	3.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Gender										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Location of Work										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		1		1		1		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	2
Over 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Sharon A.S. Illsley												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Total Responses
		%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.5	60%	33	33%	18	7%	4	0%	0	0%	0	55
Respectful/Courteous	4.7	76%	42	20%	11	4%	2	0%	0	0%	0	55
Attentive during Proceedings	4.7	71%	39	27%	15	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	55
Control over Proceedings	4.6	64%	35	33%	18	4%	2	0%	0	0%	0	55
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.7	69%	38	31%	17	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	55
Overall Evaluation	4.7	67%	37	31%	17	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	55

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Sharon A.S. Illsley												
Question	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Mean	Total Responses
	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)		
Impartiality/Fairness	53%	8	33%	5	13%	2	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	15
Integrity	67%	10	20%	3	13%	2	0%	0	0%	0	4.5	15
Judicial Temperament	77%	13	18%	3	0%	0	0%	0	6%	1	4.6	17
Diligence	75%	12	13%	2	0%	0	6%	1	6%	1	4.4	16
Overall Evaluation	69%	11	19%	3	6%	1	6%	1	0%	0	4.5	16