Alaska Judicial Council
2008 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge J. Patrick Hanley
Anchorage District Court

The Alaska Judicial Council evaluates judges on a number of criteria, including legal ability, demeanor, diligence, ability to manage their caseloads, and fairness and integrity. The Judicial Council finds Judge Hanley to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a district court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2008 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenge memo
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

2. Recusal memo
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

3. Appellate Review Memo
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge J. Patrick Hanley, District Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation
The Alaska Judicial Council, a non-partisan citizens commission established by the Alaska constitution, evaluates judges on criteria that include legal ability, demeanor, diligence, ability to manage their caseloads, and fairness and integrity. The Judicial Council finds Judge Hanley to be ***Qualified*** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation
The Judicial Council surveyed 2,884 attorneys and 1,539 peace and probation officers, together with social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates, jurors, and court employees about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed court system records concerning peremptory challenges, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any public disciplinary files; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, court staff, and others, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.4	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.7	5.0
Integrity	4.6	4.8	---	4.7	5.0
Temperament	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.7	5.0
Diligence	4.5	4.8	---	4.7	5.0
Overall	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale
5.0 = Excellent
4.0 = Good
3.0 = Acceptable
2.0 = Deficient
1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information
Attorneys in Alaska rated Judge Hanley on the six categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Hanley on overall performance was 4.5. Peace and probation officers rated Judge Hanley on five categories, using the 5-point scale above. They gave Judge Hanley a rating of 4.8.

Four other groups also evaluated Judge Hanley's performance, using the same 5-point scale with 5 as the highest rating. Jurors rated him 4.8 overall, court employees gave him 4.7, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 5.0. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.44.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge J. Patrick Hanley

Contact the Judicial Council at 1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, AK 99501 (telephone: (907) 279-2526) for more detailed information, or review the information on our Internet site at:
www.ajc.state.ak.us

November 2008

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2007
ALASKA
JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council
Questionnaire

Trial Judge
2008 Candidates for Judicial Retention

November 21, 2008

J. Patrick Hanley	Anchorage District Court
Name	Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a)
- 25 % civil cases
- 65 % criminal cases
- 10 % court administrative work

100 % Total

b)
- 7 # of jury trials/year
- 4 # of non-jury trials/year
- 0 # of administrative appeals/year

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

I was a member of the 2005 Fall Judicial Conference Planning Committee. In 2007 I have been one of three judges acting as a management committee for Anchorage District Court (filling the role of deputy presiding judge). In 2007 I have been on a committee of three judges studying changes to the Anchorage District Court Calendar.

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

The past 2 3/4 years have been exciting and satisfying for me as a new judge. I have continued to develop my knowledge of the law and skill in applying it. My transformation from attorney to judge has been educational and enjoyable. While I miss being an advocate, as a neutral arbiter I am free from any pressure to satisfy a client. My duty, which I take very seriously, is to respect due process, exhibit fairness to all (both procedurally and substantively), and reach a just result. It is a challenging and tremendously satisfying role.

I have participated in both bench-bar and bench-media presentations and have made presentations on the law to school classes, both in the schools and the courthouse. I attended a two week course at the National Judicial College and seek advice from other judges to increase my legal knowledge and judicial skills. I am a member of a three judge committee which is evaluating and making improvements to the Anchorage District Court calendar. I am also one of three judges on a management committee which fulfills the role of deputy presiding judge for Anchorage District Court.

I am always interested in evaluating my performance as a judge and making improvements. One dynamic of being a judge is that feedback is limited. To that end I look forward to hearing any comments and suggestions provided during the retention process.

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:

a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? ☐ Yes ☑ No

b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? ☐ Yes ☑ No

c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? ☐ Yes ☑ No

d) held office in any political party? ☐ Yes ☑ No

e) held any other local state or federal office? ☐ Yes ☑ No

f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? ☐ Yes ☑ No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2008 retention elections.

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska ***Case Number:*** 3AN-07-9416CR

v. Margaret Chiklak

Attorneys Involved:

Name: J. Adam Bartlett 277-0535	Name: Emma Miller 269-6300
Address: 745 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 230	Address: 310 "K" Street, Ste. 520
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska ***Case Number:*** 3AN-07-4760CR

v. Isidoro Martinez

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Erica Kahill 264-4400	Name: Joe Kovac 269-6300
Address: 900 W. 5th Ave., Ste. 200	Address: 310 "K" Street, Ste. 520
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Case Number 3

Case Name: Municipality of Anchorage ***Case Number:*** 3AN-06-12589CR

v. Dwayne Scott

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Henry Graper 276-1942	Name: Gary Poorman 283-3131
Address: 737 "M" Street	Address: 120 Trading Bay Dr., Ste. 200
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip: Kenai, AK 99611
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Municipality of Anchorage ***Case Number:*** 3AN-07-1015CR
v. Christopher Prigge

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Leonard Kelley 276-8185	Name: Jennifer Messick 343-4250
Address: 821 "N" Street, Ste. 206	Address: P.O. Box 196650
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99519
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Case Number 2

Case Name: Municipality of Anchorage ***Case Number:*** 3AN-06-7767CR
v. Steven Lake

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Jim Gould 276-1942	Name: Michael Shaffer 343-4250
Address: 737 "M" Street	Address: P.O. Box 196650
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99519
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Case Number 3

Case Name: Provost ***Case Number:*** 3AN-06-4155SC
v. Furniture Enterprises

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Swan Ching 563-9292	Name: Cole Lindemann 258-3224
Address: 1563 E. Tudor Rd.	Address: 400 W. Benson Blvd Ste. 370
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99507	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99503
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Sperbeck ***Case Number:*** 3AN-05-11046CI

v. State Farm Fire & Casualty

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Charles W. Ray, Jr. 274-4839
Address: 205 E. Dimond Blvd., #531
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99515

Name: James Wilkins 276-2999
Address: 500 "L" Street, Ste. 200
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: Jay Durych 278-1855
Address: 2509 Eide St., Ste. 5
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99503

Name: Kenneth Norsworthy 561-4222
Address: 733 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 400
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Case Number 2

Case Name: Alaska Railroad Corp. ***Case Number:*** 3AN-05-13108CI

v. Alma Corp.

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Ronald Baird 258-8818
Address: P.O. Box 100440
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99510

Name: Brett Von Gemmingen278-5935
Address: 637 W. 3rd Ave.
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name: This was a superior court
Address: case, Judge Hanley sat pro
City, State, Zip: tem

Case Number 3

Case Name: ***Case Number:***

v.

Attorneys Involved:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Kanady Chiropractic ***Case Number:*** 3AN-05-7831CI

v. Youseff

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Gregory Miller 276-1550
Address: 1127 W. 7th Ave.
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: Keenan Powell 258-7663
Address: 3700 Jewell Lake Rd.
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99502

Name: Affirmed on appeal by Superior
Address: Court Judge Sen Tan
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Case Number 2

Case Name: ***Case Number:***

v.

Attorneys Involved:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Case Number 3

Case Name: ***Case Number:***

v.

Attorneys Involved:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

Name:
Address:
City, State, Zip:

34. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE PAT HANLEY

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description (N=157)

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	3	1.9%
	Private, Solo	36	22.9%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	32	20.3%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	14	8.9%
	Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.2%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	27	17.1%
	Government	37	23.5%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	1	0.6%
	Retired	5	3.1%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	8	5.0%
	5 Years or fewer	18	11.4%
	6 to 10 years	20	12.7%
	11 to 15 years	27	17.1%
	16 to 20 years	16	10.1%
	21 years or more	68	43.3%
Gender			
	No Response	3	1.9%
	Male	108	68.7%
	Female	46	29.2%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	4	2.5%
	Prosecution	16	10.1%
	Mainly Criminal	15	9.5%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	57	36.3%
	Mainly Civil	60	38.2%
	Other	5	3.1%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	3	1.9%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	2	1.2%
	Third District	145	92.3%
	Fourth District	5	3.1%
	Outside of Alaska	2	1.2%

Evaluation of Judge Pat Hanley:
Alaska Bar Association Members

Summary of Findings

Judge Pat Hanley was evaluated by 135 Alaska Bar Association members who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 4.5. The highest mean score was obtained on *integrity* (4.6) and the lowest mean score was obtained on *legal ability* (4.4). Details are presented in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		
	N	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Mean**
Legal Ability	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	6	4.5%	59	44.3%	64	48.1%	4.4
Impartiality\Fairness	2	1.4%	3	2.2%	9	6.6%	36	26.6%	85	62.9%	4.5
Integrity	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	4	3.0%	31	23.6%	92	70.2%	4.6
Judicial Temperament	1	0.7%	4	2.9%	7	5.1%	32	23.7%	91	67.4%	4.5
Diligence	1	0.7%	3	2.2%	8	6.1%	38	29.0%	81	61.8%	4.5
Overall Evaluation	1	0.7%	4	2.9%	7	5.1%	42	31.1%	81	60.0%	4.5

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Judge Pat Hanley: Detailed Information Responses
Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
Direct Professional	**4.4**	**133**	**4.5**	**135**	**4.6**	**131**	**4.5**	**135**	**4.5**	**131**	**4.5**	**135**
Professional Reputation	4.4	14	4.6	14	4.7	14	4.7	14	4.6	14	4.7	13
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	3	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.4	5	4.5	4	4.5	4
Type of Practice												
No Response	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	2	4.0	3
Private, Solo	4.2	30	4.4	31	4.5	30	4.5	31	4.4	29	4.4	31
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.0	28	4.3	29	4.5	28	4.3	29	4.3	29	4.2	29
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.3	9	4.3	9	4.1	9	4.3	9	4.2	9	4.3	9
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	22	4.9	22	4.9	22	4.9	22	4.8	22	4.8	22
Government	4.6	35	4.5	35	4.7	34	4.6	35	4.6	34	4.6	35
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Retired	4.3	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	5.0	3
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response	4.3	8	4.4	8	4.5	8	4.5	8	4.4	7	4.3	8
5 Years or fewer	4.6	17	4.2	17	4.7	17	4.7	17	4.6	17	4.5	17
6 to 10 years	4.3	15	4.6	16	4.6	15	4.5	16	4.8	16	4.6	16
11 to 15 years	4.5	22	4.6	22	4.7	20	4.6	22	4.5	21	4.5	22
16 to 20 years	4.3	13	4.4	14	4.6	14	4.4	14	4.5	13	4.4	14
21 years or more	4.3	58	4.5	58	4.6	57	4.5	58	4.4	57	4.4	58
Gender												
No Response	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	2	4.0	3
Male	4.3	93	4.5	94	4.6	92	4.5	94	4.5	93	4.4	94
Female	4.5	37	4.5	38	4.6	36	4.6	38	4.6	36	4.6	38
Cases Handled												
No Response	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	3	4.3	4
Prosecution	4.5	16	4.3	16	4.6	16	4.5	16	4.4	16	4.5	16
Mainly Criminal	4.5	13	4.5	13	4.8	13	4.6	13	4.5	13	4.5	13
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.4	49	4.6	50	4.6	50	4.6	50	4.6	49	4.5	50
Mainly Civil	4.2	46	4.4	47	4.5	43	4.5	47	4.4	45	4.4	47
Other	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.4	5	4.4	5	4.4	5
Location of Practice												
No Response	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	2	4.0	3
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	3.5	2	4.5	2
Third District	4.3	121	4.4	123	4.6	119	4.5	123	4.5	120	4.4	123
Fourth District	4.8	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5
Outside of Alaska	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

34. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE PAT HANLEY

B. Peace and Probation Officers

Demographic Description (N=50)

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	17	34.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	26	52.0%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VSPO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	7	14.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	2	4.0%
	5 Years or fewer	10	20.0%
	6 to 10 years	9	18.0%
	11 to 15 years	11	22.0%
	16 to 20 years	13	26.0%
	21 years or more	5	10.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	40	80.0%
	Female	10	20.0%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	2.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	49	98.0%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	8.0%
	Over 35,000	46	92.0%

Evaluation of Judge Pat Hanley:
Peace and Probation Officers

Summary of Findings

Judge Pat Hanley was evaluated by 39 Peace and Probation Officers who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 4.8. The highest mean scores were obtained on *integrity*, *judicial temperament* and *diligence* (4.8) and the lowest mean score was obtained on *impartiality/fairness* (4.7). Details are presented in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		
	N	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Mean**
Impartiality/Fairness	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	2.5%	9	23.0%	29	74.3%	4.7
Integrity	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	2.6%	5	13.1%	32	84.2%	4.8
Judicial Temperament	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	2.5%	5	12.8%	33	84.6%	4.8
Diligence	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	2.5%	6	15.3%	32	82.0%	4.8
Overall Evaluation	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	2.5%	6	15.3%	32	82.0%	4.8

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Judge Pat Hanley: Detailed Information Responses
Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Direct Professional	**4.7**	**39**	**4.8**	**38**	**4.8**	**39**	**4.8**	**39**	**4.8**	**39**
Professional Reputation	3.6	11	3.8	11	4.2	10	4.0	9	3.7	11
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.8	15	4.9	15	4.9	15	4.9	15	4.9	15
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.7	20	4.7	19	4.8	20	4.8	20	4.8	20
Village Public Safety Officer (VSPO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Years										
No Response	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
5 Years or fewer	5.0	8	4.9	7	4.9	8	4.9	8	4.9	8
6 to 10 years	4.6	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7
11 to 15 years	4.8	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.9	9
16 to 20 years	4.5	10	4.7	10	4.7	10	4.6	10	4.6	10
21 years or more	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Gender										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Male	4.7	33	4.8	32	4.8	33	4.8	33	4.8	33
Female	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6	5.0	6
Location of Practice										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
First District	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.7	38	4.8	37	4.8	38	4.8	38	4.8	38
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Over 35,000	4.7	36	4.8	35	4.8	36	4.8	36	4.8	36

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

34. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE PAT HANLEY

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Demographic Description (N=4)

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	3	75.0%
	Guardian Ad Litem	--	0.0%
	CASA Volunteer	1	25.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	25.0%
	6 to 10 years	2	50.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	1	25.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	25.0%
	Female	3	75.0%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	3	75.0%
	Fourth District	1	25.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	4	100.0%

Evaluation of Judge Pat Hanley:
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Summary of Findings

Judge Pat Hanley was evaluated by 1 Social Worker, Guardian ad Litem, and CASA Volunteer who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 5.0 and all other categories obtain a mean score of 5.0. Details are presented in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		
	N	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Mean**
Impartiality/Fairness	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0
Integrity	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0
Judicial Temperament	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0
Diligence	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0
Overall Evaluation	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	--	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Judge Pat Hanley: Detailed Information Responses
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Direct Professional	**5.0**	**1**	**5.0**	**1**	**5.0**	**1**	**5.0**	**1**	**5.0**	**1**
Professional Reputation	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian Ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
CASA Volunteer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Years										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Gender										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Location of Practice										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Fourth District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

District Court Judge Pat Hanley

Average Ratings from All Groups Surveyed



	Legal Ability*	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Evaluation
Alaska Bar Association (N=135)	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Peace and Probation Officers (N=39)		4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Social Workers/ GALS/CASA Volunteers (N=1)		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

*Legal Ability items are only completed by Alaska Bar Association members.

Juror Survey Results
2008 Retention Evaluation
J. Patrick Hanley

		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	**Mean**	**Excellent** %	(n)	**Good** %	(n)	**Acceptable** %	(n)	**Deficient** %	(n)	**Poor** %	(n)	**Total Returned = 66**
Impartiality/Fairness	**4.9**	89%	57	11%	7	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	64
Respectful/Courteous	**4.9**	94%	61	6%	4	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	65
Attentive during Proceedings	**4.7**	75%	49	23%	15	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	65
Control over Proceedings	**4.8**	84%	54	16%	10	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	64
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	**4.8**	86%	56	11%	7	3%	2	0%	0	0%	0	65
Overall Evaluation	**4.8**	83%	54	15%	10	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	65

Court Employee Survey Results
2008 Retention Evaluation
J. Patrick Hanley

Question	Excellent %	(n)	Good %	(n)	Acceptable %	(n)	Deficient %	(n)	Poor %	(n)	Mean	Total Returned = 65
Impartiality/Fairness	73%	46	22%	14	5%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.7	63
Integrity	75%	47	21%	13	5%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.7	63
Judicial Temperament	79%	49	16%	10	5%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.7	62
Diligence	75%	45	17%	10	8%	5	0%	0	0%	0	4.7	60
Overall Evaluation	78%	50	17%	11	5%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.7	64